

ORDER under the Companies Act 2006

In the matter of application

No. 768 by QTS Group Ltd

For a change of company name of registration

No. 08776614

DECISION

The company name QTS (NORTH WEST) LIMITED has been registered since 15 November 2013 under number 08776614.

By an application filed on 19 August 2014, QTS Group Ltd applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent to the primary respondent's registered office on 28 August 2014. The letter was sent in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. The copy of the application was sent by Royal Mail special delivery and was returned 'NOT AT THIS ADDRESS'. It was sent again by ordinary post on 11 September 2014.

On 28 August 2014, the Tribunal also wrote to Mr Nigel Lofthouse to inform him that the applicant had requested he be joined to the proceedings. No comments were received from him in relation to this request. On 3 October 2014 the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made. On 30 October 2014, Mr Nigel Lofthouse was joined as a co-respondent.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states:

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) QTS (NORTH WEST) LIMITED shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending nameⁱ;
- (b) QTS (NORTH WEST) LIMITED and Mr Nigel Lofthouse shall:
 - (i) take such steps as are within their power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
 - (ii) not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

All respondents, including individual co-respondents, have a legal duty under Section 73(1)(b)(ii) of the Companies Act 2006 not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with an offending name; this includes the current company. *Non-compliance may result in an action being brought for contempt of court and may result in a custodial sentence.*

QTS Group Ltd, having been successful, is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order QTS (NORTH WEST) LIMITED and Mr Nigel Lofthouse being jointly and severally liable, to pay QTS Group Ltd costs on the following basis:

Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£400
Total:	£800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 23rd day of December 2014

Al Skilton
Company Names Adjudicator

ⁱAn “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.