# Quality Control Manual for Computational Estuarine Modelling







# **Research and Development**

Technical Report W168



All pulps used in production of this paper is sourced from sustainable managed forests and are elemental chlorine free and wood free

.

# Quality Control Manual for Computational Estuarine Modelling

Technical Report W168

J M Bartlett

Research Contractor: Binnie Black & Veatch

Further copies of this report are available from: Environment Agency R&D Dissemination Centre, c/o WRc, Frankland Road, Swindon, Wilts SN5 8YF



tel: 01793-865000 fax: 01793-514562 e-mail: publications@wrcplc.co.uk

Publishing Organisation: Environment Agency Rivers House Waterside Drive Almonsbury Aztec West Bristol BS32 4UD Tel: 01454 624400

Fax: 01454 624409

SO-6/98-B-BCXH

© Environment Agency 1998

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be produced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the Environment Agency.

The views expressed in this document are not necessarily those of the Environment Agency. Its officers, servants or agents accept no liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from the interpretation or use of the information, or reliance upon views contained herein. Worked and funded as part of the Agency's national R&D programme.

#### **Dissemination status**

Internal: Released to Regions External: Public Domain

#### Statement of use

This report presents a methodology for the determination of the freshwater flow needs of estuaries. The information within this document is for use by Agency staff involved in the licensing of freshwater abstractions from rivers and in the computational modelling of estuaries.

#### **Research Contractor**

This document was produced under R&D Project Project W6-010 by:

Binnie Black & Veatch Grosvenor House 69 London Road Redhill Surrey RH1 1LQ Tel: 01737 774155 Fax: 01737 772767

#### **Environment Agency's Project Manager**

The Environment Agency's Project Manager for R&D Project Project W6-010 was: Oliver Pollard - Environment Agency, Southern Region

*R&D Technical Report W168* 

| CONTENTS |  |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

| 001  |  | Page     |
|------|--|----------|
| Exec | cutive summary                           | iii      |
| 1    | INTRODUCTION                             | 1        |
| T    | 1.1 Scope of manual                      | 1        |
|      | 1.2 Background                           | 1        |
|      | 1.3 Need for computational modelling     | 3        |
| 2    | PROJECT DEFINITION                       | 5        |
|      | 2.1 Scope of work                        | 5        |
|      | 2.2 Preliminary assessment               | 5        |
|      | 2.3 Type of model                        | 6        |
|      | 2.4 Technical specification              | 7        |
|      | 2.5 Data collection needs                | 8        |
|      | 2.6 Cost and programme allowances        | 8        |
|      | 2.7 Project plan                         | 9 .**    |
| 3    | TENDER PROCEDURES/PROCUREMENT GUIDE      | 11       |
|      | 3.1 Introduction                         | 11. '    |
|      | 3.2 Specification                        | 11:5     |
|      | 3.3 Supplier selection and appraisal     | 11       |
|      | 3.4 Tendering                            | 12       |
|      | 3.5 Tender opening                       | 12       |
|      | 3.6 Tender evaluation                    | 12       |
|      | 3.7 Post tender negotiation              | 13       |
|      | 3.8 Contract award                       | 13       |
|      | 3.9 Contract management                  | 13       |
| 4    | FIELD WORK                               | 14       |
|      | 4.1 🐘 Project plan                       | 14       |
|      | 4.2 Preliminary assessment               | 14:      |
|      | 4.3 Data collection techniques           | 14       |
|      | 4.4 Data storage and transfer            | - 22     |
|      | 4.5 Checking and validation              | 22       |
| 5    | COMPUTATIONAL MODELLING                  | 25       |
|      | 5.1 Project plan                         | 25       |
|      | 5.2 Model capabilities and limitations   | 25       |
|      | 5.3 Selection of software                | 30<br>25 |
|      | 5.4 Change control                       | 35       |
|      | 5.5 Building, calibration and validation | 36       |
|      | 5.6 Run referencing and archiving        | 37       |
|      | 5.7 Reporting                            | 40.8     |
|      | 5.8 Transfer arrangements                | 41       |

|    |  |   | Page |  |
|----|--|---|------|--|
| 6  | STAT   | TISTICAL APPROACHES                         | 42   |  |
| -  | 6.1  | Environmetrics                              | 42   |  |
|    | 6.2  | Stochastic techniques                       | 42   |  |
|    | 6.3  | Intervention models                         | 43   |  |
|    | 6.4  | Data requirements                           | 43   |  |
|    | 6.5  | Data quality                                | 44   |  |
|    | 6.6  | Quality control                             | 44   |  |
|    | 6.7  | Conclusions                                 | 45   |  |
| 7  | CON  | TINUED USE OF MODEL                         | 46   |  |
|    | 7.1  | General                                     | 46   |  |
|    | 7.2  | Run referencing and archiving               | 46   |  |
|    | 7.3  | Change control                              | 47   |  |
|    | 7.4  | Re-validation using additional data sets    | 47   |  |
|    | 7.5  | Risks of inappropriate application of model | 47   |  |
| 8  | ESTUARINE MODELLING AND DATA COLLECTION STRATEGY |   |      |  |
|    | 8.1  | Modelling strategy                          | 48   |  |
|    | 8.2  | Data collection strategy                    | 48   |  |
|    | 8.3  | Sets of models and supporting data          | 49   |  |
|    | 8.4  | Detailed budget guidelines                  | 55   |  |
| 9  | LIST   | OF CONSULTEES                               | 67   |  |
|    | 9.1  | Environment Agency                          | 67   |  |
|    | 9.2  | Other UK organisations                      | . 68 |  |
|    | 9.3  | Overseas consultees                         | 68   |  |
| 10 | BIBL   | JOGRAPHY                                    | 69   |  |
|    | 10.1   | Residual flows                              | · 69 |  |
|    | 10.2   | Estuary characteristics                     | 69   |  |
|    | 10.3   | Estuary management                          | 70   |  |
|    | 10.4   | Computational modelling                     | 71   |  |
|    | 10.5   | Risk, impact and sensitivity analysis       | 72   |  |
|    | 10.6   | Water quality and salinity                  | 72   |  |
|    | 10.7   | Sediment and morphology                     | 73   |  |
|    | 10.8   | Ecology and fish                            | 73   |  |
|    | 10.9   | Other                                       | 74   |  |
| 11 | GLO  | SSARY OF TERMS                              | 75   |  |

### APPENDIX A - TYPICAL MODEL SPECIFICATION

ii

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Scope of study

The overall aim of this study is to establish best practice, shortcomings and future research needs in determining freshwater flow needs of estuaries. In particular, the study has examined the use of computational, including statistical, modelling in determining these needs. It is hoped that the resulting documents will be of practical use across all disciplines working within estuaries.

The outputs from the study are:

- an R&D Technical Report that identifies shortcomings, best practice, implementation benefits and future R&D of freshwater flow needs to estuaries.
- this quality control manual to be used when undertaking a computational estuary model study.

This manual contains guidance on:

- how to define modelling projects;
- how to tender a modelling study;
- field data collection techniques;
- model types and quality control;
- modelling and data collection strategy.

The layout of the manual is shown below. It is hoped that this manual will provide a framework for modelling for the beginner, but will also provide information useful for the experienced practitioner.

#### Computational modelling

The use of computational models is one of the most powerful tools available to quantify the effect of variations of freshwater residual flows when applied to estuarine water quality/morphology processes. The prolonged and detailed public enquiries that are likely to accompany future applications to increase abstraction and reduce MRF in estuaries will require supportable evidence of the impact of increased abstraction. Any new national methodology must provide such quantified evidence.



### LAYOUT OF QUALITY CONTROL MANUAL

There are two main types of modelling techniques available to test the effect of residual flows on the estuarine transport/residence processes:

- Statistical models are a relatively quick approach which are useful in initial studies to qualitatively assess the effects of different MRFs on the estuary.
- Deterministic/hydrodynamic models use mathematical descriptions of physical laws and processes, and require detailed research and carefully designed field data.

There is also a need for guidance on how reliable the model results should be, and what the most appropriate models are to answer specific queries or requirements for data. A system is needed to ensure that procedures are correctly followed and to check that the software is being correctly applied. This manual provides appropriate guidance in these areas.

# **I INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Scope of manual

The overall aim of this study is to establish best practice, shortcomings and future research needs in determining freshwater flow needs of estuaries. In particular, the study has examined the use of computational, including statistical, modelling in determining these needs. Although written from a water resources perspective, it is recognised that this aim also impacts a number of other disciplines, such as water quality, flood defence and fisheries.

It is hoped that the resulting documents will be of practical use across all disciplines working within estuaries.

The outputs from the study are:

- an R&D Technical Report (W113) that identifies shortcomings, best practice, implementation benefits and future R&D of freshwater flow needs to estuaries.
- this quality control manual to be used when undertaking a computational estuary model study.

This manual contains guidance on:

- how to define modelling projects;
- how to tender a modelling study;
- field data collection techniques;
- model types and quality control;
- modelling and data collection strategy.

The layout of the manual is shown on Figure 1.1. It is difficult to write guidance that is relevant for all levels of modelling experience. It is also recognised that parts of the Agency already have their own quality control procedures. However, it is hoped that this manual will provide a framework for modelling for the beginner, but will also provide information useful for the experienced practitioner.

# 1.2 Background

The Environment Agency has a statutory duty under the Water Resources Act 1991 to conserve, redistribute or otherwise augment water resources and secure their proper use. The determination of minimum residual flows (MRF) to estuaries is one of the key steps in evaluating the water resource potential of a river, and in the effective management of the estuarine environment. The MRF is defined as the river flow at which a licenced abstraction ceases, ie river flows can naturally fall below the MRF value.



Figure 1.1 - LAYOUT OF QUALITY CONTROL MANUAL

Current determination of MRF to estuaries varies between regions, and since quantifying the impact of different MRFs on the estuary processes is difficult, often the observed Q95 low flow statistic at the gauging station closest to the tidal limit, is commonly taken as the MRF.

The Habitats Directive requires the Environment Agency to review authorisations (including abstraction licences) which may affect specified estuarine sites. A methodology is required which reviews these licences in the light of recent estuary research and improved modelling techniques. Further, at present, most freshwater flows to estuaries are based on qualitative best estimates rather than rigorous scientific investigation.

The use of computational models is one of the most powerful tools available to quantify the effect of variations of freshwater residual flows when applied to estuarine water quality/morphology processes. The prolonged and detailed public enquiries that are likely to

accompany future applications to increase abstraction and reduce MRF in estuaries will require supportable evidence of the impact of increased abstraction. Any new national methodology must provide such quantified evidence.

## 1.3 Need for computational modelling

There are two main types of modelling techniques available to test the effect of residual flows on the estuarine transport/residence processes:

- Statistical models are a relatively quick approach which uses linear regression analysis to relate empirically the observed values of variables. Statistical models are useful in initial studies to qualitatively assess the effects of different MRFs on the estuary. Statistical models are limited in their ability to account for the scatter in field data, and need a large amount of field data.
- Deterministic/hydrodynamic models use mathematical descriptions of physical laws and processes, and require detailed research and carefully designed field data. Deterministic models can considerably improve on the prediction accuracy and reliability of the statistical regression models; and can establish the quantitative effect of residual flows on the estuary. The degree of reliability required of model predictions determines how much is spent on the data needed by the model and the type of model used. Sensitivity analysis using deterministic models can establish the key areas of uncertainty and help focus where and what field data is needed. There are several deterministic models available and not all are equally appropriate or easy to use.

Within the industry, some are still resistant to the use of computer modelling to help determine residual flow needs. Others strongly support modelling, perhaps without proper consideration of the limitations or appropriate use of the model. There is a need for a methodology that sets out a considered and balanced use of models

There is also a need for guidance on how reliable the model results should be, and what the most appropriate models are to answer specific queries or requirements for data. A system is needed to ensure that procedures are correctly followed and to check that the software is being correctly applied. It is hoped that this manual provides appropriate guidance in these areas. Figure 1.2 shows the wide range of applications that can be analysed, using the types of computational models available.



PROCESSES



# **2 PROJECT DEFINITION**

## 2.1 Scope of work

As with any project, successful execution of a modelling study requires a focussed and detailed scope of work. This scope should be the first stage of any modelling project definition. The scope should define:

- the reason for and aims of the project;
- the background to the project;
- the expected interaction between this study and other disciplines within a project; and
- the expected deliverables from the project;

# 2.2 Preliminary assessment

Having defined the scope of work, for a large project, a preliminary assessment of the problem should be considered. This may consist of:

- a matrix risk assessment to determine critical estuary uses and processes; and
- a simple model to aid full model design and data collection.

The methods of matrix risk assessment are presented in the main (W113) report of this R&D project. The term "computational model" has been taken in the Technical Report and this volume to include:

- Statistical and empirical models; as well as
- Full hydrodynamic models.

A simple model can be used to determine:

- the likely magnitude of problems, and whether detailed study is required;
- the likely areas of particular interest, for example the main areas of sedimentation or the limits of significant salinity;

The results from the model can then be used to guide the design of the detailed model. It may aid definition of the model limits and the grid size or section spacing in particular areas or interest. It may also guide the extent of data collection, additional data being taken from the areas of particular interest.

It is also possible that the simple model may show that there is no problem with a particular use or process, and no further modelling will be necessary.

A simple, preliminary model may be:

- an existing model, perhaps built for another discipline;
- built from coarse data, such as Admiralty charts and existing surveys;
- a coarse model using a 1-D rather than 2-D or a non-stratified rather than stratified model; or
- an uncalibrated and unverified model.

In all cases it should be remembered that the accuracy of the preliminary model may be low. Interpretation of results must take this into account. In addition, the preliminary model must not become too complex; in that case one might as well go straight to a full study.

# 2.3 Type of model

The range of computational models available for analysis of estuaries, and the choice of an appropriate model are described in Section 5.2. The choice of model is an important one in any project. Models by definition are only a representation of reality. The limitations on the current models are computing power and an understanding of the physical processes. In the first instance, the choice of model will depend on:

- the form of the estuary to be modelled; and
- the application to be modelled.

However, as indicated in Section 5.2, the choice of model is in some ways pragmatic, based on availability, budget and experience of use. The choice of model type will therefore also be determined on:

- the accuracy required for each general use category;
- the ability of models to give that level of accuracy;
- the sensitivity of various types of model; and
- the minimum level of modelling that is required.

The choice of model according to use category will be dependent on the potential damage if the set limit of water quality, salinity or sediment deposition is exceeded. If the hazard is low and the risk is low, then the confidence needed in the result is also low and it is not necessary to carry out a large program of field work and modelling at great expense. Conversely if the hazard is high and the risk is significant, then such expenditure may well be necessary and this will influence the selection of the model and fieldwork program.

Choice of model should further take account of:

- the reliability of information available and any additional field work required;
- the capability of the model and the likely modeller;
- the cost of modelling / field work exercise;

- the need to continue and update the process with time including monitoring costs;
- economic benefits of environmental and water quality improvement.

In some cases, a model may already exist, perhaps from another discipline. The matrix allows some assessment as to the suitability of the existing model for a new project. Shortcomings in the existing model for its new purpose may not be critical since a high degree of accuracy is not required.

At all times, it is important to ask whether a complex modelling exercise is at all necessary. It has become fashionable to build complex models. However, in many cases, adequate solutions may be developed by a simple model.

## 2.4 Technical specification

A detailed technical specification for the modelling and data collection phases of a project should be drawn up. This specification will be used:

- to confirm the scope of work. A detailed modelling specification will help assess whether the proposed modelling approach is technically appropriate, and pitched at the correct level of complexity;
- to set costs and programme. The specification will allow initial cost estimates to be made and revision of the scope of work if they exceed available budgets; and
- as part of the tender document. A clear and detailed technical specification greatly simplifies the tendering process.

The specification should include the following:

- The purpose of the model;
- The relationship of the model with other disciplines and parts of the project;
- The type of model to be used and, if appropriate, the software and hardware to be used. The aim of convergence of software and hardware platforms within the Agency should be considered;
- The geographical limits of the model, thus defining its size;
- Zones of particular interest in the model that may require detailed survey and simulation;
- The scale and detail of the model. Typical grid sizes or cross-section spacing will aid costing and programming;
- The extent of available data. This could include information on existing models as well as topographic, flow, tide, quality or sediment data;
- The extent of the required data collection programme. Although this may be interpreted from the above two items, some statement of the data requirements should be made;
- Calibration and validation. This section should include the calibration parameters, the number of calibration locations and events and the accuracy of calibration expected;
- An indication of the scope of model production runs to be carried out;
- Reporting requirements and deliverables; and
- Model transfer requirements. If the software is specified, this may include the computerfiles required.

### 2.5 Data collection needs

Following definition of the model type and the technical specification, the nature and extent of data that needs to be collected should be drawn up. Although a detailed collection programme may be the responsibility of the modelling consultant, an initial programme should be drawn up to assess the feasibility of the programme and its cost.

The following should be considered:

- the suitability and extent of any existing data;
- the physical parameters to be collected;
- the extent, frequency and duration of any data collection programme;
- the likely methods of data collection;
- downloading and data transfer;
- quality assurance of data and calibration of recording instruments; and
- the cost of the programme.

Completing this task may result in revisions to the modelling specification. Data collection can be extremely expensive. In some cases, the cost of collecting data may outweigh the benefits from its collection. Field work is considered in more detail in Chapter 4.

### 2.6 Cost and programme allowances

#### 2.6.1 Costs, inputs and programme

This section gives an overview of the implications to costs, input and programme from using computational models. Detailed costs, inputs and programmes are presented in Chapter 7 of this report.

In any study there are two parts to the cost of a modelling project:

- Survey and data collection costs; and
- Model building and running costs.

Modelling studies have significant cost. This cost may be small when included within, say, design of flood defences, but as a stand-alone study to check a licence application, may be an unacceptably high proportion of the auditing Agency area's budget. However, it must also be stressed that modelling studies will almost invariably provide an improved quality of result over manual methods of analysis.

It is interesting to note that although computing power and model complexity have increased together, the costs of modelling projects have not risen in step with these factors.

Survey costs are dependent on the level of spacial and calibration detail required in the model.

As such, they are relatively fixed, for a typical model to be run over a series of spring, neap and average tides. A more complex model may need little more topographical survey detail, but additional level and quality survey points for calibration. Costs rise steeply for long term data collection, where instruments are left in place for months rather than days.

Modelling costs are linked closely to time input by the modellers. These inputs do not increase linearly with model complexity since:

- most if not all computing can be carried out on standard PC based machines with acceptably short run times, resulting in fixed computing overheads; and
- use of data processing tools such as GIS allows quick processing of complex data sets.

Use of computational modelling does impose programme implications onto any study. Of the data collection and modelling components to the work, it is the data collection that may provide the greatest constraint. Survey contracts may need to be tendered, and appropriate periods in the year chosen to collect data for calibration.

### 2.6.2 Balancing modelling costs with accuracy benefits

The most practical time to consider the balance between modelling costs and the reliability or accuracy of the final model is at an early stage while there is still time to influence the data collection programme and the model assumptions. Time may be usefully spent reviewing the issues of importance for an estuary study, and if appropriate developing a simple preliminary model to gain a better feel for the key features of the estuary.

If problems with the assumptions of the model or the extent of data collection are not thought through at an early stage, they may not become evident until model development is well advanced and the costs of the required changes are large. In such circumstances the costs and benefits of additional modelling need to be carefully considered, especially as additional funding will often need to be obtained and justified.

Where the expense of the required modelling approach is not justified by the scale of the proposed development, two approaches may be considered. The scope of the modelling may be reduced to what is affordable with experienced engineering judgement applied to cover issues which cannot be covered adequately by the model. Alternatively, if modelling at the required detail is essential, additional funding can be sought for the modelling. This is only likely to be forthcoming where the scope of the model can be extended to allow its use on a wider range of proposals in the estuary.

# 2.7 Project plan

A project plan should be drawn up to direct the overall quality control of the project. Such a plan is a requirement of most certified QA schemes. However, even if a certified scheme, perhaps to BS5750, is not in use, the project plan is a useful document that can be issued to all staff working on a project. It may include the following, the exact contents depending on whether a project is to be carried out in-house or tendered. It should be noted that these contents overlap with those of the technical specification; the specification will form part of the plan:

| • | "Client's" requirements | - the scope of the project and what the project's proponent requires to be done.   |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| • | Project organisation    | - how the project is to be organised and divided into<br>components and activities; who is to undertake each<br>activity and how it is to be checked and reviewed; any<br>internal procedures that are to be followed; how<br>calculations and other records are to be kept. |
| • | Design policy           | - summarising the technical constraints under which the work is to be done and any relevant design philosophies, criteria and parameters.  |
|   |                         |  |

• Project management - defining how the project will be managed to achieve its objectives within time and budget.

It should be stated that there is no "right" way of producing such a plan; all Agency regions and discipline have different procedures. However, close quality control of the project requires the above elements to be correctly documented. The project plan will also contains "good housekeeping" procedures for archiving and the like. These are described in Chapters 4 & 5.

# **3 TENDER PROCEDURES/PROCUREMENT GUIDE**

### 3.1 Introduction

Agency staff are referred particularly to the Agency's Procurement Manual, from which information in this chapter is based.

In general, the procurement process can be broken down into the following seven stages, including tendering:

- Specification
- Supplier selection & appraisal
- Quotations/Tendering
- Tender Evaluation
- Post Tender Negotiation
- Contract Award
- Contract Management

Agency Regional Procurement teams **must** be involved in all contracts in excess of £10,000.

### 3.2 Specification

The specification is the description of the service required. An effective specification should not be biased towards any one company and should enable the supplier to tender/quote on a common basis. The specification will form part of the contract with the selected supplier, it is therefore very important to include all the key deliverables. Regional Procurement teams can provide Agency staff with examples of relevant specifications, and help with any new requirements.

## 3.3 Supplier Selection & Appraisal

This process falls into two stages;

- identification of potentially capable suppliers
- assessment of capabilities

### Identification of Suppliers:

In many cases, a list of potential suppliers can be produced through the previous experience and market knowledge of the contract manager. When EC Procurement Directives apply, contracts must be advertised in the Official Journal of the European Community (OJEC). Other sources available are as follows:

- reference to trade directories such as Kelly's and Kompass
- trade journals
- regional Procurement teams

#### Supplier Appraisal:

Once potential suppliers have been identified, they should be assessed to ensure that they are capable of meeting requirements. This assessment should be on technical, commercial and financial grounds and may take the form of a pre-qualification document.

In all cases suppliers should be contacted prior to the issue of invitation to tender in order to establish:

- that they are willing to tender for the work
- timescales for return of tenders
- a contact name

### 3.4 Tendering

An invitation to tender comprises the following documentation:

- Covering letter
- Conditions of tender
- Conditions of contract
- Financial Cost Statement
- Specification
- Form of Offer

Procurement can provide advice on format and content if required, although they must be involved in contracts in excess of  $\pm 10,000$ .

The time allowed for return of tenders depends on the complexity of the contract and the amount of information being requested as part of the tender submission. This period should be agreed with all companies being invited to tender at this stage.

### 3.5 Tender Opening

When tenders are received they should be opened simultaneously. Tenders opening should be administered by Procurement staff, who will record prices and sign tender documents accordingly to ensure propriety and regularity.

### **3.6** Tender Evaluation

Tenders must be evaluated to ensure that the best value for money tender is accepted. Consultancy tenders must be evaluated on both cost and pre-defined quality criteria, and a judgement must be made, if the lowest cost tender is not preferred, as to whether the increase in cost involved is compensated by a suitable and relevant increase in quality. This, in essence, is the assessment of value for money. The regional Procurement team will have a standard tender evaluation model to quantify this assessment, and can assist with developing this model to suit particular requirements.

## 3.7 **Post Tender Negotiation**

Once bids have been evaluated, it may be possible to improve the overall value for money of the bid through the use of post tender negotiation (PTN). This process must always involve Procurement.

PTN will normally be entered into with the two or three tenderers who offer best overall value for money. In deciding to negotiate, it is important to remember that potential areas of improvement may involve areas other than cost which Procurement can provide advice and assistance on.

### 3.8 Contract Award

It is necessary to complete an Award of Contract - Tender Evaluation form, which is submitted to the Regional Procurement Manager for approval.

Once authorisation has been obtained, a contract award letter will be sent by Procurement to the successful tenderer, for contracts in excess of £10,000. For contracts below this threshold, the supplier will officially be notified via the Purchase Order, which will be raised by the relevant buyer or Procurement team.

### 3.9 Contract Management

Once a contract has been let, it is the responsibility of the Agency's contract manager to ensure that the service is delivered to time, cost and quality. Procurement assistance is available if required. Procurement should always be advised in cases of unsatisfactory performance, in order that;

- such measures can be taken under the contract, such as compensation, and as a last resort termination and
- such incidents can be considered before inviting the contractor to tender for other contracts.

# 4 FIELD WORK

## 4.1 Project plan

A project plan should be drawn up for field work, similar to that described in Section 2.7. For a field contract, this plan will additionally cover the types, extent and accuracy of field measurement carried out.

## 4.2 **Preliminary assessment**

Section 2.2 has examined the potential of a preliminary model or project assessment. Such an assessment will be of use in guiding the location and extent of fieldwork. In particular, it may highlight particular areas or interest or ignorance, where additional or more detailed field surveys will be required.

## 4.3 Data collection techniques

### 4.3.1 Appraisal

Following the preliminary assessment, the current availability of data should be considered. Although the model specification is yet to be decided, certain data sets, such as flow, level and topography will be required for almost all model configurations. Where data has already been collected, it should be assembled for the new project. This may prevent delay in case of administrative problems. More important, an initial review of the data set may reveal missing data or features in the data that will influence the data collection programme.

### 4.3.2 Availability

The Agency will hold much of the available baseline data for a study. However, significant data are often held by other bodies. Baseline data may include:

| • | Agency data | - | Tide levels |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|
|---|-------------|---|-------------|

- Freshwater flows
  - Water quality
  - Some bathymetry
- Some ecology

### • Port authorities - Bathymetry

- Some tides
- Currents
- Sediment movement and dredging.
- Ship sizes and constraints
- Ship movements and pilotage

| • | Fisheries data    | -<br>-<br>- | From MAFF, sea fisheries consultative committees<br>(SFCC) and fishermen<br>Fish species and stocks<br>Shellfish |
|---|-------------------|-------------|--|
| • | Local authorities | -           | Particularly for uses  |
| • | Nature interests  | -           | From English Nature, RSPB, wildlife trusts<br>Other ecology  |
| • | Industry          | -           | Abstractions and returns.  |
| • | Research          | -           | Universities.<br>Research programmes such as LOIS (Land Ocean<br>Interaction Study) and SABRINA.                 |

It is important to collect information about proposed developments and uses as well as the existing and historic conditions. Liaison will be needed with the planning authorities.

### 4.3.3 Collection - general

The accuracy of an estuarine model will depend largely on the quality of the data set used to build and calibrate the model. It may have a greater effect on the model results than the software used or the design of the model itself. The design of surveys is therefore a critical aspect of the modelling process.

The data collection techniques used by the industry are of known accuracy often greater than that needed for a model. Perhaps a more important aspect is the *coverage* of data. Data must be collected in sufficient detail in critical areas such as:

- Model boundaries;
- Areas at the focus of a project, such as outfalls and navigation channels; and
- Areas that control the behaviour of the estuary, such as ebb and flood channels, sandbars and mudflats.

This section discusses the collection of data required for modelling studies.

### 4.3.4 Topographic data

The most basic items of data for an estuarine model are the basic topography (bathymetry) of the study area and the shape of the estuary's coastline.

level.

Thus it must be possible to represent:

- the diurnal and weekly fluctuation of loads from a sewage treatment works;
- a synthesised sequence of river flows and concentrations;
- the sudden loading produced over a short period of time from a CSO; and
- the loading produced by a discharge controlled by tide-locked gates or tidal tanks.

These representations must be achieved in the context of the continual operation of the tidal forcing function at the seaward boundaries of the modelling system and a wind forcing function over the water surface.

The contractor is also invited to offer a representation of the effects of waves of representative period, e.g. swells:

- as derived from the wind field stresses; and/or
- as propagated through the modelled area from prescribed boundary conditions, on mixing in the water column and re-suspension of sediments from the bed.

Such modelling could be carried out in a separate module and its output, in terms of enhanced dispersion or raised bottom shear stresses, added to a given scenario to represent the effects of a storm.

[Warning: Creating this number of suitable input data files will be a substantial task for the user and will result in a huge number of combinations which will require careful documentation.]

#### A.2.11 Output to be Generated

The modelling system must be capable of producing suitable plots of the output from the hydrodynamic modelling. These will include line plots of water surface height as function of time at a given point, line plots of water surface height as a function of position at a given time, colour-coded contour plots of water surface height viewed in plan at given times, vector plots of water velocity at given times, streak plots of the movement of simulated floats or drogues and extended track plots showing the long term movement of simulated floats or drogues. Where appropriate these plots should be reproducible in a time sequence.

The modelling system must be capable of producing colour-coded contour plots of the concentration of water quality parameters, viewed in plan. These should be reproducible in a time sequence. The modelling system should be capable of producing line plots of concentration at a point as a function of time or at a time as a function of position along a chosen transect.

The modelling system should be capable of producing ASCII files holding model output, for further analysis by other programs. The modelling system should be capable of producing summary statistics (such as mean, standard deviation, percentiles) concerning the concentrations of a particular parameter at a particular point. One important additional statistic will be the percentile measure of the number of occasions when a particular parameter, such as faecal coliform concentration, exceeds a given level, such as the guideline value for bathing beaches. A similarly vital statistic would be a percentile measure of the number of occasions that DO concentration is less than some given value; this would be appropriate for assessing estuarine water quality with regard to migratory fish.

For those regions of water where there are significant variations of water quality with depth, the modelling system should be capable of producing colour-coded contour plots of the concentration of water quality parameters, viewed in elevation (on a cross-section).

The modelling system should be capable of producing plots of statistical functions of concentrations, such as maximum, minimum, mean, standard deviation, percentiles either as contour plots or as line plots, in plan or in

### Coverage

Due to the difficulty in measuring tidal flows, rivers are measured upstream of the hydraulic tidal limit although with ultrasonic gauges it is technically possible to measure tidal flows. Estuaries like the Thames, Severn and Trent extend for many kilometres upstream from their mouths. Even if there is a gauging station near the tidal limit on the main river, there could be many side tributaries or areas of direct runoff that drain to the estuary downstream of the tidal limit on the main river. These tributaries may or may not be gauged. The term "coverage" refers to the extent to which freshwater inflows to an estuary are actually measured. Estimates of freshwater flows from ungauged areas will almost certainly be less accurate than measured runoff. There is no way of assessing the magnitude of the errors introduced into the estuary water balance. If there is a large ungauged area, coverage might be said to be "poor" and there will inevitably be more uncertainty attached to the reliability of results from any model of the estuary.

"Coverage" might also be used to refer to the completeness of a flow record. If the total volume of runoff over a year or season is the critical parameter, numerous or lengthy gaps in the flow might be said to reduce the data coverage and reliability.

### Accuracy

There is a degree of uncertainty associated with even "measured" flows although this uncertainty is far less than that due to poor "coverage". At a well-constructed and suitably-sited gauging station, flow might be expected to be measured to an accuracy, on average, of within 5%. However, this accuracy may well vary with different levels of flow. Where discharges are computed from a rating curve, flows will be less accurate or even ill-defined where the observed stage lies outside the range of the defined stage/discharge relationship (eg. at unusually low or high stages). In most cases, the accuracy of a flow record depends on:

- the accuracy and reliability of the source water level data; and
- how well the stage/discharge relationship has been derived from observed current meter measurements of discharge.

Nowadays, flow velocities can be measured directly (eg. by ultrasonic or electromagnetic gauges). In these cases the accuracy of a flow record will depend on the accuracy of the measured cross-section and the instrument calibration rather than on the stage/discharge relationship.

### 4.3.6 The measurement of tidal flows and levels

Tidal flow and level data will be required for:

- Boundary conditions for both calibration and simulation; and
- Internal model measurements for calibration.

Seaward boundary conditions may often be derived from existing tidal records and known tidal harmonics. Calibration data will usually require the collection of a special set of data for the study area, including:

- current meter data;
- current direction data; and
- water level data.

The following approaches may be considered to collect portions of this data:

- Drogue tracking;
- Ocean Surface Current Radar (OSCR) data, although care may be needed with shallow waters; and
- Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) data.

Decisions to be made include:

- Duration of measurements does the project need a series of snapshots, a tidal cycle, spring and neap tides, or a prolonged collection period of weeks or months;
- Frequency of measurement this could be as low as 15 minutes;
- Type of measurement can results be depth or width averaged, or are profiles or traverses needed; and
- Type of meters for example propeller/ultrasonic current meters. Appropriate instruments should be chosen for the expected range of result.

For all these measurements, a Geographical Positioning System (GPS) should be considered to locate the site. Although the positional accuracy should be related to the needs of the study, a GPS may be the most efficient and economical approach.

### 4.3.7 The measurement of water quality

The issues concerning measurement of water quality are similar to those to be made for tidal flow and level. In addition:

- data may need to be collected to define water quality boundary conditions in the model;
  - calibration measurements may take the form of
    - dye dispersion studies;
    - bacterial spore dispersion studies; or
    - load studies at outfalls and overflows.
- collection techniques may include:

•

- bottle/sample collectors;
- depth integrators; and
- probes.

Standard water quality parameters for measurement are:

- Temperature;
- Salinity;
- Total and faecal coliforms;
- Dissolved oxygen, biochemical and sediment oxygen demand;
- Ammoniacal and oxides of nitrogen;
- Turbidity (suspended solids);
- Phosphates; and
- Chlorophyll.

Salinity should always be measured as a conservative solute which can be cheaply and accurately measured in-situ. Additional parameters may need to be considered to meet the needs of a particular study. It should, however, be recognised that non-standard parameters may either be expensive to collect or require expensive non-standard laboratory procedures.

#### 4.3.8 Morphological field work

The collection of sediment data to assess short term changes is a routine process, although a large scale data collection exercise will be an expensive exercise. It must also be remembered that the measurements of sediment flux collected may be of low accuracy and the actual flux may vary considerably between sites and depths. All data collected must be thoroughly checked and validated.

Long term morphological changes are less easy to quantify. Possible sources of data are:

- Historical data sets covering a period of morphological change, such as construction of a barrage;
- Routine data collection, such as for Shoreline Management Systems, ports and occasionally for major construction projects; and
- Research projects, such as LOIS, JoNuS and the like.

If no data is available in the estuary of interest, a programme may need to be designed. Such a programme should consider new data collection techniques such as:

- Remote sensing by satellite;
- Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers;
- Bed frames; and
- Remote bathymetric surveying.

Morphological field work is discussed in more detail in HR Wallingford's recent report on Estuary Morphology and Processes (1996).

### 4.3.9 Ecological assessments

The main purpose(s) or objectives of the estuary model being undertaken will determine to a very great degree the inputs that are needed to make the results of the project meaningful ecologically. There has been a wide range of estuary models. Historically many estuarine models were developed for navigational (much work by ABP, Associated British Ports) or pollution control purposes such as the Thames Estuary model developed by the Water Pollution Research Laboratory (WPRL, now closed) and had little or no ecological input or output. In the last thirty years a number of specialist studies of specific estuaries have been undertaken by research establishments that have attempted to model the whole estuary ecosystem, such as work on the Dutch polders by Delft Laboratory, or by IMER (now PML, Plymouth Marine Laboratories) on the Severn estuary (Uncles, 1980).

There is therefore no generally applicable list as to what elements of estuarine ecosystems should be included in a model study. However as a guide a small number of the types of study are listed in Table 4.1, below with a comment on the environmental data that should be considered.

| Ref | Reason for model                | Environmental data needed 😘   |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
| 1   | Flood defence                   | Tidal, wind and morphological data  |
| 2   | Sediment movement/geomorphology | As (1) plus sediment analysis data  |
| 3   | Navigation/water movement       | Wind, tidal and fluvial flows   |
| 4   | Water quality/pollution control | Tidal and fluvial flows, water quality and pollutant loads.                               |
| 5   | Primary production              | As (4) plus nutrient data, plant biomass, plant vital data including grazing losses       |
| 6   | Secondary production            | As (5) plus animal biomass, and appropriate zoological vital data                         |
| 7   | Fisheries                       | As (5) if ecosystem approach is taken, or fishery statistics if fishery analysis required |
| 8   | Ornithology                     | As (6) or (7), plus bird count records and flight path data                               |

### Table 4.1 - ENVIRONMENTAL DATA NEEDED

Methods of collecting most of the ecological data sets are similar to standard methods that have been devised over the last century for simple routine enumeration or biomass evaluation of these components. These methods have been compiled in a number of convenient handbooks of the Estuarine and Coastal Sciences Association (ECSA), in documents prepared for the Joint European Estuaries Project (JEEP), and in numerous specialist works such as manuals by WPRL and IMER including some recent NRA compilations. The methods are not further described in this manual. Such routine sampling methods may not be wholly adequate in all cases of ecological modelling so that an ecologist should be available to advise upon the need to adopt special sampling measures. For example, in models of dockland areas of estuaries, the effects of filter feeding molluscs growing on vertical walls on phytoplankton mortality could require special sampling techniques. However, the most important requirement that ecological modelling introduces is the need for vital or life cycle data which is used by the model to simulate the effects of the components of the ecosystem on other parts of the ecosystem. Table 4.2, below, shows typical arrays of these vital data, many of which are rate dependant, are shown for selected ecosystem components.

| Organism or<br>trophic level | Vital environmental data needed   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Bacteria                     | Energy requirement:<br>- heterotrophic = carbon<br>- autotrophic = sulphate, ammonia<br>Specific need for nutrients per g biomass<br>Respiratory demand for oxygen per g biomass<br>Growth rate and temperature coefficient<br>Settlement rate for loss to bed<br>Natural mortality rate<br>Rate of return of nutrients from decaying biomass |
| Phytoplankton                | <ul> <li>Similar to those needed for bacteria, plus</li> <li>Specific growth rate for photosynthesis</li> <li>Specific light occlusion caused by cells</li> <li>Grazing losses</li> <li>Possible rate of release of soluble metabolites</li> </ul>  |
| Zooplankton                  | <ul> <li>Similar to those needed for phytoplankton, plus</li> <li>Ingestion rate for selected organisms</li> <li>Coefficient of conversion to biomass of ingestate</li> <li>Rate of reproduction</li> </ul>   |
| Other animals                | <ul> <li>All similar to zooplankton, but:</li> <li>often with specific prey organisms</li> <li>mobile animals need to have a migration term between model segments and perhaps out of and into, the model boundaries on a seasonal basis</li> </ul>   |

#### Table 4.2 - VITAL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

## 4.4 Data storage and transfer

Data storage and transfer arrangements should be designed to:

- Minimise the risk of data loss; and
- Store the data in a form that is readily accessible to the subsequent users.

To ensure these aims:

- Survey contractors should be expected, wherever possible, to use computer loggers and direct down loading of data;
- Survey specifications should include agreed formats and transfer procedures to the project office;
- Backup and data security should follow similar procedures as defined for the modelling itself; and
- Use of a Geographical Information System (GIS) should be considered for the longer term storage and presentation of data. Such a system may require and initial investment of time and money, but often has considerable mid- and long-term benefits.

### 4.5 Checking and validation

#### 4.5.1 Data set assessment

All data collected in the field should be checked and validated, as far as is possible. All data are subject to error. This error will include:

- gross errors;
- minor errors; and
- natural variability.

### 4.5.2 Data errors

Occasional inaccurate measurements or errors in the processing of raw data are present in many data sets. Gross errors can often be detected by screening and the suspect point may then be treated with caution. It is bad practice to completely ignore a suspect point as it is rarely possible to prove that an unusual value is the result of an error and not a correct measurement of a rarely occurring event. These points should be retained in the data set, but treated as outliers; so that the effects of either including or excluding them can be considered. In addition to gross errors many data sets will contain smaller data errors that cannot be detected by screening, but may be subject to significant error. These errors will show themselves as occasional scatter in model calibration and verification.

#### 4.5.3 Natural variability

Another source of scatter in model calibration or verification is 'natural variability', when apparently similar conditions lead to different values that have been correctly measured. Natural variability is an intrinsic feature of natural systems that are only partially monitored. For example, in river flow measurements, similar water flows may be associated with different water levels because of unmeasured changes in river cross-section. As another example, water quality may vary in an apparently random manner because of unmeasured changes in run off or effluent flow and quality.

Natural variability causes data scatter whose range can only be defined by repeated measurement, or reduced by more extensive and intensive surveys. The amount of natural variability needs to be carefully considered in the design of data collection for model calibration and verification as all too easily a single data set can be used for model calibration with no knowledge of how the measured value relates to its natural variability.

### 4.5.4 Checking of data

Following collection, data checks carried out may include:

- screening for outliers;
- correlation with existing periods of record; and
- statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis will generally involve the plotting of a time series, or series of annual maxima or minima, using a selected statistical distribution. Visual inspection or further analysis of the plotted time series may reveal:

- autocorrelation and persistence linear dependence amongst the data may cause certain types of loose patterns in the data. For example, there may be a series of wet or dry years in a flow record;
- seasonality data may show seasonal trends, and need to be split into these seasons for analysis. Examples of this are fish migration and monthly water demands of a major city;
- nonstationarity or trend data may show long term drift of the sample mean, due either to gradual change in the physical environment or measurement error. Topical examples of environmental changes are global warming and sea-level rise, but one is more likely to encounter changes due to creeping urbanisation;
- periodicity and cycles periodicity is typified by water temperature, which fluctuates from day to night and from season to season on a regular daily or annual basis. Cycles are of long period, and often the period of record of data is too short for them to be detected. One of the best examples, albeit far from the UK estuarine situation, is the 30 year raindrought cycle in sub-Saharan Africa. Water and irrigation schemes were designed when only wet cycle records were available. These schemes have proved unreliable as Africa has moved into the dry part of the cycle.
- extreme values outliers are easily detected, but care must be taken whether to accept the value as part of the record, or omit it as an error or outside intervention. Correlation with

similar records from geographically adjacent areas may help analyse such outliers; and known or unknown interventions - for example, construction of a dam, increased abstraction or construction of a sewage treatment works will cause a "step" in the time series data of flow and quality. Only part of the record may be useable for statistical analysis, or the whole record may need to be "naturalised" into its pre-construction state.

Some errors will, however, only be revealed during model calibration. One simple data error that is often missed is a datum shift or error. Consistent calibration errors in a model may be due to trends such as settlement of a gauge, or zero error on an instrument. Such an error is often hard to verify, but may otherwise inexplicable model problems.

### 4.5.5 Infilling of data

Most data records have some missing data values. These may need to be infilled to obtain a continuous record for the purpose of computational modelling. Methods that may be used for infilling are:

- for single values inspection or averaged adjacent records;
- for short sequences correlation with other nearby records; and  $\log$
- for long sequences creation of artificial records by statistical generation.

If significant lengths of record need to be infilled, statistical checks of the resulting record should be carried out to ensure that the record is homogeneous.

# 5 COMPUTATIONAL MODELLING

# 5.1 Project plan

Chapter 2 discusses the possible use of a project plan under an organisation wide quality assurance system such as BS 5750. Such a plan will direct the overall organisation and technical execution of a project. However, computational modelling projects will, in general, require a number of additional quality procedures for the modelling aspects.

These procedures will usually include:

- guidance on selection of a suitable model;
- guidance on model building, calibration and validation; and
- good housekeeping techniques, such as reporting, backup and archiving.

Even for organisations without a full quality assurance system, there are clear benefits from properly regulated modelling procedures. A number of systems have been set out, internally and outside the Agency. The following sections draw on these references.

## 5.2 Model capabilities and limitations

### 5.2.1 Model types

The term "computational model" has been taken in this report and to include:

- Statistical and empirical models; as well as
- Full hydrodynamic models.

### 5.2.2 Modelling physical processes

At the present time, it is computing power that restricts our ability to simulate time scale and length scales that nature uses. For example, sediment entrainment is governed by small scale turbulence of the order of millimetres acting in an unsteady fashion over a period of seconds. To represent anything other than the entrainment of a single sediment particle requires computing power beyond that of the most advanced mainframe. The same is true of boundary friction, diffusion and dispersion of pollutants and freshwater mixing.

The pragmatic way around this problem at present is to integrate processes over much greater time and distance scales. Small scale turbulence will be averaged over a model element of 100m or more in space and over minutes, hours, tidal cycles or even longer in time. The way in which such integration or averaging is carried out determines the type of model developed. For example a steady state model of an estuary may well produce very acceptable results in terms of the seasonal variation of water quality or salinity, but it would not be very good for determining the magnitude of processes within the tidal cycle. Similarly a one dimensional model integrates the processes over an entire cross-section of an estuary, whilst a two dimensional model integrates. processes over the vertical and over a set width of the estuary. Three dimensional models integrate processes over smaller parcels of water within the flow. With increasing complexity, the computing power required increases dramatically, and also the time required for a simulation. Many two dimensional models still only run at the same speed as the prototype. Three dimensional models can be significantly slower.

Hence there are still real practical problems with using a computational model, particularly if it is required to simulate a long period of time, such as a year or more to investigate seasonal trends. Model choice is therefore paramount in determining the success of a project.

Coupled with the choice of the type of model is the degree of accuracy required. This is dependent upon the final use and is determined not only by the scale of the model but also by the accuracy with which those processes are represented in the model. The physical laws built into the models are not precise. As discussed above the laws are derived to represent the integration of process occurring at much smaller scales. Furthermore some of those smaller scale processes, such as the adsorption of metals on particles, or the resistance of moveable bed forms in a tidal flow are not fully understood at present. Hence there are a wide range of approximations that have to be taken into account and tolerances applied to the model results.

### 5.2.3 Modelling low flows in UK estuaries

#### Saline balance

The pattern and limit of saline intrusion in tidal deltaic channels is determined by the balance between the rate of longitudinal mixing causing the landward movement of dissolved salt and the net seaward movement induced by a fresh water discharge. The rate of longitudinal mixing is governed by the strength of the tidal velocities, shape of the channel cross-section and by gravitational circulations induced by longitudinal density (salinity) gradients. The 1D crosssectionally averaged rate of longitudinal mixing may be quantified in terms of an effective coefficient of longitudinal dispersions,  $D_x$  (m<sup>2</sup>/s). As yet, this coefficient can only be quantified using relatively crude empirical relationships which have to be calibrated for each estuary. This means that for a 1D model to be accurate at low flows they must be included in the calibration tests.

#### Gravitational circulation

One of the most important aspects of the hydraulics of the deeper seaward reaches of many UK estuaries is the longitudinal gravitational circulation that is driven by the longitudinal density gradients within the estuary. The magnitude of the net longitudinal pressure gradient, dp/dx, at a depth, z, which causes the gravitational circulation is a function of the slope of the mean tide level,  $d\eta_o/dx$ , and the vertical variation in the tide-averaged longitudinal density gradient,  $d\rho/dx$ , as follows:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dx}\Big|_{x} = g\rho_{g}\frac{d\eta_{0}}{dx} + g\int_{z}^{\eta}\frac{\delta\rho}{\delta x}dz$$

Where  $\rho_s$  is the density of surface water (kg/<sup>me</sup>) g is the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>)

The strength of the gravitational circulation varies directly with the magnitude of the product of the depth and the longitudinal density gradient. It is reduced by vertical mixing, which is usually heavily damped in stratified flows, and by energy dissipation at the bed, which is increased by the occurrence of high tidal velocities in the lower layers. The presence of a longitudinal density gradient within an estuary causes the mean tide levels to rise in a landward direction. The net landward pressure gradient and the net landward residual flow disappear at a 'null point' in the estuary where the two terms on the right hand side of the above equation cancel each other out.

#### Stratification and 2-DV models

The pattern of the gravitational circulation will vary according to the degree of stratification, but it is not dependent on the existence of vertical density stratification. Many relative deep estuaries with weak or negligible vertical stratification have strong gravitational circulations. The longitudinal density gradients tend to distort the shape of the velocity profile on the flood and ebb phases of the tide and thereby induce a net landward longitudinal movement of water in the bed layers seaward of the 'null point' where a turbidity maximum usually occurs. There is a corresponding net seaward flow of water in the surface layers of the estuary giving rise to a twolayer circulation, which controls the water quality in many UK estuaries. The effect is strongest in deep sluggish estuaries and weakest in shallow estuaries with strong tidal currents. It can only be modelled by using a layered 2D in-the-vertical model.

The predictive capability of layered width-averaged models of deeper estuaries (ie the Tyne or Itchen) depends largely on the method of simulating the effect of stratification on vertical turbulent exchange. This should be a well formulated universal function with well defined coefficients that do not have to be adjusted for each estuary. A less important longitudinal dispersion coefficient incorporates the effects of lateral variations in identity and flow velocity.

#### 3-D models

Full three dimensional models have so far, only been used usually to simulate the seaward reaches of the larger UK estuaries. To date, it has not been economic to use 3D models to simulate the fine details of all the bends etc in a small estuary. The fine grid required to do this gives rise to impartially long run times. There is usually little benefit in using 3-5 relatively coarse cells to simulate variations across an estuary, because they would not be able to resolve the detail the secondary flows in the cross-section. However, 3D models do not necessarily need more calibration data than simpler models.

### 5.2.4 Model types and their limitations

Numerical models of hydrodynamics, water quality and the like for estuaries are now so widely used that a diverse range of models types and applications have come into being. These may be divided into three main groups of models:

- Statistical models;
- Empirical or 'black box' models;
- Simplified hydrodynamic models, such as water quality 'box' and plume models;
- Full hydrodynamic models, with an accurate representation of the hydrodynamic equations, often with additional modules to simulate water quality and the like.

Figure 1.2 has shown the wide range of model types available and the applications to which they are typically applied. Hydrodynamic models may further be defined as listed below (based on Cooper and Dearnaley, 1996). Flow, quality, sediment and ecological modelling may require:

- fully 3D flow models;
- hydrostatic pressure 3D flow models (3DH);
- Boussinesq 2DH models;
- hydrostatic pressure 2DH models;
- 2D 2 layer models (2D2L);
- hydrostatic 2DV models (horizontal 1D models with vertical variation modelled); and
- 1D models.

Quality and sediment modelling may also use plume models.

Sediment modelling may further require point models and particle (Lagrangain) models.

Despite the trend towards single program suites with a wide range of modules, it remains difficult to build a model that can be used for a wide range of purposes, such as flood defence, water quality, morphology and wave modelling. There are significant differences in:

- Scale and detail of the models; and
- Time steps and scales of the processes modelled.

However, it may be possible to use base topographical data, flow and level data, and other general models between applications.

All model types have inherent limitations, and should not be applied outside the applications for which they were designed. The strengths and limitations of the model types available are set out in Figure 5.1.
# Figure 5.1 - COMPUTATIONAL MODELS - STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

| Model type              | Strengths  | Limitations .   |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Full 3D                 | 1) Full and accurate modelling of<br>transverse and vertical variations of<br>parameters in estuary.   | <ol> <li>Limited application to date to<br/>estuary and coastal applications.</li> <li>Free surface treatment complex.</li> <li>Extensive data collection required<br/>for calibration.</li> <li>Expensive to run.</li> </ol> |
| Hydrostatic 3D          | <ol> <li>1) Good modelling of transverse and<br/>vertical variations of parameters in<br/>estuary.</li> <li>2) Wider use to date than full 3D<br/>approach.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>With some grid layouts, less<br/>accurate in modelling of density effects<br/>than full 3D models.</li> <li>Extensive data collection required<br/>for calibration.</li> <li>Expensive to run.</li> </ol>            |
| Hydrostatic 2D.         | <ol> <li>Established modelling approach.</li> <li>Model can be built from similar data<br/>set to 1D model.</li> <li>Calibration data requirements not<br/>excessive.</li> <li>Will give reasonable representation<br/>of most modelled uses and processes.</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Vertical profiles due to stratification<br/>not represented.</li> <li>Care needed at model limits to<br/>establish realistic boundary conditions.</li> </ol>   |
| 2D 2 layer              | 1) Allows simulation of stratified flow<br>without using full 3D model.  | <ol> <li>Care needed to establish realistic<br/>layers and flows between layers.</li> </ol>   |
| Hydrostatic 2DV         | <ol> <li>Allows simulation of stratified<br/>estuary without complexity of full 3D<br/>model.</li> <li>Uses similar data set to 1D model.</li> </ol>   | 1) Transverse variations across estuary not represented.  |
| 1D                      | <ol> <li>Simple and cheap to set up.</li> <li>Robust in operation</li> <li>Existing model may exist.</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>1) Transverse variations across estuary<br/>not represented.</li> <li>2) Vertical profiles due to stratification<br/>not represented.</li> </ol>   |
| Plume, point & particle | <ol> <li>Gives accurate representation of<br/>surface and 3D plumes not truly<br/>represented by 2D models.</li> <li>Simple to use.</li> </ol>   | 1) May require float tracking or 2D modelling to establish flow paths.  |
| Statistical             | <ol> <li>Often quick and inexpensive to carry out analysis.</li> <li>Analysis closely reflects recorded data.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>May not be easy to simulate changes<br/>in the system.</li> <li>Not suited to detailed or localised<br/>modelling studies.</li> </ol>  |

For examples of model types, see Figure 5.5.

# 5.3 Selection of software

#### 5.3.1 Model types for estuary uses

As indicated in Section 2.3, the choice of model is in some ways pragmatic, based on availability, budget and experience of use. However, at the start of a project a the best model type for that application should be selected. Figures 5.2 to 5.5 present a series of tables that can be used to assist in the model selection for a range of particular uses. These figures indicate what type of model ideally to use for different types of estuaries and different estuary uses:

- Figure 5.2 shows the model types that may be needed to study a particular estuarine use;
- Figure 5.3 and 5.4 show the range of applications that may be available for any model type; and
- Figure 5.5 gives a decision matrix leading from estuary classification to model type.

### 5.3.2 Other factors

However, the choice of a software package also should be based on a range of technical and practical factors, such as:

- technical suitability of software for project;
- organisation policy on standardised software;
- availability of software in-house;
- cost and project budget;
- staff experience and/or training.

In many cases, a degree of compromise may be needed in selecting software for a project. A small project may not justify purchase of a complex modelling system; less but sufficiently accurate results may be obtained from a simpler package already existing in-house. Conversely, it may be efficient to use a complex package on a simple task if it is already available and there is an experienced user base.

If a new software package is to be purchased two groups of features need to be assessed:

- technical capabilities, or the algorithms within the software; and
- user features, such as menus and presentation graphics.

Fortunately, most new commercial software contains both established and reliable solution techniques and a high degree of user-friendliness and quality of output. However, the visual benefits of the software should not be put before its technical suitability for a project.



Figure 5.2 - USE IMPACT & MODEL TYPE



Figure 5.3 - COMPUTATIONAL MODEL APPLICATIONS

32 ·

| Model    | Application  | Appropriate<br>type   |
|----------|--|---|
| Flow     | <ol> <li>1 or 2D models usually adequate</li> <li>2) Floods</li> <li>3) Storm surge</li> <li>4) Sea level rise</li> <li>5) Deltas</li> <li>6) Large area model &amp; density variations</li> <li>7) Flow in bends and tidal eddies</li> <li>8) Intakes (detailed model)</li> <li>9) Flow over trench or channel</li> </ol> | 1D/2DH<br>2DH<br>2DH<br>Looped 1D<br>3DH<br>3D<br>3D<br>3D<br>3D<br>3D                |
| Quality  | <ol> <li>Travel distance into estuary.</li> <li>Travel distance into estuary, stratified.</li> <li>Distribution across estuary.</li> <li>Distribution across estuary, stratified.</li> <li>Long term quality models.</li> <li>Plumes.</li> <li>Cooling water.</li> </ol>   | 1D<br>2DV<br>2D<br>3D or 2D2L<br>2DH or<br>coarse 3D<br>2DH plus<br>plume or 3D<br>3D |
| Sediment | <ol> <li>1) 1D models rarely appropriate.</li> <li>2) Sediment movement due to engineering works.</li> <li>3) Siltation/flushing of harbour basin.</li> <li>4) Dredging and resuspension of sediment.</li> <li>5) Long term morphology.</li> </ol>   | 2DH or<br>2D2L<br>3D<br>Plume   |

Adapted from Cooper and Dearnaley (1996)

# Figure 5.4 - MODEL APPLICATIONS AND APPROPRIATE TYPES

.

.



Figure 5.5 - MODEL TYPE SELECTION

### 5.3.3 Validation of software

ISO 9003 defines validation as the evaluation of software for compliance with specified requirements. It is rarely possible to carry out validation of a computational modelling program due to the complexity of the software. For some programs, a "validation document" may be available. Otherwise, independent bench marking reviews, such as those recently carried out for the Agency may be preferred (Cooper, 1996). If no other information is available, reliance may have to be put on the software's reputation within the water industry, or the reputation of the software company.

# 5.4 Change control

# 5.4.1 Definition

Change control is an important feature of all quality assurance systems. For modelling projects, change control implies the recording of all changes to the input conditions to any phase of work or activity. These records should be part of a procedure that creates an audit trail; in addition, all staff who are affected by the changes should be informed of them.

### 5.4.2 Change control of modelling work

Typical change within a modelling project could be:

- revised, or more often more detailed survey data;
- correction to errors of recorded flow or level data;
- modifications to the configuration of a model due to increased understanding of the processes involved.

For many modelling projects, only a small number of people are involved in the actual modelling work. In this case, change control may be exercised using the project run log, described in Section 5.6.1, below. Any significant change should be clearly marked in the log.

For larger projects, the modelling director may issue a memo or change note detailing the changes and requiring staff to sign off the memo when they have taken action to assess the impact of the change on their work.

# 5.4.3 Change control of software

The majority of modelling projects at this time use commercially developed software. Change control of software is therefore not often an issue. If, however, commercial software is upgraded or a new release installed, during a project, the new software should be validated against the previously used version. Experience has shown that small numerical differences do on occasion occur with such upgrades. The new software should be tested on typical sets of data from the project, to check consistency.

If the project does require the writing or modification of software, such writing should ideally follow one of the industry standard specifications. If one of these specifications is not used, a detailed specification of the programming work should still be followed. If software changes are made during the course of a project, such changes should be tested and validated both on project data and data independent of the project.

# 5.5 Building, calibration and validation

# 5.5.1 Building

Following project definition and data collection, building the model should be a straightforward process. It is, however, important that:

- construction is carried out in a logical and well recorded manner. Records should be kept of all data used, and modifications made to the data in the course of building. This will allow future review of the model during the project; and
- the model discretisation is reviewed during construction. Modifications to the original model design may be necessary in the light of data collection.

### 5.5.2 Calibration

Calibration points will have been defined before the data collection exercise. These points and the data to be collected will be dependent on:

- the accuracy required of the model and the important model areas where the highest accuracy is needed;
- the range of calibration conditions, such as seasonal data, spring and neap tides.

Model parameters should be chosen with care. In particular:

- parameters should lie within published ranges. Data collected showing parameters outside these ranges should be carefully reviewed, since errors in data collection are probable rather than the usual physical limits of a parameter been extended.
- automatic calibration of models by the software should be viewed with caution. Again, physically realistic parameters must be chosen.

Procedures should be selected to assess the goodness of fit of the calibrated points. These will include assessments of maximum, minimum and average values, and perhaps root mean squared errors between recorded and modelled values.

It should be accepted that there will always be differences between the calibrated model and the recorded values. Models become increasingly difficult to calibrate with increasing dimensionality. It is important that model calibration reports draw attention to both areas of good and poor calibration, and explain the areas of poor fit. It is particularly important that poor fits are explained, rather than the model "forced" to a fit, since:

- Although such forcing may be corrected during validation, it is also possible that calibration and validation by similar recorded conditions may give acceptable results. However, the model may not be fit for purpose for simulation of a more extreme event; and
- Hiding a poor fit may be misleading for future users of the model, especially where the fit is later found to be due to data errors perhaps an inaccurate flow or level gauge rather than a fault with the model.

#### 5.5.3 Validation

The expressions "verification" and "validation" have both been used for the proving of a computational model. Verification implies that the model is shown to be true to life. Although this is necessary, it is also essential that the model is validated - that is fit for purpose and meeting its performance objectives.

Validation should be carried out using an independent data set. It may also be possible to use different types of data measurement from those used in calibration - for example float tracks rather than current meters. No model parameters should be changed between the calibration and validation tests.

# 5.6 Run referencing and archiving

### 5.6.1 Run referencing

The user should allocate a serial number to each 'production' run that is undertaken. Production runs are those that form part of the calibration, validation, scenario testing and design process. No record need be kept of test runs, say, whilst constructing a model and obtaining an error free run, although this may be useful.

The runs shall be recorded on a log sheet. Use of a standard log sheet is to be preferred; an example is included as Figure 5.6. These records should be sufficient to allow another person to repeat the runs without reference to the original user. The run number should be used for cross-referencing between input/output listings and other related calculations or documentation.

| Project              |      |             |      |                     | Project                     | Program            |
|----------------------|------|-------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Name:                |      |             |      |                     | Number:                     | Name:              |
| Aspect<br>of Project |      |             |      |                     | Component<br>Number:        | Version<br>Number: |
| Run No               | Date | Results Ref | User | Description of runs | s and any program modificat | ions               |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             | ، و                |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |
|                      |      |             |      |                     |                             |                    |

Form to be completed by operator as work proceeds and subsequently stored with project records Results reference number to be for either/or electronic/hard copy

# Figure 5.6 - TYPICAL RUN LOG SHEET

R&D Technical Report W168

#### 5.6.2 Run archiving

Regular backup of project files should be an established discipline for all computer users; it is surprising, though, how often this essential action is omitted by the user and work is lost. It is therefore worthwhile defining a backup policy for all modelling projects. This will usually follow one of the following forms:

- For organisations with networked computers and a regular central backup facility, all project files should be held on media that is regularly backed up. This may be a central server, or a specified area of the user's own PC.
- Some backup systems require data to be copied from a local PC to an area on the server. A procedure should be set up for project files to be regularly copied to this area.
- For a stand-alone computer system, a project specific backup procedure should be developed. The frequency of backup should reflect the magnitude and intensity of working on a project. For some projects, weekly backup could be acceptable; for others ... a daily save will be essential. In all cases, a full backup should be retained at monthly intervals. This both allows the recovery of historic project data during the course of the project, and introduces new recording media into the save cycle.

Project backups do not require the level of documentation required for archiving. It may be assumed that the backup is only used to recover lost or corrupted files, and that the user will be able to identify the required files from his run log and other working notes.

Full archiving will generally occur at the end of a project, or a major stage of the project. The user should ensure that sufficient records are kept of any computer generated calculations so that the method and sequence of the modelling study or design can be clearly seen by others without needing to refer to the original user. All paper and digital media records should be clearly labelled and indexed.

In general, a mixture of paper copy and digital media should be archived. The balance between these media will depend on the nature of the model software, and the extent to which it can output its own maps, diagrams and the like. However, sufficient paper records should be kept to enable a future user to gain a reasonable understanding of the model from these records before accessing the digital data. The paper archive should hold:

- a copy of the modelling report;
- details of the model schematisation; ...
- details of survey, flow and other data used for model construction;
- details of model parameters;
- details of the calibration and validation;
- a copy of the run log sheets;
- typical input and, where possible, output files; and
- a complete index of computer files for the model.

Ideally, much of the above information can be included in the modelling report.

In many complex modelling programs, particularly the 2-D models used in estuarine modelling, it is impracticable to retain hard copies of model input and output, either due to the output not being held in an ASCII file, or due to its size. In this case, all data should be archived on digital media, but should be cross-referenced to the paper records.

A degree of judgement should be exercised as to the extent of model data to be retained. Experience has shown that it is often more difficult to restart a project after a long interval from extensive archived model input files, than from a few strategically selected files. The aim should be to archive sufficient files to allow the new user to reproduce the progression of production runs recorded on the run log sheet.

Duplicate copies of archived digital media should be retained at separate locations, preferably in separate buildings to guard against:

- deterioration of the digital media; and
- disaster such as fire.

On completion of a modelling project, and when all paper and digital records are archived, any copies of the project digital data stored on 'active' computer systems should be deleted.

# 5.7 Reporting

#### 5.7.1 Approach to reporting

The object of reporting is to provide a permanent record of the modelling work. It should include:

- the reasons why a modelling study has been carried out;
- a detailed description of the work;
- the results;
- the way the model results relate to the overall study objectives; and
- the conclusions.

The computational modelling report of a project forms the primary record of the work carried out. A comprehensive modelling report is essential for the smooth handover or transfer of a completed model. It also forms a starting place for any additional work carried out in the future. It is important that the report contains:

- a detailed description of the modelling process and assumptions;
- a discussion on the uncertainty of the model predictions due to uncertainty of model parameters, input data and inherent limitations of the model; and
- a balanced view of the modelling, including discussion of problems encountered in the modelling process, shortcomings in calibration and data, and the likely accuracy of the results.

# 5.7.2 Contents

It is preferable to establish a report structure at an early stage of a project. Elements of the report can then be completed as the work is carried out. This is particularly helpful in areas such as calibration of the model and also scenario testing, where despite the run logs and other records kept, it is easy to forget details of the work. The report should include the following:

- Summary
- Background, including description of the problem and the study area
- Methodology, including choice and limitations of modelling technique
- Modelling:
  - Data collection
  - Model design
  - Model building, including model parameters
  - Model calibration and validation
  - Scenario testing/design
- Discussion of results
  - Applied to project objectives
  - Applied to other related studies (eg environmental)
- Conclusions and recommendations
- References
- Appendices
  - Detailed calibration report (if too long for main text)
  - Index of model files

The text should be supported by suitable tables and figures as appropriate.

# 5.8 Transfer arrangements

As discussed above, the transfer of a model to another user, either internally or from consultant to client, is greatly simplified by a comprehensive modelling report and careful archiving of the model data. It is recommended that when a modelling contract is let, these reporting and archiving requirements are specified in the modelling terms of reference. Such an arrangement benefits both client and consultant, since the former gets what they want and the latter knows what is expected.

# 6 STATISTICAL APPROACHES

# 6.1 Environmetrics

The discipline of environmetrics is defined as the development and application of statistical methodologies and techniques in environmental sciences. It has grown largely from the traditional application of statistics to hydrological and water quality data. These methods are familiar to most practising engineers and estuary managers, and include:

- statistical distributions;
- informative graphical techniques;
- regression analysis; and
- nonparametric tests.

However, a range of new techniques have been developed for the analysis of time series data. These can only be dealt with briefly in this manual, but the reader is referred to references such as Hipel (1994) for a full treatment of the subject.

# 6.2 Stochastic techniques

The two overall categories into which mathematical models can be placed are:

- deterministic where a model can be employed for determining exactly all the states of a system. Most computer modelling systems are deterministic; and
- stochastic where the state of a system can only be described using probabilistic statements and hence its precise value cannot be known.

Most natural phenomena occurring in environmental systems behave in random or probabilistic ways. Hence, stochastic techniques will always have a significant part to play in estuarine or other water related studies, even if only providing boundary conditions to a computer model.

Figure 6.1 shows a further classification of stochastic models, according to their time and space state. Most stochastic approaches suitable for environmental engineering fall into the time series model group. The reason for this is that in order to record the behaviour of, or to understand a natural system, scientists or engineers take measurements at discrete intervals over time.

Time series models are available for data analysis, forecasting, simulating systems and decision making. Of these models, perhaps the most relevant to the kind of impact analyses carried out during estuarine studies is the *intervention model*.

#### Figure 6.1 - CLASSIFICATION OF STOCHASTIC MODELS

|      | -          | Discrete           | Continuous                              |
|------|------------|--------------------|---|
|      | Discrete   | Markov<br>Chains   | Time Series<br>Models                   |
| TIME | Continuous | Point<br>Processes | Stochastic<br>Differential<br>Equations |

#### STATE SPACE

#### 6.3 Intervention models

The intervention model is a type of cross-correlation model that is especially well suited for use in environmental impact assessment. Qualitatively, the intervention model has the form:

| Output   |   | Multiple | <u>+</u> | Multiple      | لل | Missing | _L | Noise  |
|----------|---|----------|----------|---------------|----|---------|----|--------|
| Variable | - | inputs   | Т        | Interventions | Ŧ  | Data    | Т  | 110150 |

In addition to describing the effects of multiple input series upon a single response variable, the intervention model can simultaneously model the effects of one or more external interventions upon the mean level of the output series, estimate missing observations and handle correlated noise. For an estuarine water quality application, an intervention model could be written as:

| Water<br>Quality<br>Variable | = | River<br>Flows | ÷ | Other<br>Water<br>Quality<br>Variables | + | Multiple<br>Interventions | + | Missing<br>Data | ÷ | Noise |
|------------------------------|---|----------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------|---|-------|
|------------------------------|---|----------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------|---|-------|

The intervention model is one of the most comprehensive and flexible models available for use in environmetrics. Its use is fully documented in Hipel (1994).

#### 6.4 Data requirements

Although stochastic methods provide a relatively simple and quick approach to computational modelling, their data requirements should not be underestimated. The use of existing data sets is essential for a quick analysis. Otherwise, stochastic, as with deterministic modelling, will require an extensive data collection programme, and the speed of a stochastic study is lost.

# 6.5 Data quality

Aside from statistical modelling of estuaries in its own right, statistical approaches will probably be used to derive inflow sequences to a hydrodynamic model. Further, statistical approaches can be used to assess the quality of data. Any assessment of the quality of data used in a mathematical model of an estuary depends on answers to the following questions:

- 1. How complete is the coverage of data on freshwater flows into the estuary?
- 2. How accurately are gauged flows measured?
- 3. How accurately can the ungauged portion of inflows be estimated?
- 4. How sensitive is the process being modelled (eg. sedimentation, water quality/salinity, biological response) to the level of freshwater inflows and the fresh/salt water balance?

The answer to these questions should guide the investigator as to whether computational modelling is a viable option to provide the required answers and if so, as to the degree of confidence that should be placed on the answers.

# 6.6 Quality control

The following procedure is a list of checks that the modeller/analyst should go through to assess/verify the quality of data being used to represent freshwater flows to an estuary.

Coverage .

- 1. Estimate the proportion of the land area contributing freshwater flows to the estuary that is gauged by flow monitoring stations.
- 2. Assess how accurately flows from ungauged areas can be estimated.
- 3. Consider whether there might be any significant groundwater flows to the estuary via springs in its bed and/or banks in addition to surface water flows. (Look at the hydraulic gradient indicated by groundwater contours).

### Accuracy - rapid/gross checks

4. Consider the gross annual water balance of the gauged catchment(s) in terms of depth in millimetres:

precipitation - runoff = catchment losses

Long-term mean annual precipitation can be read from an isohyetal map such as that produced by the Meteorological Office for the 1941-70 standard period.

In the UK, catchment losses generally fall in the range 300 mm to 600 mm with the majority of values lying between 350 and 500. Losses can lie outside this range but

are less likely to be genuine values. Such values indicate a possible problem either with the estimate of catchment precipitation or with the flows themselves and should be checked in detail.

- 5. Where flow data are stored in computer files, rapid checks can be carried out by plotting daily or monthly flow hydrographs for the available period of record. Daily flow hydrographs in particular can often allow suspect periods of record to be quickly identified from the range of flows and from the pattern of floods and recession curves.
- 6. Where data are available for more than one location on the same or adjacent rivers, comparison of flow hydrographs should reveal similar patterns of flow (eg. approximate coincidence of peaks and troughs) if the data are consistent and reliable.
- 7. If spot measurements of discharge are available, plotting these in superimposition on the daily flow hydrograph can indicate if there is a systemmatic bias in the computation of a flow record (eg. a rating curve that consistently under- or over-estimates discharge for a given water level).

Accuracy - detailed checks

- 8. If both water level and discharge data are stored on computer file, a plot of one against the other will show:
  - a) the accuracy and consistency of the flow computation
  - b) the stage/discharge relationships that have been used to compute flow
- 9. If a stage/discharge relationship has been used to compute the flow record, discharge computed from current meter measurements can be plotted against contemporaneous readings of gauge height to check the validity of the relationship.

# 6.7 Conclusions

In recent years, the deterministic computer model has become the focus of modelling studies. However, advances is statistical approaches have also been made. The statistical model remains a powerful, and often simple, tool to facilitate data analysis and decision making. However, the extent of data collection required for a stochastic approach may be little different than that for a deterministic model.

The most effective modelling approach is perhaps one where stochastic and deterministic methods are used side by side. The stochastic model is used to prepare and check time series data sets as input to the deterministic model. The deterministic model is used for local and detailed examination of a problem. Its results are then analysed stochastically for reliability and significance. Such as approach is used today in most modelling studies. However, a fuller use of stochastic models could be used for data preparation and analysis.

# 7 CONTINUED USE OF MODEL

# 7.1 General

It is often necessary for a computation model to be used on occasion after a project, or a stage of a project, is completed. The model may be used:

- to produce additional runs with minor data variations;
- to progress a design, say, from feasibility to detailed design; or
- to aid a new study in the same estuary.

In addition, the model may be transferred from client to consultant, or vice versa, or between staff in either organisation.

In all cases, particular care must be taken to ensure that the new users understand the model, its purpose and its limitations. If the model has been documented and archived correctly, this process will be simplified. However, the project manager should allow adequate time for the new user to:

- read documentation and, if available, calculations and working files for the model;
- carry out a series of test runs to establish for themselves the stability and performance of the model;
- contact, if possible, the last user to discuss the construction and running of the model. There are often undocumented details in the model construction, that may not appear significant to the original constructor, that later users find difficult to understand.

The procedures followed in running and reporting on the model should be as described in the preceding sections. The following sections highlight particular points in the continuing use of a model.

# 7.2 Run referencing and archiving

Run referencing may follow the same procedures as for the original use of the model, or those generally adopted by the new users. It is, however, prudent to:

- maintain a consistent set of file names between users; and
- never to re-use file names.

# 7.3 Change control

Similarly, all changes to the model should be documented. However, particular care should be taken by the new user to ensure that they understand the overall impact of the change on the model, and that the validity of the model is not diminished by these changes.

# 7.4 Re-validation using additional data sets

If a model is being re-used after some time, it is likely that additional data are available for additional calibration and re-validation of the model. Wherever possible, such re-validation should be carried out.

# 7.5 Risks of inappropriate application of model

When a model is re-used, there is always a finite risk that it is used inappropriately. This may be due to:

- Lack of understanding of the model by the new user;
- Use of the model for parameter ranges for which it was not designed; or
- Use of the model for purposes for which it was not designed.

As noted above, lack of understanding of the new user can best be overcome by good documentation and allowing time for the user to familiarise themselves with the model.

Change of parameters could, for example, be use of a flood flow model for simulating low flows in a water resources study. A well calibrated model for flood flow could be 100% out in predicting recession and low flows.

Change of purpose could, for example, be the use of a flood model for water quality or morphological studies. The survey requirements for these studies may vary. Flood models will require more detailed knowledge of out of bank areas, whilst morphological models may require more detailed survey of the main channels and areas of known sediment movement. Time scales of the model run may change, too, from hours to days to years.

Despite the above risks, much base topographical, flow and level data in a model will be useful for all uses. The risk of inappropriate use of the model can be minimised by:

- re-validation of the model for its new parameters or purpose;
- additional survey in critical portions of the model; and
- use of sensitivity analyses to assess potential errors.

# 8 ESTUARINE MODELLING AND DATA COLLECTION STRATEGY

# 8.1 Modelling strategy

The R&D Technical Report (W113) accompanying this manual sets out a management and technical approach to freshwater flow need studies. The approach is designed to make best use of existing and emerging technology, using concepts from risk assessment. This approach is summarised below:

| STAGE 1  |             | Review existing reports and check data availability.            |  |
|----------|-------------|---|--|
| STAGE 2  |             | Classify estuary type and select estuary reaches.               |  |
| STAGE 3  |             | Classify estuary type and select estuary reaches.               |  |
| STAGE 4  | ан<br>19. ј | For low impact uses and processes, use simple analysis methods. |  |
| STAGE 5  |             | If appropriate, carry out preliminary analysis.                 |  |
| STAGE 6  | . •         | Review design standards and set out study standards.            |  |
| STAGE 7  |             | Complete risk matrix, seasonal variations and risk assessment.  |  |
| STAGE 8  |             | For each use and reach, select appropriate analysis method.     |  |
| STAGE 9  |             | Rationalise and optimise model design.                          |  |
| STAGE 10 |             | Cost modelling studies:   |  |
| STAGE 11 |             | Consider joint funding of modelling, or simpler models.         |  |
| STAGE 12 |             | Set up modelling contracts.                                     |  |
| STAGE 13 |             | Carry out or manage modelling studies.                          |  |
| STAGE 14 |             | Analyse model results and set MRF.                              |  |
| STAGE 15 |             | Post-project appraisal.   |  |

# 8.2 Data collection strategy

The management approach stresses the need for a coherent data management policy. At present data collected by the Agency may not always be easily retrievable for future studies. A policy is needed that:

- Encourages project teams to archive data; and
- Creates a simple, yet comprehensive, database to allow retrieval of this data.

The range of data requirements for modelling projects is defined in the tables in this Chapter. Existing data will normally be available from a range of sources, and will include:

- baseline data, such as topographic survey and mapping;
- routine data collection and sampling; and
- project specific data.

Although some Agency regions aim to collect data by routine sampling that will be adequate for future modelling needs, most studies will need to apply data in the following way:

- baseline data can be used for basic model construction;
- additional survey will be needed for localised topographic detail and calibration for the area of interest; and
- sampling data and earlier studies can be used for secondary calibration and validation.

# 8.3 Sets of models and supporting data

### 8.3.1 Hydrodynamics

The hydrodynamic model itself may be of marginal use for water resources studies, except perhaps in navigation studies.

However, a hydrodynamic base model often forms the basis of other, for example quality, studies, the results from one forming an input to the second model.

Features of estuarine hydrodynamic models are shown in Table 8.1.

# 8.3.2 Quality/salinity

The simulation of water quality by computational models, is an established analysis technique. Simulation may be of conservative parameters, such as salinity, or may include algorithms for the decay or reaction of parameters, such as biological oxygen demand (BOD).

Features of estuarine quality models are shown in Table 8.2.

#### 8.3.3 Morphology/sediment

Short term modelling of sediment movement is well established.

The choice of sediment transport equation used in an analysis often has a significant effect on the volume of material transported. Calibration of the model is often difficult, as care must be taken to obtain representative field measurements.

Long term morphological modelling is a growing science. The extrapolation of short term models can only give indicative results. Long term, moving bed, models that can, say, simulate the effect of a dredged channel must be considered approximate in nature at present. Any such model may at present include a degree of research.

Features of estuarine morphological models are shown in Table 8.3.

#### 8.3.4 Ecology/Fish

Ecological modelling is still in its infancy. Although models that simulate biological processes have been written, their use should, at present, be considered complicated, possibly with a degree of research included in the project.

However, simpler methods will give an insight into the ecological impact on an estuary.

Quality models may be used where a quality parameter is directly associated with ecological factors, for example temperature and the migratory fish.

Features of estuarine morphological models are shown in Table 8.4.

#### Table 8.1 - MODEL APPLICATIONS - HYDRODYNAMIC

| ·                                  | SIMPLE<br>MODEL  | INTERMEDIATE<br>MODEL  | COMPLICATED<br>MODEL   |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| MODEL<br>TYPE                      | ELSimple "hydrodynamic" models<br>general consist of statistical analysis<br>of flow or level records.Traditional 1-D, depth and width<br>averages dynamic hydrodynamic<br>model.  |  | Detailed 2-D hydrodynamic model.<br>Small grid size.   |
|                                    | Correlation of this record with other<br>records, such as fish counts or<br>dredging quantities, can provide a<br>reliable basis for decision making.  | Simple 2-D model, built from<br>existing data or Admiralty charts.<br>Large grid size.   | All 3-D models.  |
| DATA<br>NEEDS                      | Basic data needs are flow or level<br>records.<br>If statistical analysis is to be carried<br>out, as long a record as possible<br>should be used.   | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.   | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.   |
| ASSUMPTIONS                        | The hydrological or tidal data used<br>follows a known statistical<br>distribution.  | For 1-D model, depth and width<br>averaged flows assumed to be a good<br>approximation.  | For 2-D model, grid assumed to capture character of tidal currents to a good approximation.  |
|                                    | If this data is correlated with other<br>parameters, it is assumed that there is<br>a real correlation between these<br>parameters, and that the system is<br>independent of other data.                                 | For 2-D model, coarse grid assumed<br>to capture character of tidal currents<br>to a good approximation.   | For 3-D model, assumptions may<br>need to be made for horizontal slices<br>between the saline and fresh water<br>layers of the model, or the<br>mechanisms for vertical interchange<br>of water between the layers.  |
| UNCERTAINTY<br>IN RESULTS/<br>DATA | Results will only be as accurate as<br>the confidence limits of the statistical<br>analysis. Results will only be as<br>accurate as the data sets; care should<br>be taken in checking the quality of<br>gauged records. | Results will be accurate as input data<br>to model.<br>For 1-D, and to a lesser extent 2-D<br>models, local variations in flow and<br>level may not be correctly modelled.                 | Results will be accurate as input data<br>to model.<br>For 2-D, and to a lesser extent 3-D<br>models, local variations in flow and<br>level may not be correctly modelled.   |
| EXAMPLE                            | Statistical correlation has been<br>carried out between flow and fish<br>numbers in the River Severn.  | 1-D flow models have been used<br>successfully on the Norfolk<br>Broadland, where extensive flood<br>banks and urbanisation at Great<br>Yarmouth have limited the width of<br>the estuary. | <ul> <li>2-D models, for example the series of models used in Poole Harbour, Dorset, have been used to capture the complex two dimensional layout of the estuary and the differing ebb and flood tidal channels.</li> <li>3 Demodule should be considered for</li> </ul> |
|                                    |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 3-D models should be considered for stratified estuaries.  |
| TIMESCALE<br>AND COST              | Timescale will be low - analysis will take less than a month.  | Typical analysis time will be 2 months for the modelling.  | Typical analysis time will be 4 months for the modelling.  |
|                                    | Cost in the range £2,000 to £5,000.  | Modelling costs in the range £10,000 to £20,000.   | Modelling costs in the range £40,000<br>to £80,000.  |
|                                    |  | Survey costs in the range £20,000 to £30,000.  | Survey costs in the range £30,000 to £60,000.  |

•

# Table 8.2 - MODEL APPLICATIONS - QUALITY/SALINITY.

· .

|                                      | SIMPLE<br>MODEL   | INTERMEDIATE<br>MODEL  | COMPLICATED<br>MODEL  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| MODEL /<br>TYPE                      | Statistical analysis of quality records<br>may be used to show seasonal<br>variations, or correlation of quality<br>with flow.<br>Plume models for pollution outfalls,<br>or sediment sources.<br>The 1-D tidal averaged ('box')<br>model for a simple quality study. | Short term 1-D model. Short term<br>defined as model where all<br>calibration and scenario testing<br>carried out over a short period, such<br>as a few "snapshot" tides.<br>Short term 2-D model.   | Long term 1-D models. Long term<br>defined as model is run for an<br>extended period, perhaps to simulate<br>seasonal changes in quality.<br>Long term 2-D models.<br>All 3-D models.   |
| DATA ::<br>NEEDS                     | For statistics, quality records.<br>For plume models, current data from<br>float tracking or hydrodynamic<br>modelling.<br>For box model, the volume data of<br>each reach modelled, and basic<br>information on the tidal excursion.<br>Field data for calibration.  | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for houndary<br>conditions and calibration.<br>Quality data for boundary conditions<br>and calibration  | Topographic data<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.<br>Quality data for boundary conditions<br>and calibration  |
|                                      | For statistical analysis, if quality data<br>is correlated with other parameters, it<br>is assumed that there is a real<br>correlation between them.  | For 1-D model, depth and width<br>averaged flows assumed to be a good<br>approximation.<br>For 2-D model, coarse grid assumed<br>to capture character of tidal currents<br>to a good approximation.  | For 2-D model, grid assumed to<br>capture character of tidal currents to<br>a good approximation.<br>For 3-D model, assumptions may<br>need to be made for horizontal slices<br>between the saline and fresh water<br>layers of the model, a salinity profile,<br>or the mechanisms for vertical<br>interchange. of water and<br>pollutant/salinity between the layers. |
| UNCERTAINTY .<br>IN RESULTS/<br>DATA | Results will only be as accurate as<br>the confidence limits of statistical<br>analysis.<br>In all cases, results will only be as<br>accurate as the data sets.   | Results will be accurate as input data<br>to model.<br>For 1-D, and to a lesser extent 2-D<br>models, local variations in quality<br>may not be correctly modelled. A<br>particular case here is the<br>concentration of effluent in the plume<br>at an outfall. | Results will be accurate as input data<br>to model<br>For 2-D, and to a lesser extent 3-D<br>models, local variations in<br>concentration may not be correctly<br>modelled.   |
| EXAMPLE : .:                         | A box model was used to investigate<br>the impact of cooling water for the<br>new Barking power station on the<br>tidal Thames.   | 1-D salinity models have been used<br>on the Norfolk Broadland, where<br>stratification is not a major concern,<br>but the penetration of the saline<br>wedge during spring tides may have<br>severe ecological impact.  | 2-D models, for example the series of<br>models used in Poole Harbour,<br>Dorset, have been used to simulate<br>the impact of effluent from the<br>treatment works around the estuary.  |
| TIMESCALE<br>AND COST                | Timescale will be low - analysis will<br>take less than a month.<br>Cost in the range £2,000 to £5,000.   | Typical analysis time will be 3<br>months for the modelling.<br>Modelling costs in the range £15,000<br>to £30 000.  | Typical analysis time will be 4<br>months for the modelling.<br>Modelling costs in the range £40,000<br>to £80 000.   |
|                                      |   | Survey costs in the range £30,000 to £40,000.  | Survey costs in the range £50,000 to £60,000.   |

**.** .

#### Table 8.3 - MODEL APPLICATIONS - MORPHOLOGY/SEDIMENT

|                                    | SIMPLE<br>MODEL  | INTERMEDIATE<br>MODEL  | COMPLICATED<br>MODEL  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| MODEL<br>TYPE                      | Statistical analysis of records such as<br>dredged volume may show<br>correlation of deposition with flow.<br>Plume models conform a simple<br>approach for sediment modelling<br>close to sediment sources. | Short term fixed bed 1-D model.<br>Short term defined as model where<br>all calibration and scenario testing<br>carried out over a short period, such<br>as a few "snapshot" tides.<br>Short term fixed bed 2-D model. | Long term 1-D and 2-D models.<br>Long term defined as model run for<br>an extended period, perhaps to<br>simulate seasonality in quality.<br>All 3-D models.<br>All moveable bed models.  |
| DATA<br>NEEDS                      | For statistics, sedimentation data and<br>flow.<br>For plume models, current data.   | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.   | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.  |
| [                                  |  | conditions and calibration   | conditions and calibration  |
| ASSUMPTIONS                        | For statistical analysis, if sediment<br>data is correlated with other<br>parameters, it is assumed that there is  | For 1-D model, depth and width<br>averaged flows assumed to be a good<br>approximation.  | For 2-D model, grid assumed to capture character of tidal currents to a good approximation.   |
|                                    | a real correlation between them.<br>Analysis may, however, show that<br>there is no correlation.   | For 2-D model, coarse grid assumed<br>to capture character of tidal currents<br>to a good approximation.<br>For all models, sediment transport<br>formulae.  | For 3-D model, assumptions may<br>need to be made for horizontal slices<br>between the saline and fresh water<br>layers of the model, a salinity profile,<br>or the mechanisms for vertical<br>interchange of water and sediment<br>between the layers. |
| UNCERTAINTY<br>IN RESULTS/<br>DATA | Results will only be as accurate as<br>the confidence limits of statistical<br>analysis  | Results will be accurate as input data to model.   | Results will be accurate as input data to model.  |
|                                    | In all cases, results will only be as accurate as the data sets.   | For 1-D, and to a lesser extent 2-D<br>models, local variations in sediment<br>flux may not be correctly modelled.   | For 2-D, and to a lesser extent 3-D<br>models, local variations in sediment<br>flux may not be correctly modelled.  |
|                                    |  | Seaward boundary sediment flux may be difficult to define.   |   |
| EXAMPLE                            | Estimates for the tidal reaches of the river Trent suggest that reducing the freshwater flow by 1m <sup>3</sup> /s may increase dredged volumes by 15,000m <sup>3</sup> per year (Binnie & Partners 1993).   | A 1-D hydrodynamic and sediment<br>model was used to estimate the<br>impact of a tidal power barrage in the<br>River Duddon.   | In Kelantan, Malaysia, a moveable<br>bed 1-D model was used to simulate<br>the change in river and estuarine bed<br>profiles for the next 100 years, using<br>a 1-D moveable bed sediment model.  |
| TIMESCALE<br>AND COST              | Timescale will be low - analysis will take less than a month.  | Typical analysis time will be 3 months for the modelling.  | Typical analysis time will be 5 months for the modelling.   |
|                                    | Cost in the range £2,000 to £5,000.  | Modelling costs in the range £15,000<br>to £30,000.  | Modelling costs in the range £60,000<br>to £80,000.   |
|                                    |  | Survey costs in the range £30,000 to £40,000.  | Survey costs in the range £50,000 to £60,000.   |

# Table 8.4 - MODEL APPLICATIONS - ECOLOGY/FISH

|                                    | SIMPLE<br>MODEL  | INTERMEDIATE<br>MODEL   | COMPLICATED<br>MODEL 11   |  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| MODEL<br>TYPE                      | Statistical analysis of flow/quality<br>against ecological parameters.<br>Historic and data analysis of<br>flow/quality against ecological<br>parameters such as population.<br>Correlation with flow, quality and<br>other parameters.              | Use of 1-D and 2-D water quality<br>models. Ecological impacts are<br>assumed to be accurately assessed by<br>changes in quality parameters.<br>Simple ecological models, such as<br>BENOSS. These are one step<br>beyond a quality based study, but<br>only model certain, simple, aspects of<br>the ecology of the estuary. | Any full ecological model.<br>Extension of models such as<br>PHABSIM into estuaries.  |  |
| DATA<br>NEEDS ;                    | Ecological data, such as fish counts a or bird counts.<br>For statistical analysis, if ecological data a is correlated with other parameters, it is assumed that there is a real correlation between them.   | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.<br>Quality and/or ecological data for<br>boundary conditions and calibration.  | Topographic data.<br>Flow and level data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration.<br>Ecological data for boundary<br>conditions and calibration                            |  |
| ASSUMPTIONS                        | Results will only be as accurate as<br>the confidence limits of statistical<br>analysis.   | Ecological impact can be adequately assessed by quality impacts.  | Ecological impact can be adequately expressed by model algorithms.  |  |
| UNCERTAINTY<br>IN RESULTS/<br>DATA | In all cases, results will only be as accurate as the data sets.   | Many ecological processes are poorly<br>understood, in terms of cause and<br>effect from flow and water quality.<br>Interpretation of results must reflect<br>these unknowns.   | Many ecological processes are poorly<br>understood, in terms of cause and<br>effect from flow and water quality.<br>Interpretation of results must reflect<br>these unknowns. |  |
| EXAMPLE                            | In the river Severn, Agency research<br>has shown good correlation between<br>salmon movement and salinity. In<br>the Wash, however, it has proved<br>difficult to correlate bird and<br>invertebrate numbers with freshwater<br>flow from the Ouse. |   |   |  |
| TIMESCALE<br>AND COST              | Timescale will be low - analysis will<br>take less than a month.<br>Cost in the range £2,000 to £5,000.  | Similar to an equivalent quality model.   | Modelling costs high as R&D<br>element likely to be included.   |  |

.

**.** .

# 8.4 Detailed budget guidelines

#### 8.4.1 Basis of tables

Tables 8.1 to 8.4 provides approximate modelling costs for a range of model complexity, given at 1997 prices; these are summarised in Table 8.5. However, the cost of modelling work varies with supply and demand. A more constant measure is the estimated man-day input by staff with the appropriate experience. The tables in this chapter are therefore presented in man-day format.

Even so, in the case of modelling, the amount of staff time to complete a given task varies depending mainly on the quality of the data and whether it is provided in digital form. The staff input is therefore based on the assumption that the model is fully packaged and user friendly. No allowance is made for the cost of purchasing the model.

#### 8.4.2 Project costs

Table 8.5 provides approximate modelling and survey costs for a range of model complexity, given at 1997 prices. It should be noted that these costs are an estimate, and real tender costs will depend on market conditions.

#### 8.4.3 Staff costs

The following tables of staff input are given in the following pages:

- Staff time to complete a 1-D intertidal modelling study.
- Staff time to complete a 2 or 3-D intertidal modelling study.
- Staff time to establish a functional relationship between a estuarine phenomena and river flow predictive and other variables.
- Staff time to execute low flow surveys.

The tables should be used with the following guidelines:

- The experience required for staff of a given grade for a given task relates to experience applying mostly that type of model to mostly the same type of problem and not general experience. However, staff with longer but more general experience may be substituted.
- The experience should relate mostly to UK estuaries and not general experience. Staff with longer but more general overseas experience may be substituted.
- It should be recognised that there is a balance of experience here. Detailed experience of a type of model on a type of problem will usually provide an efficient approach to a project. However, when wider experience is applied to a task, it often provides external insights that improve the results from the task.
- In general, Graduate staff may be substituted by MSc staff with slightly less or more general experience. Technician staff may be substituted by junior Graduate staff with appropriate experience, depending on the task to be completed.

A table of typical staff rates are also included, at 1997 prices. These rates are indicative only.

#### 8.4.4 Survey costs

The same principles apply to the method of estimating staff time required to execute surveys in UK estuaries.

In the case of field surveys there are many other significant costs besides staff time. Downtime is usually not a problem in the sheltered waters of the upstream part of most UK estuaries.

Boat hire costs and sample transport and analysis costs vary from region to region, and often on availability.

Equipment hire costs are often expressed as a fraction of their capital costs.

To emphasis the variation in survey costs, Table 8.14 gives budget costs quoted by West (1995) for modelling studies into the flow requirements in the tidal Trent.

All figures quoted, except where stated, refer to short term, snapshot, data collection. Long term data collection costs will depend largely on the extent and methods of collection. However, typical costs will be typically 50% of snapshot costs per annum.

| Model type          | Timescale<br>(months) | Modelling cost<br>(£) | Survey cost<br>(£) |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Hydrodynamic        |                       |                       |                    |
| Simple              | 1                     | 2 - 5,000             | . <b>-</b>         |
| Intermediate        | 2                     | 10 - 20,000           | 20 - 30,000        |
| Complicated         | 4                     | 40 - 80,000           | 30 - 60,000        |
| Ouality/Salinity    |                       |                       |                    |
| Simple              | 1                     | 2 - 5,000             | -                  |
| Intermediate        | 3                     | 15 - 30,000           | 30 - 40,000        |
| Complicated         | 4                     | 40 - 80,000           | 50 - 60,000        |
| Morphology/Sediment |                       |                       |                    |
| Simple              | 1                     | 2 - 5,000             | -                  |
| Intermediate        | 3                     | 15 - 30,000           | 30 - 40,000        |
| Complicated         | 5                     | 60 - 80,000           | 50 - 60,000        |
| Ecology/Fish        |                       |                       |                    |
| Simple              | 1                     | 2 - 5,000             | -                  |
| Intermediate        | 3                     | 15 - 30,000           | 30 - 40,000        |
| Complicated         | High                  | High                  | High               |

### Table 8.5 - APPROXIMATE PROJECT COSTS

Notes: All costs from late 1997.

Long term survey costs are typically 50% of snapshot costs per annum.

# Table 8.6 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO APPLY 1-D INTER-TIDAL MODELLING FOR SHALLOW TURBULENT NARROW CROSS-SECTIONALLY WELL MIXED ESTUARIES

| No.  | Task  | Estimate of Man-day<br>input    | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| FS1  | Collate and check cross-sectional data*   | 4-8 per 100 cross-section       | Tech/5 years                                   |
| FS2  | Schematise UK estuary   | 1-2                             | Specialist/10 years                            |
| FS3  | Input cross-sectional data to model   | 3-5 per 100 cross-section       | Tech/2 years                                   |
| FS4  | Input sea boundary harmonic tidal level constants. Check-predictions*   | 1                               | Tech/10 years or<br>Grad/2 years               |
| FS5  | Collate, check and input daily fluvial inflows*   | 2-4 per 1 year hydrograph       | Tech/10 years<br>Grad/2 years                  |
| FS6  | Collate, check and input tidal level, velocity and salinity calibration data*   | 2-4 per record<br>(Total 10-30) | Tech/10 years<br>Grad/2 years                  |
| FS7  | Estimate bed roughness type specify calibration accuracy targets  | 0.5                             | Specialist (10 years)                          |
| FS8  | Simulate period of intensive inter-tidal<br>survey compare with tidal level and tidal<br>velocity data and optimise bed roughness.  | 2-4 per test<br>(Total 10-20)** | Grad/2 years or<br>Tech/10 years               |
| FS9  | Use salinity data under steady flows to<br>estimate coefficient of longitudinal<br>dispersal<br>Set empirical constants in equation<br>defining coefficient of longitudinal<br>dispersion | 3 5                             | Specialist/10 years<br>Tech/10 years           |
| FS10 | Simulate annual cycle and compare with<br>long term salinity observations: Optimise<br>longitudinal mixing  | 3-6 per test.<br>(Total 10-30)  | Tech/10 years                                  |
| FS11 | Validate model on independent set of data   | 3-6 per test                    | Tech/10 years                                  |
| FS12 | Carry out sensitivity tests on calibration<br>constants for low flows. Estimate error<br>bar on position of 0.5ppt and 5ppt<br>salinity fronts  | 5-10                            | Grad/2 years                                   |
| FS13 | Prepare calibration report  | 4-8                             | Grad/2 years                                   |
| FS14 | Predict design low flow year and analyse results  | 2/test year<br>1/test year      | Tech 2 years<br>Grad 5 years                   |
| FS15 | Technical Management  | 5-10                            | Specialist 10 years                            |

#### TIDAL FLOW AND SALINE INTRUSION (FS)

\* excludes measurement or purchase of data

\*\* most time needed if bed sediment fine sand (<0.25mm)

# Table 8.7 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO APPLY1-D INTER-TIDAL MODELLING FOR SHALLOW TURBULENT NARROWCROSS-SECTIONALLY WELL MIXED ESTUARIES

#### Sand transport, siltation and erosion (S) (refined flow model)

| No. | Task   | Estimate of Man-day<br>input | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|-----|--|------------------------------|--|
| S1  | Collate long term bed level data   | 10-30                        | Tech/10 years                                  |
| S2  | Collate sediment size fraction data  | 5-10                         | Tech/2 years                                   |
| S3  | Select appropriate sand transport function   | 5                            | Specialist/10 years                            |
| S4  | Test model   | 5<br>5                       | Grad/5 years<br>Tech/2 years                   |
| \$5 | Simulate observed drought and compare<br>with bed level changes. Adjust<br>coefficients to obtain best fit | 5/test<br>(Total 20-30)      | Grad/5 years                                   |
| S6  | Validate modelagainst independent data   | 5/test<br>(Total 10-15)      | Grad/5 years                                   |
| S7  | Carry out sensitivity tests and evaluate error bar (ie $\pm 0.5$ m)  | 3/test<br>(Total 10-15)      | Grad/5 years                                   |
| S8  | Prepare calibration report   | 1-3<br>1-4                   | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| S9  | Predict design low flow year and analyse results   | 3/test year<br>2/test year   | Tech/5 years<br>Specialist/10 years            |
| S10 | Technical management   | 10-15                        | Specialist/10 years                            |

Note: 1D model will not simulate trapping of sand in lower estuary by saline wedge at high flows

# Table 8.8 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO APPLY1-D INTER-TIDAL MODELLING FOR SHALLOW TURBULENT NARROW<br/>CROSS-SECTIONALLY WELL MIXED ESTUARIES

#### WATER QUALITY INCLUDING SUSPENDED SOLIDS (Q) (requires flow model)

| No.    | Task   | Estimate of Man-day<br>input | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|--------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Q1     | Collate daily fluvial pollution loading data   | 3-6<br>1                     | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q2 1 1 | Determine diurnal, weekly and seasons<br>variations in effluent flows and pollution<br>loads                           | 2-10<br>1-2                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q3     | Collate sea boundary data  | 1-3<br>0.5                   | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q4     | Collate calibration data   | 5-10<br>2-3                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q5 '   | Input loading, sea boundary and calibration data   | 5-10<br>1-2                  | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q6     | Review bio-chemical processes and set<br>rate constants and specify calibration<br>targets                             | 2-4                          | Specialist/10 years                            |
| Q7     | Simulate period of intensive survey and<br>compare with observations and optimise<br>rate constants to obtain best fit | 5-15<br>2-6                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q8*    | Simulate whole annual cycle and compare<br>with sparse data and make further<br>adjustment if necessary                | 5-10<br>1-2                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q9     | Carry out sensitivity tests on critical constants  | 5-10<br>1-2                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q10    | Prepare calibration report   | 5<br>5                       | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q11    | Predict design low flow year and analyse results   | 4/test year<br>2/test year   | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q12    | Technical Management   | 10-15                        | Specialist/10 years                            |

Note: 1D models will not simulate turbidity maximum caused by gravitational circulation in the deeper parts of many estuaries.

# Table 8.9 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO APPLYMULTI-LAYERED INTER-TIDAL MODELLINGFOR DEEP NARROW PARTIALLY MIXED ESTUARIES

#### TIDAL FLOW AND SALINE INTRUSION (FS)

| No.  | Task  | Estimate of Man-day<br>input      | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| FS1  | Collate and check cross-sectional data*   | 4-8 per 100 cross-section         | Tech/5 years                                   |
| FS2  | Schematise UK estuary   | 2-3                               | Specialist/10 years                            |
| FS3  | Input cross-sectional data to model   | 6-10 per 100 elements             | Tech/10 years                                  |
| FS4  | Input sea boundary harmonic tidal level constants. Check predictions*   | 1                                 | Tech/10 years or<br>Grad/2 years               |
| FS5  | Collate, check and input daily fluvial inflows*   | 2-4 per 1 year hydrograph         | Tech/10 years<br>Grad/2 years                  |
| FS6  | Collate, check and input tidal level, velocity and salinity calibration data*   | 3-5 per record<br>(Total 10-40)** | Tech/10 years<br>Grad/2 years                  |
| FS7  | Estimate bed roughness type and specify calibration accuracy targets. Select appropriate turbulence closure model   | 1-2                               | Specialist/15 years                            |
| FS8  | Set empirical constants in equation<br>defining longitudinal dispersion within<br>layers  | 1 .                               | Specialist/15 years                            |
| FS9  | Simulate period of intensive inter-tidal<br>survey and compare with tidal level and<br>tidal velocity and salinity profile data and<br>optimise bed roughness. Check<br>stratification and gravitational circulation<br>is correctly reproduced. Make fine<br>adjustments to coefficients | 3-5 per test<br>(Total 15-40)***  | Grad/5 years or<br>Tech/15 years               |
| FS10 | Simulate annual cycle and compare with<br>long term salinity observations. Fine<br>tune longitudinal mixing and turbulence<br>closure model   | 3-6 per test.<br>(Total 10-30)*** | Tech/15 years .<br>Grad/5 years                |
| FS11 | Validate model on independent set of data   | 3-6 per test                      | Tech/10 years                                  |
| FS12 | Carry out sensitivity tests on calibration<br>constants for low flows. Estimate error<br>bar on position of 0.5ppt and 5ppt<br>salinity fronts  | 5-10                              | Grad/5 years                                   |
| FS13 | Prepare calibration report  | 4-8                               | Grad/5 years                                   |
| FS14 | Predict design low flow year and analyse results  | 2/test year<br>1/test year        | Tech 2 years<br>Grad 5 years                   |
| FS15 | Technical Management  | 5-10                              | Specialist 15 years                            |

\* excludes measurement or purchase of data

\*\* most time needed if data not recent

\*\*\* most time needed if degree of saline stratification varies widely with tidal range and river flow or field data is not simultaneous

# Table 8.10 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO APPLYMULTI-LAYER INTER-TIDAL MODELLINGFOR DEEP NARROW PARTIALLY MIXED ESTUARIES

#### WATER QUALITY INCLUDING SUSPENDED SOLIDS (Q) (requires flow model)

| No.    | Task .   | Estimate of Man-day<br>input | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|--------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Q1 • : | Collate daily fluvial pollution loading data.  | 3-6                          | Tech/5 years                                   |
| Q2     | Determine diurnal, weekly and seasons<br>variations in effluent flows and pollution<br>loads                           | 2-10<br>1-2                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q3     | Collate sea boundary data  | 1-3                          | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q4     | Collate calibration data   | 7-15*<br>2-3                 | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q5     | Input loading, sea boundary and calibration data   | 5-10*<br>1-2                 | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q6 ·   | Review bio-chemical processes and set<br>rate constants and specify calibration<br>targets                             | 2-4                          | Specialist/10 years                            |
| Q7     | Simulate period of intensive survey and<br>compare with observations and optimise<br>rate constants to obtain best fit | 10-25*<br>4-12               | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q8     | Simulate summer season and compare<br>with sparse data and make further<br>adjustment if necessary                     | 7-15*<br>2-3                 | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q9     | Carry out sensitivity tests on critical constants  | 7-15<br>2-3                  | Tech/5 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q10    | Prepare calibration report   | 4-8<br>4-8                   | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q11    | Predict design low flow year and analyse results   | 4/test year<br>2/test year   | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| Q12    | Technical Management   | 10-20*                       | Specialist/10 years                            |

Note: Most time needed if pollution loads or boundary conditions are poorly defined or results are sensitive to algal kinetics.

# Table 8.11 - ESTIMATES OF ADDITIONAL STAFF TIME REQUIREDTO APPLY MULTI-LAYER INTER-TIDAL MODELLINGTO DEEP NARROW PARTIALLY MIXED ESTUARIES

#### TIDAL FLOW AND SALINE INTRUSION WITH A TIDAL BARRAGE (refined model)

| No. | Task                                      | Estimate of Man-day<br>input | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min years) |
|-----|---|------------------------------|--|
| B1  | Analyse discharge characteristics and     | 2-4*                         | Specialist/15 years                            |
| 1   | operating procedure of barrage, including | 6-10                         | Grad/5 years                                   |
|     | automatic control                         | 6-10                         | Tech/10 years                                  |
| B2  | Write bespoke barrage operating sub-      | 1-3                          | Specialist/15 years                            |
|     | routine                                   | 5-10                         | Grad/5 years                                   |
| B3  | Modify multi layer model to accomodate    | 1-3                          | Specialist/15 years                            |
|     | exchange flows and pollution fluxes       | 5-10                         | Grad/5 years                                   |
| B4  | Test model over full range of barrage     | l per test                   | Grad/5 years                                   |
|     | operations as regards flows, saline       | 2 per test                   | Tech/10 years                                  |
|     | stratification and pollution transport    | . (Total 10-20)              | _  |
| B5  | Technical management                      | 5-10                         | Specialist/15 years                            |

Note: Most time needed with compound moving structures.

.

# Table 8.12 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO ESTABLISHA FUNCTIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANESTUARINE PHENOMENA AND RIVER FLOWPREDICTIVE AND OTHER VARIABLES

| No.  | Task  | , Estimate of Man-day.<br>input | Grade/experience<br>using model<br>(min.years) |
|------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| F1   | Assess estuarine phenomena and define processes linking dependent variables. Collate field data | 3-6**                           | Specialist/15 years                            |
| F2   | Extract and collate time series of dependant variables  | 10-20* ···<br>2                 | Tech/2 years<br>Grad/5 years                   |
| F3   | Apply suitable multiple cross correlation   | 5-10                            | Grad/5 years                                   |
| F4   | Test for sensitivity to phase lag and time integration effects                                  | 2<br>5-10**                     | Specialist/15 years<br>Grad/5 years            |
| F5   | Reassess original hypothese and check for spurious correlations and missing processes           | 3-6*                            | Specialist/15 years                            |
| F6   | Test models capability to predict independent time series                                       | 2-4                             | Tech/2 years                                   |
| F7   | Test for sensitivity and define accuracy of model and limits of application                     | 2                               | Specialist/15 years                            |
| F8   | Apply model to predict a scenario   | 0.5-1 per test series           | Tech/2 years                                   |
| F9 · | Technical Management  | 3-6**                           | Specialist/15 years                            |

\* Most time needed to analyse bathmetric charts.

\*\* Most time needed in case of complex correlations.

.
| Survey<br>type  | Methodology  | Equipment  | Tasks  | Estimated man-<br>day input  | Staff grade<br>and<br>experience   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Long term<br>monitoring<br>of salinity<br>variations in<br>an estuary<br>(continuous<br>or during<br>droughts)            | Deployment of salinity recording<br>and logging instrumentation at a<br>fixed position over an extended<br>period. To measure temporal<br>variations in salinity at a given site.  | Battery powered multi-point<br>monitoring system with integral data<br>logging and at least six separate<br>measurement channels.  | installation<br>presentation   | 4-10<br>1/2 (monthly)<br>0.5-1 (monthly)<br>Savings could by<br>expected with multiple<br>sites                      | Tech specialist<br>5 years   |
| Rapid HW<br>slack surveys<br>(reguļar or ad<br>hoc during<br>droughts)  | Rapid drop profiles through water<br>column for insitu measurement of<br>salinity, temperature, dissolved<br>oxygen and turbidity to measure the<br>maximum landward movement of<br>salt at HW slack spring tides  | Fast survey vessel. Multi-parameter<br>sonde or sensor with integral data<br>logging.  | lisation [Savings<br>expected by using<br>local craft]<br>presentation per<br>survey | 3<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>1  | Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs<br>Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs<br>Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs  |
| Detailed<br>surveys of<br>water quality<br>in an estuary<br>at 5-10 fixed<br>stations<br>(For<br>calibration<br>purposes) | In site measurement of current year<br>and direction, salinity, temperature,<br>dissolved oxygen and turbidity at 30<br>minute intervals through tide cycle.<br>Collection of 1.5 water samples for<br>laboratory determination of<br>suspended solids, BOD content at<br>HW, LW and mid tide times. To<br>measure inter-tidal variations at a<br>single vertical. | Suitable survey vessel. Multi-<br>parameter sonde or sensor array and<br>current meter or ADCP, both with<br>integral data logging.<br>Water samplers, water sample<br>containers, suitable sample handling<br>facilities and rapid transport to<br>accredited laboratory. | lisation<br>presentation<br>(1 station day)  | 3/station<br>3/station<br>5/station<br>1/station<br>1/station<br>1/station<br>1/station<br>6-10/station<br>2/station | Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Skipper 5 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs<br>Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Skipper 5 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs<br>Hydrographic<br>surveyor 10 yrs<br>Technician 5 yrs<br>Specialist 10 yrs |

Notes: Position fixing assumed to be based on landmarks rather than differential GPS. Boat and equipment hire costs not estimated as they vary. Sample transport and analysis costs not estimated. Survey of effluent inflows and loads not included. 

 Table 8.13 - ESTIMATES OF STAFF TIME REQUIRED TO EXECUTE

 LOW FLOW SURVEYS IN UK ESTUARIES

R&D Technical Report W168

65

## Table 8.14 - EXAMPLE OF RANGE OF MODELLING AND SURVEY COSTS

| COMPONENT                                       | Timescale<br>(months) | Modelling<br>cost (£) | Survey<br>cost (£) |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Desk study for first estimate conclusions       | 1 .                   | 10,000                |                    |
| 1-D sediment transport model                    | 3                     | 10 - 30,000           |                    |
| Use above model as basis of morphological model | 2 ·                   | 15,000                |                    |
| Fieldwork for all above modelling work          | 2                     |                       | 10 - 75,000        |

Notes: From West (1995), for tidal Trent All costs from late 1995.

## Table 8.15 - APPROXIMATE STAFF RATES

| Staff member        | Cost<br>(£/day) |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Specialist          |                 |  |
| 15 years experience | 450             |  |
| 10 years experience | 400             |  |
| Graduate/MSc        |                 |  |
| 5 years experience  | 275             |  |
| 2 years experience  | 225             |  |
| Technician          |                 |  |
| 10 years experience | 225             |  |
| 5 years experience  | 175             |  |
| 2 years experience  | 125             |  |

Note: All rates from late 1997.

# 9 LIST OF CONSULTEES

# 9.1 Environment Agency

| Name              | Title  | Type of consultation |   |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|---|
| Ken Allison       | Flood Defence Manager, Southern Region                       | Р                    |   |
| Ian Barker        | Regional Water Resources Manager, Welsh Region               | P,W                  |   |
| Gary Beamish      | Flood Defense, Southern Region                               | P                    |   |
| Geoff Bell        | Abstraction Control Manager, Thames Region                   | Р                    |   |
| Simon Bingham     | Thames Region  | N                    | 1 |
| Dr Paul Crocket   | Senior Resources Engineer/Modeller, Midland Region           | P,₩ · ·              |   |
| Keith Davies      | Welsh Region   | N                    |   |
| John Ellis        | Regional Resources Management Officer, Southern Region       | P                    |   |
| Adrian Fewings    | Fisheries Scientist, Southern Region                         | P,W                  |   |
| Richard Freestone | Environmental Modelling Principal Officer, North East Region | P,W                  |   |
| Rob Grew          | Senior Planner, South Western Region                         | P,W                  |   |
| Trevor Hardy      | North East Region  | N                    |   |
| Gordon Hargreaves | Regional Licencing Officer, Anglian Region                   | P                    |   |
| Catherine Holman  | Southern Region  | N                    |   |
| Carolyn Hopper    | Anglian Region   | N                    |   |
| Pete Jonas        | Senior Scientist (WQ Modelling) South Western Region         | P                    |   |
| Aileen Kirmond    | Headquarters   | Р                    |   |
| Dave Lowthion     | Southern Region  | N                    |   |
| Nigel Milner      | Area Environmental Appraisal Manager, Welsh Region           | Р                    |   |
| John Morgan       | Area FRCN Manager, Southern Region                           | W                    |   |
| Neil Murdoch      | South West Region  | P,N                  |   |
| Dr. Betty Ng      | Environmental Modeller, Welsh Region                         | P,M,N                |   |
| Oliver Pollard    | Hydrologist, Southern Region                                 | P,M,W                |   |
| Alaistair Pratt   | Water quality modeller, Southern Region                      | Р                    |   |
| Brian Repton      | Licencing and Monitoring Manager, North West Region          | Р                    |   |
| Sheila Sowerby    | North West Region  | N                    | ļ |
| Cameron Thomas    | Licensing and Transfers Manager, Anglian Region              | Р                    |   |
| Dr Roger Wade     | Area Water Resources & Planning Manager, Midlands Region     | P                    |   |
| Dave Willis       | Thames Region  | Р                    |   |
| Tony Warn         | Anglian Region   | N                    |   |
| Ann Watts         | Midlands Region  | N                    |   |
| Stephen Worral    | Coastal Processes Manager, Anglian Region                    | M                    |   |

Key to consultation types:

- P Telephone conversation
- M Meeting
- W Written submission
- N Consultation at NAMOG seminar

.

# 9.2 Other UK Organisations

| Name            | Title   | Type of consultation |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| Roger Falconer  | Department of Civil Engineering, University of Cardiff  | P                    |
| Kathy Kennedy   | Project Manager, Thames Estuary Project, English Nature | M                    |
| Frank Law       | Institute of Hydrology                                  | P.M                  |
| Nicholas Odd    | Hydraulics Research Wallingford                         | P,M,W                |
| Tony Polson     | MAFF  | P,M                  |
| Paul Samuels    | Hydraulics Research Wallingford                         | P                    |
| Peter Whitehead | ABP Research & Consultancy Ltd                          | P,W                  |

Key to consultation types:

P Telephone conversation

M Meeting

W Written submission

# 9.3 Overseas Consultees

| Name              | Title   | Type of consultation |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|
| Neal Armstrong    | Professor, University of Texas                      | Р                    |
| Ted Burgess       | Director, Binnie Black & Veatch, Indonesia          | W                    |
| Gerald M Hansler  | Executive Director, Delaware River Basin Commission | M                    |
| Vic Hoberoft      | Project Director, Binnie Black & Veatch, Thailand   | M,W                  |
| Karsten Havno     | Danish Hydraulic Institute                          | P                    |
| Gerald R Miller   | Black & Veatch (Kansas City)                        | W                    |
| Geoff Piggott     | Country Director, Binnie Black & Veatch, Singapore  | W                    |
| Beth Quinlan      | Black & Veatch (Kansas City)                        | W                    |
| Andries Roelfzema | Manager Marine Environment Group, Delft Hydraulics  | W                    |
| Nick Townsend     | Director, Binnie Consultants Ltd (Hong Kong)        | M,W                  |

Key to consultation types:

P Telephone conversation

M Meeting

W Written submission

The help of the above persons, and of Oliver Pollard in collating some Agency responses, is acknowledged as essential in the completion of this report.

# **10 BIBLIOGRAPHY**

# 10.1 Residual flows

Central Water Planning Unit (1979) Residual Flows to Estuaries.

Evans, D. (1997) Assessing the Flow Needs of Rivers. Journal CIWEM, 1997-11, October.

Fraser, J.C. (1975) *Determining discharges for fluvial resources*. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, No 143, Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations.

Jensen, R. (1994). A fresh look at freshwater inflows. New TWDB/TPWD study provides better methods to assess estuary needs. Texas Water Resources Newsletter, volume 20, no.3, 5pp, Texas Water Resources Institute.

Law, F. (1972) Determination of residual river flows. Paper to the Institution of Civil Engineers.

National Rivers Authority, Anglian Region (1995) Defining River and Estuary Flow Needs. Notes from Wadenhoe Seminar.

Petts, G., Crawford, C. and Clarke, R. (1996) *Determination of Minimum Flows*. National Rivers Authority R&D Note 449.

Wade, R.J., Hutcherson N.T.S. and Goodhew, R.C. (1992) *Residual Flows to Estuaries: A review of the current situation and guidance on determination*. National Rivers Authority, Severn-Trent Region.

Wade, R.J. (1996) Flow Requirements to Estuaries. In Water Environment 1996.

West, J.R. and Webster, P. (1995) Flow Requirements in the Tidal Trent to Maintain Navigation - Scoping Study. University of Birmingham.

# **10.2** Estuary characteristics

Dyer, K.R. (1977) Estuaries: A Physical Introduction. Wiley.

Kennedy, V.S. (Ed) (1984) The Estuary as a Filter. Academic Press.

Kestner, F.J.T. (1966) *The Effects of Engineering Works on Tidal Estuaries*. In: Thorn R.B., (Ed). River Engineering and Water Conservation Works, Chapter 17. Butterworth.

McDowell, D.M. & O'Connor, B.A. (1977) Hydraulic Behaviour of Estuaries. MacMillan.

Pritchard, D.W. (1955) Estuarine Circulation Patterns. Proc. ASCE. Separate 717, Vol. 81, pp 717/1-717/11.

Silvester, R. (1974) Coastal Engineering, Volume 2.

Simmons H.B. (1955). Some Effects of Upland Discharge on Estuarine Hydraulics. Proc. ASCE Hyd. Div., Vol. 81, Paper 792.

# 10.3 Estuary management

Binnie Black & Veatch (1993) River Trent Control Rules - Final Report.

Binnie Black & Veatch (1997) Internal note on Humber Estuary Management Strategy.

Constanza, R. (1994). *Ecological economics and the management of coastal and estuarine systems*. In Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Science to Management. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

Environment Agency (1997) Surface Water Abstraction Licensing Procedure (SWALP) - Guidance for the Application of the Proposed Methodology. Working Draft Version 1.1, EA Internal Document.

HR Wallingford (1993) Structure and Operational Framework for a Coastal Management System: A Scoping Report. Report EX 2736

Mlay, M. (1994). Applying the watershed protection approach to estuaties and wetlands. In Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Science to Management. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

National Rivers Authority (1995). Surface Water Abstraction Licensing Policy Development - Core Report. Foundation for Water Research R&D Note 438.

Smit, H. (1997). *Strategies to combine the functions of Ecology and Navigation in the Scheldt Estuary*. J.CIWEM, 1997, 11, August.

Streeter, R. (1997). Tradeable rights for water abstraction. J.CIWEM, 1997, 11, August.

Suszkowski J. & Schubel J.R. (1994). *Hope for the Hudson: new opportunities for managing an estuary*. In Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Science to Management. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

Thames Estuary Project (1996) *Thames Estuary Management Plan - Draft for Consultation*. English Nature.

Thames Estuary Project (1996) Thames Estuary Management Plan - Strategy for

Implementation. English Nature.

Townend, I.H. and Leggett, D. (1992) GIS for Shoreline Management. In ICC 92.

# 10.4 Computational modelling

Abbott, M.B. (1997) Range of Tidal Flow Modelling; One-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional Models. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 123 No. 4, April 1997.

Cooper, A.J. and Dearnaley, M.P. (1996) *Guidelines for the use of computational models in coastal and estauine studies*. Report SR 446. HR Wallingford.

Environment Agency (1997) Portable Estuary Models. R&D Technical Report 23. Evans, G.P. (1993) A Framework for Marine and Estuarine Model Specification in the UK. Report No. FR 0374, Foundation for Water Research.

Evans, G.P. (1993) *Marine and Estuarine Modelling: Current Practice in the UK.* Report No. FR 0356, Foundation for Water Research.

Festa, J.F. & Hansen, D.V. (1976). A Two Dimensional Numerical Model of Estuarine Circulation: The Effects of Altering Depths and River Discharge. Est. & Coastal Marine Science, 4, pp 309-323.

Lavedrine, I.A. and Anastasiadou-Partheniou, L. (1995). Calibration criteria for 1D river models - Assessment of objective functions and automatic calibration. Report SR442, HR Wallingford.

Mar, B.W. (1974) Problems encountered in multidisciplinary resources and simulation models. In *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol 2, 83-100.

Matsumoto, J., Powell, G. and Brock, D. (1994). Freshwater-inflow need of estuary computed by Texas Estuarine MP model. Journal of Water Resources Planning & Management, Volume 120, no.5, pp. 693-714.

Powell, G., Matsumoto, J., Dyer, K.R., Orth, R.J. (1994). *Texas Estuarine Mathematical Programming model: A tool for freshwater flow management*. In Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Science to Management. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

Seed, D.J., Samuels, P.G. and Ramsbottom, D.M. (1978) *Quality Assurance in Computational River Modelling*. Report SR 374. HR Wallingford.

# 10.5 Risk, impact and sensitivity analysis

WS Atkins (1991) Broad Oak Reservoir - Hydrology.

Hipel, K.W. and McLeod, A.I. (1994) Time series modelling of water resources and environmental systems. Developments in water science 45, Elsevier.

Pollard, O.G. (1991) *Review of Uncertainty Analysis Techniques applied to Dissolved Oxygen in Rivers.* Partial submission for Msc in Engineering Hydrology, University of Newcastle upon Tyne.

# 10.6 Water quality and salinity

Ayers, R. S. and Westcot, D. W. (1974) Water quality for agriculture. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, No 29.

Environment Agency (1997) The Water Quality of the River Thames. London: The Stationary Office.

Gameson, A.L.H.(Ed) (1982) The Quality of the Humber Estuary - A Review of the Results of Monitoring, 1961-1981. Yorkshire Water Authority.

Humber Estuary Research Committee (1971) Salinity Effects in the Humber Estuary. HERC Research Note No. 2.

Kimmerer, W.J. and Schubel, J.R. (1994). *Managing freshwater flows into San Francisco Bay using a salinity standard: results of a workshop*. In Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Science to Management. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

National Rivers Authority (1991) Quality of Rivers Canals & Estuaries in England & Wales - 1990 Survey. NRA Water Quality Series No. 4.

Rintema, P.E. (1981) Quality Standards for irrigation water. Acta Horticulture 119.

WRc (1992) Environmental Quality Standards to Protect Identified Uses of Controlled Waters. NRA R&D Project 010/9/N.

Wade, R.J. (1983) Saline Intrusion in the Severn Estuary: Implications for Abstractions and Residual River Flows. Internal note for Severn Trent Water Authority.

# 10.7 Sediment and morphology

ABP Research (1991) ABP Grimsby & Immingham - Sunk Dredged Channel Deepening. Research Report No. R.406.

ABP Research (1993) *ABP Humber - Sunk Dredged Channel, Relationship Between Estuary Freshwater Flows and Siltation.* Research Report No. R.447.

BTDB (1970) Silt Movement in the Humber Estuary. British Transport Docks Board, Research Report No R.221.

HR Wallingford (1997) *Estuary Morphology and Processes - A review of recent research and user needs.* Report SR 446.

HR Wallingford (1978) River Stour, Kent - Sediment Flux Observations in Lower Estuary October-November 1978. Report EX 858.

HR Wallingford (1992) Environmental Assessment of Proposals to Reduce Minimum Residual Flows at Denver - An Analysis of the Effect of Fluvial Flows on Siltation in the Great Ouse Estuary Based on Data 1960-1991. HR Report EX 2585.

HR Wallingford (1993) Environmental Assessment of Proposals to Reduce Minimum Residual Flows at Denver - An Analysis of the Influence of Fluvial Flows on Shoals in the Navigation Channel to the Port of King's Lynn. HR Report EX 2712.

Ippen, A.T. (1966). Estuary and Coastline Hydrodynamics. Chapter 15: Sedimentation in Estuaries. McGraw-Hill.

Noel, J.M., Chamberlain, R.H. and Steinman, A.D. (1995). *Environmental factors influencing suspended solids in the Loxahatchee Estuary, Florida*. Water Resources Bulliten, volume 31, no.1, pp.21-32.

# 10.8 Ecology and fish

Alabaster, J.S. and Gough, P.J. (1986) The dissolved oxygen and temperature requirements of Atlantic Salmon, Salmo salar L., in the Thames Estuary. Journal of Fish Biology 29, 613-621.

Alabaster, J.S. and Lloyd, R. (1980) Water Quality Criteria for freshwater fish. Butterworths.

Berman, C.H. and Quinn, L.P. (1991) Behavioural thermoregulation and homing by spring chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tsawytscha (Walbaum), in the YTakima River. Journal of Fish Biology **39**, 301-312.

Buller and Falkus (1994) Freshwater Fishing, Grange Books.

Duel, H., Specken, B.P.M., Denneman, W.D. and Kwakernaak, C. (1995) Water Science and Technology **31** (8) 387-391.

Ibbitson, A. and Ladel, M. (1997) *Review of flow needs for fish and fisheries - a progress report*. IFE Report T11068E7/1.

Mills, D.H. (1989) The Atlantic salmon, Chapman & Hall.

NERC (1984). Predicted effects of proposed changes in patterns of water abstraction on the ecosystems of the Lower River Thames and its tidal Estuary. Final Report for Thames Water Authority (Commercial in confidence).

Potter, E.C.E. (1988) Journal Fish Biology (1988) 33 (Supplement A), 153-159.

Priede, I.G., De LG Solbe, J.F., Notte, J.E. O'Grady, K.T. and Cragg-Hine, D. (1988) Behaviour of adult Atlantic almon, Salmo salar L., in theestuary of the River Ribble in relation to variations in dissolved oxygen and tidal flow. Journal of Fish Biology (1988) **33** (Supplement A) 133-139.

Radford, P.J. (1979) *Role of GEMBASE in tidal power and estuary management*. Edited by Severn, R.T., Dinnerleyand, D. and Hawke, L.E. in 30th Symposium Colston Research Society, Scientecnnica pp 40-46.

Radford, P.J., Burkhill, P.H., Collins, N.R. and Williams, R. (1987) Validation of scientific associations of ecosystem model of the Bristol Channel in Advances in Ecological Modelling, AE Marani Proc ISEM conference Italy.

Radford, P.J. (1994) *Pre- and post- barrage scenario's of the relative production of benthic and pelagic sub-systems in Evaluation and changes of the Bristol Channel and Severn Estuary.* Editor Mettam, C. Proceedings of Linnean Society Biological Journ Linnean Society 51, 5-16.

Uncles, R.J. and Radford, P.J. (1980) Seasonal and spring-neap tidal dependence of axial dispersion coefficients in the Severn - a wide, vertically mixed estuary. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 98, 4, 703-726.

# 10.9 Other

Fewings, A (1997) Internal EA communication.

United Kingdom Climate Change Impacts Review Group (1996) Review of the Potential Effects of Climate Change in the United Kingdom. Second Report. DoE, HMSO.

# 11 GLOSSARY OF TERMS

| 1-D hydrodynamic model          | Computational model, usually used for rivers and narrow estuaries where all flow is assumed to be depth and width averaged  |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 2-D hydrodynamic model          | Computational model, usually used for open sea and wide estuaries where flow is assumed to be depth averaged only   |   |  |
| 3-D hydrodynamic model          | Computational model, usually used for stratified estuaries where all flow is simulated in three dimensions  |   |  |
| Automatic calibration           | Calibrat<br>software  | ion of a computational model by algorithms within the computer to select optimum model parameters   |  |
| Barrage                         | Structur<br>designed<br>apprecia  | e built across a <i>river</i> or <i>estuary</i> , comprising a series of <i>gates</i><br>I to regulate the upstream water level and pass flood water without an<br>ble rise in the upstream water level |  |
| Baseline                        | The pres  | sent condition of the river or estuary  |  |
| Bathymetry                      | Topogra   | phy of the bed of the sea, estuary or other water body  |  |
| Beach ·                         | Shore of  | sand or shingle   |  |
| Benthic                         | Referrin  | g to life in or on the sea bed  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | Is the amount of dissolved oxygen consumed by chemical and microbiological action when a sample effluent is incubated for 5 days at 20°C  |   |  |
| Calibration :                   | The adjustment of parameters in a computational model to achieve agreement between the model and observed results   |   |  |
| Catchment                       | The land which drains (normally naturally) to a given point on a <i>river</i> or drainage system  |   |  |
| Catchment area                  | The area  | of a <i>catchment</i>   |  |
| Channel"                        | (1)   | Natural or man-made open passage designed to contain and convey water   |  |
|                                 | (2)   | The part of a body of water deep enough to be used for navigation through an area otherwise too shallow for navigation  |  |
|                                 | (3)   | The deepest part of a body of water through which the main volume $\cdots$ of current passes  |  |
| Coastal defence works           | <i>Flood defence works</i> in a coastal area, designed to protect the land against <i>erosion</i> , encroachment or <i>flooding</i> by the sea (includes <i>coast protection works</i> and <i>sea defence works</i> ) |   |  |
| Coastal lagoon                  | Shallow body of water close to the sea, usually with a shallow restricted con-<br>nection to the sea  |   |  |
| Coastal zone management         | The process of ensuring that all the problems within a coastal zone relating to<br>the environment are presented within a management framework which aims<br>to promote the well-being of the coastal zone            |   |  |
| Coast protection works          | Works to  | o protect land against erosion or encroachment by the sea   |  |

.

| Combined sewerage overflow<br>(CSO)        | Overflow from municipal sewerage network that carries both foul sewage and stormwater runoff  |  |
|--|---|--|
| Compensation water                         | Water released by law or custom from a <i>reservoir</i> or diversion structure to the downstream <i>watercourse</i> to meet the needs of downstream water users and satisfy environmental requirements                |  |
| Computational model                        | Statistical or deterministic numerical simulation of reality, often in the form of computer software  |  |
| Control structure                          | Device constructed across a <i>channel</i> or between water bodies or water passages, used to control the discharge passing the device and/or the water level on either side of the device                            |  |
| Deterministic model                        | A <i>computational model</i> that uses mathematical descriptions of physical laws and provesses   |  |
| Ebb tide                                   | That part of the <i>tide</i> in which the water level is falling; also the outflow of water from coastal bays and <i>estuaries</i> during the falling <i>tide</i>   |  |
| Empirical model                            | Mathematical expression that is fitted to observed conditions without consideration of physical laws  |  |
| Environmental Quality<br>Objectives (EQOs) | Are categories relating to the use of particular stretches of water. For each use an associated series of quantitative standards applies  |  |
| Environmental Quality<br>Standards (EQSs)  | Are concentrations of substances in the receiving water which must not be<br>exceeded if the water is to be suitable for a particular purpose or use, or to<br>achieve a certain level of protection for aquatic life |  |
| Estuary                                    | The mouth of a <i>river</i> connected to the sea, where both <i>fluvial</i> and <i>tidal</i> effects occur and interact   |  |
| Estuarine                                  | Relating to an <i>estuary</i>   |  |
| Fish kill                                  | Localised high mortality of fish population caused by lack of oxygen or other pollution   |  |
| Flood tide                                 | That part of the <i>tide</i> in which the water level is rising; also the flow of water into coastal bays and <i>estuaries</i> during the rising <i>tide</i>  |  |
| Freshwater flow need                       | The <i>residual flow</i> required in the <i>estuary</i> to maintain required uses and habitats  |  |
| Flushing time                              | Is the time taken for the freshwater and associated contaminants to pass out to<br>sea. It depends to a large extent on the size of estuary and the relative volume<br>of freshwater discharging into it              |  |
| Fluvial                                    | Relating to a <i>river</i>  |  |
| Geographical Information<br>System (GIS)   | Computerised database that can visualise and analyse data in a spacial or geographical form.  |  |
| Heavy metals                               | A general term for those metals which are toxic when present in elevated concentrations. These include elements such as zinc, copper, lead, nickel and mercury, all of which are commonly used by industry            |  |
| High water                                 | Highest water level reached by each <i>flood tide</i> (see also <i>tides</i> )  |  |

| Holistic catchment<br>management | Recognition that many parameters within a <i>catchment</i> interact, and a management approach that takes an overview of these parameters  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Hydrodynamic model               | Mathematical model of water movement using the laws of motion  |
| Hydrograph                       | Graph that shows the variation with time of level or discharge of water in a <i>river</i> , <i>channel</i> or other water body   |
| Hydrography                      | The study of water bodies and their movements  |
| Intertidal                       | Between the levels or lines of <i>low tide</i> and <i>high tide</i>  |
| Intake                           | Structure through which water is drawn out of a <i>river</i> or other body of water  |
| Invertebrate                     | Animals without a backbone   |
| Low water                        | Lowest water level reached by each ebb tide (see also tides)   |
| Minimum residual flow            | The lowest <i>residual flow</i> allowed in a <i>river</i> or <i>estuary</i> to satisfy downstream users  |
| Morphology                       | The study of change of form of a river or estuary  |
| Neap tides                       | Tides on the two occasions per lunar month when the predicted range between successive <i>high water</i> and <i>low water</i> is least   |
| Outfall                          | Structure through which water is discharged into a <i>channel</i> or other body of water   |
| Reach                            | A length of channel between defined boundaries.  |
| Residual flow                    | Flow remaining in a river or estuary after all users have taken water  |
| Risk assessment                  | Decision making methodology that considers the likelihood of an event and the potential impact resulting from the event  |
| River                            | Any natural <i>watercourse</i> (including modified watercourses) carrying perennial flow   |
| Salinity                         | The extent to which salts are dissolved in water.  |
| Salmonid #                       | The family of migratory fish that include salmon and trout   |
| Saltation                        | Sediment transport in which the particles remain close to the bed and are bounced along  |
| Salting (or salt marsh)          | Area of land adjacent to the sea or <i>estuary</i> which is periodically covered by saline water and usually supports vegetation   |
| Sand dune                        | Hillock of wind-blown sand   |
| Sea defence works                | Works to prevent or alleviate <i>flooding</i> by the sea   |
|                                  |  |
| Sea level rise                   | Increase in mean sea level due to global warming   |
| Sea level rise                   | Increase in mean sea level due to global warming<br>A shoreline structure, usually parallel to the coast, designed to prevent<br><i>flooding, erosion</i> and other damage caused by high sea levels or <i>waves</i> |

R&D Technical Report W168

.

**-** .

| Sediment transport   | Movement of sediment under the action of waves and currents   |
|--|---|
| Semi-diurnal tides   | <i>Tides</i> with a period of about 12½ hours between two successive <i>high</i> or <i>low waters</i>   |
| Sensitivity analysis   | Variation of <i>computational model</i> parameters to assess impact of each parameter on model results  |
| Shoal  | Localised area of siltation or sediment deposition  |
| Shore  | Strip of land at the edge of the sea, lake or coastal lagoon  |
| Siltation  | Deposition of sediment onto the river or estuary bed  |
| Spring tides   | <i>Tides</i> on the two occasions per lunar month when the predicted range between successive <i>high water</i> and <i>low water</i> is greatest (see also <i>tides</i> )   |
| Statistical model  | A <i>computational model</i> that uses linear regression analysis to relate<br>empirically the observed values of variables   |
| Stratification   | The separation of fresh and salt water into separate layers in an estuary   |
| Tidal  | Relating to the <i>tides</i>  |
| Tidal barrier  | Gated structure located in an <i>estuary</i> or coastal area that can be closed to prevent high <i>tides</i> and/or <i>surges</i> reaching inland areas vulnerable to <i>flooding</i> .   |
| Tidal excursion  | The distance travelled by a body of water between low and high tides  |
| Tidal limit  | Furthest upstream point on an <i>estuary</i> or <i>river</i> where there is a tangible <i>tidal</i> influence   |
| Tidal prism  | Volume of water contained in estuary or other tidal body of water between the levels of the <i>high</i> and <i>low tides</i>  |
| Tidal range  | Is the difference in height between high and low tide   |
| Tidal sluice   | Structure designed to prevent or control the ingress of seawater into a coastal <i>watercourse</i> , whilst allowing seawards flow to occur at times of <i>low water</i>  |
| Tides  | Periodic rising and falling of water resulting from the gravitational attraction of the moon, sun and other astronomical bodies, together with the effects of coastal aspect and <i>bathymetry</i>  |
| Highest astronomical tide<br>(HAT) and lowest astron-<br>omical tide (LAT) | Highest and lowest levels respectively which are predicted to occur under average meteorological conditions and any combination of astronomical conditions, excluding any <i>surges</i>   |
| Mean high water springs<br>(MHWS) and mean low<br>water springs (MLWS)     | Annual average, during year when average maximum declination of the moon is $23\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ , of the elevations of two successive high waters and low waters respectively, at the times (approximately once per fortnight) when the tidal range is greatest |
| Tide-locked  | Situation where flow into the sea is prevented by the level of the tide   |
| Verification   | Testing of a <i>calibrated computational model</i> with alternative data sets to confirm that the model is fit for purpose  |
| Waterway   | Channel used, previously used, or intended for the passage of vessels   |

| Wave                 | (1)  | Short period oscillation of a water surface caused by the action of wind or by the passage of vessels (see <i>wake</i> ) |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
|                      | (2)  | Long period movement of water surface due to <i>tide</i> , <i>surge</i> or the passage of a flood <i>hydrograph</i>      |  |
| Wave amplitude       | Half the   | wave height  |  |
| Wave energy spectrum | Graph showing the distribution of the kinetic energy content of <i>waves</i> in relation to <i>wave period</i> |  |  |
| Wave forecasting     | Estimati<br>particula  | ion of future <i>wave</i> characteristics likely to be associated with ar meteorological conditions                      |  |
| Wave height          | Vertical   | distance between the crest and trough of a wave  |  |
| Wave hindcasting     | Use of historical weather data to estimate the characteristics of <i>waves</i> which have already occurred     |  |  |
| Wavelength           | Distance between two successive wave crests  |  |  |
| Wave period:         | Time for   | r two successive wave crests to pass a fixed point   |  |
| Wave protection      | Materia<br>attack  | applied to <i>flood defence works</i> to provide protection against <i>wave</i>  |  |
| Waverider buoy       | Floating   | device used to measure water level fluctuations due to waves   |  |
| Wave setup           | Tilting convers  | of mean water level profile near a sloping <i>shore</i> associated with the ion of wave energy to potential energy       |  |
| Wave steepness       | Ratio of   | wave height to wavelength  |  |
| Wave train           | Group o  | f waves originating from the same fetch (see group velocity)   |  |
| Wave velocity        | Speed o  | f advance of an individual wave (see group velocity)   |  |

.

.

# **APPENDIX A - TYPICAL MODEL SPECIFICATION**

.

R&D Technical Report W168

----

| CONTENT | ΓS. |
|---------|-----|
|---------|-----|

.

| FOREWORD     |  | A3   |
|--------------|--|------|
| <b>A</b> . 1 | SUMMARY OF THE SAMPLE SPECIFICATION                        | A4   |
| <b>A.2</b> : | OBJECTIVES   | A4   |
|              | A.2.1 The Customers  | A5 . |
|              | A.2.2 The Mathematical Modelling System                    | A5 · |
|              | A.2.3 Use of the Results                                   | A5   |
|              | A.2.4 Outline of Study Area                                | A6   |
|              | A.2.5 Water Quality Parameters to be Modelled              | A6   |
|              | A.2.6 Spatial and Temporal Resolutions of the Models       | A7   |
|              | A.2.7 Gridding and Meshing (Internal)                      | A7   |
|              | A.2.8 Geographical Referencing Systems                     | A8.  |
|              | A.2.9 Numerical Schemes and Mathematical Reference Systems | A8   |
|              | A.2.10 Inputs to be Handled                                | A8   |
|              | A.2.11 Output to be Generated                              | Á9   |
| A.3          | BUILDING THE MODELLING SYSTEM                              | A10  |
|              | A.3.1 Data Flow Diagrams                                   | A10  |
|              | A.3.2 Bathymetry   | A11  |
|              | A.3.3 Boundary Conditions                                  | A11  |
|              | A.3.4 Pilot Modelling                                      | A12  |
| A.4          | PROVING THE MODELS   | A12  |
|              | A.4.1 Calibration  | A12  |
|              | A.4.2 Validation   | A13  |
| A.5          | USING THE MODELS   | A15  |
|              | A.5.1 User Guide   | A15  |
|              | A.5.2 User Interface                                       | A16  |
|              | A.5.3 Model Run Times                                      | A17  |
|              | A.5.4 Scenarios  | A17  |
|              | A.5.5 Graphics   | A19  |
|              | A.5.6 Statistical Summaries                                | A20  |
|              | A.5.7 Tabular Output                                       | A20  |
|              | A.5.8 Data Management                                      | A20  |

Page

.

.

•

cont...

|--|

| A.6 | SUPPORTING MATERIAL             | A21 |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
|     | A.6.1 Theory                    | A21 |
|     | A.6.2 Previous Experience       | A22 |
|     | A.6.3 Quality Assurance         | A22 |
|     | A.6.4 Acceptance Testing        | A23 |
|     | A.6.5 Training                  | A23 |
|     | A.6.6 Maintenance               | A23 |
|     | A.6.7 Support                   | A23 |
|     | A.6.8 Updating and New Versions | A24 |
|     | A.6.9 Manuals                   | A24 |
|     | A.6.10 User Group               | A24 |
|     | A.6.11 Consultancy              | A24 |

# APPENDIX A - SAMPLE SPECIFICATION FOR COASTAL AND ESTUARINE MODELLING

#### FOREWORD

This Appendix is taken from Evans, G.P. (1993) A Framework for Marine and Estuarine Model Specification in the UK. Report No. FR 0374, Foundation for Water Research.

It is provided as a model or template only. It should be adapted to suit particular studies and applications. Alternative model documents are available from some Agency Regions, in particular NAMOG in the water quality function.

This Appendix is not intended to be used as a blueprint for the specification of any particular modelling system. Instead it should be used as a 'checklist' for aspects of the specification which should be considered.

The sample specification is very ambitious and would probably result in an expensive system which in many simpler cases would be unjustified for the task in hand. The document refers to a requirement for a range of different types of models: one-dimensional estuary models, two-dimensional coastal models, three-dimensional plume models, which are supposed to communicate with each other, produce standard types of output, receive standard types of input etc. It is very possible that no one manufacturer would have suitable versions of all of these model types, ready to run as an integrated system without further development.

Where possible, the purpose of the document as a checklist has been made more explicit by the use of 'bulleted' lists; these should act as a warning to the reader that not all features need be selected. Warning notes have sometimes been included for the reader as **[Warning: ...]**.

The specification is written as if the client were a water company, but it is hoped that it could be found useful by other industries, the regulator, or a supervising consultant working for the client to specify and manage a modelling study.

One of the most difficult aspects of writing the specification has been the treatment of model accuracy in calibration and validation. The specification requires the contractor to state in a proposal what the performance of the models will be in this respect, but then goes on to suggest some typical accuracies for various parameters. Whether this latter step should or should not have been taken was the subject of some debate in the Steering Group, but it was eventually agreed that the reader would need to be given some idea of what would be a reasonable attainment.

The document was shown in an earlier draft to a number of existing customers and model users, and several model manufacturers and contractors. As might be expected, the emphasis of comment differed between the two 'sides'. Some model suppliers expressed concern about even the suggestion of guideline performance in calibration and validation, others pointed out the investment required to secure all of the aspects of required model output, compatibility and security. Some of the users as well were concerned about the cost implications of such a specification and, for opposite reasons, about the use of guideline figures for model performance. There was agreement about the value of a rigorous system for logoing and labelling model runs and about a number of other features of the proposed system.

Taking these views into account but bearing in mind that this document is only an Appendix to the second of two reports, both of which discuss the broader aspects of marine and estuarine water quality modelling in the UK including general performance objectives, the Steering Group decided to publish the sample specification with these appropriate qualifications:

- this is not a blueprint but a checklist for what might be found useful;
- appropriate model types and accuracies depend on the complexity of the problems to be solved and the: type and accuracy of the answers required by the client;

too detailed a specification may reduce the scope for manufacturers to provide their own innovations; and the more demanding the specification the higher will be the price.

## A. 1 SUMMARY OF THE SAMPLE SPECIFICATION

This document specifies the requirements for a mathematical modelling system which is simulate water quality in the coastal and estuarine waters to which the client discharges effluent. The client requires the modelling system both as a design tool and also to form the basis of discussions with the then National Rivers Authority (NRA)(now Environment Agency) as part of the process of obtaining consents to discharge.

# A.2 OBJECTIVES

The client has the task of treating sewage from several large conurbations on the coast. At present sewage from these townships is discharged into the sea, after screening but without any other form of treatment, through short outfalls which terminate just below the level of Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT). There are two important bathing beaches in the area which presently fail the standards required by the European Commission Bathing Waters Directive; there are also two estuaries whose water quality must be safeguarded.

The client is considering a number of options for altering collection and treatment order to bring the beaches up to standard:

- the collection systems may be joined together so that they drain (or are pumped) to a single treatment plant; or
- several treatment plants may be built;
- treatment may be primary or secondary with or without disinfection;
- outfalls to sea of various lengths may be constructed;
- treatment plants may be sited inland so as to discharge, through relatively short
- outfalls, to one or two of the estuaries which open into the sea along this stretch of coast.

The client wishes to purchase and operate a mathematical modelling system, incorporating both hardware and software, to evaluate the consequences, on the local coastal and estuarine waters, of adopting one or more of these options.

It must also be borne in mind that the existing sewerage systems are principally combined networks, so that the flows are heavily dependent on rainfall. It is thus inevitable, for all but the most expensive design options, that combined sewer overflows (CSOs) will continue to be necessary in any new schemes. Therefore the client also requires that:

- The modelling system be capable of simulating the effects of intermittent discharges from CSOs, in conjunction with discharge of treated effluent from the regular outfalls.
- While bacterial quality is an important consideration, affecting the suitability of water for bathing and shell fish farming, it is also vital that any scheme which incorporates substantial discharges to the estuarine waters does not result in eutrophication and increased potential for algal blooms. In addition, it will be necessary to examine the fate of conservative substances, such as heavy metals and persistent organics, as they disperse in the water column and interact with cohesive sediments, either on the bed or in the water column.

#### A.2.1 The Customers

The contractual customer for the modelling system will be the client; but the **ultimate customers** for the results of the modelling work include the client, the NRA, the Office of Water Services (OFWAT) and the general public.

The client requires the modelling system for strategic design purposes, as outlined above, the NRA requires the results of the modelling in order to satisfy itself that the design proposals of the client will result in satisfactory water quality, whether that be assessed with respect to Environmental Quality Objectives (EQOs) or Environmental Quality Standards (EQSs). In effect, the client will test various practicable treatment options coupled with various scenarios (tides, winds, etc.), in order to see whether the options are likely to satisfy the NRA, either in a consent application, or in response to an NRA request for treatment improvements.

OFWAT will require to be assured by the results of the modelling work that the proposed treatment options are not excessively expensive for the environmental benefits which they bring. The output of the modelling must also be sufficiently convincing and compelling to persuade the general public itself - not forgetting that the general public includes pressure groups and local government - that correct decisions are being made in its name. For, by way of water and sewerage charges and taxation, members of the general public will be the ultimate funders of the ameliorative works as well as being the beneficiaries.

## A.2.2 The Mathematical Modelling System

The client requires the contractor to **supply software** to fulfil the needs for mathematical modelling described in this document. The contractor is also required to **discuss the type of computing system** which the client will need in order to run the software supplied by the contractor, at the offices of the client. The contractor should bear in mind the target run times for the various models, and the types of output, in deciding the type of hardware that should be employed. It will be advantageous if the contractor's software can be run satisfactorily on machines which the client already possesses.

## A.2.3 Use of the Results

The results from the modelling work may be used in discussions with the NRA in support of applications for consent to discharge. In order to be sure that an application is likely to succeed, the client wishes to test worst-case scenarios; the NRA, on the other hand, may also be interested in the statistics of likely water quality in certain key areas. This means that the modelling system must be capable of operating either in 'worst-case mode' or in simulation of relatively lengthy periods of time, in which various combinations of loads and natural environmental conditions are brought together in a realistic sequence. The length of time for which extended simulations will be required depends on the circumstances, but is unlikely to exceed 28 days (a Spring-Neap-Spring-Neap cycle). Combinations of extended simulations (for example, with different seasonal characteristics) will be used to represent longer periods of time. The client will also need to use results from the modelling for public relations (PR) purposes: to persuade local government, pressure groups and the media that the design proposals will result in no detriment to the environment - and indeed, preferably, an improvement.

## A.2.4 Outline of Study Area

The study area covers approximately 200 km of coastline and two major estuaries (here there could be a map and description of the off-shore sea, tidal ranges, estuaries and river flows). The study area is to be envisaged as being split into a number of 'fields':

- the near-fields, which will be the regions in the immediate vicinity of outfalls, where jet mixing and initial dilution must be simulated;
- the mid-fields where the dispersion of extended plumes is to be simulated; and
- the far-fields where resultant **quasi-steady-state** or slowly varying (at tidal frequency) concentrations are to be modelled.

The software must be able to simulate the interaction of these various fields in a unified modelled system.

#### A.2.5 Water Quality Parameters to be Modelled

In this section the client outlines the minimum set of water quality parameters to be modelled. This is not intended to place on the contractor a restriction of the number of parameters which may need to be modelled to provide an authentic description of the processes affecting the minimum set. For example, salinity and temperature will affect the buoyancy and diffusion of an outfall plume, while for consenting purposes only concentrations of coliforms may be relevant.

In the coastal waters, which are usually well flushed and where dilution volumes are adequate, the principal parameters to be modelled are the concentrations in the sea of viable Total and Faecal Coliforms. It is expected that these will only be of significant magnitude in the near- and mid-fields (with respect to the originating outfall). Where the mid-field encompasses bathing beaches, coliform concentrations at the water's edge are to be modelled.

#### [Warning: this last stipulation might be very difficult and expensive.]

In the estuarine waters, which may be less well flushed, the modelling system must be able to simulate the evolution of concentrations of the following water quality parameters:

- Temperature;
- Salinity;
- Total Coliforms;
- Faecal Coliforms;
- Dissolved Oxygen;
- Ammoniacal Nitrogen;
- Biochemical Oxygen Demand;
- Oxides of Nitrogen;
- Orthophosphate;
- Chlorophyll; and
- 6 user defined (first order) pollutants.

#### [Warning: the number of modelled pollutants will affect the size and run-time of the model.]

In the estuaries, the modelling system must be capable of simulating the near-field, the mid-field and the far-field.

The contractor should also provide an option for modelling the erosion, deposition and re-suspension of muddy (cohesive) sediments so as to simulate the effect of concentrations of those solids on:

• Turbidity (and thus T90 values for bacteria); and

#### • Oxygen demand.

The contractor should also provide an option for modelling the adsorption, and desorption of conservative or first order substances, such as metals or persistent organics, onto and from cohesive sediments. Such an option will require the user to specify partition coefficients and rate constants.

## A.2.6 Spatial and Temporal Resolutions of the Models

The models shall have sufficient spatial and temporal resolution for an adequate description of the phenomena being modelled; the following guidelines are suggested:

- for the three-dimensional near-field models: a horizontal spatial resolution of 10 m, and a vertical resolution of 2 m;
- for three-dimensional or quasi-three-dimensional mid-field models: a horizontal spatial resolution of 100 m, and a vertical resolution of 2 m;
- for the far-field models of the coastal waters: a horizontal spatial resolution of 1 km;
- for the far-field models of the estuaries: a horizontal spatial resolution of 100 m for two-dimensional plan or three-dimensional representation, and 250 m for the longitudinal resolution in a one-dimensional or two-dimensional width-averaged model;
- for the far-field models of the estuaries, when either three-dimensional or two-dimensional width-averaged representation is being, provided: vertical resolution of 2 m.

The temporal resolution of the final output of the models need not be smaller than 30 minutes, but it is recognised that a finer resolution may be necessary to provide the best comparisons with measurements in calibration or validation. The user should be provided with a facility for enhanced temporal resolution of output, for example, for the study of the initial evolution of CSO plumes; a guideline figure for the finest resolution required in those circumstances would be 5 minutes.

The internal time resolution for transfers of information between models may be considerably finer, as may also be the internal computing time steps within the models. These are matters for the contractor's consideration, though he is invited to refer to them in his description of the models.

# A.2.7 Gridding and Meshing (Internal)

The contractor is not constrained to use any particular type of gridding or meshing system, so long as it is capable of providing adequate resolution for the various fields of interest, and the models using the system are stable and valid.

If the contractor uses different gridding systems for different models in the system, then these must be fully compatible and interactive.

#### A.2.8 Geographical Referencing Systems

#### A.2.8.1 Horizontal position

It must always be possible to describe the horizontal position of an output from the model, in terms of Ordnance Survey (OS) co-ordinates, and in terms of Latitude and Longitude. It must be possible to specify positions of load sites in similar terms reference in final output to position in the model gridding system alone is not sufficient.

#### A.2.8.2 Vertical position

In addition, it must be possible to describe the vertical position of a model output (where vertical dimensionality is provided) with respect either to Ordnance Datum or to local chart datum. Obviously similar considerations apply to model predictions of water surface level, and representations of sea bed depths.

#### A.2.8.3 Geographical Information Systems (GIS)

A facility to exchange data, input or output, in an industry-standard format with a **Geographical Information System (GIS)** is essential. In this way both the client and the NRA will be able to present results of the modelling operations in the context of maps of their own making, such as:

- maps of sewerage systems and treatment facilities;
- maps of catchment water quality; and
- maps of air quality relating to the location of incineration facilities.

#### [Warning: this would require special investment by the model manufacturer.]

#### A.2.9 Numerical Schemes and Mathematical Reference Systems

The contractor is not obliged to use any particular type of numerical scheme nor reference system. For example, he may use finite difference or finite element schemes, explicit or implicit methods, and Eulerian or Lagrangian reference systems. He must, however, provide justification for the efficacy of the schemes or systems in his description of the models.

#### A.2.10 Inputs to be Handled

The modelling system must be capable of handling the following input variables:

- time-varying tidally generated water levels and fluxes at the boundaries;
- time-varying wind fields over the water surface;
- time-varying solar radiation;
- time-varying air temperature;
- time-varying river flows;
- time varying effluent flows; and
- time-varying effluent concentrations.

The modelling system must be capable of accepting the last three items, which cover the principal inputs of loads to the modelled areas, as either:

- constant values;
- values varying diurnally and/or weekly according to a prescribed cycle;
- values received from a file created by another program or by the user; or
- values calculated from a user-supplied algorithm which relates flows and concentrations to the local water

level.

Thus it must be possible to represent:

- the diurnal and weekly fluctuation of loads from a sewage treatment works;
- a synthesised sequence of river flows and concentrations;
- the sudden loading produced over a short period of time from a CSO; and
- the loading produced by a discharge controlled by tide-locked gates or tidal tanks.

These representations must be achieved in the context of the continual operation of the tidal forcing function at the seaward boundaries of the modelling system and a wind forcing function over the water surface.

The contractor is also invited to offer a representation of the effects of waves of representative period, e.g. swells:

- as derived from the wind field stresses; and/or
- as propagated through the modelled area from prescribed boundary conditions, on mixing in the water column and re-suspension of sediments from the bed.

Such modelling could be carried out in a separate module and its output, in terms of enhanced dispersion or raised bottom shear stresses, added to a given scenario to represent the effects of a storm.

[Warning: Creating this number of suitable input data files will be a substantial task for the user and will result in a huge number of combinations which will require careful documentation.]

## A.2.11 Output to be Generated

The modelling system must be capable of producing suitable plots of the output from the hydrodynamic modelling. These will include line plots of water surface height as function of time at a given point, line plots of water surface height as a function of position at a given time, colour-coded contour plots of water surface height viewed in plan at given times, vector plots of water velocity at given times, streak plots of the movement of simulated floats or drogues and extended track plots showing the long term movement of simulated floats or drogues. Where appropriate these plots should be reproducible in a time sequence.

The modelling system must be capable of producing colour-coded contour plots of the concentration of water quality parameters, viewed in plan. These should be reproducible in a time sequence. The modelling system should be capable of producing line plots of concentration at a point as a function of time or at a time as a function of position along a chosen transect.

The modelling system should be capable of producing ASCII files holding model output, for further analysis by other programs. The modelling system should be capable of producing summary statistics (such as mean, standard deviation, percentiles) concerning the concentrations of a particular parameter at a particular point. One important additional statistic will be the percentile measure of the number of occasions when a particular parameter, such as faecal coliform concentration, exceeds a given level, such as the guideline value for bathing beaches. A similarly vital statistic would be a percentile measure of the number of occasions that DO concentration is less than some given value; this would be appropriate for assessing estuarine water quality with regard to migratory fish.

For those regions of water where there are significant variations of water quality with depth, the modelling system should be capable of producing colour-coded contour plots of the concentration of water quality parameters, viewed in elevation (on a cross-section).

The modelling system should be capable of producing plots of statistical functions of concentrations, such as maximum, minimum, mean, standard deviation, percentiles either as contour plots or as line plots, in plan or in

elevation as appropriate.

The contractor may wish to quote for the supply of a three-dimensional colour-coded contour plotting package which would permit the viewing of the initial evolution and dilution of plumes. Such a package would allow the plume to be seen in isometric or perspective projection, rotated or sliced according to the wishes of the user; it would thus enable the fulfilment of all of the requirements for two-dimensional viewing outlined above.

Wherever appropriate, it should be possible to display the results of modelling in conjunction with the results of calibration and validation exercises, preferably with the simultaneous display of various statistical measures of the goodness of fit between modelled output and measurements.

Contractor should arrange that the decision, as to which output is to be used to demonstrate the effects of any given treatment option and scenario, can be delayed as long as possible - preferably until after all modelling for that scenario has been completed. It is accepted by the client that this will require the storage of large files of output data. The contractor should bear this in mind when discussing hardware requirements.

The contractor should endeavour to provide the utmost degree of modularity in the output tools. Thus output tools should, whenever possible, not be seen as specific to a given model. It will be highly desirable to be able to present two-dimensional information, such as contour plots of concentration, in the context of maps produced from other sources with a GIS.

#### A.3 BUILDING THE MODELLING SYSTEM

The contractor is to build the modelling system, choosing model components appropriate to the regions to be studied. He must indicate the regions where special sub-models will be required in order to resolve detail. The client has shown in Figure A the regions of especial interest to it and to the NRA. The contractor should describe the types of models which will be applied in each area. By way of guidance, the client has been told by the NRA that two-dimensional depth-averaged models will suffice for the mid- and far-fields in the open sea area, while a three-dimensional representation of initial dilution is required in the near-fields adjacent to proposed outfalls. In addition, while estuary P is well-mixed over depth, estuary Q is deep and subject to considerable variation with depth of salinity and quality during neap tides and during high flows. For this reason, the client is of the opinion that a special three-dimensional model will be required for estuary Q.

#### A.3.1 Data Flow Diagrams

The client understands that it may well be possible to separate the modelling process into two key stages:

- hydrodynamic modelling; and
- water quality modelling,

which may be carried out in sequence for any given modelled region. This is because, in general the results of water quality modelling do not impinge on the results of hydrodynamic modelling. Exceptions may occur when salinity (and thus density) gradients are large or when pollutant loads added in a water quality are of necessity accompanied by large flows of water.

- The contractor must clearly indicate and justify the type of models or sub-models which he intends to provide in order to construct the modelling system.
- He must also clearly state whether the models are to be fully interactive, or whether sub-models are to be run with boundary conditions supplied from the output of previous and separately conducted model runs.
- In the proposal the contractor should provide data flow diagrams in order to show the sequences in which

R&D Technical Report W168

the various modelling processes are run and the exchange of information between them and the user. The data flow diagrams should also place the modelling components in the context of the modelling system as a whole, showing, the links between the pre-processing or input stages, the models, and the post-processing or output stages, and the degree to which they are, or are not, independent of each other.

# A.3.2 Bathymetry

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that the description used within the models of the bed-depths of the sea and estuaries (the bathymetry) is fit for purpose. The contractor must indicate whether be intends to use Admiralty chart data, Admiralty fair sheets, local port authority data, special survey data or a combination of one or more of all of these. It should be borne in mind that Admiralty and port authority charts are primarily intended for navigation and thus tend to be biased towards an underestimation of depths.

# A.3.3 Boundary Conditions

# A.3.3.1 Spatial boundaries: quantity

The contractor is responsible for obtaining information about the appropriate tidal conditions at the spatial boundaries of the modelling system. He may wish to extend the modelled area beyond the region of prime interest to the client in order to acquire better-documented and more tractable boundary conditions.

## A.3.3.2 Temporal boundaries: quantity and quality

Boundary conditions in the temporal sense are also important. The contractor is responsible for ensuring that appropriate initial or start-up conditions can be provided to the modelling system, and for indicating how soon after start-up the output of the model will be realistic and unaffected by transients. Thus, for reasons of economy, the user may wish to study a repeating tide of given amplitude at M2 frequency; here one must ascertain the number of tidal cycles which must be simulated with the hydrodynamic model(s) before the water movements themselves repeat themselves sufficiently closely. Alternatively, the user may wish to commence simulation within a Spring-Neap cycle; one must then ascertain how long it is before the hydrodynamic modelling system accurately tracks that longer term evolution of water movement. It is to be expected that start-up will be most rapid if the initial conditions of the hydrodynamic model are derived from a 'warm-start' file saved on a previous occasion at the relevant point in time from an extended simulation. Therefore; the contractor should provide a facility for warm-starting the models from a suite of warm-start files, created and logged on previous occasions.

## A.3.3.3 Spatial boundary conditions: quality -

Boundary concentrations of the water quality parameters of interest will be of great importance, especially for the long-term far-field modelling. The client has obtained agreement from the NRA that an appropriate source of such information for the concentrations on the seaward boundaries and the freshwater (river) boundaries will be the NRA itself. The contractor must indicate how the modelling system will deal with the export and subsequent re-import of mass of a given water quality parameter.

# A.3.3.4 Free surface boundary conditions

It should not be forgotten that a further boundary condition has to be supplied to the hydrodynamic model(s) at the free surface of the water body, in the form of a wind shear stress field. Similarly air temperature may be an important boundary condition for the water quality model(s).

## A.3.4 Pilot Modelling

The contractor is to build a pilot modelling system, to help the design of the calibration and validation experiments. The client has an extensive set of previously acquired survey data encompassing:

- water levels;
- velocities;
- float (drogue) tracking;
- tracer data;
- bacterial concentrations; and
- other water quality data.

It is not intended that the models should necessarily be calibrated or validated against this set of data but the contractor will have access to it in order to make initial design decisions about the sub-models. (A description of the survey data would be given in an Appendix to the specification.) The contractor may employ the historic data set in order to modify key internal model parameters from the values quoted in the literature or previously used by the contractor himself. The contractor may choose a coarser grid for the pilot modelling than the grid which he intends to use for the final product.

[Note: The staging of a modelling exercise to include a pilot model may actually save more elapsed time than proceeding directly to a full model with insufficient preliminary information.]

#### A.4 PROVING THE MODELS

The client and the NRA will require to be assured that the final modelling system is simulating reality with reasonable accuracy. For this reason the modelling system and its components must be calibrated and validated. Calibration is to be taken as meaning the adjustment of certain internal model parameters so as to optimise the simulation of reality in comparison with a set of measurements. Validation is to be taken as meaning the testing of the calibrated models in comparison with **new** sets of measurements. The calibration and validation exercises will require an extensive programme of field measurements which is to be designed by the contractor, subject to acceptance by the client. The client will provide the funds agreed to be necessary to carry out the work but the surveys are to be performed under the personal supervision of at least one member of the contractor's staff. Additional supervision will be provided by a third party, such as the NRA. The contractor is to indicate in the proposal the likely scope and duration of the calibration and validation exercises; guidelines are given below.

#### A.4.1 Calibration

The contractor is to calibrate the hydrodynamic aspects of the models in terms of water levels and velocities as functions of time. On the assumption that a two-dimensional depth-averaged model will suffice for the far-field coastal model, the client envisages a calibration of the hydrodynamics of that model using measured levels and estimates of depth-averaged velocities from two representative tides: mean spring and mean neap. A similar study will also suffice for estuary P; estuary Q, however, is likely to require measurements of velocity, salinity and temperature at various depths. The contractor may wish to calibrate the model over a longer period and adjust its performance with reference to the goodness of fit of harmonic constituents. **[Warning: this may increase the cost of the surveys.]** 

The contractor is to calibrate the proposed water quality models: near-field, mid-field and far-field. It is presumed that calibration of the near-field models will have to be restricted to the measurement of tracer concentrations - for no buoyant jet of representative size will yet be available in a representative environment. Calibration of the mid-field models will likewise consist of the measurement of the evolution and dispersion of a tracer plume, which may have depth-varying properties in estuary Q. The client invites the contractor to suggest an appropriate tracer, the frequency and the spatial spread of the sampling or measurements. *Bacillus globigii* spores could be used, supplemented with dye in order to allow the plume to be tracked in real-time and thus increasing the chance of

sampling spores from the water. The client envisages that the tracer experiments will be carried out for two representative tides: mean spring and mean neap; and over several tidal cycles and under certain specified wind conditions in the case of *B. globigii* tracing.

Far-field calibration will be of most relevance for the estuary models, in which the previously enumerated dissolved water quality parameters are to be measured over two representative tidal cycles: mean spring and mean neap. In general, measurements along the estuary will suffice (boat runs at various stages of the tide), but these should be supplemented by measurements across the estuary in the wide mouth of estuary P and measurements through depth in estuary Q.

Far-field calibration will require the simulation of influent loads over some period time prior to the intensive surveys. The client will indicate to the contractor the principal pollutant loading sites on the estuaries; and the contractor must state the duration and frequency of load sampling which must take place at these sites in the run-up to the intensive survey.

For both hydrodynamic and water quality calibration experiments, the contractor is to specify the meteorological measurements which are to be made.

The results of the calibration exercises are to be made available to the client in the of hard-copy graphics and tabular material, as well as in the form of screen-based material, such as movin- graphic displays. Examples of output will be:

- arrow vector plots for hydrodynamic modelling;
- float (drogue) tracks for hydrodynamic modelling;
- level v. time plots at fixed positions;
- level v. position plots at fixed times (e.g. for an estuary);
- colour-coded contour plots for tracer experiments;
- concentration v. time plots for various modelled water quality parameters;
- concentration v. position plots for various modelled water quality parameters;
- statistical measures of the degree of agreement between model and measurements, e.g. root mean square (rms) error.

The contractor is to stipulate in the proposal the manner in which these results are to be presented. He is also to define measures of the performance of the model at the calibration stage and values of those measures which he expects to achieve. In doing this he should make reference to the expected errors in the measurements.

[Warning: the contractor will be justified in referring to the variability of the real world as well as to measurement error.]

## A.4.2 Validation

The purpose of validation is to determine whether the models are 'Fit for purpose'. This exercise is not to be thought of as 'verification' - for that implies asserting that a model is true to reality which will never be entirely the case. The validation experiments will be substantially similar to the calibration experiments, subject to the inevitable variability of the real world. The models should be applied, with no alteration or further calibration, so as to simulate conditions during the validation experiments. It may be that the contractor has found different values of internal parameters appropriate to the separate cases of, say, mean spring and mean neap tides in the calibration experiments. For example, the best-fitting bed-friction coefficient or Manning's n for a mean spring tide may differ from the best-fitting value for a mean neap tide. However, the contractor must not explicitly reset these values in order to produce best-fit with the validation measurements; instead, he must provide some sort of automatic function which will provide a value appropriate to the amplitude of the tide being simulated in the validation tests. In other words, he must incorporate the variation of that parameter as part of the internal design of a unified model. Similar considerations apply to the effects of other forcing functions such as river flow.

In the proposal the contractor must define measures of performance of the models in validation and values of those measures which he undertakes to obtain in validation. In doing this he should make reference to the expected errors in the measurements.

Performance of the models in validation is not expected to be as good as that in calibration.

[Warning: the contractor will be justified in referring to the variability of the real world as well as to measurement error.]

#### A.4.2.1 Coastal areas

Guidelines for required performance at the validation stage are, for hydrodynamics:

- levels to within ±0.lm;
- speeds to within ±0.1 m/s;
- directions to within ±10 degrees;
- timing of high water to within ±15 minutes.

Alternatively some of these could be expressed in percentage terms:

- speeds to within ±10-20% of observed speed;
- levels to within 10% of Spring tidal ranges or 15% of Neap tidal ranges.

It is accepted that these criteria might be too testing for all regions of the modelled area; a less stringent expectation might thus be that these conditions should be satisfied for 90% of position/time combinations evaluated. As another alternative the contractor may choose to express the agreement between modelled results and measurements by reference to harmonic constituents.

Guidelines for required performance at the validation stage are, for water quality:

- tracer concentration at a point in a mid-field model to within a factor of 5;
- temperature to within 0.5 deg. C;
- salinity to within 1 practical salinity unit (psu) (approx.=ppt);
- areal extent of a concentration contour of a tracer plume in a mid-field model to within a factor of 2.

Other measures of model accuracy for hydrodynamics and quality include:

- description of track accuracy (e.g. mean distance of modelled floats or drogues from measured floats or drogues after given times);
- description of position of the centre of mass of a modelled plume with respect to thecentre of mass of a measured plume (with due regard to the uncertainty of estimate of the latter from measurements).

#### A.4.2.2 Estuaries

Guidelines for required performance at the validation stage are, for hydrodynamics:

- levels to within  $\pm 0$ . Im at the mouth,  $\pm 0.3$ m at the head;
- speeds to within ±0.2 m/s;
- directions to within ±20 degrees (does not apply to one-dimensional models);
- timing of high water at the mouth to within  $\pm 15$  minutes;  $\pm 25$  minutes at the head;
- salinity  $\pm 1$  psu at the mouth and head,  $\pm 5$  psu or more in the region of most rapid change.

Alternatively some of these could be expressed in percentage terms:

- speeds to within ±10-20% of observed speed;
- levels to within 15% of Spring tidal ranges or 20% of Neap tidal ranges.

It is accepted that these criteria might be too testing for all regions of the modelled area; a less stringent expectation might thus be that these conditions should be satisfied 90% of position/time combinations evaluated. As another alternative the contractor may choose to express the agreement between modelled results and measurements by reference to harmonic constituents.

Guidelines for required performance for the validation stage of an estuary waterquality model will not be indicated here.

[Warning: estuarine water quality is inherently much more variable in space and time than marine water quality especially:

- in the mid-reaches where salinity changes rapidly;
- where anoxic bottom sediments are prone to re-suspension by extreme flow or wave events;
- and in any eutrophic regions where a combination of variable freshwater flows, intermittent discharges and weather conditions can cause extreme events such as the growth and collapse of algal blooms.
- Therefore the contractor may require to see extensive measured results before committing himself to any expectations of model performance.]

## A.5 USING THE MODELS

The contractor is to provide a modelling system which incorporates all of the models which he has seen fit to provide to cover the various regions lying within the study area. The system of programs is to be loaded onto the agreed hardware platform at the client's offices.

[Warning: the client's insistence on using his own hardware and system may result in longer delivery time and the possibility of more teething troubles, if the contractor's preferred system is greatly different.]

## A.5.1 User Guide

The contractor must provide a printed user-guide, which is to be regarded as part of a 'user interface'. The contractor may arrange for this user-guide to be machine-readable and mounted on the machine so as to be accessible from an on-line help system.

The user guide should contain a clear description of the modelling system, with data flow diagrams, showing the pre-processing components (data input and control), the models and the post-processing components (data output).

The guide must catalogue the scope of the models, describing their dimensionality and the parameters which can be modelled, as well as the permissible duration of the runs and the resolution in space and time with which results are presented.

Worked examples of model runs should be provided, including a step-by-step guide to data entry and run control as well as a guide to the choice of appropriate model output.

The guide must have an Appendix detailing the theoretical basis of the models aspects of their implementation specific to the areas to be modelled.

# A.5.2 User Interface

The modelling system must be provided with a user interface which can provide three different levels of interaction between the user and the system. The levels will reflect:

- the expertise of the user in water quality modelling;
- the familiarity of the user with computing in general and with the contractor's modelling system in particular;
- the authority of the user within the framework of the client's modelling programme.

The operation of the models is seen as being split into three key stages:

- preparing the data files and control files for the models;
- running the models;
- viewing the output from the models.

These three stages may be referred to as: pre-processing, processing and post-processing. It has been mentioned earlier that it should not be necessary to specify the type of output from the models before they are run. Instead all of the output from model should be kept until after the viewing stage - when it may then be deleted archived off the system. Access to the system is to be password protected with various entry levels depending on the authority of the user. The levels are indicated below, with the most senior level at the start of the list:

- Level 1 this will be available only to the contractor, for the purpose of modifying, key model parameters held within a special contractor's parameter file or files, and to the client's System Manager;
- Level 2 the user will be permitted to control the spatial extent of the models; and to construct edited copies of the contractor's bathymetry files, and maps of eddy diffusivity and dispersion coefficients; chemical rate constants, T90s and the like;
- Level 3 the user will be permitted to choose the duration of the run, the tidal forcing function, river flows, loading sites, outfall diffuser lengths, loading rates, wind speed fields.

The contractor may wish to provide a **mouse-driven windows environment** as an alternative to a basic menu system. A menu or windows data-entry environment will be a convenient method of introducing a new user to the system. The menu or windows environment option should provide on-line help, with paragraphs appropriate to the stage in the data-entry process. At any one of the levels the user must be able to make (those permitted) changes to the model input and control files by menu or with the mouse system. Direct editing of the files using the computer system editor is only to be permitted at Level 1.

A mouse-driven, windows environment may be particularly useful for trying new experimental combinations of outfall positions. However, it is important that any such experimental use is well documented automatically. If a mouse is used to locate an object such as the end of an outfall, the co-ordinates of that point should be echoed in OS co-ordinates and/or Latitude and Longitude, and, of course, described automatically in the input data file.

The client understands that editing the data files directly using the computer system editor could result in the mis-identification of model output. For this reason this facility is to be restricted to the contractor and the client's Systems Manager.

It will be the responsibility of the client or the contractor to generate new file names for directly modified files, not re-using the old names, so that the 'pedigree' of model is always clear. However, in the case of menu or windows environment entry of data, the contractor must take responsibility for ensuring either that the user supplies a new name for the new file or that a new and appropriate file name is generated automatically.

## A.5.3 Model Run Times

The run times of the models must not be excessive. In considering the necessary hardware, note will be taken of run times estimated by the contractor for various modelled scenarios. The contractor should provide an estimate of the time taken to run the entire modelling suite, with full interaction between component models, for a spring-neap-spring-neap cycle - that is to say, simulating 28 days.

At the implementation stage, expected run times should be indicated to the user model control files have been set up but before runs are started. This will permit better organisation of the modelling work.

## A.5.4 Scenarios

A scenario will be defined as the circumstances surrounding a run of the model or models, consisting of:

- the starting conditions of the run;
- the duration of the run;
- the tidal forcing-function;
- the freshwater river flows;
- the season;
- the wind fields; and
- the loads.

The latter five conditions may be time-varying for any given run.

Not all combinations of these input conditions need be studied; instead the investigation process may follow a tree-like structure in which a set of hydrodynamic conditions is first established, e.g. a repeating mean neap tide with a uniform Westerly Force 8 wind and 95% ile (high) river flow, to be followed by a series of runs of a water quality model with various different loads.

It is essential that the scenario underlying a particular piece of output is clearly documented on the output itself, by means of a unique run number.

#### A.5.4.1 Tides

The user must be able to choose either the amplitude of a repeating tide to be applied at the seaward boundaries of the modelling system or the duration of a sequence of tides to be applied, in which the amplitude of the tides is derived from the combination of known tidal harmonic constants.

A repeating tide will be applied as a boundary condition for a number of tidal cycles to be specified by the user. A sufficient number of cycles should be chosen so as to ensure that the hydrodynamic flow field repeats itself cyclically by the end of the modelled period. The contractor should indicate the number of cycles which will be necessary for this and also provide, in the hydrodynamic models, a measure of the degree to which the hydrodynamic flow fields are repeating themselves from tidal cycle to tidal cycle.

In the case of a sequence of tides, it will not be necessary to model more than a single spring-neap-spring neap tidal cycle, that is to say, twenty eight days.

The process of setting the boundary conditions must be made as simple as possible for the user. In the case of a repeating tide it should not be necessary for the user to specify the phase and amplitude of the tidal wave all along the boundaries. Instead the contractor should provide functions for this, so that the user is merely required to specify the tidal amplitude as a ratio to the M2 amplitude or to the spring amplitude.

Similar considerations apply to the spring-neap-spring-neap cycle. The user should only be required to supply the day in the cycle at which modelling is to commence.

The output from the tidal hydrodynamic modelling is to be stored in files which may be used for warm-starting the hydrodynamic modelling in future and also for driving the water quality models.

#### A.5.4.2 Freshwater flows

In parts of the modelling system these may be expected to have an effect on the hydrodynamic flow fields; this will be particularly true of the estuary models, salinity changes may also be important.

The user must be able to define points of entry of freshwater flows on the landward boundaries of the models, and especially at the tidal limits of the estuary models.

The user must be able to define the magnitude of each flow either as constant, or as read from specified input files or as synthesised from statistical descriptions (functions of season, random noise, auto-correlation and cross-correlation with other fresh water flows).

The contractor must provide the facility for restarting hydrodynamic modelling with altered freshwater flow conditions, so as to provide new hydrodynamic flow fields after a sufficient period of hydrodynamic modelling (see previous section).

Freshwater flows may also be used a method for introducing pollutants or water quality properties in general; this will be the most appropriate way of introducing high volumes of polluted water in estuary models (see the section on Loads).

#### A.5.4.3 Winds

The user must be able to specify wind fields to be applied as appropriate at the hydrodynamic modelling stage. It will be sufficient to describe these as **uniform in speed and direction**, but modified if required with an editing facility so as to permit the 'shading' of certain areas by high terrain. The user must also be able to stipulate a **sequence** of wind fields to be applied during an **extended** period of hydrodynamic modelling.

The contractor must provide the facility for restarting hydrodynamic modelling with altered wind field conditions, so as to provide new hydrodynamic flow fields after a sufficient period of hydrodynamic modelling.

#### A.5.4.4 Loads

The user must be able to define up to 100 points of entry of pollutant loads to the water quality models. Loads must be specifiable in a number of ways, not all of which need to be the same in any one water quality model run. The user must be able to specify loads either as loading rates or as combinations of flow and concentration (after which the system should calculate the mass loading rates) so as to simulate:

- constant mass loading rate for any of the prescribed pollutants;
- time-varying loads (diurnally and weekly);
- CSO loads (by user-specified time profile, or synthesised according to user-specified statistics);
- time-varying loads controlled by a user-supplied algorithm which relates flows and concentrations to a local water level or time after high water.

The user must also be able to specify diffuse pollution loading rates (e.g. agricultural pollution) over stretches of coastline or estuary bank.

#### [Warning: estimates of these are difficult to make.]

In addition the user must be able to specify the concentrations of pollutants (or properties) in the water entering the water quality models in the freshwater flows. Concentrations in these inputs should be specifiable in the same ways as those outlined for mass loading above.

# A.5.5 Graphics

It is appreciated that the modelling systems will produce large quantities of information which will require graphical presentation, in order that they may understood by the user and the eventual customers.

According to the types of models supplied by the contractor the following types of display graphics should be produced:

- line plots of time-varying boundary conditions;
- colour-coded contour plots of bathymetry (both after digitising stage and after model gridding);
- colour-coded contour plots of wind-field shear stress;
- time-sequences of vector plots showing instantaneous velocities in the hydrodynamic flow fields;
- time-sequences of streak plots shonving the tracks of simulated floats (drogues) in plan as they would be driven by the hydrodynamic flow fields;
- contour plots of tidal phase (level) for a given tidal cycle;
- contour plots of tidal phase (speed) for a given tidal cycle;
- tidal ellipses for various tidal constituents;
- line plots of water level as function of time at a fixed position;
- line plots of water level as a function of position at a fixed time;
- time-sequences of colour-coded contour plots of pollutant (or water quality parameter) concentration, in plan or in elevation as appropriate;
- line plots of pollutant (or water quality parameter) concentration as function of time at a fixed position;
- line plots of pollutant (or water quality parameter) concentration as function of position at a fixed time.

#### [Warning: the client should consider carefully whether all of these are needed.]

The displays are to be designed to incorporate measurements from calibration or validation. These should be plotted to the same scale in the case of line plots or with appropriate colours in the case of contour plots of concentration. Measured float (drogue) tracks should be shown in a distinctive colour, as should tidal ellipses derived, say, from OSCR measurements. Appropriate statistics describing goodness of fit should be quoted on the plots.

The contractor should not apply a contour smoothing package to the colour-coded contour plots. Instead, the resolution of the output should reflect the resolution of the model which produced the results.

Those outputs designated as 'time sequences' are to be designed especially for rapid sequential display, so as to convey the movement of bodies of water and plumes of pollutant in the most compelling manner. It should be remembered however that interpolation between 'frames' of model output is an artefact of display. Controls must be provided so that the user may halt the display at any time in order to focus attention on a particular frame.

The colour-coded graphical output should, of course, contain a key box to identify the concentration ranges implied by any particular colour. Also, distinctive colours should be chosen to indicate areas of land and areas of sea or estuary bed which have dried at any given state of the tide. Similarly, line plots should have clearly marked and labelled axes. Each display should also show the time and state of the tide where appropriate, or, in the case of line plots at fixed positions should clearly indicate the position described.

Time may be quoted with respect to high water at a designated position or absolutely by reference to date and time of day in hours and minutes.

In addition, each piece of output must contain a unique run number for the model which generated it. This run number, coupled with a system log which will be described under data management below, will uniquely identify the modelling process which generated it and all assumptions and input data used. This run number must appear on any hard copy (see later) made of the screen contents.

In considering the design of the output graphics, the contractor should bear in mind a prime requirement of the client:

• that a representative version of the output should be portable and displayable on commonly available computers.

#### A.5.5.1 Hard copy

The contractor must ensure that hard copies can be made of any of the screen displays, so that, for instance, the copies may be included in submissions to the NRA. The contractor should specify what additional computer hardware (if any) is necessary to achieve this requirement.

#### A.5.6 Statistical Summaries

Either as part of the graphical displays or separately, statistical summaries of the modelled quantities should be provided as appropriate. Essential are the following estimates:

- rms deviation between measured and modelled speeds over a tidal cycle or cycles at a point;
- rms deviation between measured and modelled levels over a tidal cycle or cycles at a point;
- percentile values of concentration of a water quality parameter at a point, e.g. 5%ile, median, 95%ile;
- mean value of a water quality parameter at a point.

Over a time period, the variance should be given as well as the (arithmetic) mean, both for modelled and measured quantities (where appropriate).

Another useful statistic, which should be displayable on request, is the duration for which a parameter either exceeds or is less than a given value.

#### A.5.7 Tabular Output

The modelling system must be able to produce (on request) ASCII (character files) which can be used subsequently by user-supplied programs for further analysis and interpretation of the modelled results. The user must be able to specify the variables), positions), and time resolution of the data, as well as the format of the file. Clearly there will be practical limits on combinations of these quantities and the contractor must give examples of what could be achieved.

#### A.5.8 Data Management

It is essential that the modelling system contains a data management system which logs the progress of the modelling process and labels each data file used for input or produced as output by any of the models in the system.

#### A.5.8.1 Modelling log

An automatic log shall be kept which shall record each model run, giving the user identity, model name and version number. Each model run shall be automatically allocated a run number unique to that particular model,
unless the user chooses to supply a number. In the latter case, the system shall check to ensure that the supplied number is unique and shall suggest alternatives if not so.

The details of each run shall be stored in a file, in run number order, which shall give for each run:

- the user identity;
- the model name; •
- the version number;
- the names of the input files;
- the names of the output files.

#### A.5.8.2 Pedigree

In addition each input file prepared by the user with the contractor's menu system shall be automatically given header records which shall indicate the user identity and date of preparation. Where files are prepared using the computer system editor, the user shall be responsible for supplying these records.

Each intermediate or output file produced by a model shall be given a header record which shall indicate the user identity, model name, version and run number responsible for creating the file. Header records from input files shall be copied onto the front of output files, before the header record which refers to the current run. In this way, a final output file will contain a series of records which together may be used as a record of the processes which gave rise to that particular output or result.

The contractor shall arrange for this sequence of records to be displayable on all output material: plots, graphics and tabular material, at the user's request. The record which refers to the last modelling process shall always be reproduced. The contractor shall provide a utility which shall be capable of re-tracing the process or processes which produced an eventual result by recourse to the model log files. The full documentation of the series of processes and their inputs and outputs which gave rise to the output in question (whether final or intermediate), which we shall term the 'pedigree', shall be displayable at the user's request on the screen or on hard copy. It must also be possible to make a file copy of the pedigree for future reference.

## A.6 - SUPPORTING MATERIAL

The contractor must provide supporting material, describing the theory behind the models and previous modelling experience. He should describe the authenticity of the models (in terms of physics and chemistry), the numerical schemes employed and their stability (time steps, run times, over- and under-damping). He must also outline the quality assurance methods employed. The contractor must describe how he proposes to train the client's staff, maintain the code, and provide updating of the modelling system.

## A.6.1 Theory

The contractor must set out the theoretical basis of each modelling procedure he proposes to employ. He must explain the key variables which are to be simulated and give an analytical description of the processes which relate them. He must then explain and justify the approximations made in the numerical simulation as well as the numerical scheme(s) which he uses. It will probably be useful to split the descriptions into several portions, describing, e.g.:

- hydrodynamics (mid- and far-fields);
- hydrodynamics (near-fields);
- water quality (far-fields);
- water quality (mid-fields).

He should explain and justify the methods for assigning:

- bed-shear stress;
- wind-shear stress;
- wave-generated shear stress (if modelled);
- momentum diffusion coefficient (eddy viscosity);
- water quality dispersion/diffusion coefficient;
- boundary conditions, open, land and surface.

He should explain and justify the dimensionality of the models, for example, justifying a two-dimensional depth-averaged model of coastal waters, or the number of layers required to discriminate the'stratification' of estuary Q.

He must explain the gridding system he proposes to use, and, when models pass information between each other, whether that transfer is one-way or fully interactive.

He should explain and justify the spatial and temporal resolution of the models, bearing in mind the physical size of the areas being modelled and the duration of the time-periods to be simulated.

In the case of a finite-difference scheme, he should explain the scheme - whether it is explicit, staggered, alternate direction implicit, etc. - and whether it is diffusive or conditionally or unconditionally stable.

He should explain whether Eulerian methods or Lagrangian particle-tracking methods are being used, and, in the case of a near-field plume model, any analytical expressions being used to deliver concentrations or particles to cells within the mid-field models.

He should describe the methods he uses for dealing with floodinc, and drying areas.

In the case of Eulerian advection-diffusion modelling, he should give estimates of the amount of numerical (artificial) dispersion and compare that with the magnitude of genuine dispersion to be expected.

He should explain the constraints on the magnitudes of time or distance steps.

In the case of Lagrangian particle-tracking modelling, he should explain the random-walk technique, indicating the way in which dispersive random steps are allocated in depth-averaged modelling for two orthogonal directions or, for quasi-three-dimensional modelling, three orthogonal directions. He should indicate whether the directions in the horizontal plane are to be thought as of as in the streamnvise direction and in the direction at right angles to the stream, or whether they are to be thought of as in the (x,y) directions. He should also explain the relationship between the dispersion coefficients assigned and the proportion of the expected turbulence spectrum which is being represented by those dispersion coefficients. He should explain what cross-correlation, if any, is applied to random walks of particles which are near each other, and what auto-correlation, if any, is applied to the consecutive random walks of individual particles - i.e. to what extent the coherent structure of the real turbulent field is being represented.

## A.6.2 Previous Experience

The contractor is invited to describe previous experience and projects ,vith the proposed modelling system or Nvith a system at an earlier stage of development. The contractor is invited to give a demonstration of an existing modelling system, on hardware of his choice and/or to provide testimonials from previous customers.

## A.6.3 Quality Assurance

The contractor shall describe his quality assurance methods, covering: project management, software production and maintenance, training, and post-delivery support.

## A.6.4 Acceptance Testing

It is seen as advantageous to split the acceptance testing into two stages: one at the contractor's premises and the other at the client's. In this way the testing of the performance of the contractor's programs can be separated from the testing of their performance on the client's system, which may be different from the contractor's system.

### A.6.4.1 Preliminary acceptance trial

The contractor must describe a procedure, to be carried out at the contractor's offices, which will serve as a test that the modelling system is fit for purpose. The procedure must include a method for recording faults which need to be corrected.

## A.6.4.2 Commissioning trial on the client's system

The contractor must describe a procedure, to be carried out at the client's offices, which will serve as a test that the installed modelling system provided is fit for purpose. The procedure must include a method for recording faults which need to be corrected. The contractor may propose that the acceptance test take place either before or after training (see below).

## A.6.5 Training

The contractor must provide a training programme for the client's staff who are to use the models. Some users will require training in computer methods generally, others in the principles of water quality modelling; all will require training in the operation of the contractor's modelling system in particular.

The contractor must provide a training programme matched to the various levels of user expertise, in order to produce users fit to operate the system at either Level 2 or Level 3. It is recognised that training is not something which can ever be regarded as complete and, further, that there may well be staff changes during the progress of the modelling work at the client's offices. Therefore the contractor must be ready to re-train users and to train new users as the need arises.

## A.6.6 Maintenance

The contractor should offer a maintenance and guarantee service, with a quoted price for the first three years. Thereafter prices will be negotiable. The maintenance service should cover the free correction of malfunctions in the software, but not enhancements of the system as accepted. The users' log will facilitate arbitration in any dispute and will also provide vital clues to the contractor to help him solve the problems.

# A.6.7 Support

The contractor must offer a support service at various levels:

- telephone support (with various response times);
- fax support (with various response times);
- sample data-file support, e.g. by diskette (with various response times);
- call-out support (with various response times);
- re-training or continued training at the client's premises or at the contractor's premises (see training above).

Support contracts should be charged by time and rate with estimates of typical effort required. Alternatively, the contractor may offer certain amounts of support of particular kinds, e.g. up to 3 man days of telephone support at a fixed price, with hourly rates thereafter.

#### A.6.8 Updating and New Versions

The contractor should consider updating the models and the modelling system from time to time in order to meet new user requirements. A method should be developed for bundling together user requests for improvements into 'wish lists', which the contractor should review now and again. Naturally, the contractor may wish to introduce changes on his own initiative. When a suitable combination of changes has been identified the contractor should quote for new versions of the models or modelling system; it is natural that he may also wish gradually to withdraw support for older versions of the models or modelling system; but he should give good notice of his intentions.

#### A.6.9 Manuals

At appropriate times during the project, the contractor must provide the following items of a set of manuals or reports consisting of:

- a theoretical manual (related to the study area);
- a report on model proving (calibration/validation);
- a training manual; and
- a user-guide.

#### A.6.10 User Group

The contractor should consider setting up a user group for the models or modelling system. This could include users from the client as well as other customers for the modelling system. It should include a representative of the contractor's staff. Such a group could discuss ways in which the system might be improved and could put forward ideas for improvement for use in the wish lists mentioned earlier. Such a group might also consider methods for the flexible interchange of data with programs outside the contractor's modelling system.

#### A.6.11 Consultancy

It may be that, because of staff shortage or time-pressure, the client will require assistance in carrying out its evaluation of sewage treatment options or in presenting a case for a particular option to the NRA. The contractor is invited to quote day rates for consultancy. At a later date the contractor may be invited to quote fixed prices for complete studies yet to be defined.