

# Response form

Please use this form to respond to this call for evidence on Managing Radioactive Waste Safely: Review of the Siting Process for a Geological Disposal Facility.

The closing date for the submission of responses is **10 June 2013**.

Responses can be returned by email (preferable) or post.

Email address: [radioactivewaste@decc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:radioactivewaste@decc.gsi.gov.uk)

Or by post to: The Managing Radioactive Waste Safely team  
Department of Energy and Climate Change  
Room M07  
55 Whitehall  
London  
SW1A 2EY

Name	REDACTED REDACTED
Organisation / Company	REDACTED
Organisation Size (no. of employees)	REDACTED
Organisation Type	
Job Title	
Department	
Address	REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED
Email	REDACTED REDACTED
Telephone	REDACTED REDACTED
Fax	

Would you like to be kept informed of developments with the MRWS programme?	Yes/
Would you like your response to be kept confidential? If yes please give a reason	Yes – I do not feel I have to give a reason

**The Government is interested in your views on the geological disposal facility site selection process outlined in the 2008 Managing Radioactive Waste Safely (MRWS) White Paper. To assist us you may wish to consider the following issues in your response:**

- **What aspects of the site selection process in the MRWS White Paper do you think could be improved and how?**
- **What do you think could be done to attract communities into the MRWS site selection process?**
- **What information do you think would help communities engage with the MRWS site selection process?**

**The biggest flaw in this process, was not discounting the Lake District National Park from the outset. If you had done this I don't think the Ennerdale community (and therefore the rest of the Lake District Residents) would have activated, and you would probably be starting stage 4 now.**

**As for informing the communities, I was aware of the magazines that came through the door and treated them like the rest of the junk mail that appears. If the government was serious about siting the Deep Nuclear Repository under the National Park and discovered during the MRWS process that nobody was turning up to the meetings it should have gone door to door in the villages or written personally to the properties who were potentially affected.**

**However all that said, why oh why did you start this type of process in the first place? knowing that GEOLOGY is the fundamental starting point for any seriously dangerous process as burying nuclear waste.**

**If you now want to attract other sites around the country you have to have SERIOUSLY large financial offers in place before you begin. Life-changing amounts of money, for communities and the home-owners of those directly affected.**

**But above all you need to stay away from the National Park. Not discounting it made the Government look incompetent, as it suggested that those organising the process had not appreciated the impossibility of not discounting the National Park, and therefore making us question their integrity at any level of the organisation of the process.**