### 8. Monetary Control Consultations

30 September 1980

Paper by Mr A G Courakis

Pages 1 - 22

MCC (80) 44

COPY NO. 7

30 September 1980

HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY

MONETARY CONTROL CONSULTATIONS

PAPER BY MR A G COURAKIS

Note by the Secretaries

Antecedents" by Tony Courakis is circulated for information. The attached paper "Monetary Targets: Conceptual

M D K W FOOT L WILLIAMS

thulushams donth enculating

**ERASENOSE COLLEGE** OXFORD OX1 4AJ

Telephone 48641

Dear Mr. Middleton,

I enclose a copy of a paper I wrote some time ago (and which is due to appear - complete with footnotes, tables etc. next month in a volume I have edited) which bears very closely on some of the issues that, according to your circular (particularly paragraph 10 p.3) of 18 September, will be discussed at the seminar next Monday.

Yours sincerely,

A.S. Courakis, Fellow & Tutor in Economics.

25 September 1980

P.E. Middleton Esq. Deputy Secretary H.M. Treasury Parliament Street London SWIP 3AG

### FRIEDMANIAN RULES THE UNITED KINGDOM THE UNITED STATES CONCEPTUAL ANTECEDENTS AND THE INTERPRETATION OF POLICY THE NATURE AND CONDUCT OF ECONOMIC POLICY WEST GERMANY IN FULL PUBLICITY AND COMMON KNOWLEDGE INTERMEDIATE TARGETS AND INFORMATION VARIABLES: THE VARIANCE APPROACH THE NATURE OF PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS MONETARY TARGETS IN PANDORA'S WORLD DECISION AND SURPRISE INTERMEDIATE TARGETS AND POLICY INDICATORS INTERMEDIATE TARGETS UNDER UNCERTAINTY POLICY ANTICIPATIONS AND THE CONDUCT OF POLICY The United States West Germany The United Kingdom 18-25 50-61 30-32 11110 11-14 10-52 50-56 33-50 26-30 15-61 2-4 1-2 9-10 2.

A. S. Courakis

Уď

Revised January 1979

62-66

56-61

Economist: Socrates

Do you find that your monetary system works well Pretty well, thank you, Socrates, on the whole,

Socrates

That would be, I suppose not because of the rather strange rules of which you have told me, but because it is administered by men of ability

It would seem that that must be the reason rather than the rules themselves ( countes

Denis Robertson, 'British Lonetary policy', Lloyds Bank Review, May, 1939.

expansion' in the financial year 1976-77, while The Times ventured into a 'Programme National Bank took pride in being one of the first central banks in the world to for Economic Stability' that began with the objective that declared a target of 12 per cent for, as it was quoted in the press, 'monetary the same year. in the stabilization policy programme presented by the government in September of Netherlanda, a 'money supply' target was announced' in 1976 and in France a year the monetary targets of the Federal Reserve have been made public. 6 make public its target for the desired money supply growth', and since spring of that increase in a particular monetary asgregate. Two years later the Bundesbank announced its decision to pursue a target rate of supplies' equal to their respective rates of growth of output plus 4 per cent. pursue a policy of maintaining an annual rate of increase in their respective 'money In October 1972 the E.E.C. Commission, with characteristic optimism, announced quantitative targets for growth of monetary aggregates. Early examples of such (and in 1973 the Council of Ministers agreed on) the objective that manher nations tendencies can be found in U.S. official statements dating back to 1970. quantitative objective pertaining to the rate of increase in wide money was included Over the last few years increasing attention has been devoted to explicit In the United Kingdom, in July 1976, the Chancellor of the Exchequer At the beginning of 1975 the Swisa For the

Money supply growth be kept to 9 per cent this year, 6 per cent next year, and 4 per cent thereafter, this commitment be institutionally entrenched beyond doubt and pressure. 10

perceived relates to accoleration of the rate of increase in the 'money supply' being seen as the primary cause of price changes, or whether it is felt that non-monetary requires control of monetary aggregates. It is less clear whether the connection from increasing acceptance of the view that control of inflationary processes major impetus for such tendencies and recommendations does of course derive

.. ../emplamations of

will be served as the domestic intentions of the monetary authorities become more predictable, and as confidence in the domestic monetary framework grows '. 13 sense of turbulence, uncertainty, and crisis that have been common in recent years... exchange markets, where it is felt that the basic objective of eliminating 'the explanations of inflation presuppose a particular response on the part financial warkets by eliminating the uncertainty implicit in a process of policy encouraged by a belief that such policies are conducive to greater stability of reduced or disappear entirely. But to some extent these tendencies are also monetary authorities. I, in the absence of which such pressures will be considerably The latter is also pertinent to the smoother operation of foreign

arrived'. 14 one author recently put it, 'the era of monetary policy by monetary targets has the need to examine a variety of issues. target values is an art to which much effort is now devoted. In short, as regarding monetary targets, and comparisons of current monetary statistics At any rate the public has come to focus attention on official statements But what does this mean ? An attempt to answer this question suggests

- E Are 'targets' to be understood as 'Friedminian rules'
- 2 economic activity aggregates comprise the best intermediate variables in determining Are they to be understood as statements of acceptance that monetary
- (3) Are targets to be understood as indicators of economic activity
- 3 Are they to be understood as policy indicators
- S What criteria are employed in determining their values ?
- 6 In what sense do they imply a change in the behaviour of
- 3 What is the content of 'publicly announced' targets and correspondingly.
- 8 What are the commitments involved in such announcements

governments have come to It seems instructive, however, to begin with a proview of the 'targets' that set.

## H MONETARY 'TARGETS': A BIRD'S EYE VIEW OF EXPERIENCE AND PRACTICES

### THE UNITED STATES

rates in three definitions of the money supply and one of bank credit, as measured to report ranges for growth of mometary aggregates in the coming four quarters. These ranges themselves are defined in terms of upper and lower limits for growth from the most recent quarterly average levels to their prospective levels four Representatives and Senate, the Federal Reserve Chairman is obliged once a quarter Since March 1975, in response to a Joint Concurrent Resolution of the House

demand deposits, Hi; the latter plus commercial bank time deposits, Mi; and the latter plus commercial bank time deposits, Mi; and the latter plus shares at mutual savings banks and savings and loan associations, Mi. The fourth aggregate, termed the adjusted credit proxy 15, is equal to total mather bank deposits subject to reserve requirements plus non-deposit sources of funds, such as eurodollar borrowings and the proceeds of commercial paper issued by bank holding companies or other officiates. However, lags in data availability have more recently resulted in the replacement of this fourth aggregate by bank credit which includes total bank loans and investments, measured on a monthly available basis, less interbank loans. Table I presents the annual growth ranges adopted and actual rates of growth of such aggregates realized in the period 1975 to 1978.

The precise ranges of the targets are decided by the Tederal Open Market Cosmittee (FONC) in the light of econometric and qualitative projections of the consequences for the economy of alternative target ranges. From long-run standpoint the acknowledged strategy is one of gradually reducing growth rates to levels that may prove compatible with price stability. From the 'one-year range' standpoint, however, it is stressed that '...inflation can lead a life of its own quite independent of current or past monetary developments' and hence the growth ranges adopted, take the underlying economic conditions' as given. Furthermore, personal judgement as to what comprises 'no more than accommodation' of such underlying economic. In the relation-ships between the four aggregates and CNP are subject to variations that preclude exclusive reliance on past statistical associations.

In pursuing its yearly targets the F.O.M.C. defines, in terms of one or both of the two narrower aggregates, short-run (current and next month) tolerance ranges at levels and spreads deemed consistent with current developments and with the adopted yearly targets. The Committee's instructions to the Manager of the System's Open Market Account accordingly provide for the accommodation of the public's demand for funds in the short-run while at the same time prescribing responses to be made when the growth of Mi and/or Mi (i.e. of the aggregate focused upon from a short-run operational standpoint) appears inconsistent with the Committee's longer run objectives.

The latter is the principle at any rate. 19 In practica however, the extent to which tolerance ranges have been observed has been limited. Examining, for example, actual experience during the first year of operation of the 'announced range scheme' one finds that despite variations in the width of the tolerance ranges the actual behaviour of the two aggregates deviated from the specified limits. 20 Nor was this

shooting has continued in the years since (see pages 000-00). On the yearly ranges, parformance has varied as between aggregates. Interestingly there was a greater tendency to 'achieve' all three targets in the earlier part of the period reviewed a feature which corresponds to the pattern of declining growth ranges for M1 coupled with increasing rates of growth of this aggregate during the period.

### THE UNITED KINGDOM

The in other currencies, should grow by 12 per cent during the financial year 1976/77. . In October this objective was refterated; and though in December emphasis shifted and public sector sterling demand and time deposits plus U.K. resident deposits their growth rates to come more into line in the financial year 1976/77 announced that  $\underline{\chi}_i$ , i.e. the aggregate of currency with the public plus U.K. private Phenomenon. In the Budget Statement of April 1976, the Chancellor indicated 9 to 13 per cent for the financial year 1976/77. corresponding objectives for the sterling component of M1 in the form of a range of should remain moderate. 21 A few months later, in July 1976, the Chancellor though it was still the government's objective that the growth of the modey supply that after two years in which  $\underline{M}_3$  had increased at a rate less than CDP  $\underline{/h}e$  expected a DCZ ceiling of £7.7 billion, set by the I.M.F., for the financial year 1977/78. adopted in the Budget speech of 1977 as the joint objective, combined that is with set limits on DCE for the financial years 1976/79), the authorities also announced to a target for dorastic credit expansion (DCE) (as the letter of Intent to the I.M. In the British context, publicly announced monetary turgets are a more recent This range was furthermore

Unlike the United States, no precise information regarding short-run operational procedures is available. An address by the Covernor of the Bank of England, however, does provide some insight into the modus operandi of pursuit of May objectives. Commenting on the 'Management of Manatary Aggregates', Mr. Gordon Richardson summarized<sup>22</sup> the Bank of England's 'logic of operating' as seeking

bringing about changes in interest rates'. / However, the cifficulty of predicting/'...the level and structure of interest rates at which the stock of money that the public wants to hold will be brought into equality with the stock the authorities would like to see being held / Howars that / 'in practice the authorities often try building up a forecast from, as it were, the 'supply' side.' / This involves looking/ '...separately at the main 'tems which statistically speaking are the components of the maney supply on a broad definition — such as the public sector borzoring requirement, sales to the public of government debt, the volume of bank lending to the private sector and external flows to the private sector doing in such an exercise

77075

...

will be if we refrain from trying to change interest rates is to attempt to predict what the rate of monetary expansion as a preliminary to considering the need for intervention. that inaction is likely to undermine achievement of the to predict and control - as soon as it become reasonably clear divergencies, in these sources of monetary expansion - 400 ficult The essence of monetary management... is to act to offset monetary target'.

9 - 13 per cent) target range for sterling M3 were attained. neither the original (July 1976, 12 per cent) target for Ms nor the (December 1976, as December 1976. Furthermore the demand for gilt edged was higher than only. Part of the reason for such undershooting 24 7.5 per cent and the former, as was the case in the previous two years, by 10 per cent not been in accordance with stated objectives. In the first year of operation, 1976/77, investors despite the fact that the authorities refrained from selling gilt edged auticipated, resulting in higher than forecast sales of securities to domestic borrowing requirement was some 25 per cent below the figure predicted even as late in the last two months of the financial year. Whatever the precise operational context of policy 25, the record so it has was that the public sector The latter grew by

three quarters of the financial year sterling My rose at an annual rate of almost the target rate of increase postulated either. As Table II reveals, in the first in late October 1977. Even this rate, however, was to be exceeded in the lace despite the authorities' eventual move to a more flexible exchange rate policy sterling M: was more than twice that of 1976/77. quarter of the financial year, so that for the year as a whole the rate of growth of 15 per cent. The performance in the financial year 1977/78 was not in accord with the This was so despite continuing strong demand for gilt edged 25

Besides prompting the intriguing/comment in the Bank's Quarterly Bulletin that

with recovery in the real economy still very fragile, the authorities were naturally unwilling to see interest rates so higher than was essential.  $^{26}$ 

constary targets 2'as the Governor saw it, but at any rate one which experience but useful technical change to our continuing policy of having publicly announced referred to earlier and announced in the Budget speech of April 1978 - a '...minor anticipated in the February 1978 lecture by the Covernor of the Bank of England this performance must have contributed to the move to six-monthly rolling targets, since has not challenged.

WEST GERMANY

authority 'brown new ground' in announcing a decision of the Cantral Bank Council stating that 'from the present viewpoint' it considered 'a growth rate of about 8 aggregates was that of the Deutsche Bundesbank. In December 1974 this monetary greater attention in policy design, the first publicly declared target for monetary and Uniced Kirging followed a period during which constary aggregates were accorded light of the aims of stabilisation policy, 25 per cent in the Central Bank Money Stock during the year 1975 justifiable in the While the movement to publicly announced targets in both the United States

central bank's policy statements circulation plus banks' compulsory reserves against domestic liabilities of the private 32 presented as a weighted money stock aggregate. monetary base 31; and at least since 1976 the central bank money stock is increasingly this appregate is conceptually different from what is sometimes defined as the adjuste excess reserves and absence of offset for borrowed reserves does, of course, teply th monetary aggregate chosen for this purpose is one that has featured in this adjusted for variations (over time) in reserve ratios. since early 1974. It is equal to currency in The exclusion of

..... ...In deciding an the precise digundent target thee of growth, the Bundesbank

and the change in the 'velocity of circulation', 33 of production potential, the change in the utilization of the ... was mainly guided by the following variables: the growth

Futhermore it was thought that

dependent on cyclical conditions. It can therefore be assumed potential and the velocity of circulation - are equally the growth of the production potential and the rate of univoidable price rises. 34 that there is a relatively great probability of such changes that the utilization of the production potential and the continuing to run fairly parallel in future. Hence two components are particularly important in determining the target: 'velocity of circulation' both change in the same direction and Of these four variables two - the utilization of the production

and December 1975 was reached. order of 9-10 per cent, the target of 8 per cent increase in CBM between December 19 Granted such reasoning and projections suggesting an increase in nominal GNP of the

But by August the rate of increase of CBM was accelerating and, with the annual CDM was almost 10 per cent higher than a year earlier. rate of increase of 13.6 per cent in the second half of the year, the December 1975 For the first few months of the year policy appeared to keep track of

The experience of 1975 was thought to reveal that setting a precise quantitative target for sometary growth to be achieved in the course of precisely one year was too demanding a task for the sometary authorities (see pp.000-000). Thus in defining its objectives for 1976 the Bundesbank set as its target not a figure to be schieved between end-1975 and end-1976, but rather a figure to be achieved on average during this time interval, an average growth target. But the criteria for target setting remained the same. In deciding on the precise figure therefore, the principal, and publicly stated, assumptions were 35

\*\*\*

- (1) a 2 per cent of growth of productive potential in 1976
- (2) a 2.5 per cent rise in capacity utilization
- (3) a virtually unavoidable rise in the price level of 4-5 per cent.

Altogether this amounted to an anticipated growth of nominal CNP of about 9 per cent.
But granted the cyclical phase of the economy it was reasoned that this did not require an equal rate of expansion of CDN since

(4) a higher income velocity was to be expected.
Allowing for this last assumption the Bundesbank considered a rise of 8 per cent in CEX, comparing the average for 1976 with the average for 1975, to be appropriate.

File retaining any psychological benefit of continuity of an absolute figure for rate of growth of CBM, the new target, postulated on an average basis, meant of course a considerable reduction in the end-year to end-year growth objective. In the event the new target was also violated. Taking the average of all nonths the central bank money stock was 9.2 per cent higher in 1976 than in the previous year (though on an end-year to end-year basis the growth rate did decline to 3.4 per cent). Significantly this overshooting was consistent with an actual increase in growth of real output in excess of that embodied in the assumptions on which the 8 per cent target was based, while the increase in prices was smaller than budgeted for. But the overall increase in nominal GNP was as originally projected so that, as the Bundesbank put it 'the crux of the question was why the valocity of circulation did not increase, as had originally been assumed'. 36

Although no clear answer to the last question was forthcoting(see below) the bundesbank persisted with its 8 per cent/for the year 1977 also. To preclude any misunderstandings regarding average as opposed to fixed interval growth, the Bundesbank also announced that to meet this target it would strive to achieve a steady expansion in CDM of 6-7 per cent, comparing the average of the fourth quarter of 1977 with the corresponding quarter of 1976. Nominal CNP was, as in 1976, predicted to rise by 9 per cent consistent with a 3 per cent increase in production potential, 2 per cent in capacity utilization and 4 per cent increase in prices. A change in velocity of circulation was expected (contrary to experience in 1976) to make up the difference between 8 per cent and 9 pur cent increase in GNP

At least as revealing as the Bundesbank's persistence to the magical figure of 8 per cent however, was the renewed emphasis, since late 1976, on the behaviour of the banks free liquid reserves as a focus for policy - an issue played down in the preceding three years 37, - and the unwillingness to resolve the dilemm that strong capital inflows posed by allowing the exchange rate to appreciate 38 rather than overshoot the 1976 target in the midst of a merry-go-round of sales of securities to absorb bank liquidity combined with a policy of stabilizing interest rates and total reluctance to raise the cost of Lombard credit in light of record recourse to such credit by commercial banks. Thus, while in December 1977 an 8 per cent growth target for CEM was once again adopted, in the light of predictions regarding the four key variables described above 40, we also find/lits unequivocal pursuit was now much qualified. Indeed, experience over the three preceding years culminates in the rationalization, found in the Bank's report for the year 1977, (published in April 1978) that

'The Bundesbank had to assume from the outset /since targets were first announced that is/ that there may be periods in which the pursuit of an 'incornediate target variable' as reflected in the announced rate of CBM cannot be given priority; it is then necessary to consider whether to colerate the non-attainment, of the original target or to revise the target. In 1977 as in 1976 the Bundesbank decided not to change the target but to explain the reasons for the divergence'.41

Whether such pronouncements suggest a shift in the Bundesbank's position will be discussed later. But from the standpoint of providing a broad record of events (as I have nimed to do in this section) we may note that the reasons given for the divergence in 1977 were that rises in interest rates such as would have been required to contain the growth of CBM would, on the one hand, have 'increased the risk of cyclical setback' and, on the other, 'would have been at variance with external requirements', placing that is more pressure on the Deutschemark to rise further. And since higher monetary growth in the short-run was thought not to endanger price stability, the Bundesbank chose not only 'not to counteract accelerating monetary expansion' but indeed to initiate 'further monetary relaxations'.

# III. CONCEPTUAL ANTECEDENTS AND THE INTERPRETATION OF POLICY

### FRIEDMANIAN RULES :

There is much in the above that can be said to carry a Friedmanian tinge. 43 A yearning for a more stable economic environment after the vagaries of the first half of the 1970s is easily understood; and equally, when much else has failed, Friedman's vision may not tantalize all, but promises deliverance with seductive (apparent) simplicity. As he concluded in 1968:

by adopting publicly the policy of achieving a steady rate of growth in a specified monetary total... the monetary authority could make a major contribution to promoting economic stability... Other forces would still affect the economy, require change and adjustment and disturb the even tenor of our ways. But a steady monetary growth would provide a monetary climate favourable to the effective operation of those basic forces of enterprise, ingenuity, invention, hard work and thiff that are the springs of economic growth. That is the most that we can ask from monetary policy at our present stage of knowledge. But that much — and it is a great deal — is clearly within our reach.

This prescription, which appears to elevate the pursuit of a stable rate of growth of some monetary aggregate , to the status of a sole objective for monetary golicy, derives we may remember from three premises, namely that

- (1) the behaviour of the private sector is basically very stable;
- our ignorance of structural relationships precludes the use of monetary policy for fine tuning (so that the pursuit of such policy by the authorities is likely to result in instability in the sense of increasing the amplitude of the adjustment path that the private sector will generate in response to any given disturbance in the absence of policy);
- (3) monetary policy, though it has important effects on real magnitudes, cannot peg real magnitudes at predetermined levels.

In principle these seem to be positive issues on which empirical investigation may be expected to adjudicate. In practice disagreement at the normative level, stemming from differences of opinion 46 regarding social order and time perspectives, has sustained, and will continue to sustain, some of the heat of the debate on 'rules varsus discretion' in monetary or other policy. At the positive level, Friedman's rule comprises one of the alternatives open to the policy-maker. At the normative level, it reflects his preference for limited government and, where government is essential, his preference for limiting government so far as possible by clearly specified rules rather than granting wide discretion to government officials 47. To be sure, experience does affect normative judgements also. The performance of various countries in the last few years has induced considerable

where that in 'assessing the expansion of central bank money in the manner compatible on belief in the inherent stability of the economy 53 ones have not been with us long; but as the move to 'rolling targets' a l'americaine grand design and desire to deprive the monetary authority of discretion. The British when due repard is paid to other economic variables) to be consistent with Eriedman's it is also elear that the targets I have described in section 2 above, do not appear 'recognition', 'implementation' and 'effects' limits their short-run potential Sl confer losses by while imperfect knowledge of the relevant parameters and lags in the position that monetary acrobatics do not confer long-run benefits 49 of the last few years has emphasized the trade-offs between short-run benefits and objectives as the market may (or does) fail to deliver. Furthermore the experience possibilities of economic growth'. 54 with stability it is ... above all necessary to be guided by the somewhat langer-term target values are derived is not one that abstracts from current conditions, resting And the Germans ? They at least can claim to have kept to the same absolute yearly also indicates in this respect they have much in common with those of the United States. reviewed (and one perceives that they are revised to conform to what can be achieved to qualify for the title of Friedmanian Rules. 52 reflection on the extent to which governments can be expected to deliver such been the same all the time. figure; though, as we have seen, granted revisions in measurement this has not really long-run losses. Yet though the targets adopted do reveal increasing acceptance of More importantly, in Germany too the process whereby The American ones are too frequently , despite exhortacions to the , and may indeed

## THE NATURE AND CONDUCT OF ECONOMIC POLICY

Granted that the policies currently pursued cannot be accorded the status of Friedmanian rules, monetary targets should be seen in the context of resolutions to what, following Brunner and Meltzer, may be termed 'the policy problem'. Shallter he pertains to the condust, and interpretation of the posture, of policy in an environment characterized by incorplete knowledge of the structural relationships intercalating instruments of policy and ultimate goal variables, and by imperfect information regarding current and even past values of the endozenous and exagenous variables in the sytem.

In Brunner's analysis 57, policy in such an environment is seen as comprising '... three major groups of problems: (i) the information problem; (ii) the interpretation problem; (ii) the interpretation problem; S8 The first of these relates to the assessment of the general movement of the economy, the pace of economic activity, the pressure on the price level and the trend in the balance of payments; in short to the identification of the current movement of the goal variables, whatever the cause of such movements may be. By contrast, the interpretation problem relates to the acquisition of 'information about the monetary thrust transmitted to economic activity'.

may be true, we should note from the outset that both the theoretical models permaining to this issue and the interpretations of current policies that claim (formally or deliberations of policymakers. informally) to depart from such models rest on a much more limited view of the problem in securing the artainment of desized values of the goal variables. While the latter determinate fashion, serve as the 'proximate' or 'intermediate' targets for policy. and which, provided that they can be said to relate to the goal variables in a on the optimal choice of a short-run/governing the continuous adjustments of the and Meltzer, and others 60 than that explicit in Brunner's missionary discourses or indeed in descriptions of the convergence of opinion to the view that (for the particular time interval to which Interest rates, monetary and credit aggregates are obvious candidates for this role observable with a lag shorter than that pertaining to the goal variables themselves their policies to the attainment of specific values of endogenous variables that are about the structure and information lags policymakers have typically chosen to direct of the target and indicator problems, in recent years attention has focused primarily policy choices relate) monetary aggregates comprise the 'best' intermediate variables policymakers control variables. In this context the emphasis on 'monetary targets' may be interpreted to denote Although these problems are distinct in some respects, and in the work of Brunner considerable effort has been expended in separate discussions In particular under conditions of incomplete knowledge

# INTERMEDIATE TARGETS AND INFORMATION VARIABLES: THE VARIANCE APPROACH

At the expense of considerable simplification one may distinguish three aspects of incomplete knowledge, namely

- (1) lags in information pertaining to the goal variables and other variables in the system;
- (2) lack of knowledge of the structure, that is to say of the values of the parameters characterizing responses of economic actors in a particular conomy at a particular interval of time;
- (3) random disturbances.

Recent analysis has focused entirely on the first and third of these aspects of experience 61 in notable disregard of the fact that, in view of the absence of precise information (consensus) regarding the structure and the increasing evidence that the desirability of particular stratepies is intimately related to the precise nature of this structure, the questions pursued in such treatises bear a rather casual(on even

remote) resemblance to those confronting the policymaker. Nevertheless one is impressed by the extent to which the authorities' behaviour in some countries can be said (or has been interpreted) to conform to the mould of the 'variance approach'.

12

In the context of this approach it is assumed that the policymaker in pursuing his goals seeks to minimize the expected value of the quadratic loss function 62 over a particular horizon. Besides the weights attaching to the goal variables in terms of preferences, the choice of strategy depends on the mean values of the parameters and stochastic properties of the model purporting to characterize the policymaker's perception of his environment, and also the flow of information about the exogenous and endogenous variables in the system.

a money stock policy 65, measured by pamparing the expected squared deviation of the atochastic variability is confined to additive disturbances. as "cortainty equivalence", assumes that the decision-taker perceives a linear structure a money stock policy is superior to an interest rate policy. Monetary growth targets stable than the expenditure function and rather unresponsive to interest rate movements. the interest rate and income. monetary sectors and on the values of the parameters describing the response of to illustrate the variance approach), the superiority of an interest rate policy over within the confines of the traditional Bicksian IS-LY structure (usually employed reduces to a deterministic Tinbergen (instruments-zonis) problem. 65 and knows with certainty the constants and coefficients of this structure, so that anticeedents, reflecting, that is, prior information (or beliefs) that the underlying deduced that if, as monetarists have often claimed, The demand for money is both more expenditures to changes in the interest rate and of the demand for money to changes in the interest rate and former for The state and former former to the changes in the interest rate and former for covariance structure of the additive disturbances attaching to the expenditure and goal variable (typically income) from its 'desired' value, depends on the variancepulicy to be smaller than under an interest rate policy. structure is such as for the variance in the goal variable under a money stock therefore (it is inferred) can be interpreted to imply tacit acceptance of monetarist Following Theil 63, the more popular variant of the approach, aptly described In such a model, furthermore, it can be easily The policy choice then In particular,

Whether the latter is an appropriate characterization of policymakers' current perception of their respective environments is an issue to which I will come in due course For the moment let us delve further into what is to be understood by a money stock policy in such a frame. In an environment in which the money stock and the interest rate can be regarded as alternative instruments of policy <sup>68</sup> (in the sense that the authorities can set the value of either at the level consistent with minimizing the variance in the goal variable) and where decisions relate to an interval of time during which the authorities receive information neither about the value of the goal variable

relates to the choice of a single value of an instrument of policy to be raintained at the interval between policy reviews. Although therefore the setting of the money stock (in the hypothetical case in which M is an instrument) at the particular level consistent with minimizing the variance in the goal variable implies that the interest rate respondingly the setting of the interest rate respondingly the setting of the interest rate — in a situtulon in which this alternative is deemed to minimize the variance in the goal variable between policy reviews — implies that the money stock varies, this variation is not for cannot be) utilized by the authorities to effect revisions in their instrument that will reduce the variance in the goal variable between policy receive information, on the behaviour of the variable whose value is not set as an instrument, with a regular frequency greater than that pertaining to the goal variable, they may choose to respond to such information by adjusting their instrument of policy.

In the latter case two kinds of response may be distinguished. The first is for the authorities to seek to infer, in the light of their prior knowledge about the structure and its stochastic characteristics, the likelihood that this deviation of the money stock (or interest rate) from its expected (and other things equal consistent with minimizing the variance in the goal variable) value is due to an IS disturbance and adjust their instruments of policy in accordance with the expectation of such a disturbance being reflected in the movement of the money stock or interest rate. The second is for the authorities to seek to adjust their instruments in such a way as to minimize the variance in the money stock. The reating, that is, the money stock as the proximate policy objective—as the intermediate target. (A simple graphical representation of these alternatives is given in Appendix A below.)

•

Presented thus it is immediately obvious that such an <u>intermediate target strategy</u>, unless it can be maintained that the demand for money depends on income only 1, is inferior to 1...the optical policy... of first determining how much of the money author) and then allowing for the LM curve slope in gauging the optical policy. 72 The optical policy therefore requires that the money stock be treated as an 'information variable' 73 rather than a target. And even in this capacity there is no reason for exclusive attention on its path. For since the path of any other observable endogenous or exogenous variable also contains information that (in this scheme of things) can be interpreted through the structure, it will in general the inefficient to abstain from exploiting fully 5 the information contained in observations of variables other than the expany stock (or interest rate). 76

Such an uncompromising verdict on the desirability of using any particular intermediate variable as a focus for policy (be it as an 'information variable' or an 'intermediate target') hewever cannot fail to raise the question of whether the particular charitarization of the policy problem, encapsulated in what was termed the 'certainty equivalence' approach, bears any resemblance to that which confronts macroeconomic decision-takers and hence whether, in appraising current policy procedures, we are entitled to confine ourselves to such a mould. After all, if we accept '...at face value the proposition that central bankers are men and women of normal competence...who take decisions according to the advancement of the public weal..., '77 it seems hard, in the light of the above, to explain their alleged recurrent recourse to intermediate targets, unless of course by 'normal competence' we are to understand a level of intelligence below that required to comprehend that it is inefficient to disregard costlessly provided and interpretable information that is known to be relevant to the pursuit of ultimate objectives.

multiplicative disturbances and is thus 'at variance' with the popular 'certainty to such simulations (the foundation of the prior beliefs) does, by definition, exhibit on deterministic structures, the particular econometric model comprising the background of the disturbances. equivalence' variant of the variance approach. However, while suitiplicative in that the policymaker will generally deploy as many instruments as are available in the system, and Eurther still detract from the Timbergen instrument-goal solution on the part of policymakers to changes in the exogenous and/or endogenous variables disturbances 79 result in optimal policies that imply a more conservative response infinite planning horizons \_\_ does not detract from the above conclusion that there tends to reduce the misks associated with multiplicative disturbances), such a move irrespective of the number of goal variables he pursues (since such a course of action towards reality -- except perhaps in the, for our purposes, irrelevant case of intermediate target strategy. Steeped in the variance would it is tempting to seek an explanation in the mature an optimal policy, in the sense of a feedback rule that is superior to an ,78 For though policy simulations do in practice invariably rely

All this however, it cannot be stressed enough, is on the assumption that the decision-taker holds a particular prior belief about his environment, about the structure

INTERNUDIATE TARGETS UNDER 'UNCERTAINTY'

In contrast to the variance approach, the policy problem pursued in the Brunner Meltzer writings comprises (like Friedman) as an essential ingredient:

5

... ignorance — or relatively incomplete information about the structure of the economy. \$0

ţ-

A proper understanding (and appraisal) of policy and of intermediate targets (and indicators) (see pages ) cannot therefore depart from the assumption (critized by Brunner and Maltzer) that 'A particular hypothesis relating monetary policy to  $\underline{I}$  the goal variables? is well established', so that different policies (with or without feedback from information variables) can be uniquely ordered in terms of this hypothesis, but from recognition of the fact that

...the policywaker has many different comparing hypotheses of the structure available to him.82

That the conduct of policy in such an environment is a tack distinct from that implied in the Poole -Kareken et al. -B. Friedman writings is blatantly obvious when we reflect on how sensitive the policy recommendations (the optimal policy that is) derived from any specific model are to the exact specification of the model <sup>84</sup>, a fact which as Turnovsky has demonstrated is even more true when crucial parameters are subject to scochastic disturbances. <sup>85</sup>

£ ...

performance of at least some of the equations of the model, will almost certainly prevent the same verdict for all subperiods; as well as, possibly, (e) his unease with the current practice of '...econometric models mutating and multiplying at amazing speed...86 the convergence of his prior beliefs to a single paradigm economic system; (c) to enable him to undertake the statistical comparison; (b) the protean nature of the paradigm, his awareness of: (a) the precariousness of the assumptions required Although, therefore, it may strengthen the decision-taker's confidence in a particular specification of the structure in the period to which our data sample pertains. such a comparison would, at best, resolve the ambiguities regarding the correct for a particular interval of time. Even if this were feasible in practice however structures as, statistically, the most acceptable characterization of the economy undertaken that yields none ordinal ranking and may render one or other of these all such structures can be explicitly defined and a systematic comparison, be estimates pertaining to various aspects of such structures. In principle, of course, is confronted with an array of alternative theoretical structures and econometric (and if the will for such an undertaking existed, which is doubtful, in the face of Consider therefore a world, much as the real one, in which the decision-taker the fact that any such vardict for the period as a whole need not imply memories of how often other prior beliefs were shaken in the

> a maxi-min decision whereby '...the optimal strategy minimizes the worst \_variabilities over time attributable to...partial ignorance' of the structure and of the path of the exogenous variables in the system. 91 <u>of the different models defined by the competing hypotheses about the structure and path</u> the policymaker's reluctance to converge on a particular model will direct him to various models and proceeding to choose that strategy which minimizes the value of determined '...by a Bayesian procedure..., 89 with a particular combination of model and policy. 88 the expected squared deviation of the goal variable from its desired value associated of the matrix (if we retain the quadratic loss function - 'for simplicity') being and different rows corresponding to different policies to be examined, each element to a pay-off matrix with different columns corresponding to different stochastic models a particular value of income, we may envisage a process of policy selection enalogous of the exogenous variables. that he examines how alternative policies perform under the assumptions/characteristics a number of econometric models. In addition he may entertain a variety of beliefs regarding the path of the exogenous variables in the system that cannot be described by a mean value and some measure of dispersion. His policy problem then requires Let us suppose that the above considerations result in the policymaker retaining these prior probabilitibs calculable) weighted sum of the elements as between More likely than not, however, the same considerations that contribute to ĭ£, for example, we retain the assumption that his goal is ', i.e. by attaching probabilities to the The choice of policy could be

Notice that such a procedure suggests that the policy adopted will not in reneral be 'optimal' when examined in the context of any of the competing models. Like an intermediate target strategy (we may without commitment record) compared to the 'optimal policy' prescribed by a particular prior belief, the policy adopted on the maxi-min criterion will almost certainly be inferior when appraised in terms of any one of the alternative models; but it will, nonetheless, be a correct policy granted uncertainty over model selection. This does not of course establish that the intermediate target strategy is the same as the best max-min strategy. But it does reveal that, even if it could be shown that an intermediate target strategy is inferior to the 'optimal policy' cabedded in each conceivable prior perception of our economic environment, we will not have secured a sufficient condition for dismissing intermediate targets as 'inefficient'.

This conclusion contrasts sharply with the dicta of the variance approach. Can it be also be gued however that intermediate targets are, in a context of uncertainty over model selection, in some sense 'efficient'?

16.

10

we are not operating in the instrument ---->(target) ---->goal-setting of the certainty that the policy to be adopted is different from an intermediate target strategy since adjustments in all instruments of policy. But this does not in itself constitute proof 23 policymaker's control variables, 92 number of other policies, of strategies 'governing the continuous adjustments of the models that he deems 'relevant'. But beyond this there is, in principle, an infinite the maxi-min implications of the optimal policies pertinent to each of the alternative precisely, in examining alternative policies, the policymaker will, of course, consider whether the intermediate target strategy would be in some sense efficient. Nore economic and microeconomic questions alike) no absolute criterion by which to establish considered, there exists at the present state of our knowledge (and for both macrostrategies (the number of rows of the pay-off matrix that is) which should be selection does not in itself provide any indication as to the kind or number of equivalence mould. in the environment, 93 alternative strategies to be compared ? 'technical' (7) problem of: multiplicative disturbances for any given model) will in general provoke conservative may conjecture than uncertainty over model selection (in addition to the presence Tapping on the findings of the models that recognize multiplicative disturbances, At the same time, since the maxi-min criterion for policy , which are open to him. by what reasoning is the policymaker to select the ", of relations between changes in policy and conditions There does remain, therefore, the

of a range within which to search for superior maxi-min strategies. Yet this procedure, that treats the optimal policies defined by each of the specific models as the limits in its purpose) once we recognize that the 'optimal atrategies' implied by each of the rempting though it is to the econometrician/statician $^{94}$ , does not appear simple (clear will involve some 'rule of thumb', some judgement. Intuition may suggest a procedure position of total ignorance of the behavioural characteristics of those aspects of our strategy that derives from the union of the relevant alternatives, moving that is to a will render, and the subsequent appraisal on a maxi-min basis of these policies in of prior beliefs that assignment of equal or unequal probabilities to the relevant models identification of optical policies implied in the (by definition) irrelevant states instrument in each case. 'relevant' models involve different instruments and almost certainly more than one from a model that treats the values of magnitudes outside the union as given can then economy to which competing hypotheses of behaviour apply. the context of the relevant models. examined in terms of its maxi-min implications within our relevant alternatives. In the latter context we can do no other but suppose that the search procedure Similar feelings of compremise may encourage the Compromise may also suggest that we identify The optimal strategy derived

No doubt other such suggestions can be tendered. Formally the search procedure is ad hee, though we ought at least to concede that the alternatives that spring to

From this perspective the selection of a proximate target constitutes a choice from among the strategies that a particular search procedure renders. As such it need not be superior in a maxi-min sense to all other feasible strategies, but it cannot a priori be said to be no better than the superior strategy that emerges from comparison of the optimal strategies pertaining to each member of the group of models deemed by the decision-taker as relevant. Furthermore, it should be stressed that the choice of strategy is not (as indeed is true of the optimal strategy in the context of a particular prior belief) independent of the state/flow of information regarding the particular search procedure of minimizing the variance of one or other of the the particular search procedure of minimizing the variance of one or other of the about the time path of the predetermined variables that is available.

## INTERMIDIATE TARGETS AND POLICY INDICATORS

In the above I have interpreted <u>ignorance of the structure</u> to denote a situation in which the decision—taker is confronted with a number of alternatives each of which is 'equally likely'. In contradistinction to the rationale, to the apparatus of though on which the variance approach is based, I do not by this expression mean that the alternative hypotheses are 'equally probable' in the sense that 'equal numerical probabilities' can be attached to them, since with regard to the problem identified, as Shackle would put it

... It does not appear that numerical probability can express the decision taker s/ state of mind when the is/ confronted with a number of alternative predicates between which the know/s/ of no reason to discriminate.

This, I believe, is in the spirit of Brunner's analysis, though I should acknowledge that in what appears the most explicit of his statements of the problem, the role of numerical probabilities is quite ambiguous as we are told that '...the optimal strates can be decided upon either by a Bayesian procedure in the case of incomplete stochastic information pertaining to the class of [alternative] hypotheses [about the structure and

Catalan Same

the second language contracts of

. . . .

20.

by a suitable maxi-min decision'. 98 the path of the exogenous variables in the system or in the absence of such knowledge

those which our knowledge does not enable us to reject! 100 Plainly...it does not. The more recognition of a wider ignorance about what may number of hypotheses (rivals to a piven hypothesis), which we cannot reject as likely than the original states. At best it may be regarded as equally likely, as it in the sense that it calls for any stretch of the imagination to conveive it coming exclusive contingencies h, h... w could happen without seeming incongruous with what probability equal to 1 is a '...procedure which implies that we regard each of impossible, really reduce the degree of acceptance we accord to a given hypothesis? fundamental question which we are then led to ask is this: to the alternative states. 'I have little relevant knowledge and so far as I am concerned any of the mucually highly improbable is to say more than we really mean when that we wish to express is no more likely than the others. Secondly, if  $\underline{n}$  is large the placement of a numerical to being identical to one of these alternatives it must by definition be regarded as the models and their outcomes / as highly improbable. But to say that each of them is equally likely as the alternatives initially perceived, or if-by chance it averages out general it will not relate to a state of nature deemed by the decision-taker as taker, the mean average of these states yields an irrelevant prior belief since in given a particular set of m alternative states of nature perceived by the decision-Dayesian procedure are, as I have already suggested, inappropriate. The first is that do know of the circumstances. No one of these contingencies seems to we 'improbable' There are a number of reasons why 'numerical probabilities' and consequently the does not alter or reduce the right of a given hypothesis to its place amongst if we come to regard it as another possible state of nature. In the same vein consider the case in which we do attach equal probabilities The mean average we have reasoned entitle be considered more Does an increase in the Suc 'The

is robust with respect to switches among models, 102 of their policy advice under varying assumptions, or perhaps to look for a policy that me to comprise in approach consistent with the nature of the problem. This may, as 'alternative procedures' auggested by him, namely '...to examine models for robustness Wallich has noted, '... seem to adopt a rather pessimistic slant'101; this scheme of things a maxi-min comparison of alternative strategies seems are (as I understand them) the same

variance epproach must in any given dircumstance be nested. As the trivial level, (strategy, target) problem' comprises a general case within which the variants of the From a taxonomic (classificatory) standpoint one may trace some overlaps between Thus in a sense the maxi-min approach to the 'determination

> minimized by a suitable choice of policy adjustments. But we obtain no assurance from variability expected at any time point due to our incomplete information has been variance of the time path. The resolution of this problem assures us that the Brunner, relates to '...an optimization procedure [which] minimizes the contemporaneous Meltzer perspective. No less revealingly, Poole's analysis, it has been argued by approach emphasized here, though it permits the possibility that strategies focusing stochastic structure has been minimized in the cross-section of time. The variance this procedure about the variability or instability of the process over time. exogenous variables comprises one of the models, a limiting case, in the Brunnerfor example, any particular prior belief about the structure and the path of problem, and will in general involve a feedback rule. alternative competing hypotheses, stresses the continuous adjustment elements of on intermediate targets are superior to the optimal strategies embedded in the probably to the pragmatically least important question: 103 In contrast the approach In its Poole variant provides thus only a very partial answer and applies Mhatever the variability of the process over the time profile, variability due to

is to raise the question of on what the required adjustment in the vector of instruments value or path of the target variable is (as I have already noted) itself conditional endogenous rather than a control variable) so that the pursuit of any particular the vector of instruments of policy (that is to say the intermediate target is competing hypotheses about the structre are acknowledged, a given change in instruments of policy consistent with securing any particular outcome. But when in that context the known structure renders a unique change in the vector of etricture and the path of the exogenous variables there is no such problem, since of policy is to be based. on the information confronting the policymaker. (urlike the framework defined by Poole) does not imply a particular set of values of variable that may serve as the target. instruments of policy is consistent with a number of responses of the endogenous That this is so is clear once we recognize that an intermediate target strategy In the framework of a particular prior belief about the But to recognize the need for feedback

with the endogenous variable selected as the target, rest on an index of the effect of and hence to act as if all deviations of the target variable from its expected value preserthed by one or other of the competing models comprising his prior beliefs, maker to adjust his instruments of policy in accordance with the parameter responses which the alternative hypotheses exhibit. In our discussion these comprise diternative policy changes that so far as possible is independent of the diversity of characteristic vector of instruments. Equally, however, the strategy adopted may, in conjunction It is, of course, perfectly consistent with the maximum approach for the policyby this model are due to exogenous factors calling for adjustment in the

. . . . . . . . . . .

......

.1

solutions to the determination problem; and whether the latter is to be preferred to the former cannot, I believe, be decided independently of the characteristics of the environment in which the policymaker operates. Brunner and Meltzer, and Saving, however, attach considerable emphasison a construct which, norwithstending the competing hypotheses, '...ylelds reliable information about the monetary thrust transmitted to economic activity'. 104 Thus in discussions of policy under uncertainty, busides the target variable, there does appear another magnitude: the policy indicator. It is as though the diverse scenery of competing hypotheses requires to traverse to one's objective. But this has caused no small measure of confusion.

In Saving's discussion of the policy problem the policymaker, lacking complete knowledge of the structure and of the values of the non-policy determined arguments, is supposed to have enough information to determine the <u>direction</u> of the effect of policies on particular endogenous (including goal) variables. If in addition, it is reasoned, he is '...reasonably certain of the relationship between same observable endogenous variable and the goal variables—even if he is very uncertain about the <u>exact</u> effect of his instrument on the goal variables—he may ...chaose this observable endogenous variable as a target variable and adjust his instruments until this variable reaches its desired target.lovel'.

Such a procedure, Saving claims, has two merits. First, the '...approach circumvents some of the uncertainties in the effect of policy on the goal variables (in that) if policy can be adjusted instantly to account for any random change between the policy and the target, then this part of the uncertainty can be removed'. Secondly, 'the use of the target variable can remove some of the uncertainty resulting from unobservable goal variables' in that, while, granted lags in observation of the goal variable, 'the effect of policy will only be seen after policy has been purried for some time, [and] during this period exogenous changes may occur, making the circut of the policy chosen larger, or smaller, than it otherwise would have been, if a target variable is used than these exogenous changes may simply affect the magnitume of the operation necessary to make the target variable reach the level desired', 107

In this context the policymaker does not, in order to adjust his instruments of policy, appear to require an index of the effect that changes in policy will have on the target and goal variables. On the other hand, it is recognized that

the possibility that changes in the economy will occur during the implementation of policy raises the need for an indicator of the effect of the policy being parsued. That is if the policymaker is to adjust his policy to crances in his environment occuring during the implementation of a particular policy, he must have an index of the effect of current policy.

Essentially the policymaker requires a separation of the change in his target variable into policy effect and an exprension of ideal. Since chservation of the changes in the target variable yields only the rotal effect, some other variable or combination of variables is required to reflect the policy effect. This other variable or combination of variables, usually called a monetary policy indicator; must be distinct from the target variable in the sense of being mathematically independent; that is, the indicator must not be a scalar multiple of the target variable. In addition, since the purpose of the indicator is to measure the policy effect, it must be chosen so that (1) exogenous changes that affect the target variable do not affect the indicator, or (2) if these exogenous variables deffect.108

pursues a particular value (or path) of a 'target variable' so long; as the 'policy index of the effect or current policy'. But a process in which the policymaker attainment of the goal. target variable, 109 the goal, or whether changes in exogenous factors '... resulting in changes in the value, or path, of the target variable pursued at any particular time is consistent with variables is a matter of semantics. Yet, though this may perhaps account for a large consistent with this value or path of the indicator and the attainment of the goal. as the 'target variable' takes a value or sequence of values deemed previously in which the policymaker pursues a particular value or path of the 'indicator' so long the attainment of the target and the goal, can equally well be described as a process indicator' takes a value (or sequence of values) deemed previously consistent with hypotheses about the structure, the policymaker is assumed to be able to construct 'an From this viewpoint, the assignment of the term 'target' to one or other of these two the fact that the strategy advocated requires two variables or indices for its between targets and policy indicators Thus for Saving, the policy indicator serves to establish whether the specific the stupendous confusion that exists in the literature on the distinction call for revision in the target value in order to secure the Notice that this implies that, notwithstanding the competing 110 , we should not allow it to detract from

To exphasize the latter and also the confusion that has surrounded the issue, consider the following statement (cohoing and echoed in a number of learned and/or official documents, I should add) from a paper '...mainly addressed to the problem of Targets and Indicators'

In the real world, where knowledge is seriously incomplete and the effects of policy on ultimate goal variables are not precisely known in advance and cannot be continuously monitored owing to delays in the collection of data and legs in the effectiveness of policy, it is usually thought useful to have an indicator of policy which would also serve as the target for policy.

To some extent the confusion stems, I believe, from too close identification with the static framework defined by Poole, in which no feedbacks are afforded and where both