## RECRUITMENT INTO THE CIVIL SERVICE FAST STREAM IN 2012 (Published by the Cabinet Office, June 2013)

This annual report consists of an explanation of the Fast Stream and its selection process, followed by a statistical analysis of the 2012 intake. We intend that future annual reports will offer a wider view of the Fast Stream by illustrating, for example, the kind of work Fast Streamers do after joining the Civil Service and how their careers progress.

## INTRODUCTION AND EXPLANATORY NOTES

The Fast Stream is the Civil Service's graduate development programme. It attracts some of the country's brightest graduates, who are selected for their potential to become the leaders of the future. It is expected that most will reach the Senior Civil Service.

The Civil Service recruits to the Fast Stream strictly on the basis of fair and open competition and selection on merit.

During 2012, full responsibility for the Fast Stream passed from the Cabinet Office to Civil Service Resourcing who, however, continue to report to the Minister for the Cabinet Office on Fast Stream matters.

## To help you understand the Report

## The Fast Stream consists of:

- Graduate Fast Stream (Central Departments (essentially the Home Civil Service), the Houses of Parliament, the Diplomatic Service and Science and Engineering). Because the Graduate Fast Stream accounts for such a large proportion of each year's intake into the Fast Stream, the Report focuses on it separately as well as on the Fast Stream as a whole.
- Economist Fast Stream.
- Statistician Fast Stream.
- Social Research Fast Stream.
- Operational Research Fast Stream.
- Technology in Business Fast Stream.
- HR Fast Stream.
- Northern Ireland Fast Stream.
- European Fast Stream.

The Economist, Statistician, Social Research and Operational Research Fast Streams involve a specialist assessment stage but, in all cases, assessment of suitability for the Fast Stream itself is aligned with that of the Graduate Fast Stream: all Fast Streamers must have successfully completed the generic Fast Stream Assessment Centre.

All Graduate Fast Stream options except Central Departments apply some form of final selection procedure after the Assessment Centre before deciding whom to recommend for appointment. However, all candidates who achieve the pass mark
at the Assessment Centre have reached the required standard and are guaranteed a place in the Central Departments option if they want it.

There is also an annual competition (the In-Service competition) for serving civil servants who wish to join the Fast Stream. This too is included in the Report.

## FAST STREAM SELECTION PROCESS

Civil Service Resourcing, in partnership with Parity plc, managed the development and delivery of the 2012 competition. As always, our priority was to recruit the best talent available to us, while building on past achievements to make the Fast Stream recruitment as diverse, efficient, attractive and accessible as possible. The key considerations were:

- Fairness and objectivity The Civil Service maintains, and must be seen to maintain, the highest standards of open and fair recruitment. The Fast Stream selection process is designed with this aim in mind, and is subject to a thorough annual check on compliance with the Civil Service Commissioners' Principles of fair and open competition. We do everything possible to ensure that our assessment methods are scientifically robust, psychometrically valid and capable of withstanding close scrutiny.
- Diversity and best practice We are determined to reach out further to minority groups who have not always been proportionately represented in the Fast Stream. By ensuring that key stages are online, we aim to achieve greater transparency and objectivity, and also allow candidates to drive themselves through each stage of the process using a personalised management support system.
- Efficiency We use the latest online selection technology, and seek constantly to develop it so that it is as efficient as possible. We aim to complete the process in the shortest possible time, and to make job offers to the best candidates as quickly as possible.

The selection process consists of the following stages:

- Register interest on Fast Stream website
- Online self-assessment
- Application and online tests
- Invigilated electronic in-tray exercise (the "e-Tray")
- Fast Stream Assessment Centre

Candidates are ranked in order of merit, based on a final mark awarded at the Assessment Centre. All candidates, successful and unsuccessful, receive detailed feedback on their performance at the Assessment Centre, in the form of a development report.

## The Fast Stream competency framework

Fast Stream candidates are assessed against six competencies, determined by the needs of the Civil Service. They are spread across three skill sets, as follows:


These competencies will be revised from summer 2013 to align closely with the new Civil Service Core Competency Framework and to focus more on the skills identified in the Capability Plan for successful future leaders in the Civil Service.

## FAST STREAM RECRUITMENT IN 2012

## APPLICATIONS

The number of registrations (ie initial expressions of interest) on the Fast Stream website were up by 15 per cent compared with 2011 (from 25,955 to 29,906). The number of actual applications (ie those who, after completing the online selfassessment, proceeded to take the online tests), also increased, by 17 per cent (from 18,361 to 21,542).

Chart 1 shows the number of applications submitted to the Fast Stream each year since 1998. Since a fall in 2004, when online self-assessment was introduced to discourage unrealistic applications, the popularity of the Fast Stream as a career choice shows a marked upward trend.


## DIVERSITY

We aim to build an increasingly diverse Civil Service with the richest possible mix of talent. In particular, we wish to ensure that all levels of the Service benefit from better representation of women, colleagues from ethnic minorities, those with a disability and those from lower socio-economic groups.

Tables showing the diversity of the Fast Stream intake every year since 1998 are in the main statistical analysis. The following are headline figures from the 2012 competition, including a comparison with 2011.

## (i) Gender

In 2012 the proportion of applications from women rose very slightly to 47.2 per cent, from 47.0 per cent in 2011. The proportion of successful women applicants also rose slightly, to 51.1 per cent, from 50.1 per cent in 2011 (see Chart 2).
3.3 per cent of female applicants, and 2.8 per cent of male applicants, were successful.


## (ii) Ethnicity

The proportion of applications from ethnic minority groups dropped slightly to 16.5 per cent, from 17.3 per cent in 2011. The proportion of successful applicants from ethnic minority groups also fell very slightly 12.5 per cent, from 13 per cent in 2011 (see Chart 3).
2.3 per cent of ethnic minority applicants were successful, compared with 3.2 per cent of white applicants.


The following Table 1 shows the number of applications, and successful applications, from members of different racial groups.

All Fast Stream Schemes (excluding In-Service Fast Stream Competition)

| Ethnicity | Applications |  | Recommended for Appointment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| White - British | 15,286 | 71.0\% | 512 | 78.3\% |
| White - Irish | 1,004 | 4.7\% | 12 | 1.8\% |
| White - Gypsy or Irish Traveller | 13 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| White - Any other White background | 1,182 | 5.5\% | 33 | 5.0\% |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 183 | 0.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Asian - Indian | 816 | 3.8\% | 17 | 2.6\% |
| Asian - Pakistani | 326 | 1.5\% | 9 | 1.4\% |
| Asian - Any other Asian background | 222 | 1.0\% | 6 | 0.9\% |
| Black - African | 621 | 2.9\% | 5 | 0.8\% |
| Black - Caribbean | 144 | 0.7\% | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Black - Any other Black background | 44 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chinese - Any Chinese background | 202 | 0.9\% | 10 | 1.5\% |
| Mixed - Asian and White | 371 | 1.7\% | 13 | 2.0\% |
| Mixed - Black African and White | 85 | 0.4\% | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Mixed - Black Caribbean and White | 106 | 0.5\% | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Mixed - Any other mixed ethnic background | 245 | 1.1\% | 9 | 1.4\% |
| Other - Arab | 64 | 0.3\% | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Any other ethnic background | 129 | 0.6\% | 7 | 1.1\% |
| Prefer not to say | 499 | 2.3\% | 15 | 2.3\% |
| Total | 21,542 | 100\% | 654 | 100\% |

## (iii) Disability

The percentage of applicants who consider themselves disabled increased to 7.4 per cent, from 5.0 per cent in 2011. The proportion of successful disabled applicants rose very slightly to 13.5 per cent, from 13.2 per cent in 2011 (see Chart 4).
5.5 per cent of disabled applicants were successful, compared with 2.8 per cent of non-disabled applicants.


## (iv) Socio-economic status

Monitoring of the socio-economic status of applicants to Fast Stream began in 2011, using as its basis the occupational background of applicants' parents.

In 2012 (see Chart 5), the proportion of applicants from the routine and manual occupational background was 7.1 per cent, compared with 6.1 per cent in 2011. The proportion of successful applicants from this group was 3.8 per cent, compared with 2.5 per cent in 2011.
9.6 per cent of applicants declined to provide information about their parents' occupation.


## ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

Applications for the 2012 Fast Stream competition came from 154 degreeawarding institutions in the UK. Successful candidates came from nearly 70 different institutions.

Applications from Oxford and Cambridge Universities fell to 9.6 per cent, from 10.5 per cent in 2011. The proportion of successful candidates from those Universities was 26.6 per cent, compared with 26 per cent in 2011.

The most common degree disciplines amongst successful candidates were Humanities ( 25 per cent) and Social Sciences ( 23 per cent).

Please note, in connection with the data on degree classification, that if applicants had not yet graduated, the data are based on their predictions. In future Reports, this data will reflect classifications actually achieved. No candidate is admitted to the Fast Stream without providing evidence of having achieved the required 2:2 or above.

## Summary of 2012 Fast Stream Recruitment Report

Total: All Fast Stream Schemes (*excluding In-Service Fast Stream Competition)

|  | Vacancies | Applications by <br> first preference | Ratio of <br> applications to <br> vacancies | Recommended for <br> appointment | Overall success rate <br> (as \% of applicants) | Declined <br> appointment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |

Graduate Fast Stream

|  | Vacancies | Applications by first preference | Ratio of applications to vacancies | Recommended for appointment | Overall success rate (as \% of applicants) | Declined appointment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Departments | 228 | 10,426 | 45.7 | 286 | 2.7\% | 55 |
| Houses of Parliament | 5 | 576 | 115.2 | 5 | 0.9\% | 0 |
| Diplomatic Service | 29 | 1,799 | 62.0 | 31 | 1.7\% | 1 |
| Science / Engineering | 11 | 228 | 20.7 | 16 | 7.0\% | 3 |
| Total | 273 | 13,029 | 47.7 | 338 | 2.6\% | 59 |

Other Fast Stream Schemes

|  | Vacancies | Applications by first preference | Ratio of applications to vacancies | Recommended for appointment | Overall success rate (as \% of applicants) | Declined appointment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economists | 159 | 742 | 4.7 | 112 | 15.1\% | 4 |
| Statisticians | 47 | 214 | 4.6 | 23 | 10.7\% | 4 |
| Social Research | 19 | 230 | 12.1 | 20 | 8.7\% | 3 |
| Operational Research | 30 | 333 | 11.1 | 26 | 7.8\% | 7 |
| Technology in Business | 33 | 909 | 27.5 | 29 | 3.2\% | 4 |
| HR | 60 | 1,078 | 18.0 | 65 | 6.0\% | 4 |
| Northern Ireland | 12 | 2,380 | 198.3 | 12 | 0.5\% | 0 |
| European | 16 | 2,627 | 164.2 | 29 | 1.1\% | 13 |
| Total | 376 | 8,513 | 22.6 | 316 | 3.7\% | 39 |

Fast Stream Recruitment 2012 - In-Service Fast Stream Competition


| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Non-Respondents |  | Total |
|  | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total |  |
| Candidates | 50 | 47.6\% | 51 | 48.6\% | 4 | 3.8\% | 105 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 18 | 47.4\% | 19 | 50.0\% | 1 | 2.6\% | 38 |


| Ethnic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Ethnic Minority |  | Non-Respondents |  | Total |
|  | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total |  |
| Candidates | 89 | 84.8\% | 12 | 11.4\% | 4 | 3.8\% | 105 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 33 | 86.8\% | 4 | 10.5\% | 1 | 2.6\% | 38 |


| Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Disabled |  | Disabled |  | Non-Respondents |  | Total |
|  | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total |  |
| Candidates | 94 | 89.5\% | 7 | 6.7\% | 4 | 3.8\% | 105 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 37 | 97.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 2.6\% | 38 |

## Applications by University

A blank cell indicates zero

| University | GFS |  | Economists |  | Statisticians |  | Social Research |  | Operational Research |  | Technology in Business |  | HR |  | Northern Ireland |  | European |  | Total |  | Overall Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidate | Applicants | Successful Candidate | Applicants | Successful Candidate | Applicants | Successful Candidates |  |
| University of Aberdeen | 78 |  | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 8 | 1 | 7 |  | 19 |  | 126 | 2 | 1.6\% |
| University of Abertay | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Anglia Ruskin University | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 30 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Aston University | 39 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |  | 12 |  | 1 |  | 17 |  | 85 | 3 | 3.5\% |
| University of Bath | 121 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 18 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 41 | 1 | 223 | 13 | 5.8\% |
| Bath Spa University | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 22 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Bedfordshire | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 24 | 1 | 4.2\% |
| University of Birmingham | 305 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 18 |  | 20 | 2 | 4 |  | 56 |  | 434 | 7 | 1.6\% |
| Birmingham City University | 31 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 45 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University College Birmingham | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Bolton | 6 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Bournemouth University | 16 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 27 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| The Arts University College at Bournemouth | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Bradiford | 42 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 61 | 2 | 3.3\% |
| University of Brighton | 36 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 54 | 1 | 1.9\% |
| University of Bristol | 365 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 8 |  | 1 |  | 91 |  | 531 | 19 | 3.6\% |
| Brunel University | 74 |  | 16 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 114 | 2 | 1.8\% |
| Buckinghamshire New University | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Cambridge | 713 | 55 | 23 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 24 |  | 6 | 1 | 8 |  | 161 | 6 | 969 | 76 | 7.8\% |
| Canterbury Christ Church University | 17 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 28 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Cardiff University | 214 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 15 |  | 13 | 1 | 4 |  | 38 |  | 331 | 14 | 4.2\% |
| University of Central Lancashire | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 | 12 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 77 | 1 | 1.3\% |
| University of Chester | 17 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 31 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Chichester | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| City University | 35 |  | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 13 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 67 | 2 | 3.0\% |
| Coventry University | 40 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 62 | 2 | 3.2\% |
| Crantield University | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University for the Creative Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Cumbria University | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| De Montiort University | 32 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 10 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 51 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Derby | 12 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 21 | 1 | 4.8\% |
| University of Dundee | 61 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 21 |  | 9 |  | 108 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Durham University | 582 | 37 | 9 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 |  | 8 | 2 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 8 |  | 127 | 1 | 790 | 48 | 6.1\% |
| University of East Anglia | 139 | 3 | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 15 |  | 177 | 3 | 1.7\% |
| University of East London | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 7 | 1 | 12 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 53 | 1 | 1.9\% |
| Edge Hill University | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 22 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Edinburgh | 330 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 11 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 15 |  | 103 | 2 | 488 | 20 | 4.1\% |
| Edinburgh College of Art |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Essex | 98 | 2 | 6 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  | 13 |  | 139 | 3 | 2.2\% |
| University of Exeter | 304 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 7 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 |  | 69 |  | 412 | 12 | 2.9\% |
| University College Falmouth | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Glamorgan | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 48 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Glasgow | 177 | 7 | 9 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 14 | 1 | 26 |  | 52 |  | 290 | 8 | 2.8\% |

## Applications by University

A blank cell indicates zero

| University | GFS |  | Economists |  | Statisticians |  | Social Research |  | Operational Research |  | Technology in Business |  | HR |  | Northern Ireland |  | European |  | Total |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Overall } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Rate } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates |  |
| Glasgow Caledonian University | 26 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 46 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Glasgow School of Art | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Gloucestershire | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Greenwich | 54 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 13 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 91 | 1 | 1.1\% |
| Heriot-Watt University | 19 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 22 |  | 11 |  | 64 | 2 | 3.1\% |
| University of Hertfordshire | 32 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Huddersfield | 25 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 8 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 41 | 1 | 2.4\% |
| University of Hull | 102 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 14 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 140 | 3 | 2.1\% |
| Keele University | 75 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 95 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Kent | 205 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 12 |  | 17 | 1 | 4 |  | 34 |  | 293 | 5 | 1.7\% |
| Kingston University | 63 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 13 |  | 15 |  | 4 |  | 12 |  | 117 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Lancaster University | 191 | 2 | 12 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 6 |  | 10 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 6 |  | 29 |  | 279 | 8 | 2.9\% |
| University of Leeds | 437 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 |  | 15 | 3 | 14 |  | 22 | 4 | 4 |  | 91 |  | 609 | 21 | 3.4\% |
| Leeds College of Music | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Leeds Metroopoitan University | 38 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 13 | 1 | 10 |  | 6 |  | 73 | 1 | 1.4\% |
| Leeds Trinity University College | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Leicester | 167 | 1 | 10 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 22 |  | 237 | 3 | 1.3\% |
| University of Lincoln | 21 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Liverpool | 169 | 1 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 10 |  | 21 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 37 |  | 268 | 7 | 2.6\% |
| Liverpool Hope University | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Liverpool John Moores University | 42 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |  | 38 |  | 5 |  | 103 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| London Metropolitan University | 53 | 1 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 87 | 1 | 1.1\% |
| University of London |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birkbeck College | 11 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Cancer Research Institute | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Central College of Speech and Drama | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Courtald Institute of Art | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| External System (Distance Learning) | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Goldsmiths College | 27 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 44 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Heythrop College | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Imperial College London | 117 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 5 |  | 14 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  | 152 | 4 | 2.6\% |
| Institute in Paris | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| King's College London | 246 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 15 |  | 7 | 3 | 2 |  | 65 |  | 340 | 9 | 2.6\% |
| London School of Economics and Political Science | 196 | 8 | 20 | 6 | 2 |  | 6 | 2 | 4 |  | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 41 |  | 282 | 20 | 7.1\% |
| Queen Mary | 142 | 2 | 6 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 9 |  | 8 |  | 11 |  | 2 |  | 20 |  | 207 | 2 | 1.0\% |
| Royal Academy of Music | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Royal Holloway | 126 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 11 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 21 |  | 178 | 4 | 2.2\% |
| Royal Veterinary College | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| School of Advanced Study | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| School of Oriental and African Studies | 114 | 1 | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 18 |  | 154 | 4 | 2.6\% |
| School of Pharmacy |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |

## Applications by University

A blank cell indicates zero

| University | GFS |  | Economists |  | Statisticians |  | Social Research |  | Operational Research |  | Technology in Business |  | HR |  | Northern Ireland |  | European |  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { Success } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicants | Successful Candidate | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidate | Applicants | Successful Candidates |  |
| St. George's Hospital Medical School | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University College London | 328 | 9 | 19 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 7 |  | 18 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  | 97 |  | 487 | 16 | 3.3\% |
| University of the Arts | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 1 | 11.1\% |
| London Southbank University | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 46 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Loughborough University | 118 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 6 | 1 | 7 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 14 |  | 166 | 4 | 2.4\% |
| University of Manchester | 433 | 6 | 27 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 1 | 14 |  | 18 |  | 42 | 3 | 15 |  | 93 |  | 655 | 14 | 2.1\% |
| Manchester Metropolitan University | 68 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | 11 |  | 9 |  | 113 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Marion | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Middlesex University | 29 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 45 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Napier University | 15 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 8 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 35 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Newcastle Upon Tyne | 238 | 4 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 10 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 19 |  | 44 |  | 335 | 7 | 2.1\% |
| Newman University College | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Northampton | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 28 | 1 | 3.6\% |
| Northumbria University | 66 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 11 | 2 | 22 |  | 6 |  | 118 | 3 | 2.5\% |
| Norwich University College of the Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Nottingham | 446 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 |  | 6 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 4 |  | 90 |  | 614 | 22 | 3.6\% |
| Nottingham Trent University | 66 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 12 |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 102 | 1 | 1.0\% |
| Open University | 61 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 24 |  | 8 |  | 123 | 4 | 3.3\% |
| Open University in Scotland | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Open University in Wales | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Oxford University | 822 | 69 | 24 | 8 | 4 |  | 5 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 8 |  | 187 | 15 | 1100 | 98 | 8.9\% |
| Oxford Brookes University | 56 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 10 |  | 84 | 3 | 3.6\% |
| University of Plymouth | 51 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 74 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Portsmouth | 63 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  | 11 |  | 95 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Queen Margaret University | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Queen's University Belfast | 149 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 16 |  | 19 | 1 | 1069 | 7 | 34 |  | 1302 | 12 | 0.9\% |
| Ravensbourne College of Design \& Communication | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Reading | 106 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 11 | 2 |  |  | 22 |  | 169 | 4 | 2.4\% |
| Robert Gordon University | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Roehampton University | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 35 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Rose Bruford College |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Royal Agricultural College |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Royal College of Music | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Royal Northern College of Music | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Royal Scottish Academy of Music and Drama | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Salford | 32 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 54 | 1 | 1.9\% |
| University of Sheffield | 404 | 9 | 28 | 3 | 5 |  | 10 | 1 | 13 |  | 21 |  | 30 | 1 | 11 |  | 78 |  | 600 | 14 | 2.3\% |
| Sheffield Hallam University | 50 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 8 |  | 9 |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 82 | 2 | 2.4\% |
| University of Southampton | 230 | 4 | 14 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 16 |  | 1 |  | 39 |  | 326 | 7 | 2.1\% |
| Southampton Solent University | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of St Andrews | 193 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 7 | 1 | 16 |  | 51 |  | 284 | 7 | 2.5\% |
| St. Mary's University College | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% |

## Applications by University

A blank cell indicates zero

| University | GFS |  | Economists |  | Statisticians |  | Social Research |  | Operational Research |  | Technology in Business |  | HR |  | Northern Ireland |  | European |  | Total |  | Overall Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates |  |
| Staffordshire University | 18 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 30 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Stiring University | 34 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 8 | 2 | 9 |  | 5 |  | 64 | 2 | 3.1\% |
| University of Strathclyde | 79 | 2 | 10 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | 11 |  | 20 | 1 | 138 | 3 | 2.2\% |
| University Campus Suffolk | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Sunderland | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 25 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Surrey | 55 | 1 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 2 |  | 14 |  | 106 | 3 | 2.8\% |
| University of Sussex | 126 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 6 |  | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 14 |  | 2 |  | 20 |  | 200 | 8 | 4.0\% |
| University of Swansea | 99 | 1 | 14 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 7 |  | 9 |  | 1 |  | 13 |  | 150 | 1 | 0.7\% |
| University of Teesside | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 26 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Thames Valley University | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and Dance | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Ulster | 103 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  | 733 |  | 7 |  | 875 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Wales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aberystwyth | 114 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  | 17 | 1 | 149 | 2 | 1.3\% |
| Bangor | 32 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 8 |  | 51 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Cardiff Metropolitan | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 26 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Glyndwr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Lampeter | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Newport | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Swansea Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Trinity Saint David | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Warwick | 328 | 10 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 11 |  | 18 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 3 |  | 86 |  | 504 | 21 | 4.2\% |
| University of the West of England | 88 |  | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 12 | 1 | 19 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 151 | 3 | 2.0\% |
| University of the West of Scotland | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Westminster | 87 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 16 | 2 |  |  | 13 |  | 132 | 2 | 1.5\% |
| University of Winchester | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Wolverhampton | 28 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 50 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of Worcester | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| University of York | 329 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 5 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 3 |  | 58 | 1 | 449 | 15 | 3.3\% |
| York St. John University | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Overseas | 371 | 4 | 93 | 21 | 26 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 30 |  | 89 |  | 50 | 3 | 62 | 2 | 156 | 1 | 889 | 35 | 3.9\% |
| Not stated | 66 |  | 16 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | 13 | 1 | 9 |  | 36 |  | 22 |  | 181 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Grand Total | 13029 | 338 | 742 | 112 | 214 | 23 | 230 | 20 | 333 | 26 | 909 | 29 | 1078 | 65 | 2380 | 12 | 2627 | 29 | 21542 | 654 | 3.0\% |

University of First Degree

| Competition | Vacancies | Applications |  |  |  | Total | Recommended for Appointment |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-Oxbridge |  | Oxbridge |  |  | Non-Oxbridge |  | Oxbridge |  |  |
|  |  | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total |  | Number | \% of total | Number | \% of total |  |
| 2012 | 649 | 19,473 | 90.4\% | 2,069 | 9.6\% | 21,542 | 480 | 73.4\% | 174 | 26.6\% | 654 |
| 2011 | 354 | 16,431 | 89.5\% | 1,930 | 10.5\% | 18,361 | 291 | 74.0\% | 102 | 26.0\% | 393 |
| 2010 | 477 | 19,783 | 90.9\% | 1,978 | 9.1\% | 21,761 | 361 | 77.6\% | 104 | 22.4\% | 465 |
| 2009 | 585 | 13,250 | 88.9\% | 1,661 | 11.1\% | 14,911 | 464 | 73.8\% | 165 | 26.2\% | 629 |
| 2008 | 552 | 12,714 | 87.7\% | 1,780 | 12.3\% | 14,494 | 404 | 70.9\% | 166 | 29.1\% | 570 |
| 2007 | 427 | 11,945 | 87.7\% | 1,674 | 12.3\% | 13,619 | 261 | 68.7\% | 119 | 31.3\% | 380 |
| 2006 | 469 | 12,216 | 87.6\% | 1,729 | 12.4\% | 13,945 | 328 | 68.9\% | 148 | 31.1\% | 476 |
| 2005 | 497 | 11,353 | 87.6\% | 1,604 | 12.4\% | 12,957 | 354 | 70.2\% | 150 | 29.8\% | 504 |
| 2004* | 507 | 7,216 | 83.9\% | 1,382 | 16.1\% | *8,598 | 300 | 64.2\% | 167 | 35.8\% | 467 |
| 2003 | 546 | 18,214 | 90.9\% | 1,818 | 9.1\% | 20,032 | 328 | 64.3\% | 182 | 35.7\% | 510 |
| 2002 | 509 | 13,122 | 91.6\% | 1,206 | 8.4\% | 14,328 | 298 | 72.5\% | 113 | 27.5\% | 411 |
| 2001 | 512 | 10,846 | 92.1\% | 931 | 7.9\% | 11,777 | 303 | 72.0\% | 118 | 28.0\% | 421 |
| 2000 | 560 | 13,289 | 92.2\% | 1,120 | 7.8\% | 14,409 | 289 | 67.8\% | 137 | 32.2\% | 426 |
| 1999 | 445 | 8,880 | 90.5\% | 934 | 9.5\% | 9,814 | 214 | 69.9\% | 92 | 30.1\% | 306 |
| 1998 | 367 | 8,142 | 90.1\% | 895 | 9.9\% | 9,037 | 154 | 65.5\% | 81 | 34.5\% | 235 |

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.


## Analysis by Degree Class

## All Fast Stream Schemes

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 4,410 | 20.5\% | - | 13,342 | 61.9\% | - | 3,418 | 15.9\% | - | 372 | 1.7\% | - | 21,542 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 254 | 38.8\% | 5.8\% | 363 | 55.5\% | 2.7\% | 27 | 4.1\% | 0.8\% | 10 | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 654 | 3.0\% |

INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

## Graduate Fast Stream

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Success } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Success |
| Applications | 2,679 | 20.6\% | - | 8,282 | 63.6\% | - | 1,916 | 14.7\% | - | 152 | 1.2\% | - | 13,029 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 121 | 35.8\% | 4.5\% | 205 | 60.7\% | 2.5\% | 10 | 3.0\% | 0.5\% | 2 | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 338 | 2.6\% |

## Economists

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 283 | 38.1\% | - | 400 | 53.9\% | - | 30 | 4.0\% | - | 29 | 3.9\% | - | 742 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 60 | 53.6\% | 21.2\% | 44 | 39.3\% | 11.0\% | 2 | 1.8\% | 6.7\% | 6 | 5.4\% | 20.7\% | 112 | 15.1\% |

## Statisticians

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 92 | 43.0\% | - | 104 | 48.6\% | - | 12 | 5.6\% | - | 6 | 2.8\% | - | 214 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 12 | 52.2\% | 13.0\% | 10 | 43.5\% | 9.6\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 8.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23 | 10.7\% |

Social Research

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 64 | 27.8\% | - | 154 | 67.0\% | - | 7 | 3.0\% | - | 5 | 2.2\% | - | 230 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 14 | 70.0\% | 21.9\% | 6 | 30.0\% | 3.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20 | 8.7\% |

## Operational Research

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Success } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| Applications | 156 | 46.8\% | - | 154 | 46.2\% | - | 13 | 3.9\% | - | 10 | 3.0\% | - | 333 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 16 | 61.5\% | 10.3\% | 9 | 34.6\% | 5.8\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 7.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26 | 7.8\% |

## Technology in Business

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 189 | 20.8\% | - | 575 | 63.3\% | - | 96 | 10.6\% | - | 49 | 5.4\% | - | 909 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 6 | 20.7\% | 3.2\% | 20 | 69.0\% | 3.5\% | 3 | 10.3\% | 3.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 | 3.2\% |

HR

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 105 | 9.7\% | - | 636 | 59.0\% | - | 316 | 29.3\% |  | 21 | 1.9\% |  | 1,078 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 9 | 13.8\% | 8.6\% | 45 | 69.2\% | 7.1\% | 9 | 13.8\% | 2.8\% | 2 | 3.1\% | 9.5\% | 65 | 6.0\% |

## Northern Ireland

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 245 | 10.3\% | - | 1,348 | 56.6\% | - | 741 | 31.1\% | - | 46 | 1.9\% | - | 2,380 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 2 | 16.7\% | 0.8\% | 9 | 75.0\% | 0.7\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 0.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12 | 0.5\% |

European

|  | 1 |  |  | 2:1 |  |  | 2:2 |  |  | Other* |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 597 | 22.7\% | - | 1,689 | 64.3\% | - | 287 | 10.9\% | - | 54 | 2.1\% | - | 2,627 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 14 | 48.3\% | 2.3\% | 15 | 51.7\% | 0.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 | 1.1\% |

[^0]
## Applications by Degree Type

| Degree Type | GFS |  | Economists |  | Statisticians |  | Social Research |  | Operational Research |  | Technology in Business |  | HR |  | Northern Ireand |  | European |  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates | Applicants | Successful Candidates |  |
| Allied Medicine | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Architecture | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Biological Sciences | 720 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 53 | 2 | 45 | 6 | 109 | 0 | 63 | 2 | 1,015 | 26 | 2.6\% |
| Business | 514 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 71 | 2 | 259 | 8 | 330 | 2 | 81 | 0 | 1,285 | 20 | 1.6\% |
| Creative Arts | 163 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 307 | 4 | 1.3\% |
| Economics | 510 | 11 | 624 | 91 | 38 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 90 | 1 | 1,439 | 109 | 7.6\% |
| Education | 49 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 126 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Engineering | 332 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 83 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 622 | 9 | 1.4\% |
| Financial | 96 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 217 | 1 | 0.5\% |
| Humanities | 4,194 | 132 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 150 | 7 | 253 | 16 | 459 | 0 | 598 | 6 | 5,700 | 163 | 2.9\% |
| Languages | 1,164 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 43 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 902 | 10 | 2,206 | 68 | 3.1\% |
| Librarian | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 3.3\% |
| Mathematical Science | 293 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 105 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 155 | 12 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 673 | 33 | 4.9\% |
| Medicine \& Dentistry | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 6.1\% |
| Multi Discipine | 610 | 23 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 37 | 2 | 39 | 3 | 113 | 2 | 164 | 3 | 1,010 | 42 | 4.2\% |
| Physical Sciences | 735 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 88 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1,091 | 23 | 2.1\% |
| Social Science | 3,395 | 82 | 49 | 10 | 35 | 3 | 155 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 120 | 7 | 325 | 20 | 597 | 7 | 562 | 7 | 5,258 | 149 | 2.8\% |
| Technology | 124 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 147 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 331 | 2 | 0.6\% |
| Veterinary Sciences | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total | 13,029 | 338 | 742 | 112 | 214 | 23 | 230 | 20 | 333 | 26 | 909 | 29 | 1,078 | 65 | 2,380 | 12 | 2,627 | 29 | 21,542 | 654 | 3.0\% |

## Analysis by Gender

| All Fast Stream Schemes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 11,158 | 51.8\% | - | 10,177 | 47.2\% | - | 207 | 1.0\% | - | 21,542 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 314 | 48.0\% | 2.8\% | 334 | 51.1\% | 3.3\% | 6 | 0.9\% | 2.9\% | 654 | 3.0\% |

## INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

## Graduate Fast Stream

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 6,990 | 53.6\% | - | 5,902 | 45.3\% | - | 137 | 1.1\% | - | 13,029 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 146 | 43.2\% | 2.1\% | 189 | 55.9\% | 3.2\% | 3 | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 338 | 2.6\% |


| Economists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 513 | 69.1\% | - | 224 | 30.2\% | - | 5 | 0.7\% | - | 742 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 75 | 67.0\% | 14.6\% | 37 | 33.0\% | 16.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 112 | 15.1\% |


| Statisticians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 109 | 50.9\% | - | 103 | 48.1\% | - | 2 | 0.9\% | - | 214 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 11 | 47.8\% | 10.1\% | 12 | 52.2\% | 11.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23 | 10.7\% |



## Operational Research

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 200 | 60.1\% | - | 131 | 39.3\% | - | 2 | 0.6\% | - | 333 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 15 | 57.7\% | 7.5\% | 10 | 38.5\% | 7.6\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 50.0\% | 26 | 7.8\% |


| Technology in Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 639 | 70.3\% | - | 248 | 27.3\% | - | 22 | 2.4\% | 909 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 23 | 79.3\% | 3.6\% | 5 | 17.2\% | 2.0\% | 1 | 3.4\% | 29 | 3.2\% |


| HR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 327 | 30.3\% | - | 747 | 69.3\% | - | 4 | 0.4\% | - | 1,078 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 13 | 20.0\% | 4.0\% | 51 | 78.5\% | 6.8\% | 1 | 1.5\% | 25.0\% | 65 | 6.0\% |

## Northern Ireland

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 1,156 | 48.6\% | - | 1,221 | 51.3\% | - | 3 | 0.1\% | - | 2,380 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 7 | 58.3\% | 0.6\% | 5 | 41.7\% | 0.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12 | 0.5\% |

European

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 1,125 | 42.8\% | - | 1,470 | 56.0\% | - | 32 | 1.2\% |  | 2,627 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 20 | 69.0\% | 1.8\% | 9 | 31.0\% | 0.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 | 1.1\% |

Trend

## Gender

| Competition | Vacancies | Applications |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Recommended for Appointment |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male <br> Number \% of total |  | Female <br> Number \% of total |  | Non-respondent <br> Number \% of total |  |  | Number | Male <br> \% of total | Fem <br> Number | nale \% of total | Non-res <br> Number | spondent \% of total |  |
| 2012 | 649 | 11,158 | 51.8\% | 10,177 | 47.2\% | 207 | 1.0\% | 21,542 | 314 | 48.0\% | 334 | 51.1\% | 6 | 0.9\% | 654 |
| 2011 | 354 | 9,612 | 52.4\% | 8,622 | 47.0\% | 127 | 0.7\% | 18,361 | 193 | 49.1\% | 197 | 50.1\% | 3 | 0.8\% | 393 |
| 2010 | 477 | 11,586 | 53.2\% | 10,020 | 46.0\% | 155 | 0.7\% | 21,761 | 245 | 52.7\% | 215 | 46.2\% | 5 | 1.1\% | 465 |
| 2009 | 585 | 8,333 | 55.9\% | 6,489 | 43.5\% | 89 | 0.6\% | 14,911 | 357 | 56.8\% | 269 | 42.8\% | 3 | 0.5\% | 629 |
| 2008 | 552 | 7,981 | 55.1\% | 6,444 | 44.5\% | 69 | 0.5\% | 14,494 | 292 | 51.2\% | 275 | 48.2\% | 3 | 0.5\% | 570 |
| 2007 | 427 | 7,343 | 53.9\% | 6,229 | 45.7\% | 47 | 0.3\% | 13,619 | 208 | 54.7\% | 171 | 45.0\% | 1 | 0.3\% | 380 |
| 2006 | 469 | 7,637 | 54.8\% | 6,246 | 44.8\% | 62 | 0.4\% | 13,945 | 233 | 48.9\% | 240 | 50.4\% | 3 | 0.6\% | 476 |
| 2005 | 497 | 7,376 | 56.9\% | 5,508 | 42.5\% | 73 | 0.6\% | 12,957 | 280 | 55.6\% | 220 | 43.7\% | 4 | 0.8\% | 504 |
| 2004* | 507 | 5,255 | 61.1\% | 3,343 | 38.9\% | .. | .. | *8,598 | 273 | 58.5\% | 194 | 41.5\% | .. | - .. | 467 |
| 2003 | 546 | 10,676 | 53.3\% | 9,356 | 46.7\% | .. | .. | 20,032 | 256 | 50.2\% | 254 | 49.8\% | .. | .. | 510 |
| 2002 | 509 | 7,181 | 50.1\% | 7,147 | 49.9\% | .. | .. | 14,328 | 197 | 47.9\% | 214 | 52.1\% | .. | - .. | 411 |
| 2001 | 512 | 6,175 | 52.4\% | 5,602 | 47.6\% | .. | .. | 11,777 | 204 | 48.5\% | 217 | 51.5\% | .. | . . | 421 |
| 2000 | 560 | 7,487 | 52.0\% | 6,922 | 48.0\% | .. | .. | 14,409 | 223 | 52.3\% | 203 | 47.7\% | .. | - .. | 426 |
| 1999 | 445 | 5,220 | 53.2\% | 4,594 | 46.8\% | .. | .. | 9,814 | 153 | 50.0\% | 153 | 50.0\% | .. | .. | 306 |
| 1998 | 367 | 4,931 | 54.6\% | 4,106 | 45.4\% | .. | .. | 9,037 | 144 | 61.3\% | 91 | 38.7\% | .. | - .. | 235 |

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.


## Analysis by Ethnicity

| All Fast Stream Schemes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 17,485 | 81.2\% | - | 3,558 | 16.5\% | - | 499 | 2.3\% | - | 21,542 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 557 | 85.2\% | 3.2\% | 82 | 12.5\% | 2.3\% | 15 | 2.3\% | 3.0\% | 654 | 3.0\% |

## INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

## Graduate Fast Stream

|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 10,497 | 80.6\% | - | 2,205 | 16.9\% | - | 327 | 2.5\% | - | 13,029 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 294 | 87.0\% | 2.8\% | 33 | 9.8\% | 1.5\% | 11 | 3.3\% | 3.4\% | 338 | 2.6\% |


| Economists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 496 | 66.8\% | - | 236 | 31.8\% | - | 10 | 1.3\% | - | 742 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 84 | 75.0\% | 16.9\% | 27 | 24.1\% | 11.4\% | 1 | 0.9\% | 10.0\% | 112 | 15.1\% |


| Statisticians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 159 | 74.3\% | - | 50 | 23.4\% | - | 5 | 2.3\% | - | 214 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 18 | 78.3\% | 11.3\% | 5 | 21.7\% | 10.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23 | 10.7\% |


| Social Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Success } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Success } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 190 | 82.6\% | - | 38 | 16.5\% | - | 2 | 0.9\% | - | 230 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 19 | 95.0\% | 10.0\% | 1 | 5.0\% | 2.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20 | 8.7\% |


| Operational Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 257 | 77.2\% | - | 71 | 21.3\% | - | 5 | 1.5\% | - | 333 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 25 | 96.2\% | 9.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 20.0\% | 26 | 7.8\% |

## Technology in Business

|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 582 | 64.0\% | - | 282 | 31.0\% | - | 45 | 5.0\% | - | 909 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 23 | 79.3\% | 4.0\% | 5 | 17.2\% | 1.8\% | 1 | 3.4\% | 2.2\% | 29 | 3.2\% |


| HR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 777 | 72.1\% | - | 291 | 27.0\% | - | 10 | 0.9\% | - | 1,078 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 58 | 89.2\% | 7.5\% | 6 | 9.2\% | 2.1\% | 1 | 1.5\% | 10.0\% | 65 | 6.0\% |

## Northern Ireland

|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 2,335 | 98.1\% | - | 38 | 1.6\% | - | 7 | 0.3\% | - | 2,380 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 11 | 91.7\% | 0.5\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 2.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12 | 0.5\% |

## European

|  | White |  |  | Ethnic Minority |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 2,192 | 83.4\% | - | 347 | 13.2\% | - | 88 | 3.3\% | - | 2,627 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 25 | 86.2\% | 1.1\% | 4 | 13.8\% | 1.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 | 1.1\% |

## Trend

## Ethnic Origin

| Competition Vacancies |  | Applications |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Recommended for Appointment |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | hite \% of total | Ethnic <br> Number | Minority \% of total | Non-res <br> Number | pondent <br> \% of total |  | Number | hite $\%$ of total | Ethnic <br> Number | Minority <br> \% of total | Non-res <br> Number | pondent <br> \% of total |  |
| 2012 | 649 | 17,485 | 81.2\% | 3,558 | 16.5\% | 499 | 2.3\% | 21,542 | 557 | 85.2\% | 82 | 12.5\% | 15 | 2.3\% | 654 |
| 2011 | 354 | 14,768 | 80.4\% | 3,182 | 17.3\% | 411 | 2.2\% | 18,361 | 335 | 85.2\% | 51 | 13.0\% | 7 | 1.8\% | 393 |
| 2010 | 477 | 16,650 | 76.5\% | 4,640 | 21.3\% | 471 | 2.2\% | 21,761 | 397 | 85.4\% | 57 | 12.3\% | 11 | 2.4\% | 465 |
| 2009 | 585 | 11,932 | 80.0\% | 2,724 | 18.3\% | 255 | 1.7\% | 14,911 | 550 | 87.4\% | 67 | 10.7\% | 12 | 1.9\% | 629 |
| 2008 | 552 | 12,092 | 83.4\% | 2,159 | 14.9\% | 243 | 1.7\% | 14,494 | 505 | 88.6\% | 53 | 9.3\% | 12 | 2.1\% | 570 |
| 2007 | 427 | 11,625 | 85.4\% | 1,838 | 13.5\% | 156 | 1.1\% | 13,619 | 339 | 89.2\% | 36 | 9.5\% | 5 | 1.3\% | 380 |
| 2006 | 469 | 11,849 | 85.0\% | 1,912 | 13.7\% | 184 | 1.3\% | 13,945 | 416 | 87.4\% | 53 | 11.1\% | 7 | 1.5\% | 476 |
| 2005 | 497 | 10,857 | 83.8\% | 1,937 | 14.9\% | 163 | 1.3\% | 12,957 | 461 | 91.5\% | 36 | 7.1\% | 7 | 1.4\% | 504 |
| 2004* | 507 | 7,140 | 83.0\% | 1,259 | 14.6\% | 199 | 2.3\% | *8,598 | 428 | 91.6\% | 25 | 5.4\% | 14 | 3.0\% | 467 |
| 2003 | 546 | 15,702 | 78.4\% | 3,275 | 16.3\% | 1,055 | 5.3\% | 20,032 | 455 | 89.2\% | 40 | 7.8\% | 15 | 2.9\% | 510 |
| 2002 | 509 | 11,671 | 81.5\% | 2,432 | 17.0\% | 225 | 1.6\% | 14,328 | 363 | 88.3\% | 40 | 9.7\% | 8 | 2.0\% | 411 |
| 2001 | 512 | 9,683 | 82.2\% | 1,941 | 16.5\% | 153 | 1.3\% | 11,777 | 383 | 90.9\% | 32 | 7.6\% | 6 | 1.4\% | 421 |
| 2000 | 560 | 12,076 | 83.8\% | 2,154 | 15.0\% | 179 | 1.2\% | 14,409 | 392 | 92.0\% | 29 | 6.8\% | 5 | 1.2\% | 426 |
| 1999 | 445 | 8,412 | 85.7\% | 1,296 | 13.2\% | 106 | 1.1\% | 9,814 | 287 | 93.8\% | 18 | 5.9\% | 1 | 0.3\% | 306 |
| 1998 | 367 | 7,884 | 87.2\% | 1,098 | 12.2\% | 55 | 0.6\% | 9,037 | 226 | 96.2\% | 8 | 3.4\% | 1 | 0.4\% | 235 |

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.

Analysis by Disability
All Fast Stream Schemes

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 19,806 | 91.9\% | - | 1,414 | 6.6\% | - | 322 | 1.5\% | - | 21,542 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 556 | 85.0\% | 2.8\% | 88 | 13.5\% | 6.2\% | 10 | 1.5\% | 3.1\% | 654 |

## INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

Graduate Fast Stream

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 11,878 | 91.2\% | - | 958 | 7.4\% |  | 193 | 1.5\% | - | 13,029 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 273 | 80.8\% | 2.3\% | 58 | 17.2\% | 6.1\% | 7 | 2.1\% | 3.6\% | 338 |

Economists

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 690 | 93.0\% | - | 37 | 5.0\% | - | 15 | 2.0\% | - | 742 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 105 | 93.8\% | 15.2\% | 6 | 5.4\% | 16.2\% | 1 | 0.9\% | 6.7\% | 112 |

Statisticians

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 199 | 93.0\% | - | 12 | 5.6\% | - | 3 | 1.4\% | - | 214 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 22 | 95.7\% | 11.1\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 8.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23 |

Social Research

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 201 | 87.4\% | - | 26 | 11.3\% | - | 3 | 1.3\% | - | 230 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 18 | 90.0\% | 9.0\% | 2 | 10.0\% | 7.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20 |

Operational Research

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 307 | 92.2\% | - | 20 | 6.0\% | - | 6 | 1.8\% | - | 333 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 25 | 96.2\% | 8.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 16.7\% | 26 |

Technology in Business

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 807 | 88.8\% | - | 70 | 7.7\% | - | 32 | 3.5\% | - | 909 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 22 | 75.9\% | 2.7\% | 7 | 24.1\% | 10.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 |


|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 981 | 91.0\% | - | 84 | 7.8\% | - | 13 | 1.2\% | - | 1,078 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 54 | 83.1\% | 5.5\% | 10 | 15.4\% | 11.9\% | 1 | 1.5\% | 7.7\% | 65 |

## Northern Ireland

|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 2,263 | 95.1\% | - | 105 | 4.4\% | - | 12 | 0.5\% | - | 2,380 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 10 | 83.3\% | 0.4\% | 2 | 16.7\% | 1.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12 |


| European |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Disabled |  |  | Disabled |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | To |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number |
| Applications | 2,480 | 94.4\% | - | 102 | 3.9\% | - | 45 | 1.7\% | - | 2,627 |
| Recommended for Appointment | 27 | 93.1\% | 1.1\% | 2 | 6.9\% | 2.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29 |

## Trend

## Disability

|  |  | Applications |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Recommended for Appointment |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Competition | Vacancies | Non-Disabled <br> Number \% of total |  | Disabled <br> Number \% of total |  | Non-respondent <br> Number \% of total |  |  | Non-D <br> Number | isabled <br> \% of total | Disa <br> Number | bled <br> \% of total | Non-res <br> Number | pondent <br> \% of total |  |
| 2012 | 649 | 19,806 | 91.9\% | 1,414 | 6.6\% | 322 | 1.5\% | 21,542 | 556 | 85.0\% | 88 | 13.5\% | 10 | 1.5\% | 654 |
| 2011 | 354 | 17,252 | 94.0\% | 918 | 5.0\% | 191 | 1.0\% | 18,361 | 338 | 86.0\% | 52 | 13.2\% | 3 | 0.8\% | 393 |
| 2010 | 477 | 20,402 | 93.8\% | 1,136 | 5.2\% | 223 | 1.0\% | 21,761 | 398 | 85.6\% | 63 | 13.5\% | 4 | 0.9\% | 465 |
| 2009 | 585 | 14,091 | 94.5\% | 697 | 4.7\% | 123 | 0.8\% | 14,911 | 532 | 84.6\% | 92 | 14.6\% | 5 | 0.8\% | 629 |
| 2008 | 552 | 13,633 | 94.1\% | 738 | 5.1\% | 123 | 0.8\% | 14,494 | 490 | 86.0\% | 72 | 12.6\% | 8 | 1.4\% | 570 |
| 2007 | 427 | 13,132 | 96.4\% | 486 | 3.6\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 13,619 | 347 | 91.3\% | 33 | 8.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 380 |
| 2006 | 469 | 13,502 | 96.8\% | 356 | 2.6\% | 87 | 0.6\% | 13,945 | 438 | 92.0\% | 35 | 7.4\% | 3 | 0.6\% | 476 |
| 2005 | 497 | 12,546 | 96.8\% | 323 | 2.5\% | 88 | 0.7\% | 12,957 | 466 | 92.5\% | 34 | 6.7\% | 4 | 0.8\% | 504 |
| 2004* | 507 | 8,324 | 96.8\% | 274 | 3.2\% | .. | .. | *8,598 | 433 | 92.7\% | 34 | 7.3\% | .. | .. | 467 |
| 2003 | 546 | 19,550 | 97.6\% | 482 | 2.4\% | .. | .. | 20,032 | 492 | 96.5\% | 18 | 3.5\% | .. | .. | 510 |
| 2002 | 509 | 14,061 | 98.1\% | 267 | 1.9\% | .. | . | 14,328 | 395 | 96.1\% | 16 | 3.9\% | .. | .. | 411 |
| 2001 | 512 | 11,510 | 97.7\% | 267 | 2.3\% | .. | . | 11,777 | 409 | 97.1\% | 12 | 2.9\% | .. | .. | 421 |
| 2000 | 560 | 14,210 | 98.6\% | 199 | 1.4\% | .. | .. | 14,409 | 418 | 98.1\% | 8 | 1.9\% | .. | .. | 426 |
| 1999 | 445 | 9,627 | 98.1\% | 187 | 1.9\% | .. | . | 9,814 | 299 | 97.7\% | 7 | 2.3\% | .. | .. | 306 |
| 1998 | 367 | 8,875 | 98.2\% | 162 | 1.8\% |  |  | 9,037 | 223 | 94.9\% | 12 | 5.1\% | .. |  | 235 |

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests


## Analysis by Socio-economic Status (SES)

Socio-economic status refers to the status recorded for the applicant's parents.

## All Fast Stream Schemes

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success <br> Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 15,202 | 70.6\% | - | 2,754 | 12.8\% | - | 1,522 | 7.1\% | - | 2,064 | 9.6\% | - | 21,542 | - |
| Recommended for Appointment | 531 | 81.2\% | 3.5\% | 59 | 9.0\% | 2.1\% | 25 | 3.8\% | 1.6\% | 39 | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 654 | 3.0\% |

## INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

| Graduate Fast Stream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 9,538 | 73.2\% | - | 1,546 | 11.9\% | - | 777 | 6.0\% | - | 1,168 | 9.0\% | - | 13,029 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 285 | 84.3\% | 3.0\% | 24 | 7.1\% | 1.6\% | 9 | 2.7\% | 1.2\% | 20 | 5.9\% | 1.7\% | 338 | 2.6\% |

## Economists

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 521 | 70.2\% | - | 107 | 14.4\% | - | 33 | 4.4\% | - | 81 | 10.9\% | - | 742 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 89 | 79.5\% | 17.1\% | 12 | 10.7\% | 11.2\% | 3 | 2.7\% | 9.1\% | 8 | 7.1\% | 9.9\% | 112 | 15.1 |


| Statisticians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success | Number | Success |
| Applications | 140 | 65.4\% | - | 34 | 15.9\% | - | 19 | 8.9\% | - | 21 | 9.8\% | - | 214 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 16 | 69.6\% | 11.4\% | 7 | 30.4\% | 20.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23 |  |

## Social Research

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success <br> Rate |
| Applications | 173 | 75.2\% | - | 33 | 14.3\% | - | 13 | 5.7\% | - | 11 | 4.8\% |  | 230 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 16 | 80.0\% | 9.2\% | 3 | 15.0\% | 9.1\% | 1 | 5.0\% | 7.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20 | 8.7\% |

## Operational Research

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success | Number | Success |
| Applications | 232 | 69.7\% | - | 45 | 13.5\% | - | 30 | 9.0\% | - | 26 | 7.8\% |  | 333 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 20 | 76.9\% | 8.6\% | 3 | 11.5\% | 6.7\% | 2 | 7.7\% | 6.7\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 26 | 7.8\% |

## Technology in Business

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 537 | 59.1\% | - | 139 | 15.3\% | - | 89 | 9.8\% | - | 144 | 15.8\% |  | 909 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 19 | 65.5\% | 3.5\% | 3 | 10.3\% | 2.2\% |  | 13.8\% | 4.5\% | 3 | 10.3\% | 2.1\% | 29 | 3.2\% |
| HR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 665 | 61.7\% | - | 157 | 14.6\% | - | 117 | 10.9\% | - | 139 | 12.9\% |  | 1,078 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 52 | 80.0\% | 7.8\% | 6 | 9.2\% | 3.8\% | 5 | 7.7\% | 4.3\% | 2 | 3.1\% | 1.4\% | 65 | 6.0\% |

## Northern Ireland

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 1,340 | 56.3\% | - | 438 | 18.4\% | - | 343 | 14.4\% | - | 259 | 10.9\% | - | 2,380 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 9 | 75.0\% | 0.7\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2 | 16.7\% | 0.8\% | 12 | 0.5\% |

## European

|  | Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations |  |  | Intermediate occupations |  |  | Routine \& Manual occupations |  |  | Non-respondents |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | \% of total | Success Rate | Number | Success Rate |
| Applications | 2,056 | 78.3\% | - | 255 | 9.7\% | - | 101 | 3.8\% | - | 215 | 8.2\% | - | 2,627 |  |
| Recommended for Appointment | 25 | 86.2\% | 1.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 3.4\% | 1.0\% | 3 | 10.3\% | 1.4\% | 29 | 1.1\% |


[^0]:    * Overseas equivalent to at least a 2:2, or a Master's degree in lieu

