



Education Data Division - Request for Change Form for CBDS

Section 1 - Details of Change

(To be completed by the RFC Originator / CBDS Administrator)

Project / Service: CBDS	Type of Change: Changing valid values for Northing and Easting	RFC 729
Name and team/company of RFC Originator: Gerard Hassett		
Originator Contact No: 020 73407921	Originator email address: Gerard.Hassett@education.gsi.gov.uk	
Date RFC Raised: 25/07/2014	Date change required: ASAP – to be implemented in CTF 14	
Priority: 3	1 = Top - Ministerial or legislative requirement 2 = High - Senior official customer requirement or clear net benefit / efficiency saving to EDD, department or MIS suppliers 3 = Medium - Customer requirement, marginal net benefit 4 = Low - Nice to have, net cost, does not affect functionality, cosmetic change	
EDD Contact: Queries.SUPPLIER@education.gsi.gov.uk		
Change Title: Amendment to Property Easting and Property Northing		

Data item / Rule Number:

CBDS items 100126 and 100127

Description of change:

Change valid values of Easting and Northing to allow three decimal places instead of one

Reason for change (including benefits):

Software suppliers have requested the change as address files come with three decimal places

Impact of not doing the change:

Using the existing values would mean that data structures in the CTF were not fully compatible with address files and mean that some data would be lost in data transfers.

ISB view of the proposed change:

RFC 729: ISB welcomes and supports this change

Funding availability:

Not applicable

Impact assessment to be undertaken by:

Core software suppliers

Working Group

ISB

Date consulted:

30/07/2014

Response requested by:

7/08/2014

Section 2 - Impact Analysis

(To be completed by Impact Assessors)

Software Suppliers' Summary of Impact Assessment:

Supplier 1

RFC 729 (Easting and Northing to allow 3 decimal places) has a massive impact across numerous modules of our Education software application and would mean a lot of additional development work for our organisation.

It is not clear to us what the benefit to the DfE would be for this change and would prefer not to have to take this on.

Supplier 2

I can confirm that we are very much in favour of RFC 729

Supplier 3

RFC 729: We agree with this RFC

DfE Internal Colleagues' Summary of Impact Assessment:

Alternative Solutions / Workarounds (if appropriate):

Estimated Cost of Change:

Impact Assessed by (name):

Date:

Section 3 - Outcome / Decision

(To be completed CBDS administrator)

Review Meeting: CBDS Administration Board

Attendees: Gerry Hassett, Amanda Robinson, Lisa Beadle

Date of Review Meeting: 15/08/2014

Brief Summary of Discussion:

Based on supplier feedback the Board agreed that this change would not go ahead.

Accept / Reject:

Reject

Deferred to:**Type of Funding:****Fund Holder Agreement:****If Defer, provide details****If Accept, provide details:****If Reject, provide details:**