

What is your organisation? - Organisation	<b>Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS FT</b>
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - If not, can you suggest an alternative method to base this on?	The denominator should be limited to those organisations providing/commissioning the service relating to the specific tariff being questioned.
If yes, do you agree that this should include any such providers who are exempt from the requirement to hold a licence? - Q2	All providers of the specific HRG, including unlicensed providers should be included.
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Q3b	This should be limited to the income relating to the specific tariff. An alternative method could be the volume of activity delivered.
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - Y/N	Yes
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - If yes, please give details and reasons.	As per 2 above.
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	25%, this represents a significant proportion of commissioners of the specific HRG.
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	25%, this represents a significant proportion of providers of the specific HRG.
Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - If not, how should their share of supply be calculated?	See Q1
Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - Y/N	Yes

Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - If not, on what basis should they be weighted?	Relevant to the tariff in dispute.
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - If not, what percentage should be set, and why?	As above 25%
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Y/N	Yes
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Q10	Unless focussed on the specific tariff/HRG smaller services could not reach any of the thresholds proposed.