13. Monetary Control Consultations

22 October 1980

MCC(80) 49

COPY NO.

HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY

MONETARY CONTROL CONSULTATIONS

TREASURY 17 DEC 1939 H.F.C.S.

PAPER BY THE COMMITTEE OF LONDON CLEARING BANKS

Note by the Secretaries

The attached paper on "Money Market Intervention Techniques' is circulated for information.

H J DAVIES
M D K W FOOT

H M TREASURY 22 October 1980

THE COMMITTEE OF LONDON CLEARING BANKERS Copies to:

Mr Fforde

10, Lombard Street, London EC3V 9AP

Mr George

Mr Goodhart

Mr Gill

Mr Smith

Mrs Drummond Mr Foot

TELEPHONE 01-623 5311

3rd October, 1980

lolely,

MONEY MARKET INTERVENTION TECHNIQUES

You will know that the Governor wrote to Sir Jeremy Morse on June 26, suggesting that the Clearing Banks might prepare a paper on this subject which would develop the various points made in their submission on the Green Paper on Monetary Control.

This has now been done, and I have pleasure in enclosing a paper which expands on paragraphs 12 and 13 of our original submission and sets out in greater detail the Clearing Banks' views on the problems arising under the present arrangements and the scope seen for reform.

Rather than propose a single alternative set of rules, we felt it would be helpful to indicate a range of possibilities. However, we would emphasise our conclusion that unless fairly fundamental changes are made to the present arrangements, we would not expect the problems we have identified to be resolved.

Jours sincerely,

We look forward to the opportunity of discussing our paper with you and your colleagues in the near future.

A.L. Coleby, Esq., Assistant Director, Bank of England. Threadneedle Street, London, E.C.2.

HILC Thate

Money Market Intervention Techniques: The Scepe for Reform

- 1. This note describes the technical problems that can arise under the Bank of England's present arrangements for absorbing surpluses and relieving shortages in the sterling money markets, and considers the scope for resolving these problems notably by extending the Bank's range of intervention techniques.
- 2. In considering both the problems and the possible solutions, the main criteria employed have been the technical efficiency and stability of the markets themselves and the effective conduct of monetary policy. Judged by these criteria, the clearing banks would regard an ideal system as one in which the Bank acted to neutralise daily surpluses and shortages without either causing short-term rates to depart from the level desired for monetary purposes or requiring excessive holdings of settlement balances and liquid assets by the banks. Although the Bank needs to bear in mind institutional and other factors as well when considering its intervention techniques, the banks hope that these are not given undue weight.

The Problems

- 3. Most of the problems identified in this note have been in evidence for a considerable time. However, there is little doubt that they have increased in severity in recent months. The periodic need for sale and repurchase arrangements and the erratic movements in market rates on certain make-up days are two obvious symptoms.
- 4. The present intervention arrangements involve the Bank in routing most of its daily assistance to the banking system via the discount houses up to 2.30 p.m. and refraining from dealing directly with banks. The problems that arise under these arrangements are essentially of two types. In the first place, the arrangements are not conducive to the flow of information that all markets need if they are to operate efficiently. In the second place and more specifically the arrangements are defective for handling shortages* which are larger than anticipated or beyond the capacity of the discount market to accommodate. Let us start by considering the informational problems.

^{*} This note refers to the problems of relieving shortages, but most of the arguments apply at least as much to the absorption of surpluses. However, some particular problems with the absorption of surpluses are discussed where it is appropriate.

- 5. The present arrangements create particular informational problems both for the Bank of England and for the banks. Because the Bank routes all its assistance to particular institutions at particular times of the day, it needs to know to a high degree of accuracy what the size of each day's flows to or from the banking system will be. The banks for their part need adequate information on which they can not only forecast their own position but also take a view on interest rate movements.
- 6. When deciding on the appropriate scale of its daily intervention the Bank relies on the following:-
 - (i) its own information about the likely level of net flows;
 - (ii) information received from commercial banks;
 - (iii) the discount market position;
 - (iv) the Bank of England balances of the clearing banks at the close of business the previous day.
- 7. The Bank's estimate of the likely flows is communicated to participants in the discount and interbank markets early in the day. It is sometimes revised during the day, but even so it frequently proves inaccurate: some clearing banks find that their own estimates of the day's shortage prove at least as good as the Bank's. It is possible that improved arrangements for exchanging information and views between the Bank and the market as a whole could be to the benefit of all parties.
- Given that such estimates of the flows can never be completely 8. reliable, the Bank is heavily reliant on the signals it receives from the discount market. Yet the discount market's position is by no means a reliable guide to the overall market position since banks also adjust their books through the interbank market. Thus, the discount market may be long or short but its position may be more than offset by opposite positions in the interbank market. (Such a situation can be created, for example, by those non-clearing banks which do not maintain their reserve asset ratio throughout the month but increase their loans to the discount market for reserve asset purposes only on or over make-up day, so that even if there is an overall market shortage the discount market will be full of funds.) Indeed, in an attempt to avoid misleading the Bank, some clearing banks make a practice of calling the discount market for their full pro rata share of the estimated overall shortage, even if they have no need to do so for the purposes of squaring their own books. They then endeavour to lend the excess money back to the discount market later in the day. This is clearly an absurd situation.
- 9. If the clearing banks are unable to get this money back into the discount market they will simply finish the day with a higher Bank of England balance, lower loans to the discount market, and hence a higher reserve asset cost on the day. In these circumstances, high Bank of

England balances the next day will not reflect a market surplus, although they may well be interpreted as such by the Bank. Thus the level of the clearers' balances can also be a misleading signal. In any event, the level of balances gives no guide to the banks' reserve asset position: a surplus of cash can mask a shortage of reserve assets.

- 10. The fact that the Bank's intervention can prove inadequate (or excessive) means that the money markets frequently suffer from periods of uncertainty before 2.30 p.m. and of confusion thereafter. If lenders think that a shortage will not be fully relieved, they will hold back from giving funds in the interbank market. On the other hand, if commercial borrowers think that a shortage will be fully (or more than fully) relieved, they will hold back from taking funds in the market knowing that, if rates are still high at the end of the day, they can always fall back on their overdraft facilities with their clearing banks. There can be equally disruptive effects if it is felt that market surpluses will not be fully mopped up. For all those reasons interbank rates can fluctuate widly throughout the day. These problems would be overcome, and the market could be expected to operate considerably more smoothly, if the banks could count on the correct amount of intervention being forthcoming.
- 11. Changes in the Bank's intervention techniques could help overcome the Bank's own informational problems in one or other (or both) of two ways. First, by dealing directly with banks it would enhance the quantity of market information it received and should thereby become better able to forecast each day's net flows accurately. Secondly, and more fundamentally, if the Bank adopted a more flexible approach to intervention, it would matter far less if its original estimate proved inaccurate, since it would be better able to take compensatory action during the course of the day. The amount of intervention would not be predetermined by the Bank's estimates of each day's likely net flows, but would be whatever amount was necessary to keep overnight interest rates on their desired course.
- 12. This leads naturally to a consideration of the more specifically operational problems that can arise under the present arrangements. The characteristics of these problems largely reflect the times of day at which they arise: there are problems that arise before 2.30 p.m.; after 2.30 p.m. but before the close of the town clearing; and (in a somewhat different category) after the close of the town clearing.
- 13. Sometimes it will be apparent, before 2.30 p.m., that the overall system shortage is larger than the discount market's shortage. In these circumstances, one of the few available expedients is for the Bank to buy additional bills directly from the banks: however, Seccombes often have difficulty in locating the right amount of bills of the right maturities in the right hands. An alternative approach would be for the Bank to provide additional assistance to the discount market, to be passed on to the banks. But this approach is normally impractical since it would involve the houses in exceeding their 20-times multiplier. (In the case of surpluses, the Bank tends not to sell bills to banks via

Seccombes until the discount houses' positions have been squared - a cause of some irritation to the banks.)

- 14. Further problems are posed by the existence of the minimum reserve assets ratio (RAR), since when restoring the missing cash to the system through the discount market, the Bank does not thereby relieve a shortage of reserve assets. Abolition of the RAR will help, but problems would persist if banks remained subject to an unduly inflexible primary liquidity requirement - a point developed in the latter part of this note. Moreover, even if all 4 billion of the banks' call money was theoretically available to meet large outflows, the results of frequent and heavy calls might prove painful to the houses. (This touches on the more general subject of shortages which are too large for the discount market to accommodate. Whatever system of normal intervention is adopted, the need to deal with abnormal circumstances will always be at least a potential problem. Some of the considerations which the banks feel should be borne in mind here are listed at the end of this note, in paragraph 31.)
- All the operational problems considered in the preceding two 15. paragraphs may be expected to identify themselves before 2.30. Sometimes, however, the scale of official intervention proves to have been inadequate shortly afterwards. The problem here is the lack of any straightforward means of making good such a shortage. Because individual banks and discount houses will do all they can to square their own books before the town clearing cut-off, overnight rates can move wildly after 2.30 p.m. One effect of sharp movements in overnight rates is to encourage commercial borrowers to increase their clearing bank overdrafts. When this occurs after 2.30 it has the effect of placing the entire responsibility for resolving the unrelieved shortage on the clearers' shoulders. Similarly, if an unexpected surplus materialises and overnight rates fall sharply, commercial borrowers will not increase their overdrafts as expected. In such cases clearing banks which have raised deposits at high rates earlier in the day will find themselves laying the money off at a loss.
- 16. There remains the question of how to accommodate shortages which come to light only in the town clearing itself. At present the result of such shortages is that the clearing banks fail to reach their target Bank of England balances. More flexible arrangements for dealing with such shortages after they came to light would permit a reduction in the banks' target balances needed for settlement purposes, and should be seriously considered.
- 17. Operational problems have been considered so far mainly in terms of their direct effect on market efficiency. However, they also have wider policy implications, notably for the conduct of monetary policy. If the Bank is to exercise effective monetary control, it must be able to predict accurately the effect of its intervention on the interest rate structure and thence on the monetary aggregates. The present arrangements cause divergencies in interest rates between the discount and parallel markets which can seriously impede the transmission of the

authorities' interest rate policy to the wider market. The problem is currently exacerbated by the RAR, which undoubtedly leads to yield distortions - notably as between treasury bill rate and other market rates. Once again, the problem will only be eased if the RAR is replaced by a sufficiently flexible liquidity requirement.

- 18. Yet even if the Bank adopted an extremely flexible approach to liquidity, interest rate divergencies are almost bound to arise as long as intervention is concentrated on a single category of assets, the supply of which cannot adjust with sufficient speed to the demand. Divergencies are particularly likely to arise as long as the Bank uses its intervention in the bill market not only to relieve shortages but also to influence term interest rates. There are those who would argue that it should concentrate on influencing day-to-day rates, leaving other rates to market expectations. But if it does wish to influence the term structure of rate directly, it would surely benefit from the ability to intervene in a wider range of markets and instruments than it allows itself to at present. The Bank's lack of direct control over short-term interbank rates could be a particular weakness in the event of a foreign exchange crisis, since the abolition of exchange controls has greatly weakened its ability to influence the exchange rates by intervening in the eurosterling market: any such intervention would now tend to be neutralised by arbitrage between the offshore and domestic interbank markets.
- 19. Finally, by restricting its direct intervention to the discount market, the Bank may be limiting the amount of market information which it receives about the circumstances of individual banks. If it were dealing directly and regularly on the interbank market, it might obtain valuable indications of developing problems, to supplement the information it receives by more formal supervisory processes.

Possible Solutions

- 20. It will be clear from the preceding section that the banks believe that most of the problems they have identified are capable of resolution by a combination of two approaches. One is the avoidance of an unduly inflexible liquidity requirement once the RAR is abolished. The other is a willingness by the Bank to extend its range of market intervention techniques. The remainder of this note considers these two approaches in turn. First, however, a general point should be made which is relevant to each of them.
- 21. In each case, there is a wide spectrum of possible reforms, ranging from the minor to the radical. The banks strongly believe that the further the Bank is willing to move along the spectrum, the more likely it will be to resolve the problems that have been identified. However, as mentioned at the start of this note, the banks are well aware that the Bank needs to bear in mind institutional and other considerations as well. It is not for the banks to attempt to resolve the policy conflicts that may arise, and it is for that reason that no attempt has been made to recommend a specific set of reforms.

- 22. This note has identified two problems which arise because of the banks' need to observe the RAR: on the one hand, unintended reserve asset shortages can be created; on the other hand, divergencies can arise between reserve asset rates and other short-term rates. The more the Bank seeks to apply a rigid primary liquidity requirement, the less likelihood there is that these problems will disappear with the abolition of the RAR.
- 23. The basic question of whether the Bank should seek to apply liquidity 'norms' in general, and primary liquidity requirements in particular, is not a matter for this paper. What is relevant, though, is the degree of flexibility with which any 'norms' would be applied. At one extreme, the banks might be expected to adhere to their norm in all but exceptional circumstances. If the supply of primary assets were not much greater than the demand enforced by such a requirement, the situation would be barely more satisfactory than at present. Greater flexibility would be imparted if the banks were able to maintain their norm on average over a period of time. But this could involve a requirement - which would be entirely unreasonable in the context of prudential control - that a bank hold excess liquidity at the end of a period to compensate for inadequate holdings earlier on. Moreover, the more rigidly such an averaged requirement were applied the more problems would arise at the end of the averaging period.
- 24. As a general rule, unless there is enough flexibility to give individual banks a measure of discretion over their holdings of primary assets interest rate divergencies between primary and other assets will continue, and so will the problems for monetary policy considered in paragraphs 17 and 18.
- 25. It should be stressed that the connection between liquidity and intervention is a two-way one. Not only does the nature of the liquidity requirement influence the effectiveness of different forms of intervention; more fundamentally, the forms of intervention are a major determinant of the banks' functional requirement to hold liquidity in the first place. As a general rule, the more flexible the Bank's approach to intervention is, the less will be the banks' functional need to hold the assets in which the Bank currently intervenes. As stated at the outset of this note, the banks regard an essential attribute of a sound intervention system as one that does not require excessive holdings of settlement balances and liquid assets on their part.
- 26. Having considered the benefits that should result from replacing the RAR with a sufficiently flexible liquidity requirement, we can now turn to consider the scope for operational reforms in the Bank's intervention techniques. There are five main options which the banks would like to see considered. Starting with the least radical, they are as follows:
 - (i) Direct assistance by the Bank to the banks between 12.00 and 2.30 if it is apparent that conventional assistance (i.e. via the discount market or through the purchase of bills from banks) will prove inadequate.

- (ii) Readier direct assistance in the period after 2.30 (but before the town clearing cut-off) to help banks square their books and meet their target balances.
- (iii) Assistance after the settlement of the town clearing if it then transpires that the net shortage has exceeded expectations.
- (iv) Assistance early in the day to help relieve part of the anticipated shortage directly (even if the problem referred to in (i) does not apply).
- (v) Active participation by the Bank on the interbank market and other money markets throughout the day.

The term 'assistance' subsumes both lending to banks and the purchase of assets from banks. Each of the above options could be further subdivided to reflect such factors as the frequency with which the Bank would be prepared to employ them, the terms (penal or otherwise) that it would seek to impose and the banks with which it would be prepared to deal. The less frequently it was prepared to intervene, the more penal its terms and the more limited its range of counterparties, the less likely it would be to resolve the problems identified in this note.

- Option (i) would help to deal with shortages for which the only currently 27. available expedient is the purchase of bills from banks by Seccombes: as explained in paragraph 13, this arrangement does not always work satisfactorily. Option (ii) would deal with shortages which come to light only after 2.30; as such, it could have a quite fundamental effect on market conditions. Since the market would be confident that any such shortages would be satisfactorily accommodated, the result should be not only an end to erratic movements in rates in the period after 2.30 but also greater stability beforehand. Option (iii) would have the rather different benefit of permitting a significant reduction in the level of settlement balances held at the Bank by the clearing banks and would ensure that there were no costs to the banking system arising from the Bank's inability to forecast daily flows accurately. This is a subject which could perhaps be pursued in detail after publication of the Bank's proposals for a cash ratio.
- 28. However, none of these options would fully overcome the problems created by the existence of the interbank and discount markets operating side by side, such as rate divergencies. Only options (iv) and (v) would strike directly at these problems. Under (iv) the Bank would aim to relieve some, most or all of the day's anticipated shortage by lending directly to banks. Option (v) would go a stage further, implying an open-ended willingness on the Bank's part to engage in transactions with banks on the inter-bank market through the day, in pursuit of its objective of orderly markets and control over short-term rates. The Bank could confine its role to responding to bids and offers from the market; or it could take the initiative itself (in which case it might wish to employ brokers).

- 29. A precedent for such an approach exists in the foreign exchange market, where the Bank has dealt directly with banks for years. Of course, the two markets are different in several important respects notably in the need to impose individual bank dealing limits when operating in the interbank market. But the Bank's mode of operations on the foreign exchange market could at least provide a possible model for its participation on the interbank market. (Furthermore, now that the abolition of exchange control has effectively linked the two markets, it is somewhat anomalous that the Bank chooses to deal continuously in the one but not the other.)
- 30. It is impossible to discuss in detail how such a system might operate without specifying all the rules of the game. Among the questions that would arise are:

what limits the Bank would set on its dealings with individual banks, and whether it would seek security;

how far it would deal with banks directly rather than via brokers - and in either case what sort of dealing department it would need;

how far it should deal overnight and how far for other maturities;

how far it could or should seek to impose its views on the term structure of interest rates;

what the relationship would be between the interbank and treasury bill markets;

what role there would be in such a system for the discount houses.

Having considered briefly the five main options for reform in 31. intervention techniques, it only remains to consider the problem of how Whatever reforms were to resolve exceptionally large shortages. introduced, there would still need to be a general understanding of how funds might be provided to the banking system under abnormal circumstances, even though (by definition) no precise rules could be laid down in advance. In such abnormal circumstances, the banks would hope that three criteria could be borne in mind. First any arrangements should be capable of speedy and flexible implementation, so as to prevent disruptive market conditions from developing. Secondly, the arrangements should not have burdensome conditions attached to them. Thirdly, the assets that the Bank was prepared to finance in exceptional circumstances should be assets that the banks would tend to hold in adequate quantities in the normal course of business: in other words the banks should not be forced to distort their portfolios in order to hold enough assets of a kind likely to be acceptable to the Bank. However, the banks would hope that the need for exceptional arrangements would be greatly reduced if the Bank's range of normal intervention techniques were adequately extended. It would also help if the authorities paid more attention to smoothing day to day revenue and expenditure over the financial year. This would reduce market shortages experienced, for example, in the corporation tax period.

September 1980