

**ORDER under the Companies Act 2006**

**In the matter of application  
No. 571 by SoLuxury HMC  
For a change of company name of registration  
No. 08042961**

**DECISION**

The company name NINGBO SOFITEL INDUSTRY&TRADE COMPANY LIMITED has been registered since 24 April 2012 under number 08042961.

By an application filed on 3 May 2013, SoLuxury HMC applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent to the primary respondent's registered office on 24 May 2013, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. No response was received. On 24 July 2013, the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made.

The proceedings were then suspended because a proposal to strike off the company was made. However, it appears that this proposal has now been rescinded.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

“The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1).”

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

(a) NINGBO SOFITEL INDUSTRY&TRADE COMPANY LIMITED shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name<sup>1</sup>;

(b) NINGBO SOFITEL INDUSTRY&TRADE COMPANY LIMITED shall:

- (i) take such steps as are within their power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
- (ii) not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

SoLuxury HMC, having been successful, is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order NINGBO SOFITEL INDUSTRY&TRADE COMPANY LIMITED to pay SoLuxury HMC costs on the following basis:

Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£300
Total:	£700

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of April 2014

Oliver Morris  
Company Names Adjudicator

---

<sup>i</sup>An “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.