# REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF AN APPEAL TO THE UPPER TRIBUNAL DMA Leeds, Room GS36, Quarry House, LEEDS LS2 7UB

### **APPENDIX 13**

Sender's name:	Sender's full address:	
Section:	Floor no:	
Sender's phone nos:		
Claimant's name:	Claimant's address:	
NINO:		
Benefit involved: (e.g. IB, JSA, IS, RP, DLA, AA)	Subject involved: (e.g. funeral pymts, PCA, overpymt, LTAHAW, care/mobility components)	
Is payment of benefit suspended? (DMG 06570 et seq)		
YES/NO (Delete as appropriate)		
Have you included a copy of the WSoR? (DMG 06563 & CAP Appendix 10))	If not, please say why: (DMG 06501 - 6507)	
YES/NO (Delete as appropriate)		Deleted: Have you included a copy of the RoP? The TS should provide a copy of the
On what date was the WSoR sent to you by the TS?	If you have exceeded the 1 CALENDAR  MONTH TIME LIMIT, please explain the	RoP with the WSoR. ¶ (CAP Appendix 10)¶ ¶ YES/NO (Delete as
Date:	delay in referring this case to DMA?	appropriate)¶ [1]

May 2011 - Amendment 11

Code of Appeals Procedure

# REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF AN APPEAL TO THE UPPER TRIBUNAL DMA Leeds, Room GS36, Quarry House, LEEDS LS2 7UB

Have you included a copy of the DN? (CAP para 6800)	If not, please say why:
YES/NO (delete as appropriate)	
Where applicable, please give an estimate of the amount of benefit involved: (CAP para 6800)	

May 2011 - Amendment 11

Code of Appeals Procedure

## REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF AN APPEAL TO THE UPPER TRIBUNAL DMA Leeds, Room GS36, Quarry House, LEEDS LS2 7UB

#### **Error of Law**

Please explain clearly what you consider is the Error of Law in this case: (For guidance on what constitutes an Error of Law please see below)

### Examples of what constitutes an Error of Law

- the provisions of the act or regulations have been misinterpreted
- benefit has been awarded in circumstances, or for an item, not provided for or specifically excluded by the act or regulations
- the decision is not supported by evidence
- the decision is such that no person acting judicially and properly instructed about the relevant law could have reached it
- · there has been a breach of natural justice
- there are other errors of law, i.e.:
  - taking irrelevant evidence into account or
  - ignoring relevant evidence or
  - giving reasons for decisions which imply faulty reasoning

Note: This list is not exhaustive.

May 2011 - Amendment 11
Code of Appeals Procedure

	Page 1: [1] Deleted	6493	8704	04/08/2011 10:00 AM
TS sh	you included a copy of the RoP? nould provide a copy of the RoP v/SoR. Appendix 10)		If not, please say w	vhy:
YES/I	NO (Delete as appropriate)			