

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 31 May 2013 10:02  
**To:** radioactivewaste (DECC)  
**Subject:** repository offshore

13<sup>th</sup> May; REPLY TO OFND - call for views by 10th June 2013

Receiving "a call for evidence on site selection for managing [Hi active] nuclear waste" via group shall respond by:- detailing an offshore repository in the Atlantic/Cumbria!

Because are asking us to send our views:- and the Oil/Gas industry has been sending pigs along pipelines for 50 years, it seems Hi waste could be wrapped in glass & contained in steel barrels, to be waterborn to offshore double steel skinned pre-drilled wells, "n" miles out on a suitable seabed site via manifolds/diverters etc:- so cheap, safe and engineered in only a couple of years. With water continuously circulating cans could be monitored, even *recovered by reversing* the flow. N.B. An original specification requirement of the 80's! Also too difficult for current geological designers.

Whilst admitting to "lesson learned" regarding rejection by all UK Counties of their government's commitment to bury it Onshore, they intend still to pursue a geological site and still expect voluntarism from us citizens until 2040!?

Governments have only been prepared to listen to *civil* engineers on CoWM quango and *civil* servants in NIREX both now debunked by Govts papers.

N.B. All of the UK's Hi waste could be stacked in an area the size of Lowestoft Townhall and its actual toxicity has always been vastly overstated

We viewed this Hi waste in baths at Sizewell B recently when the plan was to transport it to Sellafield, which is now already too overloaded. But it is on the Atlantic coast, so is a suitable launch for this pipeline! Who could object? - the Crown perhaps. Offshore appears to be the only alternative solution now, [Space is definitely out!] Current government advisors seem hell-bent on digging holes for us - and themselves.

Why continue to knock on a portcullis UK counties have locked against their absurd alliance to England's soil. So we urge them to revert to an offshore solution and revoke onshore thus admitting defeat by just examining this well used pigging system. Or is it that all future Govts shall welcome a stalemate so THEIR party are not seen dabbling in nuclear waste, but also because of course it wastes their sparse budget? An oil industry system is amazingly cheap! P.O.W.E.R. [Pipeline Operated Waste Energy Repository] was one such bid around 1986 I was involved with.

Maybe the Finland granite geology [2050 completion] repository will suit UK, where we pay them billions into eternity for our grandchildren to pay, instead of having the guts to do it ourselves - bring back Brunel.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.