# Making automatic enrolment work

A review for the Department for Work and Pensions

Paul Johnson, Frontier Economics and Institute for Fiscal Studies David Yeandle, Engineering Employers' Federation Adrian Boulding, Legal & General

October 2010



# Making automatic enrolment work

## A review for the Department for Work and Pensions

Paul Johnson, Frontier Economics and Institute for Fiscal Studies David Yeandle, Engineering Employers' Federation Adrian Boulding, Legal & General

Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions by Command of Her Majesty October 2010

Cm 7954 £35.50

### © Crown copyright 2010

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This publication is also available on http://www.official-documents.gov.uk/

ISBN: 9780101795425

Printed in the UK by The Stationery Office Limited on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office

ID 2395679 10/10 5763

Printed on paper containing 75% recycled fibre content minimum

This publication can be accessed online at: www.dwp.gov.uk/automatic-enrolment

For more information about this publication, contact:

Workplace Pension Reform
Department for Work and Pensions
7th Floor
Caxton House
Tothill St
London
SW1H 9NA

Tel: 020 7449 7275

Email: caxtonhouse.auto-enrolmentreview@dwp.gsi.gov.uk

Copies of this publication can be made available in alternate formats if required.

## **Contents**

| Ack | now                        | vledgements   | 1  |  |  |
|-----|----------------------------|---|----|--|--|
| The | Rev                        | riew Team   | 1  |  |  |
| Exe | cuti                       | ve Summary  | 2  |  |  |
| Sun | Summary of recommendations |   |    |  |  |
| 1   | Bac                        | kground to the review   | 11 |  |  |
|     | 1.1                        | Introduction  | 12 |  |  |
|     | 1.2                        | The Pensions Commission   | 12 |  |  |
|     | 1.3                        | Developments since the Pensions Commission reported                             | 15 |  |  |
|     | 1.4                        | The current policy  | 16 |  |  |
|     | 1.5                        | The review  | 19 |  |  |
|     | 1.6                        | Report structure  | 22 |  |  |
| 2   | Ind                        | ividuals  | 23 |  |  |
|     | 2.1                        | Introduction  | 24 |  |  |
|     | 2.2                        | Characteristics of those with and without workplace pension provision           | 25 |  |  |
|     | 2.3                        | The value of pension saving and the role of automatic enrolment                 | 27 |  |  |
|     | 2.4                        | Does everyone actually need to save?  | 29 |  |  |
|     | 2.5                        | Incentives to save  | 35 |  |  |
|     | 2.6                        | What does it all mean for the earnings level that triggers automatic enrolment? | 42 |  |  |
|     | 2.7                        | Consequences of changes to the State Pension system                             | 42 |  |  |
|     | 2.8                        | Conclusion  | 43 |  |  |
| 3   | Employers                  |   |    |  |  |
|     | 3.1                        | Introduction  | 45 |  |  |
|     | 3.2                        | Implications of the reforms for employers                                       | 45 |  |  |
|     | 3.3                        | Administrative costs for employers of complying with the reforms                | 57 |  |  |
|     | 3.4                        | Contribution costs for employers  | 60 |  |  |
|     | 3.5                        | Ensuring employer compliance with the reforms                                   | 64 |  |  |
|     | 3.6                        | Evaluating the regulatory burden  | 65 |  |  |
|     | 3.7                        | Conclusions   | 66 |  |  |

| 4 | The role for NEST in the pensions market under automatic enrolment  | 67  |
|---|---|-----|
|   | 4.1 Introduction  | 68  |
|   | 4.2 The supply gap in the pensions market   | 68  |
|   | 4.3 How will automatic enrolment affect profitability in the market?  | 71  |
|   | 4.4 Stakeholder pension schemes   | 76  |
|   | 4.5 Meeting the demand created by automatic enrolment: learning from other countries and history            | 76  |
|   | 4.6 Meeting the demand created by automatic enrolment: our appraisal  | 79  |
|   | 4.7 Conclusion  | 92  |
| 5 | Target group  | 94  |
|   | 5.1 Introduction  | 95  |
|   | 5.2 The Earnings Threshold  | 96  |
|   | 5.3 Introducing waiting periods prior to automatic enrolment  | 101 |
|   | 5.4 Excluding smaller employers   | 106 |
|   | 5.5 Excluding older workers   | 110 |
|   | 5.6 Conclusion  | 112 |
| 6 | Reducing regulatory burden  | 113 |
|   | 6.1 Introduction  | 114 |
|   | 6.2 Staging   | 115 |
|   | 6.3 Joining and opt out   | 118 |
|   | 6.4 Re-enrolment  | 121 |
|   | 6.5 Qualifying earnings   | 124 |
|   | 6.6 Supporting small employers  | 128 |
|   | 6.7 NEST  | 129 |
| 7 | Private pension provision under changes to the automatic enrolment policy                                   | 133 |
|   | 7.1 Introduction  | 134 |
|   | 7.2 Profitability and viability under changes to the target group or simplifications to automatic enrolment | 134 |
|   | 7.3 Effects of combined options   | 143 |
|   | 7.4 Conclusions   | 149 |
|   |   |     |

| 8       | Trade-offs and recommendations                                     | 151 |
|---------|--|-----|
|         | 8.1 Introduction   | 153 |
|         | 8.2 Ensuring the 'right' individuals are automatically enrolled    | 153 |
|         | 8.3 Options to reduce burdens on small employers                   | 156 |
|         | 8.4 Further deregulatory options for reducing burdens on employers | 159 |
|         | 8.5 Impacts of the package of recommendations                      | 165 |
|         | 8.6 Alternative models for delivering pension reform               | 166 |
|         | 8.7 Further considerations for Government                          | 171 |
| Annex A |  | 174 |
| Annex B |  | 176 |
| Annex C |  | 179 |

### **Acknowledgements**

We are very grateful to the many people across the pensions industry, amongst employers and their representative groups and amongst employee and consumer representatives, who have given so freely of their time, energy and ideas. We hope we have done them justice.

We would also like to thank Jos Joures, David Haigh and the team of officials at the Department for Work and Pensions for the huge amount of work they have done to support us in completing this review, and for their unwavering enthusiasm, professionalism and commitment. We could not have done it without them.

### The Review Team

The review team were:

Paul Johnson – Paul is a senior associate at Frontier Economics and a Research Fellow at the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS). He has worked in the economics of public policy for 20 years, including as a director at HM Treasury, Chief Economist at the Department for Education and Skills, and Deputy Director at the IFS. Paul has been deputy head of the Government Economic Service and a council member of the Economic and Social Research Council. He has also researched and published widely on pensions and is a member of the Council of the Pensions Policy Institute.

David Yeandle – David is the Head of Employment Policy of the Engineering Employers' Federation [EEF], the manufacturers' organisation, and his main responsibilities are representing EEF's policies on employment and pensions to the Government and European Union. Before joining EEF in 1995, David worked for the Eastern Electricity Board and Pirelli Cables where he held a number of personnel roles and was Personnel Director from 1989. David is on the Council of the Pension Policy Institute (PPI) and was a member of the independent Pension Provision Group.

Adrian Boulding – Adrian is an actuary with 30 years experience in the field of retirement provision, including working on all types of pension schemes. Currently, he is Pensions Strategy Director at Legal & General. He sits on the Pensions Committee at the Association of British Insurers, the Retirement Council at the Tax Incentivised Savings Association and the External Affairs Committee at the Pensions Management Institute. Adrian is also a member of the independent Advisory Panel of the Pension Quality Mark.