

The Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP):

Summary of consultation responses and technical changes

Introduction

As the Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP) is used to underpin the delivery of a number of key climate change initiatives it is necessary to periodically review the methodology to ensure it continues to meet the needs of both existing and new users. Another objective of the review is to improve the accuracy and reliability of energy performance assessments.

A consultation that sought views on potential changes to the SAP methodology was published on 4th January 2012. The Department was most grateful to those who responded to the consultation. This document has been produced to make available the results of that consultation.

The agreed changes, along with those required for Building Regulations compliance assessment purposes, have been incorporated in a revised SAP document, SAP 2012 version 9.92.

Looking forward, policy administrators will determine the date from which SAP 2012 will come into force for their own purposes. For example, it is understood that for Part L Building Regulation compliance purposes in England SAP 2012 is expected to come into force on 6th April 2014.

It is the intention to implement the agreed changes in Reduced Data SAP (RDSAP), which is used to assess the performance of existing dwellings, at the earliest opportunity.

Content

This document contains a summary of responses to the public consultation on proposed changes to the Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP) methodology. The changes agreed, by DECC Ministers, have been implemented in the SAP 2012 paper, revision 9.92, and this document can be downloaded from www.bre.co.uk/sap2012. The document also contains a link to a table of unattributed consultation responses. A summary of the decisions taken have also been included for information.

Document Name	Content and purpose
Table 1	Summary of consultation responses, by respondent association.
Table 2 – Link	Unattributed consultation responses
Table 3	Summary of change decisions

Table 1 – Summary of consultation responses, by respondent association

	All who responded	Builders / Developers	SAP assessors	Designers/ Engineers/ Surveyors	Manufacturer /Supply Chain	Property management	Specific Interest / trade association	Energy Sector	Other/unknown
-	ou agree th ssions, qua				n factors sh	nould inclu	ıde energy	related C	H4 and
Total	88	17	6	10	18	5	23	4	5
Yes	92%	88%	83%	90%	94%	100%	96%	75%	100%
No	8%	12%	17%	10%	6%	0%	4%	25%	0%
Q2: Do yo emission	ou agree th	at the car	bon dioxid	e emissior	n factors sh	ould inclu	ude transpo	ort related	
Total	85	15	5	11	20	6	20	3	5
Yes	93%	87%	100%	100%	100%	67%	95%	67%	100%
No	7%	13%	0%	0%	0%	33%	5%	33%	0%
emission	ou agree th	es when t	hey occur	outside of	the UK?				
Total	83	15	5	11	20	6	18	3	5
		l .							1000/
Yes	88%	73%	100%	91%	95%	67%	94%	67%	100%
No Q4: Do yo	12% ou think the	27% emission	0% factor for	9% both consu	5% umption ar	33%	6% of grid sup	33% ply fuels s	0%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump	ou think the ed on a syst both const o the grid, ation and m	e emission em averag umption ar or (c) retai arginal va	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the S	9% both consurginal basito the gric AP 2009 moorts to the	umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid).	33% nd export of indicate of arginal vary (system	of grid sup either (a) thalue for boaverage va	ply fuels s ne system th consum alue for	0% hould be average ption and
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump	12% ou think the ed on a system both construction the grid, attornand m	27% e emission em averag umption ar or (c) retai arginal va	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the Slue for exp	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid).	33% and export of indicate of arginal vary (system	of grid sup either (a) the alue for both average variables	33% ply fuels s ne system th consum alue for	0% hould be average ption and
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump	ou think the ed on a system both consistent of the grid, ation and market 60%	e emission em averagumption ar or (c) retai arginal va	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Solue for expose 5	9% both constrginal basito the gric AP 2009 moorts to the	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61%	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33%	of grid sup either (a) the alue for both average value	ply fuels some system with consumalue for	0% hould be average ption and 5 20%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, stion and median and median are system as the system are system as the syste	e emission em averagumption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81%	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Solue for exports 40%	9% both consurginal base to the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 30% 0%	5% Imption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6%	33% and export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33%	of grid sup either (a) the alue for bo average va 20 55% 20%	33% ply fuels some system with consumalue for 4 50% 25%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C	12% ou think the ed on a system both consider the grid, ation and m 84 60% 13% 25%	27% e emission tem average umption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81% 6% 13%	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the Solue for exports 40% 40%	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 10 80% 0% 20%	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22%	33% and export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 33%	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for boaverage value for 55% 20% 25%	33% ply fuels s ne system at the consum alue for 4 50% 25%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20% 60%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, stion and median and median are system as the system are system as the syste	e emission em averagumption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81%	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Solue for exports 40%	9% both consurginal base to the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 30% 0%	5% Imption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6%	33% and export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33%	of grid sup either (a) the alue for bo average va 20 55% 20%	33% ply fuels some system with consumalue for 4 50% 25%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors sh	12% ou think the ed on a system both consider the grid, ation and m 84 60% 13% 25%	27% e emission em average umption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the recojected fo	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the S lue for exports 40% 20% 40% 0%	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 20% 0% 0% 10 80% 0% 0% 10 40% 10 8	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% he principate 3 years	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 33% 0%	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for botaverage value for botaverage v	33% ply fuels some system at the consumation of the consum alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emiss	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 60% 0%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors sh	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, stion and m 84 60% 13% 25% 2% ou agree we nould be preded for con 96	27% e emission em average umption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the recojected fo	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the S lue for exports 40% 20% 40% 0%	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 20% 0% 0% 10 80% 0% 0% 10 40% 10 8	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% he principate 3 years	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 33% 0%	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for botaverage value for botaverage v	33% ply fuels some system at the consumation of the consum alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emiss	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 60% 0%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors shoe provide Total Yes	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, other and many street and many st	e emission amption arginal value of the state of the stat	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Solue for exports 40% 20% 40% 0% commendar use in Solue for exports in Solue for longer for exports for exports in Solue for exports in Solue for exports fo	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 20% 0% 20% 0% tion that the AP should laterm imparts 13 92%	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% ne principate 3 years cts? 22 59%	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 0% It time per but that a fer a	6% of grid supplisher (a) the fulue for both average visual 20 55% 20% 25% 0% iod over we a 15 year o	33% ply fuels some system at the consumation for 4 50% 25% 0% which emist ption should a 3 33%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 60% 0% sion ald also
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors she provident	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, stion and m 84 60% 13% 25% 2% ou agree we nould be preded for con 96	27% e emission tem average umption ar or (c) retai arginal va 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the receptor of the consideration	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the Solue for explaining the Solue for the Solue f	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the 20% 0% 20% 0% tion that the AP should laterm impace	5% umption aris? Please i, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% ne principate 3 years cts?	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 33% 0% If time per of the point that are of the per of t	6% of grid superither (a) the alue for both average value for both 20 55% 20% 25% 0% iod over was 15 year o	33% ply fuels some system of the consum alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emist ption should 3	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20% 60% 0% sion
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors she provide Total Yes No	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, other and many street and many st	27% e emission tem average umption ar or (c) retain arginal van 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the receptored for sideration 16 44% 56%	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Salue for explaining the Salu	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the poorts to the 20% 0% 0% 0% tion that the P should laterm imparts 13 92% 8%	5% umption aris? Please d, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% ne principates a years cts? 22 59% 41%	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 0% If time per but that a fer a	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for both average value for both a	33% ply fuels see system at the consumation alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emist ption should 3 33% 67%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20% 60% 0% sion ald also 6 67% 33%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors she provide Total Yes No	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, etion and marked 60% 13% 25% 2% ou agree we hould be proded for con 96 66% 34%	27% e emission tem average umption ar or (c) retain arginal van 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the receptored for sideration 16 44% 56%	factor for ge or a mand exports ining the Salue for explaining the Salu	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the poorts to the 20% 0% 0% 0% tion that the P should laterm imparts 13 92% 8%	5% umption aris? Please d, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% ne principates a years cts? 22 59% 41%	33% Ind export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 0% If time per but that a fer a	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for both average value for both a	33% ply fuels see system at the consumation alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emist ption should 3 33% 67%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20% 60% 0% sion ald also 6 67% 33%
Q4: Do yo calculate value for exports to consump Total A B C A or C Q5: Do yo factors she provide Total Yes No Q6: Do yo biogenic	12% ou think the ed on a system to the grid, other on and market and the ed on a system to the grid, other and market and	27% e emission em average umption ar or (c) retain arginal var 16 81% 6% 13% 0% ith the recopeted for sideration 16 44% 56% at the emission e) sources	factor for ge or a mand exports ning the Solue for exports 40% 20% 40% 0% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer 6 50% commendar use in Solue for exports of longer for exp	9% both consurginal basito the grice AP 2009 moorts to the proof to the 20% 0% 0% 0% 10 80% 0% 0% 10 10 80% 10 80% 80% 10 80% 80% 10 80% 80% 10 80% 1	5% umption aris? Please I, (b) the methodologe grid). 18 61% 6% 22% 11% ne principates a years cts? 22 59% 41% continue to	33% nd export of indicate of arginal vary (system) 6 33% 33% 33% 0% all time per the but that a constant of the constant of	6% of grid supplither (a) the alue for both average value for both a	33% ply fuels see system at the consumation alue for 4 50% 25% 25% 0% which emission should a 3 33% 67%	0% hould be average ption and 5 20% 20% 60% 0% sion ald also 6 67% 33% s from

Table 1 – Continued

	All who responded	Builders / Developers	SAP assessors	Designers/ Engineers/ Surveyors	Manufacturer /Supply Chain	Property management	Specific Interest / trade association	Energy Sector	Other/unknown		
Q9: Do yo	u agree th	at wind sp	eed shoul	d be taken	into acco	unt on the	basis of d	welling lo	cation?		
Total	92	17	6	13	21	7	18	3	7		
Yes	78%	41%	67%	92%	100%	86%	78%	100%	71%		
No	22%	59%	33%	8%	0%	14%	22%	0%	29%		
	Q10: Do you agree with the proposals for calculating solar radiation based on orientation and pitch?										
Total	92	17	6	14	21	6	18	3	7		
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
No	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
044: Da		hat CAD at		-:	-1		:::: !:!!				
	ou agree t European				cnanges ir	n test cond	litions like	y to be int	roaucea		
Total	76	16	6	12	13	4	17	3	5		
Yes	62%	31%	50%	92%	54%	100%	76%	67%	40%		
No	38%	69%	50%	8%	46%	0%	24%	33%	60%		
110	3070	0370	3070	070	1070	070	2 170	3370	0070		
-	ou agree t					_	combi boil	ers should	l be		
Total	75	17	6	13	15	4	12	2	6		
Yes	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%		
No	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%		
Q13: Do you agree that sections D3 and D6 (in respect of declaring SEDBUK and seasonal efficiency values for boilers) of SAP Appendix D should be deleted?											
Total	67	16	5	11	14	4	11	1	5		
Yes	75%	50%	100%	82%	86%	50%	91%	100%	60%		
No	25%	50%	0%	18%	14%	50%	9%	0%	40%		
_	Q15: Do you agree that the PCDB should be used to hold details of advanced heating controls recognised by SAP?										
Total	81	17	6	12	22	4	12	2	6		
Yes	81%	59%	100%	92%	95%	100%	58%	100%	83%		
No	19%	41%	0%	8%	5%	0%	42%	0%	17%		

Table 1 – Continued

	All who responded	Builders / Developers	SAP assessors	Designers/ Engineers/ Surveyors	Manufacturer /Supply Chain	Property management	Specific Interest / trade association	Energy Sector	Other/unknown	
	Q16: Do you agree with the proposed treatment of primary pipe work losses? If not, what alternative approaches could be used?									
Total	78	17	6	10	17	5	16	2	5	
Yes	73%	29%	83%	90%	100%	80%	94%	50%	20%	
No	27%	71%	17%	10%	0%	20%	6%	50%	80%	
	Q17: In future, should the actual length of pipe work be used in the calculation of primary and secondary losses?									
Total	78	16	6	11	17	5	15	2	6	
Yes	46%	13%	33%	82%	59%	60%	53%	0%	33%	
No	54%	88%	67%	18%	41%	40%	47%	100%	67%	
	Q18: Does the approach taken in document STP11/HP01 for determining the default values seem appropriate and reasonable?									
Total	82	16	5	11	19	5	18	3	5	
Yes	74%	88%	100%	91%	47%	80%	67%	67%	100%	
No	26%	13%	0%	9%	53%	20%	33%	33%	0%	
Q19: If so	Q19: If so is it reasonable to discount the lower values as being unrepresentative?									
Total	77	16	5	10	19	5	16	1	5	
Yes	73%	25%	100%	80%	84%	80%	88%	100%	80%	
No	27%	75%	0%	20%	16%	20%	13%	0%	20%	

Note: questions 7, 8, 14, 20 and 21 broadly invited respondent's to provide additional information

Table 2 – Unattributed consultation responses are available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/proposed-changes-to-energy-assessments-of-dwellings

Table 3 - Summary of Change Decisions

Q1: Do you agree that the carbon dioxide emission factors should include energy related CH_4 and N_2O emissions, quantified as CO_2 equivalent?

Decision: emissions to be included as CO₂ equivalents.

Q2: Do you agree that the carbon dioxide emission factors should include transport related emissions?

Decision: related transport emission to be included.

Q3: Do you agree that the carbon dioxide emission factors should include energy supply chain emissions in instances when they occur outside of the UK?

Decision: emissions to be included no matter where they occur.

Q4: Do you think the emission factor for both consumption and export of grid supply fuels should be calculated on a system average or a marginal basis? Please indicate either (a) the system average value for both consumption and exports to the grid, (b) the marginal value for both consumption and exports to the grid, or (c) retaining the SAP 2009 methodology (system average value for consumption and marginal value for exports to the grid).

Decision: implement option a), system average for both imports and exports.

Q5: Do you agree with the recommendation that the principal time period over which emission factors should be projected for use in SAP should be 3 years, but that a 15 year option should also be provided for consideration of longer term impacts?

Decision: emission factors to be based on 3 year forward look projections.

Q6: Do you agree that the emission factors should continue to exclude direct CO₂ emissions from biogenic (renewable) sources?

Decision: exclusion to continue.

Q9: Do you agree that wind speed should be taken into account on the basis of dwelling location?

Decision: wind speed data to be an influencing factor.

Q10: Do you agree with the proposals for calculating solar radiation based on orientation and pitch?

Decision: calculation to be based on orientation and pitch.

Q11: Do you agree that SAP should anticipate the changes in test conditions likely to be introduced under the European eco-design regulations?

Decision: wait until the Regulations have been published. (Some respondents supported this proposal but there were concerns that making changes before publication could lead to further, additional, amendments.)

Q12: Do you agree that the default values for heat losses from storage combination boilers should be amended in the light of the results from recent test programmes?

Decision: default values to be amended.

Q13: Do you agree that sections D3 and D6 (in respect of declaring SEDBUK and seasonal efficiency values for boilers) of SAP Appendix D should be deleted?

Decision: Sections to be removed.

Q14: The benefit of dual zone time and temperature control diminishes as floor area reduces. At what floor area do you think the current benefit should start to be reduced, and at what floor area should it become zero?

Decision: no change - no consensus on alternative start point or floor area.

Q15: Do you agree that the PCDB should be used to hold details of advanced heating controls recognised by SAP?

Decision: include controls in PCDB.

Q16: Do you agree with the proposed treatment of primary pipe work losses? If not, what alternative approaches could be used?

Decision: implement proposal.

Q17: In future, should the actual length of pipe work be used in the calculation of primary and secondary losses?

Decision: no change to current arrangement. (Some respondents supported this proposal but there was a concern about the practical aspects of determining pipe length, particularly in existing dwelling assessments.)

Q18: Does the approach taken in document STP11/HP01 for determining the default values seem appropriate and reasonable?

Decision: amend default values for untested heat pumps but retain existing defaults for those heat pumps installed under MCS.

Q19: If so is it reasonable to discount the lower values as being unrepresentative?

Decision: discount lower values.

© Crown copyright 2013
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
London SW1A 2AW
www.gov.uk/decc

URN: 13D/268