

# **Environment Agency permitting decisions**

## **Part surrender and variation**

We have decided to accept the variation and surrender of part of the permit for Collingsford (Thwaite) Farm operated by 2 Agriculture Limited.

The permit number is EPR/BL1053IE.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

## **Purpose of this document**

This decision document:

- explains how the operator's application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit as a result of the partial surrender

## **Structure of this document**

- Annex 1 the decision checklist

## Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
<b>The facility</b>		
The regulated facility	This partial surrender does not change the permitted regulated facilities. Only an area of land is removed from the installation.	✓
<b>The permit conditions</b>		
Changes to permit conditions	<p>The permit conditions have not changed as a result of the partial surrender.</p> <p>Amended plan is incorporated to the permit. The plan shows the new reduced installation boundary.</p> <p>Conditions 2.9.2 and 2.9.3 have been deleted from the permit but this is not as a consequence of the partial surrender. These conditions have been removed to reflect current permit requirements.</p>	✓
<b>The site</b>		
Extent of the surrender application	<p>The operator has provided a plan showing the extent of the site of the facility that is to be surrendered.</p> <p>We consider this plan to be satisfactory.</p>	✓
Pollution risk	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility. No permitted activities have been carried out on the land that is removed from the installation. The removed areas have been used as a workshop and storage area as well as dwellings and garden. There have been no pollution incidents within these areas of land. Therefore we agreed that the operator did not need to submit a Site Condition Report as a part of this application.	✓
Satisfactory state	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state. No permitted activities have been carried out on the land that is removed from the installation. The removed areas have been used as a workshop and storage area as well as dwellings and garden. There have been no pollution incidents within these areas of land. Therefore we agreed that the	✓

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
	operator did not need to submit a Site Condition Report as a part of this application.	