



"DWP Ministerial Correspondence" <CAXTONHOUSE,CLERKP RU@DWP.GSI.GOV.UK> To MB-Ministerial Transfers/OIS/DOH@DOH

bcc

09/08/2012 10:56

Subject

FW: British American Tobacco: response to the Department of Health's Consultation on Standardised Packaging

Ministerial Correspondence Team | Feedback, Legislation and Decision Making Division | Professional Services Group | Department for Work and Pensions | Ground Floor | Caxton House | Tothill Street | London | SW1H 9NA | 020 7449 5447 | ext 65447

----Original Message-----

EMAILBLOCK

From: lan_Robertson@bat.com [mailto:lan_Robe tson@bat.com]

Sent: 08 August 2012 17:18

To: DWP Ministerial Correspondence

Subject: British American Tobacco: response to the Department of

Health's Consultation on Standardised Packaging

Dear Secretary of State

Please find attached for your reference British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's standardised packaging consultation. I also attach a copy of the covering etter.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke. In particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combatting illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

* Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to

smoke or quit;

* The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the crucial link between packaging and any reduction in smoking; * Plain Packaging would exacerbate an already significant illicit trade

problem in the UK;

 Plain Packaging would have other significant adverse unintended consequences such as lowering prices and thereby increasing smoking, reducing government revenue, and harming small business;

Plain Packaging is unlawful as it would not only breach several UK,

ΕU

and international laws and agreements but would constitute a wholesale

expropriation of BAT's valuable intellectual property, requiring payment

by the Government of very significant compensation;

08 August 2012

Tobacco Packs Consultation Department of Health 7th Floor Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SE1 8UG

Dear Sirs

I am pleased to attach to this letter British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's Standardised Packaging Consultation

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke. In particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combating illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

Plain Packaging would not be effective in educing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or guit,

The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the crucial link between packaging and any reduction in

Plain Packaging would exacerbate an already significant illicit trade problem in the UK;

Plain Packaging would have other significant adverse unintended consequences such as lowering prices and thereby increasing smoking, reducing government revenue, and harming small business;

Plain Packaging is unlawful as it would not only breach several UK, EU and international laws and agreements but would constitute a wholesale expropriation of BAT's valuable intellectual property, requiring payment by the Government of very significant compensation; Given the acknowledged risks, the Department of Health has not demonstrated that the benefits

would outweigh the adverse consequences of Plain Packaging; and

There a number of alternative evidence-based options that are proportionate, effective, workable and can achieve public health objectives and we hope that the Department of Health will now take the opportunity afforded by this consultation to consider effective regulatory options and think again about the real impact of plain packaging.

Should regulatory proposals arise from this consultation, we look forward to full and proper consultation. Please contact me if you have any questions arising from our response.

Yours faithfully

mean or Corporate and Regulatory Affairs British American Tobacco, UK & Ireland

Oxford Hause Oxlord Road Aylesbury Bucks HP21 857







"DCLGMSU" <DCLG-MSU@communitie s.gsl.gov.uk> 13/08/2012 17:32

To MB-Ministerial Transfers/OIS/DOH@DOH

cc "DCLGMSU" <DCLG-MSU@communities.gsi.gov.uk>

Subject Ministerial - 022793/12 - British American Tobacco UK Ltd

Dear Colleague

Please find attached ministerial correspondence, which does not fall within the remit of the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG). The issue is about a response to the Department of Health's consultation to packaging tobacco.

In line with Cabinet Office Guidance, I would appreciate confirmation, ideally within 3 working days, whether you will be accepting this case.

Please let DCLG know if you are accepting or declining this transfer.

Regards,

Ministerial Correspondence Team



L001.tif

Ordered Base Ordered Adealog Back of P. SV

ויאוז יכל ניציני

1et old (0) (206 135 900

08 August 2012

Tebacco Packs Consultation
Department of Health
, 7th Floor
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London
SE1 8UG

Dear Sirs

I am pleased to attach to this letter British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's Standardised Packaging Consultation.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco, products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke in particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combating illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or quit.

 The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the crucial link between packaging and any reduction in smoking;

Plain Packaging would exacerbate an already significant illicit trade problem in the UK;

 Plain Packaging would have other significant adverse unintended consequences such as lowering prices and thereby increasing smoking, reducing government revenue, and harming small business;

Plain Packaging is unlawful as it would not only breach several UK, EU and international laws and
agreements but would constitute a wholesale expropriation of BAT's valuable intellectual property,
requiring payment by the Government of very significant compensation;

Given the acknowledged risks, the Department of Health has not demonstrated that the benefits would outweigh the adverse consequences of Plain Packaging; and

There a number of alternative evidence-based options that are proportionate, effective, workable
and can achieve public health objectives and we hope that the Department of Health will now take
the opportunity afforded by this consultation to consider effective regulatory options and think
again about the real impact of plain packaging.

Should regulatory proposals arise from this consultation, we look forward to full and proper consultation. Please contact me if you have any questions arising from our response.

Yours faithfully

Head of Corporate and Regulatory Affairs

British American Tobacco, UK & Ireland





"Enquiries, CEU - HMT"
<CEU.Enquiries@hmtreas
ury.gsl.gov.uk>
Sent by:
HMT"

<Adeola.Otinwa@hmtreasur y.gsl.gov.uk>

10/08/2012 10:06

To MB-Ministerial Transfers/OIS/DOH@DOH

CC

bcc

Subject [UNCLASSIFIED] New Mincom TO case {EMAIL. /09802/2012} for your attention, deadline {03/09/2012}

New Treat Official case EMAIL /09802/2012 for your attention

Deadline for reply: Monday 3 September

Under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 HMT is required to respond to information requests by conducting a reasonable search and replying in relation to any information we hold that falls within the scope of the request. In cases where another department has the policy lead we may wish to make reference to that in our reply, but must still reply ourselves in relation to the information we hold.

Correspondence and Enquiry Unit Tel: 020 7270 5636

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email.

This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swent for malware and viruses.

----- Message from bat.com' bat.com on Wed, 8 Aug 2012

16:05:11 +0100 -----

To: "Enquiries, CEU - HMT" <CEU.Enquiries@hmtreasury.gsi.gov.uk>
Subje British American Tobacco: response to the Department of Health's Consultation
ct: on Standardised Packaging

Dear Chancellor

Please find attached for your reference British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's standardised packaging consultation. I also attach a copy of the covering letter.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke.

In particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combatting illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

- Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or quit;
- The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the

.

08 August 2012

Tobacco Packs Consultation Department of Health 7th Floor Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SÉ18UG

Oxford House Oxford Boad Aylesbury Buck HP21 852

Tel +44 (0)1296 fax +44 (0) [296

mualisd.veree

Dear Sirs

I am pleased to attach to this letter British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's Standardised Packaging Consultation.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to temoke, in particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combating plicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

- Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging
- is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or quit;

 The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that falls to make the crucial link between packaging and any reduction in smoking;
- Plain Packaging would exacerbate an already significant illicit trade problem in the UK;
 Plain Packaging would have other significant adverse unintended consequences such as lowering prices and thereby increasing smoking, reducing government revenue, and harming small
- Plain Packaging is unlawful as it would not only breach several UK, EU and international laws and agreements but would constitute a wholesale expropriation of BAT's valuable interfectual property, requiring payment by the Government of very significant compensation;
- Given the acknowledged risks, the Department of Health has not demonstrated that the benefits would outweigh the adverse consequences of Plain Packaging; and
- There a number of alternative evidence-based options that are proportionate, effective, workside and can achieve public health objectives and we hope that the Department of Health will now take the opportunity afforded by this consultation to consider effective regulatory options and think again about the real impact of plain packaging.

Should regulatory proposals arise from this consultation, we look forward to full and proper consultation. Please confectine if you have any questions arising from our response.

Yours faithfully

Head of Corporate and Regulatory Affairs British American Tobecco, UK & Ireland







To MB-Ministerial Transfers/OIS/DOH@DOH cc bcc Subject 306720

Standardised Packaging>>

Dear Colleague

Please find attached correspondence about tobacco packaging, which does not fall within the remit of the Department for Business, Innovation & Skills (BIS).

In line with Cabinet Office Guidance, I would appreciate confirmation, ideally within three working days, whether you will be accepting this case.

Do please contact me if you require any further information.

Many thanks

Cross Whitehall Correspondence Officer OGD and Strategic Partners' Team 020 7215 6118

Department for Business, Innovation and Skills

@bis.gsi.gov.uk> on Wed, 15 Aug 2012 14:53:01 +0100 ----

To: \(\lambda(MPST MIN\)\"\\ \(\mathreal\) bis.gsi.gov.uk>

Subj Ballon - British American Tobacco: response to the Department of ect: Health's Consultation on Standardised Packaging

----Original Message-----

From: Ian_Robertson@bat.com [mailto] bat.com

Sent: 08 August 2012 17:38

To: Wilcox MPST

Subject: British American Tobacco: response to the Department of Health's Consultation on

Standardised Packaging

Dear Minister

Please find attached for your reference British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's standardised packaging consultation. I also attach a copy of the covering letter.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke.

In particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combatting illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

responsibility for the contents of this message.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately and then delete this document. Do not disclose the contents of this document to any other person, nor take any copies.

Violation of this notice may be unlawful.





BAT cover letter.pdf BAT response to standardised packaging consultation.pdf





To MB-Ministerial Transfers/OIS/DOH@DOH, TO Outgoing Transfers

<TO_Outgoing_Transfers@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

cc TO Outgoing Transfers

<TO_Outgoing_Transfers@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk>

bcc

Subject T11139-12



Please find attached correspondence, which does not fall within the remit of the Home Office.

Our officials believe that the matters raised are the responsibility of DoH

In the event this matter is not for you, please return it immediately to TO Outgoing Transfers@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

In doing so it would be helpful if you could indicate where you believe it should be sent for reply.

If you have any queries about this e-mail, please contact 020 7035 4732.

Communications Directorate, Direct Communications Unit

Home Office, 2 Marsham Street, London SW1P 4DF Tel: +44 (0)20 7035 3076

Fax: +44 (0)20 7035 4745 Web: <u>www.homeoffice.gov.uk</u>

This email and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this email in error please return it to the address

it came from telling them it is not for you and then delete it from your system.

This email message has been swept for computer viruses.

T11139-12 British American Tobacco - DOH. lif

...

From:

Sent:

09 August 2012 16:49

To:

Ce:

Subject:

OCU Correspondence Management Team RE: AW-British American Tobacco: response to the Department of Health's Consultation on Standardised Packaging

Dear Lutfur,

I am dealing with this request.

Kind regards,

'HO - DCU

0207 035 4699

----Original Message----

.From:

Sent: 09 August 2012 16:12

To: DCU Correspondence Management Team

to the Department of Health's Subject: FW: AW-British American Tobacco: response

Consultation on Standardised Packaging

Please arrange for this to be transferred to DH. Many thanks.

Lutfur 8827

----Original. Message

From:

com [mailto

Sent: 08 August 2012 17:31 To: Private Office (External)

Subject: AW-British American Tobacco: response to the Department of Health's Consultation on Standardised Packaging

Dear Secretary of State

Please find attached for your reference British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's standardised packaging consultation. I also attach a copy of the covering letter.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose

In particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combatting illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

- · Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or quit;
- The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the

08 August 2012

Tobacco Packs Consultation Department of Health 7th Floor Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SE1 8UG Osbord Dasse Osbord Adesborg Baster Dasid State

Lax + 14 (0) / (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)

Dear Sirs

I am pleased to attach to this letter British American Tobacco's response to the Department of Health's Standardised Packaging Consultation.

We support effective, evidence-based regulation that measurably reduces the public health impacts of tobacco, products while respecting the choices and rights of adults who choose to smoke in particular, we support the objectives of reducing youth smoking and combating illicit trade. In the submission we make clear our strong opposition to plain packaging for the following reasons:

Plain Packaging would not be effective in reducing smoking prevalence since tobacco packaging is not a relevant factor in people's decision to smoke or quit,

 The Department of Health has not considered the relevant research and relies on insufficient and unreliable evidence that fails to make the crucial link between packaging and any reduction in smoking;

Plain Packaging would exacerbate an already significant illicit trade problem in the UK;

 Plain Packaging would have other significant adverse unintended consequences such as lowering prices and thereby increasing smoking, reducing government revenue, and harming small business;

 Plain Packaging is unlawful as it would not only breach several UK, EU and international laws and agreements but would constitute a wholesale expropriation of BAT's valuable intellectual property, requiring payment by the Government of very significant compensation;

 Given the acknowledged risks, the Department of Health has not demonstrated that the benefits would outweigh the adverse consequences of Plain Packaging; and

There a number of alternative evidence-based options that are proportionate, effective, workable
and can achieve public health objectives and we hope that the Department of Health will now take
the opportunity afforded by this consultation to consider effective regulatory options and think
again about the real impact of plain packaging.

Should regulatory proposals arise from this consultation, we look forward to full and proper consultation. Please contact me if you have any questions arising from our response.

Yours faithfully

Head of Corporate and Regulatory Affairs

British American Tobacco, UK & Ireland