

Human Resources

UNCLASSIFIED Workforce Information Summary Report: Quarter 2 2011/12

For further details on the data contained within this report please see the supplementary notes sheet

STAFF IN POST¹

Staff in Post by Job Group

- Table 1 Staff in Post by Job Group On 30th September 2011 there was a total of 18,449.73 FTE staff in the Probation Service (including Chief Executives); a decrease of 16.36 FTE on the figure at the end of Quarter 1 11/12 (down 0.09%)
- When compared to the same guarter in 2010/11, total staff in post decreased by 744.08 FTE (down 3.88%).
- Compared to Quarter 1 2011/12, 6 of the 16 job groups have shown an increase in staff in post, whilst 8 groups have decreased. Chief Executives and Psychologists having remained the same
- The largest increases were in the Support Staff Administration job group; increasing by 46.09 FTE (up 1.25 %), and the Assistant Chief Officer job group, which increased by 6.36 FTE (up 2.47%).
- The largest decrease was in the Probation Officer job group; decreasing by 24.98 FTE (down 0.49%). The next largest decrease came in the Probation Services Officer job group which fell by 23.95 FTE (down 0.50%)
- In comparison to the previous year, only the Middle Manager job group increased in staff in post, with the remainder having decreased.
- The percentage of staff working in an offender related function at the end of Q2 was 87.64% (16,169.42 FTE), with 12.27% of staff working in Corporate Services (2,263.56 FTE). Those with a not recorded function accounted for 0.09% (16.75 FTE)
- The ratio of staff in offender services to corporate services was 7.14:1, down from 7.69:1 in Q1 11/12 and down from 7.77:1 twelve months ago.
- There was no change to the ratio of Probation Officers to Probation Services Officers in Q2 compared to the previous quarter (1.06:1).
- The ratio of non-management to management staff was 7.45:1, down from 7.46:1 in the last quarter.
- Staff working in management roles accounted for 11.83% of the total workforce (2,182.75 FTE); a minimal increase from 11.82% in Q1; 88.16% of staff were in a non-management role (16.264.87 FTE) - a minor decrease from 88.17% in Q1. Those with a not recorded management status accounted for 0.01% (2.11 FTE).

The Probation Services Officer job group also had the largest number working in

an 'Other Agency/Services' function with 870.19 FTE (18.12% of the group).


Probation Service		Staff in Post by Job Group Quarter 2 2011/12						ä
	Offender Management	Interventions	Other Agencies / Services	Corporate Services	Not Recorded Function	Total	Change on Quarter	Change on Year
Chief Executive	0.00 0.00%	0.00 0.00%	0.00	34.85 100.00%	0.00 0.00%	34.85 35	0.00 0.00%	♥ 0.15 0.43%
Deputy Chief Officer	8.92	1.00	2.00	25.11	0.00	37.03	1.00	4.59
	24.09%	2.70%	5.40%	67.81%	0.00%	40	2.78%	11.03%
Assistant Chief Officer	93.90	23.05	22.00	124.01	1.00	263.96	6.36	1.03
	35.57%	8.73%	8.33%	46.98%	0.38%	270	2.47%	0.39%
Area/District Manager	30.76	27.58	19.20	38.79	0.00	116.33	2.62	₹ 25.54
Middle Manager	26.44%	23.71%	16.50%	33.34%	0.00%	115 1.730.58	2.20%	18.00%
	751.54 43.43%	426.10 24.62%	222.64 12.87%	327.00 18.90%	3.30 0.19%	1,730.56	✓ 4.37 0.25%	▲ 11.81 0.69%
Senior Practitioner	24.88	17.92	6.90	5.62	0.00	55.32	0.50	47.19
	44.97%	32.39%	12.47%	10.16%	0.00%	58	0.90%	46.03%
Probation Officer	3,914.21	288.61	819.24	86.96	2.00	5,111.02	24.98	80.42
	76.58%	5.65%	16.03%	1.70%	0.04%	5529	0.49%	1.55%
Practice Development Assessor	3.60	0.00	7.00	22.10	0.00	32.70	4.90	26.31
	11.01%	0.00%	21.41%	67.58%	0.00%	35	13.03%	44.59%
Trainee Probation Officer	0.00	0.00	18.20	0.00	0.00	18.20	0.60	220.80
	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16	3.41%	92.38%
Treatment Manager	5.40	114.94	5.00	2.60	1.00	128.94	0.24	8.97
	4.19%	89.14%	3.88%	2.02%	0.78%	139	0.19%	6.50%
Probation Services Officer Psychologist	2,343.60 48.80%	1,522.13 31.70%	870.19 18.12%	62.68 1.31%	3.73 0.08%	4,802.33 5263	✓ 23.95 0.50%	¥ 76.00
	1.00	1.00	1.62	4.00	0.00%	7.62	0.00	5.86
	13.12%	13.12%	21.26%	4.00 52.49%	0.00%	8	0.00%	¥ 3.60 43.47%
Other Operational Staff	118.77	627.66	30.69	21.50	1.00	799.62	. 1.91	24.51
	14.85%	78.49%	3.84%	2.69%	0.13%	951	0.24%	2.97%
Support Staff - Administration	2,112.17	544.69	351.67	715.10	4.72	3,728.35	46.09	138.82
	56.65%	14.61%	9.43%	19.18%	0.13%	4259	1.25%	3.59%
Support Staff - Other	140.35	441.68	56.26	703.41	0.00	1,341.70	15.44	93.20
	10.46%	32.92%	4.19%	52.43%	0.00%	1528	1.14%	6.50%
Other Staff	48.30	40.97	60.97	88.83	0.00	239.07	▲ ^{5.08}	▼ 1.61
	20.20%	17.14%	25.50%	37.16%	0.00%	267	2.17%	0.67%
Not Recorded Job Group	0.00	0.60	0.51	1.00	0.00	2.11	• 0.40	▼ 0.89
	0.00%	28.44%	24.17%	47.39%	0.00%	3	15.94%	29.67%
Probation Service Total	9,597.40	4,077.93	2,494.09	2,263.56	16.75	18,449.73	→ 16.36	744.08

Italicised figures in the 'Total' column represent headcount figures.

Percentage figures in red in the 'Change on Quarter' and 'Change on Year' columns indicate the proportionate ange represented by the FTE figures above them.

Red and green arrows indicate the direction of change (green - increase, red - decrease). Percentage figures in blue represent the proportion of total staff in each job group allocated to the relevant function

Staff in Post by Function



'Interventions' function (657.92 FTE); East Midlands region had the largest number in an 'Other Agency/Services' function (372.65 FTE) and East of England region had the largest number in a 'Corporate Services' function (387.44 FTE).

3.83% Lancashire (538.92 FTE) Avon & Somerset (487.60 FTF) 3.70% Merseyside (665.94 FTE) 2.78% 2.33% Kent (421.70 FTE) 1.79% Derbyshire (317 07 FTF) Surrey & Sussex (599.60 FTE) 1.62% Cumbria (168.25 FTE) 1,11% London (2587.35 FTE) . 0.91% Warwickshire (155.97 FTE) . 0.88% Cheshire (330.38 FTE) 🔲 0.29% Devon & Cornwall (400.89 FTE) 🔲 0.26% Northumbria (590,15 FTE) 0.16% Durham Tees Valley (517.53 FTE) | 0.06% West Mercia (323.49 FTE) -0.01% -0.08% Thames Valley (573.39 FTE) -0.26% Vork & North Yorkshire (204.63 -0.33% - Humberside (366.82 FTE) -0.33% Hertfordshire (227.82 FTE) -0.34% Bedfordshire (175.56 FTE) -0.39% South Yorkshire (518.47 FTE) -0.44% Norfolk & Suffolk (450.96 FTE) -1.00% Cambridgeshire (219.78 FTE) -1.03% Gloucestershire (141.90 FTE) -1.03% Staffordshire & West Midlands (1693.07 FTE) -1.07% Hampshire (542.35 FTE) -1.12% Wales (1042.72 FTE) -1.26% Greater Manchester (1090.45 FTE) -1.27% Essex (450.09 FTE) -1.61% West Yorkshire (948.76 FTE) -1.83% Northamptonshire (227 43 FTF) -2.23% Dorset (188 90 FTF) -2.39% Wiltshire (151 67 FTF) -2 54% Lincolnshiro (203 01 ETE) -2.55% Leicestershire (421 08 ETE) -3.02% Nottinghamshire (506.03 FTE -6.0% -2.0% 2.0% 6.0%

Staff in Post by Trust

Chart 2 – Change in Staff in Post by Trust


-Percentage figures represent the proportionate change in staff in post FTE compared to Quarter 1 11/12. -Figures provided in brackets alongside each trust represent the FTE as it stands at the end of Quarter 2

- At the end of Quarter 2 11/12, 22 of the 35 probation trusts reported a decrease in staff from the previous quarter (62.86%); whilst 13 reported an increase (37.14%).
- · Nottinghamshire and Leicestershire reported the largest decreases in percentage terms when compared to the previous quarter, with falls of 3.02% (15.76 FTE) and 2.55% (11.00 FTE) respectively; followed by Lincolnshire who saw a fall of 2.54% (5.29 FTE).
- The largest quarterly FTE fall came in Staffordshire & West Midlands, with a drop of 17.68 FTE (1.03%); followed by Nottinghamshire and West Yorkshire, with falls of 15.76 FTE (3.02%) and 15.48 FTE (1.61%) respectively.
- Lancashire and Avon & Somerset reported the largest guarterly increases in percentage terms with increases of 3.83% (19.87 FTE) and 3.70% (17.40 FTE) respectively; followed by Merseyside who saw an increase of 2.78% (18.03 FTE).
- The largest quarterly FTE increase came in London, with a rise of 23.43 FTE (0.91%); followed by Lancashire, with an increase of 19.87 FTE (3.83%).
- Comparison of Staff in Post figures in Q2 2011/12 with the same quarter in 2010/11 shows decreases for 30 trusts, with 5 increasing

¹Staff in Post figures were collected from the probation trusts via the HR Data Warehouse, which is subject to the expected level of inaccuracy inherent in any large-scale administrative system. The trusts have the ability to resubmit historical data which may result in occasional variations in subsequent reports.

UNCLASSIFIED





• At the end of Quarter 2 11/12, 5 of the 10 probation regions reported a decrease in staff in post, when compared to the previous quarter; with 5 reporting an increase in this

The largest quarterly FTE decreases in staff in post amongst the regions came in the East Midlands Region; down 30.72 FTE (1.80%) and Yorkshire & Humberside Region; down 19.23 FTE (0.93%).

· Whilst the largest quarterly increases in staff in post amongst the regions came in the North West; up 26.74 FTE (0.97%) and the London Region; up 23.43 FTE (0.91%).

All probation regions recorded FTE decreases in staff in post in comparison to Q2 10/11

• The largest annual FTE decrease came in the Yorkshire & Humberside Region of 144.89 FTE (6.64%); followed by the North West, which fell by 124.97 FTE (4.28%)