

<b>Short Title</b>	<b>Spend on climate change adaptation, low carbon development and protecting forests</b>
<p>Technical Definition/ Methodological summary <i>How is the indicator measured?</i> <i>Definition of what the indicator covers including clear definition of specific terms</i></p>	<p>This indicator will measure DFID's spend on climate change projects funded by the UK Government International Climate Fund (ICF).</p> <p>ICF projects are defined as those approved by the ICF Board, which includes representatives of Defra and DECC. A project may receive ICF and non-ICF funding and there may be some DFID projects relevant to climate change that are not funded by the ICF.</p>
<p>Rationale <i>Why it is important to collect.</i> <i>How it links to objectives, policy developments and priorities etc.</i></p>	<p>DFID spend on climate change will support the delivery of Structural Reform Plan commitments and priorities set out in the DFID Business Plan. This indicator will show progress on DFID's delivery of its International Climate Fund commitment.</p> <p>ICF spend is reported in the DFID Annual Report.</p>
<p>Country office role <i>What information is required from country offices? What role will they play – reporting data? Quality assuring data? Providing data on DFID share of spend?</i></p>	<p>To ensure the project and financial information on the DFID finance system (Aries) is accurate and up-to-date.</p>
<p>Data source <i>Where will the data come from? – Country offices (ARIES? Separate commission? Government systems? National surveys?)? Institutional annual report? International datasets? Name them</i></p>	<p>Expenditure data from DFID finance system, Aries and ICF Secretariat records of endorsed projects</p> <p>Reports are produced monthly from Aries and combined with data from the ICF Secretariat records to produce management information on the ICF, which are provided to the ICF Board and the DFID EMC and Management Board.</p>
<p>Reporting Organisation  <i>Who collects it and who calculates it. Provide web links if applicable.</i></p>	<p>FPCPD and ICF Secretariat</p>
<p>Data included <i>Data coverage Bilateral (all?) Multilateral (who? How much as %)</i></p>	<p>Adaptation, mitigation, forestry and environment spend and support from country offices, divisions and multilateral contributions.</p> <p>Environment core contributions are excluded</p>

<p><i>How should budget support (general/ sector) outputs be reflected?</i></p> <p><i>How include bilateral direct support/ bilateral budget support/ bilateral through 3<sup>rd</sup> party/ multilateral?</i></p>	<p>from ICF spend.</p>
<p>Formula/ Data calculation</p> <p><i>Set out exactly how the indicators' results are calculated.</i></p> <p><i>If there is a need to convert data from e.g. proportion to numbers the methodology for doing so</i></p> <p><i>Agreed denominators for % and sources of these</i></p>	<p>Expenditure is reported on a resource accounting basis.</p> <p>Endorsed projects can be 100% funded or part-funded by the ICF.</p> <p>Where only part-funded by the ICF, the non-ICF funded activity is not included in the spend figure.</p>
<p>Worked example</p> <p><i>As above but with some sample numbers included</i></p>	<p>For all projects endorsed by the ICF Board, total spend on climate change activity is reported. Any activity which is not classified as climate change is excluded.</p>
<p>Most recent baseline</p> <p><i>Latest actual data if available – could be merged with worked example if possible</i></p>	<p>£312 million in 2012/13.</p>
<p>Good Performance</p> <p><i>Should the public be looking for an increase/ decrease to demonstrate whether improvement has been achieved?</i></p>	<p>£1.8 billion spent by DFID in the current SR period (2011/12-2014/15) on climate change adaptation, low carbon development and protecting forests.</p>
<p>Return format</p> <p><i>e.g. percentage, number, rate, cost per unit etc</i></p>	<p>Expenditure on a resource accounting basis.</p>
<p>Data dis-aggregation</p> <p><i>What level of disaggregation can be provided/ is required?</i></p> <p><i>Sex? Age? Country?</i></p> <p><i>Organisation? Rural-urban?</i></p> <p><i>Other?</i></p>	<p>Spend is reported as an annual total.</p>
<p>Data availability</p> <p><i>Frequency of data updates. How often is data collected and published?</i></p>	<p>Annual.</p>
<p>Time period/ lag</p> <p><i>Difference between period data refer to and publication</i></p>	<p>1 quarter (i.e. report in July on previous financial year)</p>
<p>Quality assurance measures</p> <p><i>How are the data quality assured? For example –</i></p>	<p>The financial data is subject to an annual audit.</p> <p>The ICF Secretariat quality assures the list of</p>

<p><i>internal QA within institutions? Central QA of country office returns?</i></p>	<p>ICF projects. The Secretariat is subject to DFID internal audit. Regular distribution of financial reports to Divisions provides additional assurance on data quality.</p>
<p>Data issues <i>Concerns with the data/sources</i> <i>Quality issues</i> <i>Further methodological refinements planned</i></p>	<p>The quality of the data will be completely reliant on timely and accurate inputting by project leads. The list of ICF projects is manually maintained by the ICF Secretariat.</p>
<p>Additional comments <i>Any additional information useful to reader not covered by above categories</i></p>	<p>None</p>