Environment Agency permitting decisions

Variation

We have decided to issue the variation for Brooke–Hemyock Farm Poultry Unit operated by Hemyock Products Limited

The variation number is EPR/RP3737MW/V004.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Structure of this document

- Key issues
- Annex 1 the decision checklist
- Annex 2 the consultation and web publicising responses

Key issues of the decision

Ammonia Emissions

There are a number of habitats sites within the screening distance of the farm that could be affected by ammonia emissions. There is one Special Area of Conservation (SAC) site located within 10km of the installation. There are five Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) located within 5 kilometres of the installation. There are also fourteen Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) within 2km of the installation.

Ammonia Assessment – SAC site

The following trigger thresholds have been designated for assessment of European sites including Ramsar sites.

- If the Process Contribution (PC) is below 4% of the relevant critical level (Cle) or critical load (CLo) then the farm can be permitted with no further assessment.
- Where this threshold is exceeded an assessment alone and in combination is required.
- An overlapping in combination assessment will be completed where existing farms are identified within 10km of the application.

Screening using the Ammonia Screening Tool (v4.3) has determined that the Process Contribution (PC) on the SAC for ammonia, acid and N deposition from the application site are under the 4% significance threshold and can be screened out as having no likely significant effect. See results below.

Table 1 – Ammonia Emissions

Site	Critical Level Ammonia µg/m³	Predicted Process Contribution µg/m³	% of Critical Level
Quants SAC	1*	0.022	2.2%

^{*}A precautionary critical level of 1 µg/m³ has been assigned to this site. Where the precautionary level of 1µg/m³ is used, and the process contribution is assessed to be less than the 4% insignificance threshold in this circumstance it is not necessary to further consider Nitrogen Deposition or Acidification Critical Load values.

No further assessment is necessary.

Ammonia Assessment – SSSI's

The following trigger thresholds have been applied for assessment of SSSI's. If the Process Contribution (PC) is below 20% of the relevant critical level (Cle) or critical load (CLo) then the farm can be permitted with no further assessment. Where this threshold is exceeded an in-combination assessment and/or detailed modelling may be required.

Screening using the Ammonia Screening Tool (v4.3) has indicated that the PC for the below SSSI's is predicted to be less than 20% Critical Level for ammonia, acid and N deposition therefore it is possible to conclude no damage. The results of the ammonia screening tool v4.3 are given in the tables below.

Table 2 — Ammonia Emissions

Name of SSSI	Ammonia Cle (μg/m³)	PC (µg/m³)	PC as % of Critical level
Black Down & Sampford Commons SSSI	1μg/m ³ *	0.039	3.9%
Ashculm Turbary SSSI	1μg/m ³ *	0.088	8.8%
Ringdown SSSI	1μg/m ³ *	0.052	5.2%
Hense Moor SSSI	1μg/m ³ *	0.039	3.9%
Southey & Gotleigh Moors SSSI	1μg/m ³ *	0.048	4.8%

^{*} A precautionary level of 1µg/m³ has been used during the screen. Where the precautionary level of 1µg/m³ is used, and the process contribution is assessed to be less than the 20% insignificance threshold in this circumstance it is not necessary to further consider Nitrogen Deposition or Acidification Critical Load values. In these cases the 1µg/m³ level used has not been confirmed, but it is precautionary.

No further assessment is required.

Ammonia assessment - LWS.

There are 14 Local Wildlife Sites within 2 km of Brooke–Hemyock Farm. The following trigger thresholds have been applied for the assessment of these sites.

- 1. If PC is < 100% of relevant Critical Level or Load, then the farm can be permitted (H1 or ammonia screening tool)
- 2. If further modelling shows PC <100%, then the farm can be permitted.

For the sites listed in Table 3 below this farm has been screened out at Stage 1, as set out above, using results of the Ammonia Screening Tool version 4.3.

Screening using Ammonia Screening Tool 4.3 has indicated that emissions from Brooke-Hemyock Farm will only have a potential impact on sites with a critical level of 1 μ g/m³ if they are within 652m of the emission source. Screening indicates that beyond this distance, the Process Contribution at conservation sites is less than 1 µg/m³. 1 µg/m³ is 100% of the 1 µg/m³ critical level and, therefore, beyond this distance the PC is insignificant. In this case all local wildlife sites below are beyond this distance.

TABLE 3 – distance from source

Site	Distance (m)
Lower Mackham Farm LWS	1957
Newcott Moor LWS	1462
Lychett Farm LWS	2047
Flashford Bridge	1182
Collinshayne Marsh LWS	1067
Pen Cross Fields LWS	2034
Luddery Hill LWS	2027
Madford River LWS	1022
Lemon's Hill Farm LWS	929
Troake's Farm LWS	1161
Wheelbarrow Lane LWS	811
Oxenpark Farm West LWS	1726
Rodleigh Farm Field LWS	2047

The PC at these sites has been screened as insignificant. It is possible to conclude no significant pollution will occur at these sites and no further assessment is required.

For the following sites this farm has been screened out, as set out above, using results of the Ammonia Screening Tool version 4.3. The Process Contribution on the LWS for ammonia, acid and N deposition from the application site are under the 100% significance threshold and can be screened out as having no likely significant effect.

Table 4 - Ammonia Emissions LWS's and AW

Site	Critical Level Ammonia µg/m³	PC µg/m³	PC % Critical Level
Lemon's Hill LWS	3*	1.168	38.9%

^{*} CLe3 applied as no protected lichen or bryophytes species were found when checking Easimap layer.

Table 5 - Nutrient enrichment

Site	Critical Load nutrient enrichment kg N/ha/yr	PC Kg N/ha/yr	PC % Critical Load
Lemon's Hill LWS	10*	6.065	60.7%

^{*} Critical load values taken from APIS website (www.apis.ac.uk) - 11/09/14

Table 6 - Acidification

Site	Critical Load acidification keq/ha/yr	PC keq/ha/yr	PC % Critical Load
Lemon's Hill LWS	1.95*	0.433	22.2%

^{*} Critical load values taken from APIS website (www.apis.ac.uk) - 11/09/14

No further assessment is required.

Biomass boiler

The applicant is varying their permit to include 3 biomass boilers with an aggregated net rated thermal input of 0.642 MW.

In line with the Environment Agency's May 2013 document "Biomass boilers on EPR Intensive Farms", an assessment has been undertaken to consider the proposed addition of the biomass boilers.

This guidance states that the Environment Agency has assessed the pollution risks and has concluded that air emissions from small biomass boilers are not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health providing certain conditions are met. Therefore a quantitative assessment of air emissions will not be required where:

- the fuel will be derived from virgin timber, miscanthus or straw, and;
- the biomass boiler appliance and installation meets the technical criteria to be eligible for the Renewable Heat Incentive, and;
- the aggregate boiler net rated thermal input is:
 - A. less than 0.5MWth, or;
 - B. less than 1MWth where the stack height is greater than 1 metre above the roof level of adjacent buildings (where there are no adjacent buildings, the stack height must be a minimum of 3 metres above ground), and there are:
 - no Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites or Sites of Special Scientific Interest within 500 metres of the emission point(s);
 - no National Nature Reserves. Local Nature Reserves. ancient woodlands or local wildlife sites within 100 metres of the emission point(s), or;
 - C. less than 2MWth where, in addition to the above criteria for less than 1MWth boilers, there are:
 - no sensitive receptors within 150 metres of the emission point(s).

Our risk assessment has shown that biomass boilers will use virgin timber and straw, meet the criteria to be eligible for the RHI and meet the requirements of criteria B above, and are therefore considered not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health and no further assessment is required.

Industrial Emissions Directive (IED)

The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2013 were made on the 20 February and came into force on 27 February. These Regulations transpose the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED).

This permit implements the requirements of the EU Directive on Industrial Emissions.

Groundwater and soil monitoring

As a result of the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive, all permits are now required to contain a condition relating to protection of soil, groundwater and groundwater monitoring. However, the Environment Agency's H5 Guidance states that it is only necessary for the operator to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where there is evidence that there is, or could be existing contamination and:

- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a particular hazard; or
- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a hazard and the risk assessment has identified a possible pathway to land or groundwater.

H5 Guidance further states that it is not essential for the Operator to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where:

- The environmental risk assessment identifies no hazards to land or groundwater; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies only limited hazards to land and groundwater and there is no reason to believe that there could be historic contamination by those substances that present the hazard: or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies hazards to land and groundwater but there is evidence that there is no historic contamination by those substances that pose the hazard.

The site condition report (SCR) for Brooke–Hemyock Farm (dated 26/08/14) demonstrates that there are no hazards or likely pathway to land or groundwater and no historic contamination on site that may present a hazard from the same contaminants. Therefore, on the basis of the risk assessment presented in the SCR, we accept that they have not provided base line reference data for the soil and groundwater at the site at this stage.

Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria
considered		met
		Yes
Consultation		√
Scope of consultation	The consultation requirements were identified and implemented. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 6 High Profile Sites, our Public Participation Statement and our Working Together Agreements.	v
Responses to consultation, and web	The web publicising and consultation responses (Annex 2) were taken into account in the decision.	✓
publicising	The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	
Operator		
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator.	✓
European Dire	ctives	
Applicable directives	All applicable European directives have been considered in the determination of the application.	✓
The site		
Extent of the site of the facility	The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility. A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.	✓
Site condition report	The operator has provided a description of the condition of the site.	✓
	We consider this description is satisfactory. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on site condition reports and baseline reporting under IED—guidance and templates (H5).	
Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat. A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the sites has been carried out as part of the permitting process. We consider that the application will not affect the features of the sites.	√
	We have not formally consulted on the application. The	

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria
Considered		met Yes
	decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	
	See Key Issues above for more details.	
Environmental	Risk Assessment and operating techniques	
Environmental risk	We have carried out a risk assessment on behalf of the operator.	✓
	The operator considers this risk assessment is satisfactory – see Key Issues section for further explanation.	
Operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	✓
	The operating techniques are as follows:	
	 the fuel is derived from virgin timber, 	
	 the biomass boiler appliance and its installation meet the technical criteria to be eligible for the Renewable Heat Incentive; and 	
	 the stacks are 1m or more higher than the apex of the adjacent buildings. 	
	The proposed techniques for priorities for control are in line with the benchmark levels contained in the SGN EPR6.09 and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility. The permit conditions ensure compliance with relevant BREFs and BAT Conclusions, and ELVs deliver compliance with BAT-AELs.	
The permit con	ditions	
Updating permit conditions during consolidation.	We have updated previous permit conditions to those in the new generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The new conditions have the same meaning as those in the previous permit(s). The operator has agreed that the new conditions are	✓
	acceptable.	
Raw materials	We have specified limits and controls on the use of raw materials and fuels.	√
	We have specified that only virgin timber (including wood chips and pellets), straw, miscanthus or a combination of these can be used as fuel in the biomass boilers. These materials are never to be mixed with, or replaced by, waste.	
Incorporating the application	We have specified that the applicant must operate the permit in accordance with descriptions in the application, including all additional information received as part of the determination process.	√
	These descriptions are specified in the Operating Techniques table in the permit.	

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met Yes
Operator Com	petence	
Environment management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	~
Financial provision	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not be financially able to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	√

Annex 2: Consultation and web publicising responses

Summary of responses to consultation and web publication and the way in which we have taken these into account in the determination process.

Response received from

Mid Devon District Council (Planning Department) received on 15/09/14

Brief summary of issues raised

- 1. Completed form on noise and planning no issues raised.
- 2. Provided a document relating to the implementation of fly reduction measures provided by Environmental Health.
- 3. Informed that the provision of gable fans was not included as part of the planning permission approved for chicken house 4 and the applicant was advised to contact Mid Devon District Council Planning Department to discuss this matter.

Summary of actions taken or show how this has been covered

- 1. No action required.
- 2. The permit has been consolidated and now contains a condition 3.5 that requires the operator to carry out activities not to give rise to presence of pests which are likely to cause pollution, hazard or annoyance outside the boundary of the site.
- 3. The operator has been advised to contact Mid Devon District Council Planning Department to discuss gable end fans on house 4.

Health and Safety Executive and Environmental Health of Mid Devon District Council were consulted. There were no responses received.

This proposal was also publicised on our website between 05/09/14 and 03/10/14 and no representations were received.