

Dear Sir,

The suggestion that the decision, clearly and democratically arrived at previously, is to be ignored and a "new" consultation launched sounds so absurd that I wonder whether I have received a hoax e-mail from someone.

I can see no possible reason to reconsider a site where all previous consultations have decisively rejected an underground facility for a range of sound reasons.

If this is genuine, how can it be squared with any form of democratic process?

Yours Sincerely

Howard Dickenson

Howard Dickenson

REDACTED REDACTED