

Application SCR evaluation template

(To be completed by NPS, GWCL and EM/PPC officers).

Name of activity, address and NGR	Heath Poultry Farm Banham Poultry Limited Heath Farm Larkshall Thetford Road East Wretham Norfolk IP24 1QZ NGR: TL 592843, 288332
-----------------------------------	---

Document reference of application SCR	BP EPR Doc 1 Site Condition Report for Heath farm
---------------------------------------	---

Date and version of application SCR	13/06/2014, Surrender Site Condition Report
-------------------------------------	---

1.0 Site details

To be completed by NPS
(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points	All information complete.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers
(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters b) Pollution history including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pollution incidents that may have affected land • historical land-uses and associated contaminants • visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination • evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available)) d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?	<p>The detail of the Environmental setting has been provided and found to be acceptable. There are no recorded incidents of pollution close to the site or record of potential polluting substances at the site.</p> <p>The site has been used for Poultry Production dating back to at least 1965. Prior to this the site was used for agricultural land.</p> <p>As historic contamination has not been an issue at the site there is no need to collect baseline reference data.</p> <p>No further information is required.</p>

3.0 Permitted activities

To be completed by NPS officers
(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Permitted activities b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site	<p>Six poultry houses for broiler production, with a total of 160,000 places. The working area where vehicles operate and the area surrounding the houses is mainly laid with concrete. Feed is delivered to the site in covered vehicles and stored on site in galvanised steel bins. Once the houses have been depopulated, litter is removed from the site in covered vehicles. The houses are washed down and disinfected ready for the next crop. The dirty water is collected in dirty water storage vessels, which are emptied by certified contractors. Dead birds are removed from the houses daily and the numbers are recorded. Carcasses are held in covered locked vermin proof bins until they are collected by a certified contractor. Diesel is stored in a bunded fuel tank which is next to house 3. Small quantities of disinfectant concentrate and other chemicals are stored in a locked area onsite.</p> <p>The above summary gives a brief outline of the permitted activities.</p> <p>Non-permitted activities are not undertaken at the site.</p>

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

To be completed by NPS officers
(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

There are no point source emissions to air, water and sewer from the installation.

Fugitive emissions of substances - We have reviewed the Operator's Assessment of the Environmental Impact (AEI) of emissions from the installation, and consider that this is consistent with the responses given in the application form. The proposed mitigation measures use technical measures as set out in the relevant guidance notes (How to Comply V1), and are adequate to protect the environment.

We have reviewed the answers about the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes in How to Comply V1 Section 3.3. Standard Improvement Conditions requiring the operator to review all site drainage and housing and to set out a timetable for any necessary improvements have been included in the permit to ensure that the requirements are met.

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?

To be completed by EM/PPC officers
(Conceptual model)

Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?

No. The proposed control measures comply with the relevant guidance note.

For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?

Yes.

Application SCR decision summary

To be completed by GWCL officer and returned to NPS

Tick relevant decision

Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue; or

✓

Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant.

Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or

✓

Pollution of land and water is likely

Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate

Date and name of reviewer	

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

(To be completed by EM/PPC and GWCL officers).

Sections 4.0. to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

4.0 Changes to the activities To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Source)	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	N/A
5.0 Measures taken to protect land To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)	
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?	Records showed there has been no record of pollution incidents at the site.
6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)	
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?	No records of pollution incidents.
7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant) To be completed by GWCL officers	
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?	Not applicable.

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk	
To be completed by EM/PPC officers	
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?	<p>The site is falling out of our regulation due to reduction in the number of bird places below the threshold value of 40,000 bird spaces.</p> <p>Two sheds will remain in place, each with 19,000 bird places.</p> <p>There is absence of any pollution incidents</p>
10.0 Statement of site condition	
To be completed by EM/PPC officers	
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?	No. Part of the site to remain operational.
9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)	
To be completed by GWCL officers	
<p>Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?</p> <p>(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.</p>	Considering the historic use of the site, the limited pollutants on site (oil, feed, wash down chemicals etc) and the absence of any pollution incidents, we consider that intrusive sampling is not required.
10.0 Statement of site condition	
To be completed by GWCL officers	
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?	No. The site has fallen out of our regulation. It is now operating below the threshold of 40,000 places for poultry. Hence, part of the site will remain operational.
Surrender SCR decision summary	
To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS	
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or	✓

Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:	✓
Date and name of reviewer: N.O. Iloani, 26/06/14	