

**ORDER under the Companies Act 2006**

**In the matter of application No 720**

**by Oracle America, Inc**

**for a change of the company name of registration**

**No 08856916**

**DECISION**

The company name Java Blue Ltd has been registered since 22 January 2014 under number 08856916.

By an application filed on 3 April 2014, Oracle America, Inc applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1)(b) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent to the primary respondent's registered office on 14 April 2014, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008 and permitting the primary respondent until 14 May 2014 to respond. The copy of the application was sent by Royal Mail special delivery. It was subsequently returned by the Royal Mail as "not called for". It was resent by ordinary mail. The primary respondent subsequently telephoned the Tribunal to explain that he had received the application but after the due date. On 22 May 2014, the Tribunal wrote to the primary respondent allowing a short extension until 31 May 2014 to respond to the application. No response was received and on 9 June 2014 the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) Java Blue Ltd shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name<sup>i</sup>;
- (b) Java Blue Ltd shall:
  - (i) take such steps as are within its power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
  - (ii) not cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

Oracle America, Inc, having been successful, is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order Java Blue Ltd to pay Oracle America, Inc costs on the following basis:

Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£400
Total:	£800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 14th day of July 2014

Mark Bryant  
Company Names Adjudicator

---

<sup>i</sup>An “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary

---

of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.