

A large, solid green curved bar that starts from the left edge of the page and curves downwards towards the right, framing the title text.

Protecting and promoting patients' interests – licensing providers of NHS services

Your response to the consultation

You may re-use the text of this document (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/

© Crown copyright 2012

First published August 2012

Published to DH website, in electronic PDF format only.

www.dh.gov.uk/publications

Protecting and promoting patients' interests – licensing providers of NHS services

Your response to the consultation

Contents

Contents.....	4
NHS trusts.....	6
Private and voluntary providers of hospital and community services	6
Family Health Services	8
Adult social care.....	9
Objection percentage threshold	10
Share of supply objection percentage	11
How Monitor will enforce licence conditions	12
Equalities Issues	13
How to Respond.....	14

Background

This document should be read in conjunction with the document entitled “Protecting and promoting patients' interests – licensing providers of NHS services– a consultation on the proposals’. The Department of Health has launched a public consultation on the proposed regulations on Licensing of health providers and invites you to respond.

The Licensing consultation is about:

- who will need to hold a licence from a Monitor;
- the circumstances in which providers who are licensed can have a say in any changes to the standard conditions in their licence;
- the fines Monitor will be able to impose if a provider breaches its licence conditions, delivers services without a licence or fails to supply Monitor with required information.

Please return your responses, no later than **Monday 22 October 2012** to:

By email: Licensing.Exemptions@DH.gsi.gov.uk with the subject ‘Licensing Exemptions Consultation’.

By post to:

Licensing Consultation
Department of Health
Room 235 Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London SW1A 2NS

Many thanks for your response to this consultation. Please note that responses may be made public unless you state otherwise.

Personal Details

Organisation(s) represented: Newbridge Care Systems Ltd.

NHS trusts

Question 1: Do you think NHS trusts should be exempt from the requirement to hold a licence, but expected to meet equivalent requirements to those in the general, pricing (where appropriate), choice and competition and integrated care sectors of Monitor's licence?

Yes

No

Question 2: Is there anything you want to add?

Private and voluntary providers of hospital and community services

Question 3: Do you agree that it is not appropriate to license small and micro providers of NHS funded services, at this stage, pending further review of costs and benefits?

Do you agree? Yes

No, proceed to question 7.

Question 4: If so, do you agree that providers of NHS services with fewer than 50 employees (FTEs) and income from the provision of NHS hospital and community healthcare services of less than £10 million should be exempt from the requirement to hold a licence?

Do you agree? Yes, proceed to question 7 No

Question 5: Alternatively, do you think a *de minimis* threshold based on a provider fulfilling one of the two conditions would be more appropriate (eg. <50 staff (WTEs) or <£10m turnover)?

Yes

No, proceed to question 6

If so, which?

<50 Staff (WTEs)

<£10m turnover

Question 6: If not, on what basis should small and micro providers be exempt?

It is reasonable to define that if a business meets EITHER of these criteria (<50 staff or >£10m turnover) then it should be exempt.

Our business is a small single residential treatment centre for adolescent sufferers of anorexia nervosa. We provide highly specialist care for a very small population of patients. We have a maximum of 16 patients at a time, more usually 10-12. Our annual revenue is about £1.5million – so we are clearly a micro business.

Yet because we provide very intensive care and therapy in a 24/7 environment, we currently employ slightly over 50 employees.

If the exemption from licence only held if BOTH criteria were met, this could lead to inappropriate corporate behaviour which acts AGAINST the interests of patients – most obviously I would just instruct our manager to cap recruitment at 49 staff and then either just 'make-do' as best possible, or use short term agency staff to fill the gap.

Both of these actions would manifestly be against the interests of patients because as everyone knows, permanent staff provide better care than agency staff who are here today gone tomorrow – especially in a very specialist care environment such as ours.

If you are considering the 2 proposed measures of size of a business and you want to choose only one, the rational one to choose for this purpose is CLEARLY turnover.

Why? Because the size of the turnover is much more closely correlated with the ability to bear the costs of the regulation that being a licence holder would entail.

Question 7: Is there anything you want to add?

It is reasonable to define that if a business meets EITHER of these criteria (<50 staff or >£10m turnover) then it should be exempt.

Our business is a small single residential treatment centre for adolescent sufferers of anorexia nervosa. We provide highly specialist care for a very small population of patients. We have a maximum of 16 patients at a time, more usually 10-12. Our annual revenue is about £1.5million – so we are clearly a micro business.

Yet because we provide very intensive care and therapy in a 24/7 environment, we currently employ slightly over 50 employees.

If the exemption from licence only held if BOTH criteria were met, this could lead to inappropriate corporate behaviour which acts AGAINST the interests of patients – most obviously I would just instruct our manager to cap recruitment at 49 staff and then either just 'make-do' as best possible, or use short term agency staff to fill the gap.

Both of these actions would manifestly be against the interests of patients because as everyone knows, permanent staff provide better care than agency staff who are here today gone tomorrow – especially in a very specialist care environment such as ours.

If you are considering the 2 proposed measures of size of a business and you want to choose only one, the rational one to choose for this purpose is CLEARLY turnover.

Why? Because the size of the turnover is much more closely correlated with the ability to bear the costs of the regulation that being a licence holder would entail.

Family Health Services

Question 8: Do you agree that providers of primary medical services and primary dental services under contracts with the NHS Commissioning Board should initially be exempt from the requirement to hold a licence from Monitor?

Do you agree?

Yes

No

Question 9: Is there anything you want to add?

Adult social care

Question 10: Do you think providers of adult social care who also provide NHS services should be required to hold a licence, unless they fall below a *de minimis* threshold?

Yes

No, proceed to question 15

Question 11: If so, do you think that threshold should be fewer than 50 employees (FTEs) and income from the provision of NHS hospital and community healthcare services of less than £10 million?

Yes

No, proceed to question 13

Question 12: Alternatively, do you think a *de minimis* threshold based on an adult social care provider fulfilling one of the two conditions would be more appropriate (ie <50 staff (FTEs) or <£10m turnover)?

Yes

No

If so, which?

<50 Staff (FTEs)

<£10m Turnover

Question 13: Do you know of any adult social care providers who also provide NHS services who would not fall below this specific *de minimis* threshold?

Option 1: For fewer than 50 employees and income <£10m?

Yes

No

Option 2: For fewer than 50 employees only?

Yes

No

Option 3: For income <£10m only?

Yes

No

If yes to any of the above, please provide details:

Question 14: If you think there should be a different *de minimis* threshold, what is that threshold?

Question 15: Is there anything you want to add?

Objection percentage threshold

Question 16: Do you think a 20% threshold would be suitable for the standard condition modification objection percentage?

Yes

No

Question 17: If not, what figure do you think would be suitable?

Question 18: Is there anything you want to add?

Share of supply objection percentage

Question 19: Do you think the share of supply threshold should be calculated by defining share of supply as the number of licence holders affected by the proposed modification, weighted by NHS turnover?

Yes

No

Question 20: Do you think the threshold itself should be 20% as with the objections percentage?

Yes

No

Question 21: Do you think variations in the costs of providing NHS services should be taken into account when calculating share of supply?

Yes

No

Question 22: Is there anything you want to add?

How Monitor will enforce licence conditions

Question 23: Do you think the calculation of turnover for the purposes of the variable monetary penalty maximum should be based on turnover from provision of NHS funded turnover?

Yes

No

Question 24: If not, how do you think turnover should be calculated?

Question 25: Is there anything you want to add?

Equalities Issues

Question 26: Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups?

Do you have any evidence? Yes No

If so, please provide details.

How to Respond

The deadline for responses to this consultation is **22 October 2012**.

e-mail licence.exemptions@dh.gsi.gov.uk

contact Licensing Providers of NHS services
Department of Health
Room 235
Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London
SW1A 2NS

online An online response form is available on the DH website¹.

Comments on the consultation process itself

If you have concerns or comments which you would like to make relating specifically to the consultation process itself please

contact Consultations Coordinator
Department of Health
3E48, Quarry House
Leeds
LS2 7UE

e-mail consultations.co-ordinator@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Please do not send consultation responses to this address.

Confidentiality of information

We manage the information you provide in response to this consultation in accordance with the Department of Health's Information Charter.

Information we receive, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004).

¹ <http://www.dh.gov.uk/health/category/publications/consultations/>

If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this, it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

The Department will process your personal data in accordance with the DPA and, in most circumstances, this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

Summary of the consultation

A summary of the response to this consultation will be made available before or alongside any further action, such as laying legislation before Parliament, and will be placed on the consultations website at

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Responsestoconsultations/index.htm>