

Setting Levels of Ambition for the NHS Outcomes Framework

Developing our NHS care objectives: A consultation on the draft mandate to the NHS Commissioning Board

Equality analysis



DH INFORMATION READER BOX

Policy	Clinical	Estates
HR / Workforce	Commissioner Development	IM & T
Management	Provider Development	Finance
Planning / Performance	Improvement and Efficiency	Social Care / Partnership Working

Document Purpose	For Information
Gateway Reference	17770
Title	Setting Levels of Ambition for the NHS Outcomes Framework
Author	Department of Health
Publication Date	4 July 2012
Target Audience	For those interested in measuring outcomes
Circulation List	
Description	Developing our NHS care objectives: A consultation on the draft mandate explains that the Government will hold the NHS Commissioning Board to account for delivering improvements in health outcomes. This technical annex outlines the proposed methodology for setting levels of ambition against the NHS Outcomes Framework
Cross Ref	Delivering our NHS care objectives: a consulation on the draft mandate
Superseded Docs	
Action Required	N/A
Timing	
Contact Details	NHS Outcomes Framework team 601 Richmond House 79 Whitehall London SW1A 2NS
For Recipient's Use	

Setting Levels of Ambition for the NHS Outcomes Framework
You may re-use the text of this document (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/
© Crown copyright 2012 First published July 2012 Published to DH website, in electronic PDF format only.
www.dh.gov.uk/publications

Contents

Contents	
1. Equality analysis	4
Background	
Relevance to equality and diversity	5
Progress made in promoting equality and addressing inequalities	5
Engagement with stakeholders	6
2. Updated assessment of disaggregating the indicators	8
Indicator details	8
3. Evidence base	12

1. Equality analysis

Background

- 1.1. The White Paper, Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS set out how the improvement of healthcare outcomes for all will be the primary purpose of the NHS. This means ensuring that the accountabilities running through the system are squarely focussed on the outcomes achieved for patients and not the processes by which they are achieved.
- 1.2. The NHS Outcomes Framework 2011/12, reflects the vision set out in the White Paper. Its purpose is threefold:
 - to provide a national overview of how well the NHS is performing, wherever possible in an international context;
 - to provide an accountability mechanism between the Secretary of State for Health and the proposed NHS Commissioning Board; and
 - to act as a catalyst for driving quality improvement and outcome measurement throughout the NHS by encouraging a change in culture and behaviour, including a stronger focus on tackling health inequalities.
- 1.3. The NHS Outcomes Framework is structured around five domains that the NHS should be aiming to improve. They focus on:
 - **Domain 1:** Preventing people from dying prematurely;
 - **Domain 2**: Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions;
 - **Domain 3**: Helping people to recover from episodes of ill-health or following injury;
 - **Domain 4**: Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care; and
 - **Domain 5**: Treating and caring for people in a safe environment; and protecting them from avoidable harm.
- 1.4. The five domains were derived from the three part definition of quality first set out by Lord Darzi as part of the NHS Next Stage Review. Domains one to three include outcomes that relate to the effectiveness of care, domain four includes outcomes that relate to the quality of patient experience and domain five includes outcomes that relate patient safety.

- 1.5. The Government has since built this definition of quality into the Health and Social Care Act 2012 and this frames the duties placed on the Secretary of State for Health, the NHS Commissioning Board and Clinical Commissioning Groups to continuously improve the quality of care provided to patients. The Act sets out duties for Secretary of State have regard to the need to reduce inequalities covering his NHS and public health functions for the whole population. The NHS Commissioning Board and Clinical Commissioning Groups have regard to the need reduce health inequalities in access to health services and the outcomes achieved for patients.
- 1.6. The outcomes and indicators in the framework were chosen with a view to capturing the majority of treatment activities that the NHS is responsible for delivering. The NHS Outcomes Framework 2012/13 sets out the progress that has been made in developing a more robust set of indicators.

Relevance to equality and diversity

- 1.7. In developing, the first NHS Outcomes Framework one of underpinning principles of the framework was to ensure that it encouraged the promotion of equality and reduce inequalities in outcomes from healthcare.
- 1.8. The framework will also help the NHS Commissioning Board to play its full part in promoting equality in line with the Equality Act 2010, and to fulfil the health inequalities duties in the Health and Social Care Act for the Secretary of State for Health, NHS Commissioning Board and Clinical Commissioning Groups.
- 1.9. Annex A of the first NHS Outcomes Framework contained a breakdown of the indicators that could be disaggregated by the equality strands. It was acknowledged that data collections for some of the equality strands was more complete than for others. For example, there is better coverage for age and gender (questions are asked as standard and patients provide the information) than for religion or belief and sexual orientation.

Progress made in promoting equality and addressing inequalities

- 1.10. Since the publication of the first NHS Outcomes Framework, the Department of Health has been taking forward strands of work to make sure that promoting equality and reducing health inequalities becomes an integral part of the framework.
- 1.11. We continue to work with the NHS Information Centre to further explore the feasibility of disaggregating the indicators by the protected equalities characteristics and by other dimensions of inequality such as subnational breakdowns (regional, Local Authority and Provider), socioeconomic group and deprivation. An updated assessment of the availability of disaggregated data is presented in section 2.

- 1.12. As outlined above, we have started to analyse this data as it becomes available, including evaluating whether the data, when broken down by different dimensions enables meaningful analysis to be carried out.
- 1.13. Following the publication of 30 NHS Outcomes Framework indicators in December 2011, disaggregated data, for more than 20 of these indicators was published by the NHS Information Centre on their Indicator Portal on 27 March and 24 April and more will follow in the next few months as more indicators are published
- 1.14. The disaggregated data included, where possible to do so, breakdowns by equalities characteristics. Use of the sub national level data for each indicator where data are available, is forming an integral part of the analysis for NHS Outcomes Framework Technical Annex, building a baseline of how the NHS is doing in improving outcomes for patients, and how the Department will hold the Board to account for progress. It will also be used to underpin the analysis supporting the Secretary of State and Board to fulfil their duties to have regard to equalities and health inequalities.
- 1.15. We are continuing to explore options for assessing outcomes from the perspective of inequalities. A key part of this work, will be to consider how the mandate that is set for the NHS Commissioning Board might set specific objectives / levels of ambition against the framework which support the health inequalities duties in the Health and Social Care Act 2012. The process of developing the mandate will involve a full public consultation.

Engagement with stakeholders

1.16. Since the publication of NHS Outcomes Framework, the Department of Health has continued to work with interested parties and experts to improve the framework.

Setting Levels of Ambition for the NHS Outcomes Framework

2. Updated assessment of disaggregating the indicators

- 2.1. The information presented here is the latest version of the table published in the NHS Outcomes Framework 2011/12 on the possible disaggregation of the indicators. We will keep this assessment under review as we work with the NHS Information Centre on developing some of the newer indicators.
- 2.2. Data collection remains more complete for some of the strands than others, for example there is better coverage (questions are asked as standard and patients provide the information) for age and gender than for religion and sexual orientation.

Kev

Υ	Available
N	Unavailable
P	Not currently available but possible to construct
TBD	Not known / further work is required to determine if this is possible. In some instances, this depends on further development work with the indicator to determine which data source will be used. This may ultimately determine whether the disaggregated data are available.
N/A	Not applicable to this indicator
*	Starred items (i.e. Y* or P*) indicate that the breakdown should be treated with particular caution. In the case of sub-national breakdowns this is because it will not be appropriate to make comparisons between areas without risk adjustment. In other columns this is because there is concern about the reliability of some of the data or the statistical validity of this breakdown.

Indicator details

			ıb-natio reakdo		E	quality	Strands	(Natio	nal On	ly)	Inequ	ıalities
	International comparisons	Regional	PCT/ LA	Provider	Age	Ethnicity	Religion or belief	Gender	Disability	Sexual orientation	Socio- economic group (NSSEC)	Deprivation (via postcode or area)
1. Preventing people from dying prema	turely											
1a Potential Years of Life Lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare	Р	P*	P*	TBD	Р	N	N	Р	N	N	P*	Р
1b Life expectancy at 75	N	P*	P*	TBD	N/A	N	N	Y	N	N	P*	Р
1.1 Under 75 mortality rate from cardiovascular disease	Υ	Υ*	Y *	TBD	Р	N	N	Y	N	N	P*	Р

	Sub-national breakdown			E	quality	Inequalities						
	International comparisons	Regional	PCT/ LA	Provider	Age	Ethnicity	Religion or belief	Gender	Disability	Sexual orientation	Socio- economic group (NSSEC)	Deprivation (via postcode or area)
1.2 Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease	Y*	Υ*	Y*	TBD	Р	N	N	Y	N	N	P*	Р
1.3 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease	Y	Y*	Y*	TBD	Р	N	N	Y	N	N	P*	Р
1.4.i Five-year survival for colorectal cancer	Y	P*	P*	TBD	Р	N	N	P	N	N	TBD	P
1.4.ii Five-year survival for breast cancer	Y	Υ*	Y*	TBD	Р	N	N	Y	N	N	TBD	P
1.4.iii Five-year survival for lung cancer	Y*	Υ*	Y*	TBD	Р	N	N	Υ	N	N	TBD	Р
1.5 Under 75 mortality rate in people with serious mental illness (to be developed)		Possil	ble disa	ggregat	ions to	be asse	ssed on	ice the	indicato	r is deve	eloped	
1.6.i Infant mortality	Y *	Y*	Y *	TBD	N/A	N	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	Р
1.6.ii Perinatal mortality (including stillbirths)	N	Y*	Y *	TBD	N/A	N	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	P
1.7 Reduced premature mortality in people with learning disabilities (to be developed)		Possil	ble disa	ggregat	ions to	be asse	ssed on	ice the	indicato	r is deve	eloped	
2. Improving quality of life for people w	ith long	-term o	conditio	ons								
2 Health related quality of life for people with long-term conditions	N	Y *	Y *	Y *	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD
2.1 Proportion of people feeling supported to manage their condition	N	Υ*	Y*	Y *	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD
2.2 Employment of people with long-term conditions.	N	P*	P*	N	Р	TBD	TBD	P	TBD	TBD	P	P
2.3.i Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive	TBD	Y *	Y *	Y *	Υ	Y *	N	Υ	TBD	N	TBD	Υ
2.3.ii Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s	TBD	Υ*	Υ*	Y *	Υ	Y *	N	Y	TBD	N	TBD	P
2.4 Health-related quality of life for carers	N	Y *	Y *	Y *	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD
2.5 Employment of people with mental illness	N	P *	P*	N	P	TBD	TBD	P	TBD	TBD	P	P

		1	ıb-natio reakdo		E	quality	Strands	s (Natio	onal On	ly)	Inequ	ıalities
	International comparisons	Regional	PCT/ LA	Provider	Age	Ethnicity	Religion or belief	Gender	Disability	Sexual orientation	Socio- economic group (NSSEC)	Deprivation (via postcode or area)
2.6 Improved quality of life for those with dementia – indicator to be developed		Possil	ble disa	ggregat	ions to I	be asse	ssed on	ice the i	ndicato	r is deve	eloped	
3. Helping people to recover from episo	odes of i	II healt	th or fo	llowing	injury							
3a Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission	TBD	Y*	Y*	Υ*	Y	Υ*	N	Y	TBD	N	TBD	Y
3b Emergency readmissions within 28 days of discharge from hospital	N	Y *	Y*	Y*	Υ	Y*	N	Y	TBD	N	TBD	Υ
3.1 Patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) for elective procedures	N	Y *	Y*	Y *	Υ	Υ	N	Y	N	N	N	Y
3.2 Emergency admissions for children with lower respiratory tract infections	TBD	Y *	Y *	Y *	Y	Y *	N	Y	TBD	N	TBD	Y
3.3 An indicator on recovery from injuries and trauma (to be developed)	Possible disaggregations to be assessed once the indicator is developed											
3.4 Proportion of stroke patients reporting an improvement in activity/lifestyle on the Modified Rankin Scale at 6 months (to be developed)		Possil	ble disa	ggregat	ions to l	be asse	ssed on	ice the i	ndicato	r is deve	eloped	
3.5.i The proportion of patients with fragility fractures recovering to their previous levels of mobility / walking ability at 30 days	N	Y*	Y *	Y *	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y
3.5.ii The proportion of patients with fragility fractures recovering to their previous levels of mobility / walking ability at 120 days	N	Y *	Y *	Y *	Y	N	N	Υ	N	N	N	Y
3.6 Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into rehabilitation services	N	Y *	Y *	N	N/A	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y
4. Ensuring that people have a positive	experie	nce of	care									
4a Patient experience of primary care i GP services ii Out of hours GP services iii NHS dental services	N	Y*	Y*	Y*	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD
4b Patient experience of hospital care	N	P*	P*	P*	Υ	Y *	N	Y	N	N	N	P*

			ıb-natio reakdo		Е	quality	Strands	s (Natio	onal On	ly)	Inequalities		
	International comparisons	Regional	PCT/ LA	Provider	Age	Ethnicity	Religion or belief	Gender	Disability	Sexual orientation	Socio- economic group (NSSEC)	Deprivation (via postcode or area)	
4.1 Patient experience of outpatient services	N	P *	P*	P *	Y	Y*	N	Y	N	N	N	P *	
4.2 Responsiveness to in-patients' personal needs	N	P*	P*	P*	Υ	Y *	N	Υ	N	N	N	P*	
4.3 Patient experience of A&E services	N	P*	P*	P*	Υ	Y *	N	Υ	N	N	N	P*	
4.4i Access to GP Services	N	Y *	Υ*	Υ*	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD	
4.4ii Access to dental services	N	Y*	Y*	Y *	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	N	TBD	
4.5 Women's experience of maternity services	N	P*	P*	P*	Υ	Y *	N	Υ	N	N	N	P*	
4.6 Survey of bereaved carers	N	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
4.7 Patient experience of community mental health services	N	Р	Р	TBD	Υ	Y*	N	Y	N	N	N	P*	
4.8 An indicator on children and young people's experience of healthcare (to be developed)		Possil	ble disa	ggregat	ions to	be asse	essed on	ice the i	indicato	r is deve	eloped		
5. Treating and caring for people in a sa	afe envii	onme	nt and _l	orotecti	ing the	m from	avoidal	ble har	m				
5a Patient safety incident reporting	Р	Р	Р	Y*	Y	N	N	P	N	N	N	N	
5b Severity of harm	Р	P	Р	Υ*	Υ	N	N	P	N	N	N	N	
5.1 Incidence of hospital-related venous thromboembolism (VTE)	TBD	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y*	N	Y	TBD	N	TBD	Y	
5.2.i Incidence of healthcare associated MRSA infection	Р	Р	Р	Y *	Y	P	N	Y	Р	N	N	TBD	

3. Evidence base

3.1. This section provides a summary of the disaggregated data published on 27 March 2012. In time, such data releases will be part of a rolling schedule of updates on the NHS IC Indicator Portal.

Title	Time period	Change at national level	Disaggregations p	oublished on 27 March
			Time period	Disaggregation levels
1b Life expectancy at 75	1990- 2010	Improved	1991-93 to 2008-10	Region, Local Authority
1.1 Under 75 mortality rate from cardiovascular disease	2001 to 2009	Improved	2001 to 2009	Region, SHA, PCT, Local Authority, Age, Sex
1.2 Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease	2001 to 2009	Improved	2001 to 2009	Region, SHA, PCT, Local Authority, Age, Sex
1.3 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease	2001 to 2009	Deteriorated	2001 to 2009	Region, SHA, PCT, Local Authority, Age, Sex
1.4.i and ii) One and Five- year survival from colorectal cancer	1998- 2002 to 2005- 2009	Improved	1998-2002 to 2005- 2009	Age
1.4.iii and iv) One and Five- year survival from breast cancer	1994- 1996 to 2005- 2009	Improved	iii) 1998-2002 to 2005- 2009 iv) 2000-2004 to 2005- 2009	Age
1.4.v and vi)One and Five-year survival from lung cancer	1994- 1996 to 2005- 2009	Improved	2000-2004 to 2005- 2009	Age
1.6.i Infant mortality	1999 to 2009	Improved	1999 to 2009	Region
1.6.ii Neonatal mortality and	1999 to 2009	Improved	1999 to 2009	Region

stillbirths				
2.2 Employment of people with long-term conditions	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Improved	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Ethnicity, Socio-economic group, Religion
2.3.i Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (adults)	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Improved	Q1 2003/04 to Q4 2010/11	Deprivation, Ethnicity, Local Authority, SHA, PCT, Diagnosis, Age
2.3.ii Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s	Q1 2003/4 to Q4 2010/11	No change	Q1 2003/4 to Q4 2010/11	Deprivation, Ethnicity, Local Authority, SHA, PCT, Diagnosis, Age
2.5 Employment of people with mental illness	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Improved	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Ethnicity, Socio-economic group, Religion
3a Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission	Q3 2006 to Q2 2011	Deteriorated	Q1 2003/4 to Q4 2010/11	Deprivation, Ethnicity, Local Authority, SHA, PCT, Diagnosis, Age
3.1 Patient reported outcomes measures for elective procedures	2009/10	No trend yet	2009/10	Sex, Age, Disability, Ethnicity, Deprivation, PCT, SHA, Provider,
3.2 Emergency admissions for children with lower respiratory tract infections	Q1 2003/4 to Q4 2010/11	Deterioration	Q1 2003/4 to Q4 2010/11	Deprivation, Ethnicity, Local Authority, SHA, PCT, Diagnosis, Age