#### 7. Monetary Base IV Part C

Comments on Green Paper

Monetary Targets Conceptual Antecedents document

Pages 51 - 66

52.

....

real and financial markets or from clearer evidence on characteristics of does not suggest that these derive from perceptions of relative stability of the responsibilities of the monetary authorities our preceeding discussion and of greater awareness of longer run aspects of policy in conceptions of already set and declared. Rather experience since the introduction of publicly information furthers the attainment of the monetary appregate objectives information rendered continuously by the environment except incofar as such particular path of the monetary aggregates thus derived in disregard of the the attainment of ultimate objectives and then proceed to pursue the deemed (on the information available at that point in time) consistent with pollcymakers who periodically decide on the growth of monetary aggregates the behaviour of the authorities been such as to fit the description of: behaviour. Furthermore it will appear that in none of the three countries has nature of the policymakers knowledge of his environment and correspondingly. . hence perceptions of policy that (provided we recognise the impressionistic infer the implications of current developments for eltimate goal variables and announced monetary targets suggests a setting in which policy makers seek to into the one that does) can be said to be consistent with the spirit of information the grey area in which the outcome that does not call for policy revision melts policy than sticking to any predetermined posture complexities of actual conjuncture /is deemed to/ allow a better sulection of do not detract from a position where '... Judicious assessment of feedback strategies and intermediate target/policy indicator settings, but as such While parmitting the inference of greater attention on monetary aggregates.

Why then monetary targets ? What is the nature of the pledge ?

## Policy Anticipations and the Choice of Policy

lack of knowledge of the structure, but not, explicitly at least, 265 and of the 'publicly announced' aspect of recent policies. The policy problem agents perception of policy and on the way policy may impinge on the scructure described was seen to depend on information lags of random disturbances, on Yet publicly ennounced targets, energing as they do in a period of inflation uncertainty owe such of their existence to such consideration. Nothing has so far been said of the public's perception of the environment on private

> how the policy maker will respond to shocks, is a relatively old position. that, though many often forget, few would deny. What is a matter of current perception of the aims of policy, of the policy regime, of anticipations of authorities be followed by (or more generally the role that should be assigned to) the dispute, is the implications of such behaviour for the choice of strategy to That policy outcomes would not in general be independent of the public's

environment. The second, as in our discussion of policy under uncertainty, which private agents hold a particular (stochastic) perception of distinguish between two circumstances. The first relates to a situation in exploit whatever information they have, 268 affords so such unique (and exhaustive) prior belief Starting from the '...presumably unobjectionable idea that people fully it is pertinent I believe to

stress the durability of a particular prior belief, wavisage a situation in predictions of the relevant economic theory, 269 they are informed predictions of future events, are essentially the same as the the structure entitles us to reason, following J. Kuth 'that expectations, since structure and to be endowed with the same information as the public about the authorities are assumed to hold a particular prior belief about the course incorporates the response functions of the authorities. And when conditional on the process generating these outcomes. 270 effect the probability distribution of real output. 271and as all other phenomena, rationally processed in its implications - cannot real magnitudes, it follows that monetary policy - being perfectly predictable any action by them is fully anticipated. If furthermore we assume that to any given eventuality can be accurately inferred by the public so that the path of the exogenous variables in the system, their precise response which people's expectations are identical to the corresponding expectations output does not depend on the parameters of the feedback rule for the maney 'the relevant economic theory' reveals that monetary policy cannot effect (peg) goal function/ incorporates a target value for the price level. 273 In the former case the assumption of a particular prior perception about 'a definite rule emerges only /when we assume that the authority's and hence, provided that we The 'distribution of The latter of

•

.'surprise', or (if they embody no such elements) (b) when over the 'relevant actions matter only to the extent that (a) they embody non-systematic elements, terms of output (and employment) therefore, the monetary authority's money is not neutral. Furthermore as ' ... there is no way that the

.. ../That policy

predictable as possible, 276 variance [as distinct from systematic feedback] of monny reduces the be had from discretionary (surprise) policy. Conversely since in increased order to offset other disturbances in the system, 275 no output gains are so constitutes the surprise In response to the state of economic affairs in supplies and demands ... there is an efficiency argument for making money as for individuals to respond 'appropriately' to changing patterns of relative information content of observed prices and therefore makes it more difficult since there is no way  $/\overline{1}t/\overline{2}$  can regularly choose  $/\overline{1}$ the random term that monetary authority can base a countercyclical monetary policy on... \_surprisc\_/,

' by Sargent and Wallace it seems hard to comprehend why the monetary " " There is many 'a slip twint cup' and lip'. For it is certainly not enough to value varies from period to gariod. 1278 Indeed in a world of the kind defined have reached the conclusions of the previous paragraph, nor the result that X percent growth rule...can be defended as the best the authority can do. 277 a steady rate of growth of the manay stock are smaller than those of any other appendage namely: that the cost of systematic feedback rules designed to ensure variance of money, we may be less disposed to readily accept the yet unproven And though one can readily accept the argument against an increased authority's goal function incorporates among its arguments the price level authority pegs the rate of interest period by period regardless of how its ...a unique equilibrium price level does not exist [when] the monetary conclusion that has been appended to it, namely that '...foliwing Precisan's that we should be indifferent of the feedback rules between which the models constructed strictly suggest It should be noted that this analysis does not lead directly to the

5 to choose between feedback rules. Objections to neutrality - ranging from maker with such a perspective, the fact that the acquisition and processing digestible seems the assumption that people's subjective expectations are prices, with wages as a prime example 281 - spring easily to mind. No less effects of expected inflation on the demand for money 279 and other assets. process generating those outcomes. For even if we are willing (as our identical to the corresponding objective expectations conditional on the Franco Modifiant's contrasts between inside and outside monny, to sticky the conclusion that with regard to output and employment there is nething information is not costless suggests that we should be rejuctant to accept At any rate one may (and many have) challenge(d) the assumptions leading above suggests authors to be) to endow the policy

> they know the true model of the economy, 282 the contention that these agents too '...form their expectations 'as if'

> > 54.

he obtains information more rapidly than the public about aggregate prices if '... the policy maker has superior information about the economy - for example provides us with a role for monetary policy. As R. Barro and S. Fischer note, contracts have been concluded by  $\underline{\text{part}}$  of the labour force it can influence the variation in output.  $285\,$ monetary policy can effect the behaviour of output if there are long term Even in the absence of such informational asymetries, a systematic '...active though they were aware of the extra information possessed by the policy maker. 283 information [since] policy can be designed that induces individuals to act as economy toward the level of output that would be chosen under full current and output [-then] ... countercyclical monetary policy ::: can work to move the Either of these departures from the macro-rational expectations assumptions since by responding to shocks that occur after wages

and in Hayek reflects '...the existence of a generally used medium of exchange 289 in money terms - a 'corrolary' of which in Keynes is liquidity preference, 200 non-neutralities have to be recognised. The existence of contracts denominated agents innovational responses to the operation of information and adjustment an 'uneven distribution of information' with money resulting 'from economic information. Whether in our conception of the monetary economy we emphasize economy including the policy of the authorities. contingent will not in general be independent of the characteristics of the information sets upon which contracts (or more generally decisions) are made always be able to 'exploit' some non-neutralities. It also admits that the information will result in centracts that are conditional on particular information - signifies at least the fact that the costs of collecting and processing the counterpart of costly to acquire and process or indeed incomplete From a more embracing standpoint however we may note that non-neutralities Such reasoning does imply that the policy maker will in principle or we stress '...the indissoluble link between money and unknowledge, 287

of all possible-elternatives. We cannot suppose the economic agent '...to have price movements implies a change in the opportunity set of the social group) assigned to it a degree of standing as a hypothesis in answer to his question: looked at every value in the logically possible range of the variable and decisions of private agents cannot be said to rest on exhaustive ennumerations has as its counterpart a perception of the environment in which the other hand this feature (which even with regard to anticipated

-

many framework of the state of

5

The Miles of the second of the second

policy must acknowledge that economic agents base their decisions on 'fregmentary evidence'. 291 Correspondingly, our mode of thought and our conception of the role of or mind could point to or which the course of events will be able to provide, 290 scarcely be supposed to miss out or neglect any contingency which another What will the measurement prove to be ? ... a sweep of thought that can

model selection', 254 Whether we still choose to describe private agents decisions in terms of probabilities which are 'subjective', whether we append to them such as run counter to judgement duly passed upon their claims to conform to world is vrong in some more or less essential and radici respect., 295 felactously conceived [that] make it seem that the provailing picture of the the capacities of the world or even such as have never entered the economi. Bayesian perceptions, degrees of 'confidence', 293 or whether we choose opt for a mode of thought that seeks to recognise 'uncertainty over situations that suggest "... that the nature of things has been incomplete information admits that cituations can arise

challenge prior beitefg policy has a role to play. It is wather a This obviously implies that other things equal policy itself should not be perhaps, at times when efremstances command that they are orderly revised. economic agents perceptions of the nature of things are preserved - and, economic agents will interpret policy actions differently publicly announced receive, to use Myrdal's phrase, 296 '...full publicity and common knowledge' has not been accounted for in other economic agents prior perceptions neither to think that the nature of things is falaciously conseived. If the event the source of the unexpected. But waless we assume that nothing else can must presume that, even with regard to prospects of common interest, counterpart of an economic organisation that delegates some of the responsibilities adjusting schedules and designing for flexibility, 297 this being after all a public of inferring what policy may be; it also implies that fewer resources and that the policy maker should explain such divergencies as may in the has the policy response required to meet it. delicate role since unless the intent is clear it may itself cause people targets can contribute to consistency of plens. ... have to be devoted by private agents to contingency planning to When so we may accord to policy the role of seeking to ensure that time arise. Of course to do so reduces, we may say, the costs to the Again since in the absence of a unique prior belief Cae senses that policy should Well Property of the

../It will be noticed

transition, when that is economic agents seek to ascertain what has been action to ensure the particular picture of the world previously envisaged. or that the pursuit of such objectives causes changes that challenge in previously perceived to fall within their province are beyond their control previously ascribed to them is misconceived in that either objectives they are and do play this role. But what if circumstances prove that the role that policy itself is not the source of the unexpected. it seems unlikely that the assignment of the policy maker will be to ensure due to errors in policy and what may otherwise be the nature of things, the capabilities of the body in question, a But except perhaps in the other ways prior beliefs ? Then private agents cannot rely on the authorities is the belief that the authorities have a positive role to play and that The result may be a different assignment, more consistent you might say with It will be noticed however that inherent in this process of reasoning

## The Nature of Public Announcements

to which governments can be expected to deliver some of the objectives desirable level of employment) previously thought to fall within their of the 1970s has, in general, induced considerable reflection on the extent subject to qualification by the specialist, cannot be treated as of no perceived by the public as a monetary phenomenon - a belief which however by them to pressures for monetary accomodation , province. Furthermore inflation (now more prominent among ultimate objectives) the implications of changes in monetary aggregates, or of rational responses whether in fact the outcome of the authorities earlier misconceptions regarding (and in particular 'full employment' in the sense of a 'sociopolitically' consequence by the policy maker. As mentioned earlier the experience of the late 1960s and the first half , has been increasingly

described as '...the laymans apparently intuitive perception of the broad thant to relationship between monetary growth and inflation - clearer perhaps to him (but in the process also reinforces) what the Governor of the Bank of England against which policy undertakes to provide in a language that accords with objective functions, statements of the nature of the unexpected From this standpoint publicly announced monetary targets denote changes the professional who knows all the necessary qualifications.

'Monetary targeting is first of all a useful tool of communication to the public. The relationship between money and inflation in its broader terms is readily understood. So long as the monetary

nuthority's expression of intent has a degree of credibility (and maintainance of credibility will be crucially important over time) the announcement of the so-called growth rangus at aminimum sets a general framework for repectations of inflation, defining at least the upside potential. There is no doubt that control of inflation...depends importantly on containing price expectations. Any public setton that can dampen fears of new inflationary outbursts improves our chances of satisfactory economic results including prospects of reducing inflation 1000

And the second for the second of the second

The second of the same of the state of the

## Even more revealing perhaps is the Bundesbank's view that

the primary sim...of specifying a monetary growth target is to give those involved in economic accivity...especially those who have to make far reaching decisions on costs and prices...an indication of the monetary course they can empect in the coming year' 301

# a position echoed in the Bank of England's Bulletin which notes

'In publicly specifying these monetary objectives the intention is to give those responsible for economic decisions throughout the economy for including decisions effecting costs and prices a clear indication of the course the suthoritier intend to pursue in the years sheed 302

### In short, one may say,

'Publicly announced monetary targets... Treflect/ an effort to adopt in the world of today a sensible and comprehensible gymbol of policy' 203

interpretable into statements regarding interest rates and loan availability subject to longer (and variable) lags in response to variations in monetary what they can expect 'in the coming year', as both inflation and demand are on costs and prices' wometary targets contribute little or no indication of Correspondingly from the standpoint of those making 'for reaching decisions pertinent to most contracts 'the upside potential' for inflation. policymakers recognise, monetary targets in no way define over the horizon pledge. In the first instance, and as our previous discussion reveals number of interpretations regarding the nature and significance of the discussion suggesting policy responses that are conditional (or at least conetary tergeting aims at consistency of plans, and granted our earlier a rather-wide set of other informational intputs. Conversely if only when taken in conjunction with (and hence seen as conditional upon) growth, while announced desired growth rates of the money supply are refer) to ultimate goals, the question arises as to whether the announcements Such statements however raise a number of questions and permit a

xeveal commitments to monetary targets or descriptions of conditions during the coming year that combine intentions to employ policy instruments in such a fashion as to achieve the verification of predictions regarding specific variables deemed to enter <u>directly</u> in decisions of economic agents.

earlier than in the actual to 'targeted' central bank money stock. announced targets reveals for the period 1975 to 1978 (inclusive) a nuch Furthermore an appraisal of performance since the introduction of publicly reveals that policy announcements contain explicit inflation forecasts. implies that the announcement of monetary targets is irrelevant to the of less than one third of those in the latter. While this i in no way smaller mean error in the actual rate of inflation to that forecast a year announcements of the rate of growth of CBM. This is the more so when we misplaced, it does suggest that other things equal anticipations of inflation on announcements of single valued targets rather than ranges, was in any way autainment of the forecast rate of inflation, or that the emphasis This is true in all four years and on average describes errors in the former public emmouncements, aiming to ensure convergence of expectations of inflation based on inflation forecasts are more accurate than anticipations based on pursurt of a monetary target beyond the stage at which the authorities believe also interpretted to denote a pledge regarding inflation rather than the not only provide direct information regarding expected inflation but are Correspondingly one cannot on this evidence dismiss the possibility that acknowledge the errors in forecasting real GNP over the period confidence in the intent of policy regarding prices that violation of the announced target for CBK may threaten the public's Focusing on Germany for example our discussion in section II above

On such reasoning, and in conjunction with our earlier discussion of actual policy, one may suggest the following description of German policy. At the point of decision of target rate of growth the authorities resolve that a particular rate of inflation is implicit in contracts concluded for the coming year. Publicly announced targets combined with explicit inflation forecasts - that unavoidable rate of price changes continuously stressed in their calculation - aim to provide information for such sectors as have not yet entered in fixed contracts as to what the aggregate price level expectations embodied in such contracts ought to be, so that '..flexible prices can be made to adapt to the level of the sticky ones; 30's prices can be made to adapt to the level of the sticky ones; 30's prices can seem of subject to all the qualifications raised in our earlier policy then aims (subject to all the qualifications raised in our earlier policy then aims policy effects) to ensure validation of such price

expectations, and if successful in so doing would, other things equal (if that is output and velocity forecasts prove to have been correct), will also result in the attainment of the 'targeted' rate of growth of CEM. The attainment of the 'target' comprises a manifestation that expectations are verified and not the absence of discretionary policy aiming at ultimate goal variables. The attainment of the 'target' constitutes an objective in itself only to the extent that continuous divergencies from forecast may (notwithstanding explanations of the reasons for the divergence) undermine the credibility of the simple (quantity theory) model that the Bundesbank employs in public announcements to 'reinforce' people's confidence in the inflation forecasts presented by it.

If so the Central Bank Money stock serves neither as a policy indicator nor as a target, in the sense that these have been defined in our carlier discussion. The unitary long-run elasticity to nominal GNP it exhibits is of consequence not in the centext 'control-theory-policy-hydraulicu' but in answer to the question of how best to convince the public that such inflation objectives as the authorities regard feasible are indeed feasible. The search for an aggregate whose relationship to nominal GNP is not subject to interest induced chifts.— however irrational the composition of that aggregate may be — and the choice of single value targets are part and parcel of the same confidence 'experiment'. So are (perhaps — for one may not preclude the possibility that policymakers fall victims of their own pleys) the claims for more accurate reflection of 'moneyness' described in the weights of CSM.

Insofar as 'the overriding aim is...to co-ordinate the decisions of economic groups more effectively' the publicity stand of '...monetary growth [Targete] acting as a signpost...'307 has not in Cermany been unsuccessful. For as R. Schlesinger notes '...experience...permits [The inference] that the formulation of this target helped to bring about a 'social consersus' among all groups, even though other factors may have contributed to this consensus. But what of the other two countries?

In the United Kingdom even more than in Germany one objectives a change in the policy makers goals and objective functions. Furthermore, again, if we treat target ranges as denoting a 'mean of the mange' objective, we find that over the period of publicly announced monetary targets the error in the actual to forecaut rate of inflation has each year been less than the equivalent for LM, and over the three years of targets a tratio of the average of the former (as derived from the OZCD forecasts) to that of the latter of

effect a revision of aspirations regarding real income and of earlier these have not sought to present a consensus view between such rates of to 1978 may be said to articulate an inflation commitment, one should note that do not; and insofar as attempts through incomes policies over the period 1976 of monetary targets combines inflation forecasts, monetary target anneuncements less than one-third. On the other hand while the authorities derivation in the UK monetary targets combine with other economic policies in an aim to of plans, to clarifying the role of policy. But they reflect not merely relationship between money and prices) to infer from publicly announced targets inflation as the 'layman' is encouraged (in Bank of England statements of the perceptions and trends regarding income distribution, to a greater extent inconsistencies in overall policy design, as they also denote the fact that hardly conductive to convergence of expectations of inflation, to consistency and the wage agreements he is asked to engage in. Such discrepancies are than may be said to be true of Germany and certainly, since 1977, with lesser success than in that and some other similar economics.

As regards expectations and consistency of plans however, one must also note the difference between the German single valued targets and the (wide) ranges opted for in the Juited Kingdom; ranges that - in the absence of the qualifications regarding the relationship between money and prices known to the specialist, and, depending on the interpretation one places on the aims of policy even for the specialist - permit a wide dispersion of forecasts of the magnitudes that impinge directly on the decisions of economic units. In this connection one perceives also differences between the United Kingdom and Germany regarding how in the light of past experience and institutional characteristics one may best nurse the required confidence in the intent of policy pursued.

Such contrasts also become any attempt to generalise German perceptions regarding monetary targets to United States targeting and experience. If American targets are to be interpretted to comprise a devise whereby southal consensus' regarding inflation can be achieved, one cannot but marvel at the conclusions to be drawn regarding economic man in different countries when reflecting on

- The American's find it necessary (desirable) to announce (stress) four gunterly revisable target ranges.
- The German's have been particularly anxious to adhere to a single valued your target.

62.

AI

One may of course rationalise the contrast by noting the possibility

States and Germany seem ameanable to treatment as creatures of the same species

things and thus rely on different models and require different inputs of the species. Interestingly this also appears to reflect on attitudes of only for purposes that permit a very wide (and otherwise vacuous) definition of a number of aggregates. But in either event monetary targets in the United on its actions from ennouncements that convey in ormation of the relative paths variables (or regarding the time path of variables) that impinge directly economic unit may draw more accurate inferences regarding a wider range of regarding monetary aggregates. Similarly it may be argued that any given same variables (e.g. prices) hold different perceptions of the nature of that, in the eyes of American policy makers, economic units focusing on the that deviations of actual growth rates from their menges (proficularly participants in labour markets, whereas the converse may be said to apply to financial markets in the US and the UK and relatively less so for Monetary targets seem to comprise a vital statisti. for participants in British and American warket participants compared For both the US and the UK one may note the thunder and smoke to those in Germany.

But on another plain the US also contrasts with the UK at inflatit, one finds, greater stability of financial markets has ensued from monetary cargets. upper bounds) have often (created; and correspondingly one hay wonder whether two (or in indeed all other major industrial) countries. For the US tie has carried there a much lower priority, among ultimate goals than in the other .

> concluded that: Almost a century and three-quarters ago, the Bullion Committee (of 1810)

not enable any man or set of men to adjust and keep always adjusted the right proportion of circulating medium in a country to the wants of trade, 1309 of the Country combined with the profound science in all the Principles of Money and Circulation would The most detailed knowledge of the actual trade

the wisdom of a proposed amendment, to the Federal Reserve Act, the effect of which would have been to lay upon the Federal Reserve the duty of using all by some automatic method, by some magic mathematical formula. 311 the powers at its disposal to 'promote a stable price level for commodities Committee of the United States Congress on Stabilization appointed to examine Strong's position is summed up in the following excerpt: the Federal Reserve System has the power to raise or lower the price-level In 1927 Governor Strong and other witnesses testifying before the expressed considerable doubts towards the idea that

as is afforded by the Federal Reserve System, within that limitation can the operations of the exert an influence upon the price-level, and only upon the cost of that credit. volume of credit employed by the country and is capable of exerting an influence upon the 'I believe that administration of credit such controlled within the strict limitations that I have described, '312 when there are fluctuations of prices which cannot be price-level than the limited control of credit is capable which appears to represent to the people that the expressions is contained in the Federal Reserve Act such as the mood of the people. Therefore, if any beyond the influence of the volume and cost of credit, price-level because there are many other things far complete or anything like complete regulation of the the volume of credit and its cost will fail to achieve will be times when even the power to somewhat regulate Federal Reserve System influence prices. But there limitation wich the volume and the cost of credit of performing, Federal Reserve System can do more in stabilizing the I am afraid that disappointment will come Within the

with considerable success, on output and employment rather than prices. Of

course there is nothing in the dictor relating to monetary targets that

Yet all in all our discussion suggests

compels a particular goal function.

policy in yeteryears can be drawn from cross-country comparisons during the that few generalisations other than of a kind that may have been drawn for

(first phase ? of the) are of monetary targets.

errors in inflation forecasts have been larger than the corresponding errors

For the US the focus with regard to ultiliate objectives has been,

sympathy with some of the doubts than he had had a few years before". But he reasoned: Commenting on this position in 1930, Keynes found himself to thave more

...

if think that in one fundamental respect they have mistaken the character of the problem and underestimated the possibilities of control... If the indblity to sell current output at the current cost of production is general... this is an indication of production is general... this is an indication of a maladjustment on the side of demand rather than of a maladjustment on the side of influencing demand is by increasing investment relatively to saving... To refrain from lowering the rate of interest during a slump...could enly have the effect of accentuating the violence of the Credit Cycle;...

According to my own definition 'so and credit conditions' would of course be those in which the market rate of

According to my own definition 'so and credit controls would of course be those in which the market rate of interest was equal to the natural-rate; and both the value and the cost of new investment were equal to the volume of current savings. If we take this as our criterion, many of Governor Strong's perplexition will become much loss formidable. We could, I think, in each case tell, him in general terms what he ought to do to preserve acability.'313

And though in the paragraphs following (as perhaps in the paraenthetical reference to 'in general terms' quoted above), 'certain limitations' were recognized by Keynes on'...whether in practice it does always lie within the power of the banking system to control the rate of investment', as, for example, when 'non-monetary causes of instability...arise so suddenly that it is impossible to counteract them in time /ani hence/...an interval should elapse before stability is restored. The c a of stabilization policy had clearly begun.

The shift in ethos was elequently presented in the 1931 Macmillan Report

a stage when an era of conscious and del management must succeed the era of undir and independence which are the nation's most valuable zeal for interference, deprive them of their initiative for the people of this country, should not, in its liberty by securing better conditions of life importance that the new policy, while bruly promoting never before of a defirte netional policy in our financial dispositions. 316 evolution ... We must now choose our path celiberately political and social institutions we may well have reached end consciously. The lesson of experience ... is that in the case of our isacts. there is an age-long conflict. It is of vital . Between liberty and government', the Committee noted, In other words we stand in need as the era of undirected

In the latter wein the report stressed the importance of economic research 314 but did not expect it to deliver the philosopher's stone.

....

human control [as indeed the distrubances due to monetary factors are], it is of the utmost importance for the betterment of humanity and the stability of society that such methods of control as may exist should be marked out and put into practice, even if as is and will remain true, there exist no simple scientific rules by the mere application of which such control can be exercised. The management of currency and credit is essentially an art and not a science! 318

By 1936 Keynes' position on the role of monetary policy had, as we well know, shifted to one in which the ability of the monetary authorities to influence the level of demand was thought to be very limited. And so he reasoned that:

'...it seems unlikely that the influence of banking policy on the rate of interest will be sufficient by itself to maintain an optimum rate of investment.'319

power for the common weal, sought to devise rules to limit the exercise of monetary instruments; and wary of the capacity of mortal men to wield such prices moved outside a specified narrow range rules, the rule proposed was that the central bank be required to engage conctary policy. The General Theory there appeared Hanry Simons' discretionary power by the monetary authority. in open market purchases and sales of securities whenever a broad index of Simons and Mintz. Committee of the United States Congress mentioned above was favoured by Others, however, did not share Keynes' views on the limitations of 320 A rule to deliver the objective sought by the 1927 Signifficantly, for it pre-empts more recent feedback Thus in the same years as Rules versus discretion in

Simons and Mintz, however, did consider other rules. Simons, to quote Friedman, 'vacillated between favoring a rule expressed in terms of the quantity of money...and a rule expressed in terms of price index. 322 and, just as for some Keymesians orthodoxy hardened to a position in which demand management was the answer to all evils but within that frame the role ascribed to monetary policy was that of accommodating fiscal policy, so also, at the opposite end of the spectrum, those disposed to interpret the evidence on the ability of the market to disseminate information and on the significance of monetary changes differently sought to harness the significance of monetary authorities. Thus, in:1959, Friedman noted:

The granting of wide and important responsibilities that are neither limited by clearly defined rules for guiding policy, nor subject to test by external criteria of performance is a serious defect of our present montary arrangements. It renders monerany policy a potential source of uncertainty and inscability, policy as present power to the men in charge for good or ill, greater 'flexibility' to meet the problems as they arise, to use the phuses what the Reserve System lies to emphasize. [Yet]...experience suggests that eliminating the dangers of instability and uncertainty in policy is far more urgent than preserving flexibility. 1223

### Hence the recommendation to::

'Instruct the System to use its open market powers/
to produce a 4% per year rate of growth in...[currency
and commemial bank deposits of the public/..., to keep
the rate of growth as steady as it can week by week
and month by month and to introduce no seasonal adjustment
movement of the money stock.'324

currently available information are unmistakably contemporary. But then goals besides a reduction in the variance of prices or the rate of change in although the objectives of the monetary authorities have encompassed other conceptually more akin to the experience described in this paper; in that the rule, when in each partiuclar circumstance judgement is, and has to be, in time perspectives are recognised, when revisions of proximate targets are again when a range of objectives replaces a single objective, when differences prices, the emphasis on the latter and the element of feedback from importance of money have had. characteristics of our economy, the brave new-world of (feedback, rules particular recommendation is so subject to uncertainty regarding the similar events recorded in the past, no less when our appraisal of any exercised so so to distinguish a particular manifestation from other apparently affords a great deal of room to (and policy resembles much of) yesterday's Art of Central Banking Yet Friedman's rule has not had the impact that his teachings on the The Simons-Mintz favourite is in some ways

To be sure policy wakers have not been immune to experience nor to the strides that economic analysis has made. Looking back they may not object to the view of the Nadeliffe Committee: 325

'That the authorities...have to regard the structure of interest rates rather than the sonsy supply as the controlice of the monetary supply is the control does not mean the the woner supply is unimportant but that its control is incluantal to interest rate policy.'326

But they will also take heed, when in yesteryears others failed, of the Committee's view that:

'The authorities should not aim at complete stability of interest rates, but should take a view as to what the long-term accounts situation demands and be prepared by all the means in their power to influence markets in the required direction.'327

to the short-run; for they '...pay attention to the short-term as well as to the long-term situation'. 328 But whatever the perception of trade-offs there is now emphasis on the need to provide information of the content of policy; there is strong awareness that, in the words of the Macmillan Committee:

"...a change of no great significance which is likely to be merely temporary or seasonal may cause undue alarm and may have a seriously unfavourable psychological reaction on business confidence. 329

fact for which, that same Committee reasoned,

...the only remedy...is to be found...in Treadiness on the part of the authorities to ensure 7 a diffusion of knowledge as to the relevant facts. 330

In a world where failure to predict events or even to explain them satisfactorily with benefit of hindsight is not the exception, even this is quite a tall order. But, though in so doing, economic analysis is invaluable we may also bear in mind Edgeworth's remarks of long ago:

'The theorist must not pretend to wisdom, if he knows so little what he is about as to mistake his abstract formulae for rules immediately applicable to practice.'331