



Department
of Energy &
Climate Change

Call for Evidence

Managing Radioactive Waste Safely: Review of the Siting Process for a Geological Disposal Facility

Response form

13 May 2013

Call for Evidence

Please use this form to answer questions on the Call for Evidence on Managing Radioactive Waste Safely: Review of the Siting Process for a Geological Disposal Facility.

The closing date for the submission of responses is **10 June 2013**.

Responses can be returned by email (preferable) or post.

Email address: radioactivewaste@decc.gsi.gov.uk

Or by post to: The Managing Radioactive Waste Safely team
Department of Energy and Climate Change
55 Whitehall
London
SW1A 2EY

In order to help us analyse responses, please provide details of your organisation.

When the call for evidence ends, we may publish or make public the evidence submitted. Also, members of the public may ask for a copy of responses under freedom of information legislation.

If you do not want your response - including your name, contact details and any other personal information – to be publicly available, please say so clearly in writing when you send your response to the call for evidence. Please note, if your computer automatically includes a confidentiality disclaimer, that will not count as a confidentiality request.

Please explain why you need to keep details confidential. We will take your reasons into account if someone asks for this information under freedom of information legislation. But, because of the law, we cannot promise that we will always be able to keep those details confidential.

The responses to this Call for Evidence will inform a public consultation that will follow in the autumn.

We would like to keep stakeholders who are interested in the MRWS process up to date on developments. If you would like to be kept up to date please sign up at the end of the form.

Introduction

1. The UK Government's policy for the long-term management of higher-activity radioactive waste is geological disposal¹. In 2008 the Managing Radioactive Waste Safely (MRWS) White Paper² was published which outlined a framework for implementing geological disposal based on the principles of voluntarism and partnership.
2. Three local authorities formally expressed an interest in the MRWS programme: Copeland and Allerdale Borough Councils, and Cumbria County Council. In January 2013, the three local authorities voted on whether to proceed to stage 4 of the process. The two boroughs voted in favour, but the county voted against. The Government had in 2011 given a specific undertaking that the existing site-selection process would only continue in west Cumbria if there was agreement at both borough and county level. The county's decision therefore ended the existing site selection process in west Cumbria.
3. Shepway District Council in Kent had also taken soundings from local residents, but subsequently decided against making a formal expression of interest in the current MRWS process.
4. The Government remains firmly committed to geological disposal as the right policy for the long-term safe and secure management of higher-activity radioactive waste. The Government also continues to hold the view that the best means of selecting a site for a geological disposal facility (GDF) is an approach based on voluntarism and partnership.
5. Evidence from abroad shows that this approach can work, with similar waste disposal programmes based on these key principles making good progress in countries like Canada, Finland, France and Sweden.
6. The fact that two local authorities in west Cumbria voted in favour of continuing the search for a potential site for a GDF demonstrates that communities recognise the substantial benefits that are associated with hosting such a facility – both in terms of job creation and the wider benefits associated with its development.

Purpose of the call for evidence

7. In line with the Secretary of State's written Ministerial statement of 31 January 2013³, Government has been considering what lessons can be learned from the experiences of the MRWS programme in west Cumbria and elsewhere. We are now inviting views on the

¹ Radioactive waste disposal is a devolved matter. The Scottish Government has a separate policy and supports long-term interim storage and an on-going programme of research and development. The Welsh Government has reserved its position on geological disposal of radioactive waste while continuing to play an active part in the MRWS process. The Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland supports the MRWS programme.

² Managing Radioactive Waste Safely: A Framework for Implementing Geological Disposal
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/managing-radioactive-waste-safely-a-framework-for-implementing-geological-disposal>

³ See <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/written-ministerial-statement-by-edward-davey-on-the-management-of-radioactive-waste>

site selection aspects of the ongoing MRWS programme in this call for evidence, particularly from those who have been engaged in (or have been interested observers of) the MRWS process to date. The responses to this call for evidence will inform a consultation that will follow later in the year.

Background

8. Higher-activity radioactive wastes are produced as a result of the generation of electricity in nuclear power stations, from the associated production and processing of the nuclear fuel, from the use of radioactive materials in industry, medicine and research, and from military nuclear programmes.
9. As one of the pioneers of nuclear technology, the UK has accumulated a substantial legacy of higher activity radioactive materials. Some of it has already been processed and placed in safe and secure interim storage on nuclear sites. However, most will only become waste over the next century or so as existing facilities reach the end of their lifetime and are decommissioned and cleaned up safely and securely.
10. These higher-activity wastes can remain radioactive, and thus potentially harmful, for hundreds of thousands of years. Modern, safe and secure interim storage can contain all this material – but this method of storage requires on-going human intervention to monitor the material and to ensure that it does not pose any risk to human or environmental health. While the Government believes that safe and secure interim storage is an effective method of managing waste in the short to medium term, the Government is committed to delivering a permanent disposal solution.
11. In October 2006, following recommendations made by the independent Committee on Radioactive Waste Management, the Government announced its policy of geological disposal, preceded by safe and secure interim storage. The Government subsequently announced that it would pursue a policy of geological disposal with site selection on voluntarism and partnership. This remains Government policy.

Geological disposal

12. Geological disposal involves isolating radioactive waste in an engineered facility deep inside a suitable rock formation to ensure that no harmful quantities of radioactivity ever reach the surface environment. It is a multi-barrier approach, based on placing packaged wastes in engineered tunnels at a depth of between 200 and 1000m underground, protected from disruption by man-made or natural events.
13. Geological disposal is internationally recognised as the preferred approach for the long-term management of higher-activity radioactive waste. It provides a long-term, safe solution to radioactive waste management that does not depend on on-going human intervention.

Response form

Please use this form to respond to this call for evidence on Managing Radioactive Waste Safely: Review of the Siting Process for a Geological Disposal Facility.

The closing date for the submission of responses is 10 June 2013.

Responses can be returned by email (preferable) or post.

Email address: radioactivewaste@decc.qsi.gov.uk

Or by post to: The Managing Radioactive Waste Safely team
Department of Energy and Climate Change
Room M07
55 Whitehall
London
SW1A 2EY

Name	REDACTED REDACTED
Organisation / Company	British Geological Survey
Organisation Size (no. of employees)	650
Organisation Type	REDACTED
Job Title	REDACTED
Department	REDACTED
Address	REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED
Email	REDACTED REDACTED
Telephone	REDACTED REDACTED
Fax	REDACTED REDACTED

Would you like to be kept informed of developments with the MRWS programme?	Yes
Would you like your response to be kept confidential? If yes please give a reason	No

The Government is interested in your views on the geological disposal facility site selection process outlined in the 2008 Managing Radioactive Waste Safely (MRWS) White Paper. To assist us you may wish to consider the following issues in your response:

- What aspects of the site selection process in the MRWS White Paper do you think could be improved and how?
- What do you think could be done to attract communities into the MRWS site selection process?
- What information do you think would help communities engage with the MRWS site selection process?

The need for improved geological evidence to inform Stage 3 decision - information on the geology of an area

We consider that improved geological evidence should be made available at an earlier stage in the MRWS process to help to inform and guide the decision making body (DSM) for the host community in their decision on proceeding to the next stage of the process (Stage 4). Bringing forward some elements of the desk-based assessments that would take part in the subsequent stage (Stage 4) of the process would, at least in part, address the inherent uncertainty that is likely to exist at the end of Stage 3 for most parts of the UK.

We suggest that with a modest upfront investment, additional work to provide further information about the suitability of an area could be gathered within a timescale amenable to Stage 3 of the current process. This could start during the Stage 2 exclusion process if appropriate to do so. Such information might be obtained through re-interpretation of existing geological and geophysical data by a desk-based study. The acquisition of new data by non-invasive techniques such as airborne and/or ground-based geophysical surveys and its interpretation could also be considered at this stage if it is useful to improving the geological understanding of the area. This type of survey is likely to be required early in Stage 4 and undertaking it in a timely fashion may help to identify potential sites within un-excluded areas.

Such studies could be provided as an option to potential host communities at the time the initial geological screening is undertaken (at the beginning of or during Stage 2).

More open debate between scientists

Once communities begin to engage with the MRWS process, we believe that there is a need for a proper, balanced and open scientific debate. From a scientific perspective, we do not feel that the debate relating to the recent MRWS process in west Cumbria was balanced. This experience demonstrated the need to explore how best to communicate the scientific information required to allow communities to make informed decisions. More robust challenge to hypothetical 'what if' sites is required.

Timing of site screening

We consider that application of the exclusion criteria should be undertaken as soon as is practicable following expression of interest, so that screened-out areas are eliminated from further consideration at an early stage. This is particularly important where the majority of an area may be excluded.

© Crown copyright 2013
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
London SW1A 2AW
www.gov.uk/decc

URN 13D/105