

**ORDER under the Companies Act 2006**

**In the matter of application No 784**

**by Intel Corporation**

**for a change of the company name of registration**

**No 09137222**

**DECISION**

The company name Intel Logistic Limited has been registered since 18 July 2014 under number 09137222.

By an application filed on 29 September 2014, Intel Corporation applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1)(b) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent to the primary respondent's registered office on 9 October 2014, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008 and permitting the primary respondent until 9 November 2014 to respond. The copy of the application was sent by Royal Mail special delivery. The letter was returned marked "addressee unknown", but it was not returned until 26 November 2014. On 17 November 2014 the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. Following receipt of the returned letter, it was resent on 16 December 2014, together with the letter of 17 November 2014. The parties were given until 7 January 2015 to comment or request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished, and were informed if nothing was heard from the parties within that time the adjudicator would issue a decision. Nothing was heard from the parties and no request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) Intel Logistic Limited shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name<sup>i</sup>;
- (b) Intel Logistic Limited shall:
  - (i) take such steps as are within its power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
  - (ii) not cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

Intel Corporation, having been successful, is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order Intel Logistic Limited to pay Intel Corporation costs on the following basis:

Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£400
Total:	£800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 21st day of January 2015

Mark Bryant  
Company Names Adjudicator

---

<sup>i</sup>An “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary

---

of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.