

**From:** W. NEALE THOMAS  
**Sent:** 29 July 2013 16:21  
**To:** Balance of Competence Review  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Re: Review of UK and EU balance of competences 11. Are there any other points you wish to make which are not captured above?  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

# Ineffectuality of framework funding exemplified in late 90s financials from fp4 measured by peer reviewed paper or published patent as accredited achievement costing a million euros, 10x contemporary comparable DTI Link projects in turn 10x traditional Brit PhDs with only alphas allowed as men and mentors. It's only got worse since then as indeed have thresholding benchmarks for approval of papers, patents and PhDs.

# Lisbon millennial agenda committed to catchup with US RTD productivity inside a decade when in reality the performance shortfall doubled during that period.

# Firsthand familiarity as participant in fp4 and fp5 serving as coordination contractor and as consultant\* contractor confirmed worst fears about inefficiencies and ineffectualities of publicly pursued provisioning in procurement of commercially convertible capabilities. \* originating IP individual obliged to use Brussellian cuddly corporation for procurement practicalities and prospects.

# UK's evermore embrace of Brussellian Bureaugger's obscurantism in administration of homebased RTD combined with evermore emulation of communitisation at expense of elitist excellence has cost us dear in global capability and credibility, as reflected in diminished organisational representation for leading learned outlets.

# more meldrewismic moaning readily available from grumpiatric groaner, especially on explosion in nonscience content catalysed by evermore emphasis on unSTEMmed inclusiveness exemplified here by RSA's increasingly unfettered intrusion as fashionably faddish mantra merchants in such as sustainability and circularity.

**From:** W. NEALE THOMAS **To:** Balance of Competence Review **Sent:** Monday, July 29, 2013 2:17 PM **Subject:** Review of UK and EU balance of competences

Review of UK and EU balance of competences: call for evidence on research and development: **your chance to have a real input on the EU**

**issues that matter to you.** The Foreign Secretary launched the Balance of Competences Review in Parliament on 12 July 2012. This follows the Coalition's commitment to examine the balance of competences between the UK and the European Union. The review will provide an analysis of what the UK's membership of the EU means for the UK national interest. The Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) is leading the review of competence in the area of 'research and development' which also covers aspects of space and innovation. Responses to this call for evidence, along with other sources of evidence, will be used to inform the report. BIS is looking for input from anyone with relevant knowledge, expertise or experience in the fields of: research technological development space innovation BIS welcome contributions from individuals, companies, civil society organisations, think-tanks, governments and governmental bodies, from within the UK or beyond our borders. **The Call for Evidence - available at [www.tinyurl.com/EU-BOC-Research](http://www.tinyurl.com/EU-BOC-Research) - closes on 6 August.** Please submit your responses by **6 August** to: [balanceofcompetences@bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:balanceofcompetences@bis.gsi.gov.uk) or follow the instructions in the Call for Evidence to respond online. [Review of UK and EU balance of competences](http://www.tinyurl.com/EU-BOC-Research)



-----  
 This email was received from the INTERNET.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.  
 -----

09/08/2013