# Households Below Average Income: An analysis of the income distribution 1994/95-2008/09 

 Work and Pensions
# Households Below Average Income An analysis of the income distribution 1994/95-2008/09 

## Editorial team

Nick Adams, Alex Barton, Stephen Bray, George Johnson, Peter Matejic

## Contents

1 Introduction and summary of key findings ..... 1
Introduction ..... 1
Summary of key findings ..... 8
2 The income distribution ..... 13
Tables 2.1 Recent economic indicators. ..... 20
2.2 Income sources as a proportion of gross income by quintile ..... 21
2.3 Money values of means, medians and quintiles for a selection of family types ..... 22
2.1ts Money values of decile medians, quintile medians and overall population mean in average 2008/09 prices ..... 23
2.2ts Income shares and Gini coefficient ..... 25
2.3ts Money values of quintile medians and population mean for family type and economic status groups in average 2008/09 prices ..... 27
2.4ts Equivalent money values for 60 per cent of median income by example family types in 2008/09 prices ..... 29
3 Whole population ..... 31
Tables 3.1 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 38Economic status of adults in the familyFamily typeGender and adulthoodDisabilityEthnic group of head (3-year average)
3.2 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 40
Disability and receipt of disability benefits Tenure
Direct payment accounts
Savings and investments
Region/Country (3-year average)
3.3 Composition of low-income groups by ..... 42
Economic status of adults in the family
Family type
Gender and adulthood
Disability
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
3.4 Composition of low-income groups by ..... 43
Disability and receipt of disability benefits Tenure
Direct payment accounts
Savings and investments
Region/Country (3-year average)
3.5 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 44
Economic status of adults in the familyFamily typeGender and adulthoodDisabilityEthnic group of head (3-year average)
3.6 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 45
Disability and receipt of disability benefitsTenureDirect payment accountsSavings and investmentsRegion/Country (3-year average)
3.1tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 46
3.2tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 47
3.3tr Number falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 48
3.4tr Number falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 49
3.1ts Total population by family type, gender and adulthood ..... 50
3.2ts Total population by economic status of the family ..... 51
3.3ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by family type, gender and adulthood ..... 52
3.4ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by economic status of the family ..... 53
3.5ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by family type, gender and adulthood ..... 54
3.6ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by economic status of the family ..... 55
3.7ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by family type, gender and adulthood ..... 56
3.8ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by economic status of the family ..... 57
3.9ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by family type, gender and adulthood ..... 58
3.10ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by economic status of the family ..... 59
4 Children ..... 61
Tables 4.1 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 68
Economic status of the family and family type Economic status of household Number of children in family Disability and receipt of disability benefits Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
4.2 Quintile distribution of income by ..... 70
State support received by family
Age of youngest child in family Tenure
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Region/Country (3-year average)
4.3 Composition of low-income groups by: ..... 72
Economic status of the family and family type
Economic status of household
Number of children in family
Disability and receipt of disability benefits
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
4.4 Composition of low-income groups by ..... 73
State support received by family
Age of youngest child in family
Tenure
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Region/Country (3-year average)
4.5 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 74
Economic status of the family and family type
Economic status of household
Number of children in family
Disability and receipt of disability benefits
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
4.6 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 75
State support received by family
Age of youngest child in family
Tenure
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Region/Country (3-year average)
4.7 Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of their deprivation ..... 76
4.8 Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of parental deprivation ..... 78
4.1tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 80
4.2tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 81
4.3tr Number falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 82
4.4tr Number falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 83
4.5tr Percentage and number falling below thresholds of low income and material deprivation ..... 84
4.1ts Population of children by family type and economic status of the family ..... 85
4.2ts Population of children by economic status of household ..... 86
4.3ts Population of children by region and country (3-year average) ..... 87
4.4ts Population of children by number of children in family ..... 88
4.5ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by family type and economic status of the family ..... 89
4.6ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by economic status of household ..... 90
4.7ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by number of children in family ..... 91
4.8ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by family type and economic status of the family ..... 92
4.9ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by economic status of household ..... 93
4.10ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by number of children in family ..... 94
4.11ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by family type and economic status of the family ..... 95
4.12ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by economic status of household ..... 96
4.13ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 97
4.14ts Numbers in contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 98
4.15ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by number of children in family ..... 99
4.16ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by family type and economic status of the family ..... 100
4.17ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by economic status of household ..... 101
4.18ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 102
4.19ts Numbers in absolute low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 103
4.20ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by number of children in family ..... 104
5 Working-age adults ..... 105
Tables 5.1 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 114
Economic status of adults in the familyEconomic status of householdFamily type
Gender by number of adults in the family and work status
5.2 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 116
Age of head of familyTenureEthnic group of head (3-year average)
Region/Country (3-year average)
5.3 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 118
Disability and receipt of disability benefits State support received by family
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Educational attainment
5.4 Composition of low-income groups by: ..... 120
Economic status of adults in the familyEconomic status of householdFamily typeGender by number of adults in the family and work status
5.5 Composition of low-income groups by ..... 121
Age of head of family
Tenure
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
Region/Country (3-year average)
5.6 Composition of low-income groups by: ..... 122
Disability and receipt of disability benefits
State support received by family
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Educational attainment
5.7 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 123
Economic status of adults in the family
Economic status of household
Family type
Gender by number of adults in the family and work status
5.8 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 124
Age of head of familyTenureEthnic group of head (3-year average)Region/Country (3-year average)
5.9 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 125
Disability and receipt of disability benefits State support received by family
Savings and investments
Household bills in arrears
Educational attainment
5.1tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 126
5.2tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 127
5.3tr Number falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 128
5.4tr Number falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 129
5.1ts Population of working-age adults by family type ..... 130
5.2 ts Population of working-age adults by family type and economic status of the family ..... 131
5.3ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by family type ..... 132
5.4 ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by family type and economic status of the family ..... 133
5.5ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by family type ..... 134
5.6ts Composition of absolute low-income groups by family type and economic status of the family ..... 135
5.7 ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by family type ..... 136
5.8ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by family type and economic status of the family ..... 137
5.9ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by family type ..... 138
5.10ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by family type and economic status of the family ..... 139
6 Pensioners ..... 141
Tables 6.1 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 148Economic status of adults in the family
Age
Family type
GenderDisability and receipt of disability benefits
Tenure
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
6.2 Quintile distribution of income by: ..... 150
Pensions receipt
State support received by family
Savings and investments
Region/Country (3-year average)152
Economic status of adults in the family
Age
Family type
Gender
Disability and receipt of disability benefits
Tenure
Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
6.4 Composition of low-income groups by: ..... 153
Pensions receipt
State support received by family
Savings and investments
Region/Country (3-year average)
6.5 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 154Economic status of adults in the familyAge
Family type
Gender
Disability and receipt of disability benefits Tenure Ethnic group of head (3-year average)
6.6 Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by: ..... 155 Pensions receipt State support received by family Savings and investments Region/Country (3-year average)
6.7 Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by extent of their deprivation ..... 156
6.1tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 158
6.2tr Percentage falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 159
6.3tr Number falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income ..... 160
6.4tr Number falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 161
6.5tr Percentage aged 65 or over falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 162
6.6tr Number aged 65 or over falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms ..... 163
6.1ts Population of pensioners by age and gender ..... 164
6.2ts Population of pensioners by tenure ..... 165
6.3ts Population of pensioners by region and country (3-year average) ..... 166
6.4ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by age and gender ..... 167
6.5ts Composition of contemporary low-income groups by tenure ..... 168
6.6ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by age and gender ..... 169
6.7ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by tenure ..... 170
6.8ts Percentage of individuals in contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 171
6.9ts Numbers in contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 172
6.10ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by age and gender ..... 173
6.11ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by tenure ..... 174
6.12 ts Percentage of individuals in absolute low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 175
6.13ts Numbers in absolute low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 176
6.14ts Composition of 50 per cent median contemporary low-income groups by age and gender ..... 177
6.15ts Composition of 50 per cent median contemporary low-income groups by tenure ..... 178
6.16ts Percentage of individuals in 50 per cent median contemporary low income by age and gender ..... 179
6.17ts Percentage of individuals in 50 per cent median contemporary low income by tenure ..... 180
6.18ts Percentage of individuals in 50 per cent median contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 181
6.19ts Numbers in 50 per cent median contemporary low income by region and country (3-year average) ..... 182
7 Low-income dynamics ..... 183
Tables 7.1 Opportunity for all indicators ..... 186
Appendices

1. Glossary and definitions ..... 189
Technical Terms ..... 189
Measures of Income ..... 192
Other definitions used in HBAI ..... 194
2. Methodology ..... 203
Tables A2.1 Comparison of OECD and McClements equivalence scales ..... 213
A2.2 Material deprivation scores ..... 214
A2.3 Grossing factor control totals ..... 215
A2.4 Ninety-five per cent confidence intervals for numbers of individuals below 60 per cent contemporary median income in 2008/09 ..... 216
A2.5: Ninety-five per cent confidence intervals for numbers and proportions of individuals below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ..... 217
3. Opportunity for all indicators ..... 219
Tables A3.1 Percentage of individuals in Great Britain ..... 220
A3.2 Number of individuals below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income, Great Britain ..... 221
A3.3 Percentage of children in Great Britain ..... 222
A3.4 Number of children below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income, Great Britain ..... 223
A3.5 Percentage of working-age adults in Great Britain ..... 224
A3.6 Number of working-age adults below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income, Great Britain ..... 225
A3.7 Percentage of pensioners in Great Britain ..... 226
A3.8 Number of pensioners below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income, Great Britain ..... 227

## Chapter 1

## Introduction and summary of key findings

## Introduction

Background

This is the twenty-first of the Households Below Average Income (HBAI) series. This report presents information on potential living standards in the United Kingdom as determined by disposable income in 2008/09, and changes in income patterns over time.

## What does HBAI measure?

Households Below Average Income (HBAI) uses household disposable incomes, after adjusting for the household size and composition, as a proxy for material living standards. More precisely, it is a proxy for the level of consumption of goods and services that people could attain given the disposable income of the household in which they live.

In order to allow comparisons of the living standards of different types of households, income is adjusted to take into account variations in the size and composition of the households in a process known as equivalisation, which is explained in more detail below.

A key assumption made in HBAI is that all individuals in the household benefit equally from the combined income of the household. This enables the total equivalised income of the household to be used as a proxy for the standard of living of each household member.

See Appendix 1 for a detailed definition of net disposable household income and see Appendix 2 for a more 'in-depth' presentation of the methodology underpinning HBAI.

## Publication structure

This publication is split into seven chapters and three appendices. Chapter 1 gives a summary of the results and an introduction to the analysis; Chapter 2 gives an overview of the income distribution and looks at the economic climate for the period covered; Chapters 3 to 6 provide detailed analysis of the incomes of all individuals, children, working-age adults and pensioners respectively; Chapter 7 gives a summary of analysis of persistent poverty. Chapters $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ follow a common structure, starting
with results for the most recent year, followed by tables showing headline trends over time, which have a tr suffix, and tables showing time series, which have a ts suffix.

Appendix 1 gives a glossary of terms used and detailed definitions, while Appendix 2 gives a more 'in-depth' presentation of the methodology underpinning HBAI. Appendix 3 gives Opportunity for all indicators.

## Changes to the publication

The following changes have been made to the analyses within the publication this year.

- Titles of tables have been changed from referring to the risk of living in households below thresholds of median income to refer to the percentage of group living in households below thresholds of median income. The concept and methodology remain the same.
- All tables (with the exception of British Household Panel Survey data in Appendix 3) have been reformatted so that all years are now available.
- Data in the trends tables sourced from the Family Expenditure Survey have been revised following methodological improvements.
- The separate council and housing association splits have been removed from this publication. This is because a significant number of housing association tenants wrongly report that they are council tenants. Following a user consultation it was also decided to remove the rented unfurnished and rented furnished splits from these tables.
- Information on economic indicators in Table 2.1 has been recalculated on a financial year basis to be in line with the Family Resources Survey (FRS) survey period.
- Following user comments, the source data for Figure 2.1 has been made available online.
- The methodology for Figure 2.2 and Table 2.2 has been improved. This means the results in this publication are not comparable with those in previous publications.
- The material deprivation scores used in the calculation of low income and material deprivation levels for children have been changed to be calculated using survey year weights rather than using 2004/05 fixed weights. A more detailed explanation of weights used for material deprivation in given in Appendix 2.
- Analysis of the quintile distribution of income for pensioners by extent of their deprivation of items and services has been added to Chapter 6.
- Further confidence intervals have been added to Appendix 2 to cover numbers and proportion of various groups below 50 and 70 per cent of contemporary median income, and below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms.
- The four-year periods 1993 to 1996, 1995 to 1998 and 1999 to 2002 have been dropped from the persistent low-income tables in Appendix 3. These dropped years are indicated on the tables by double lines. All periods, however, are included in versions of time series shown on the internet.
- Minor changes have been made to wording and the format of some tables following user feedback.
- Some minor improvements have been made to the HBAI processing methodology. These are detailed in Appendix 2.


## Equivalisation

Income is adjusted, or equivalised, to take into account variations in both the size and composition of the household. This process reflects the common sense notion that a family of several people needs a higher income than a single person in order for both households to enjoy a comparable standard of living.

Equivalence scales conventionally take a couple with no children as a reference point. The incomes of larger households are adjusted downwards and the incomes of smaller households adjusted upwards relative to this benchmark. The values of the OECD and McClements equivalisation scales are shown in Appendix 2.

Most income values quoted in this publication relate to the 'cash' income for a couple with no children; the equivalisation process must be reversed in order for them to be converted to cash incomes for other family types (see Table 2.4ts for examples).

## Housing costs

It could be argued that the costs of housing faced by different households at a given time do not always match the true value of the housing that they actually enjoy, and that housing costs should therefore be deducted from any definition of disposable income. However, any measure of income defined in this way would understate the relative standard of living of those individuals who were actually benefiting from a better quality of housing by paying more for better accommodation. Income growth over time would also understate improvements in living standards where higher costs reflected improvements in the quality of housing.

Conversely, any income measure which does not deduct housing costs may overstate the living standards of individuals whose housing costs are high relative to the quality of their accommodation (for example, some residents of London). Growth over time in income Before Housing Costs could also overstate improvements in living standards
for low-income groups in receipt of Housing Benefit, and whose rents have risen in real terms. This is because Housing Benefit will also rise to offset the higher rents (for a given quality of accommodation) and would be counted as an income rise, although there would be no associated increase in the standard of living. A similar effect could work in the opposite direction for pensioners: if a shift from renting to owning their housing outright leads to a fall in Housing Benefit income, because fewer low-income pensioners are paying rents, then changes in income Before Housing Costs may understate any improvement in living standards.

Therefore, HBAI presents analyses of disposable income on two bases: Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC). This is principally to take into account variations in housing costs that themselves do not correspond to comparable variations in the quality of housing. A definition of housing costs can be found in Appendix 1.

## Self-employed

All analyses in this volume include the self-employed. However, it should be noted that a proportion of this group are believed to report incomes that do not reflect their living standards and that there are also recognised difficulties in obtaining timely and accurate income information from this group. Previous analysis of the level of material deprivation reported by those self-employed and reporting incomes amongst the lowest 20 per cent of incomes suggests that the link between lower incomes and higher levels of material deprivation is much weaker than it is for the population as a whole.

## Data sources

The main source of data used in this publication is the UK DWP Family Resources Survey (FRS), which is a continuous cross-sectional survey. During 2008/09, full interviews were completed with 23,163 households in Great Britain and 1,929 households in Northern Ireland.

As the FRS does not track individuals over time, analysis of incomes is supplemented by the use of longitudinal data from the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS), which is carried out by the Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER) UK Longitudinal Studies Centre at the University of Essex.

## Population coverage

Both the FRS and the BHPS are surveys of private households. This means that people in residential institutions, such as nursing homes, barracks, prisons or university halls of residence, and also homeless people are excluded from the scope of the analysis presented here. Northern Ireland was included in the FRS from the 2002/03 survey year. The selected BHPS results presented in this publication do not include data for Northern Ireland, which are only available from the 1996 wave. The area of Scotland north of the Caledonian Canal was included in the FRS for the first
time in the 2001/02 survey year, and from the 2002/03 survey year, the FRS was extended to include a 100 per cent boost of the Scottish sample. This has increased the sample size available for analysis at the Scottish level.

For some tables, estimates for Northern Ireland (NI) have been imputed for the years 1998/99 to 2001/02. This allows for changes since 1998/99 to be measured at the United Kingdom (UK) level. For further details, see Appendix 4 of the HBAI 2004/05 publication. The FRS time series in this publication are therefore presented with discontinuities in the years denoting changes from GB to UK.

## Reliability of results

All figures presented in HBAI are estimates taken from sample surveys and, as such, are subject to variation as a result of both sampling error and bias due to non-sampling errors. These areas are covered in more detail in Appendix 2, as well as being outlined briefly below:

- Sampling error - as mentioned above, HBAI results are derived from a survey and are therefore subject to sampling error. This will vary to a greater or lesser extent depending on the level of disaggregation at which results are presented. Estimates of income growth between years are vulnerable to sampling error.
- Non-response - as with any survey, HBAI results are also at risk from systematic bias due to non-response by households selected for interview in the FRS. In an attempt to correct for differential non-response, estimates are weighted using population totals. Further information on the weighting methodology is included in Appendix 2.
- Investigations in 2002 into differences between FRS estimates of worklessness and estimates from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) suggested that the FRS overstates the proportion of children living in workless households. A report on findings is at the following URL:
http://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/frs/reports/children in workless households.pdf.
- Equivalence scales - the results presented in HBAI are calculated using the OECD equivalence scales. The exception is in Appendix 3, where information for Great Britain from 1994/95 to 2008/09, based on the McClements equivalisation scales, is available. Please refer to Appendix 2 for a table showing the equivalence scale values.
- Income components - as previously mentioned, there are particular problems with the collection and quality of data relating to the incomes of the self-employed. The FRS also records a shortfall in investment income when compared with National Accounts totals. This may lead to an understatement of total income for some groups for whom this is a major income component, such as pensioners, although this is likely to be more important for those at the top of the income distribution.
- High incomes - comparisons with Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs' Survey of Personal Incomes (SPI), which is drawn from tax records, suggest that the FRS under-reports the number of individuals with very high incomes and also understates the magnitude of their incomes. There is also some volatility in the number of high income households surveyed. Since any estimate of mean income is very sensitive to fluctuations in incomes at the top of the distribution, an adjustment to correct for this is made to 'very rich' households in FRS-based results using SPI data. The median-based low-income statistics are not affected.
- Incomes as a guide to living standards - comparisons of household income and expenditure suggest that those households reporting the lowest incomes may not have the lowest living standards. The bottom 10 per cent of the income distribution should not, therefore, be interpreted as having the bottom 10 per cent of living standards. For HBAI tables, this will have a relatively greater effect on results where incomes are compared against low thresholds of median income. For this reason, compositional and percentage tables using the 50 per cent of median thresholds have been italicised to highlight the greater uncertainty.
- Comparisons with National Accounts - Table 2.1 shows comparisons between growth in Real Household Disposable Income and real growth in HBAI mean BHC unequivalised income. For some more recent years, income growth in the HBAIbased series appears lower than the National Accounts estimates. The implication of this is that absolute real income growth could be understated in the HBAI series. Comparisons over a longer time period are believed to be more robust.
- Back payments - it is possible that at the time of an FRS interview, a person will not be in receipt of a benefit, but will be subsequently awarded the benefit which is backdated to the time of interview. In this situation, HBAI methodology does not adjust for the 'retrospectively' increased income. This is relevant for benefits where there are significant backlogs in processing claims or where it is possible for families to submit backdated claims. The size of this effect will be larger in years with a relatively large volume of back payments, such as in 2003/04, when Child and Working Tax Credits and Pension Credit were introduced. Further details of possible effects of the introductions of these benefits were described in the 2004/05 HBAI publication.
- Geographical disaggregation - the sample size of the FRS is large enough to allow analysis at regional level within the UK for some results. However, in general, estimates of changes over time at a regional level are unlikely to yield a reliable picture of how different regions have experienced different changes. To address this issue, Chapters 3 to 6 of the publication present data to the level of Government Office Region (GOR) and country as three-year averages. A list of the constituent counties of English GORs is provided in Appendix 1.
- Ethnicity breakdowns - where results are presented for households headed by a person reporting their ethnic status as Mixed, Black Caribbean or Black nonCaribbean and Chinese or other ethnic group, caution should be applied when interpreting results due to the small sample sizes of these groups. Smaller ethnic minority groups exhibit year-on-year variation which limits comparisons over time. For this reason, detailed ethnic estimates are presented as three-year averages.


## National Statistics Quality Review of Income Statistics

In 2001, as part of the National Statistics Quality Review of Income Statistics, the DWP launched a joint review of the HBAI and Pensioners' Incomes (PI) statistical reports. The purpose was to establish whether the HBAI and PI series continue to meet the needs of their users and, where they did not, how best to address those needs. It considered the definitions and methodology used and also the timeliness and accessibility of the statistics. Details of the review and its conclusions are available on the DWP website at the following URL: http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/hbai.asp.
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## Summary of key findings

## The income distribution

- Overall, the income distribution in 2008/09 was skewed towards the lower end, showing a relatively high concentration of individuals close to the 60 per cent of median low-income threshold and - particularly for Before Housing Cost - a long 'tail' for higher incomes.
- State support was the main source of income for the bottom quintile whereas earnings were the principal source for the other four quintiles.
- There was income growth across the whole distribution from 1994/95 to 2008/09, with growth being the greatest in the second quintile on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases. Incomes generally rose between 2007/08 and 2008/09 Before Housing Costs, but fell After Housing Costs.
- The ratio of the incomes of the top quintile (fifth) of the population to the incomes of the bottom quintile has shown little change Before Housing Costs between 1994/95 and 2008/09, although this has risen After Housing Costs since 2004/05.
- The Gini coefficient, a measure of inequality, has fluctuated slightly since 1994/95 on both Before Housing Cost and After Housing Cost bases, but has remained the same between 2007/08 and 2008/09.


## Whole population

- Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, the percentage of the population below 60 per cent and 70 per cent thresholds of contemporary median income showed slight falls on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases. The number of individuals below 60 per cent contemporary median income increased on a Before Housing Costs basis between 1994/95 to 2008/09, but was around the same level on an After Housing Costs basis. There was little change between 2007/08 and 2008/09.
- The proportion and number of the population below low-income thresholds held constant in real terms fell substantially over the same period - with proportions falling by around one half.
- Families with children, particularly lone-parent families, were more likely to be in low-income households than their childless counterparts.
- Individuals in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income households than those in families with no disabled person if they were not in receipt of disability benefits. Disabled individuals were also more likely to live in low-income households than non-disabled individuals.
- Individuals living in households headed by a member from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Individuals living in the North East and West Midlands were most likely to live in low-income households on a Before Housing Costs basis, with individuals in Inner London more likely on an After Housing Cost basis. Those living in the East of England, South East, South West and Scotland were least likely to live in lowincome households on both bases.


## Children

- In general, there was a decrease in the proportion and number of children below various thresholds of contemporary median income between the years 1994/95 and 2008/09. The figures showed a rise in the earlier years of the period and a fall in later years, but have stayed broadly flat since 2000/01 with a small reduction between 2007/08 and 2008/09. In 2008/09 the number and proportion of children who were living in low-income and material deprivation remained at the same level as in 2007/08.
- Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, there was a marked fall in the proportion of children below low income thresholds held constant in real terms, 2008/09 has shown a fall compared to 2007/08.
- In 2008/09, children were more likely to be in the bottom two quintiles, and less likely to be in the top two quintiles of the income distribution than the population as a whole.
- Children in lone-parent families were much more likely to live in low-income, and low-income and materially deprived households than those in families with two adults, although with a much lower likelihood if the single parent was working. However since 1998/99, there has been a reduction in the proportion of children in relative low income in lone-parent families.
- Children in large families - those with three or more children - were more likely to live in low-income, and low-income and materially deprived households, although the proportion of children in relative low income for this group has decreased since 1998/99.
- Children in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income and low-income and materially deprived households than those in families with no disabled person if they were not in receipt of disability benefits.
- Children living in households headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income and low-income and materially deprived households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi, or Black Non-Caribbean ethnic origin.
- 30 per cent of children in the bottom quintile are in families that would like to but cannot afford to have enough bedrooms for every child 10 years or over and of a different gender, while around 60 per cent of children in the bottom quintile would like to but cannot afford at least one week's holiday away from home with the whole family. Less than 10 per cent of children in the bottom quintile who would like to were unable to celebrate special occasions.


## Working-age adults

- Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, there was little change in the percentage of working-age adults below various thresholds of contemporary median income although there was an increase in the number. Between 2007/08 and 2008/09, the number of working-age adults below 60 per cent contemporary median income rose on both a Before Housing Cost and an After Housing Cost basis.
- There were marked falls over the period in the percentage and number of workingage adults below various low-income thresholds held constant in real-terms, although there has been little change since 2001/02.
- In 2008/09, working-age adults were more likely to be in the top two quintiles, and less likely to be in the bottom two quintiles of the income distribution than the population as a whole.
- Working-age adults with children were more likely to live in low-income households than their childless counterparts. This was particularly evident for singles with children, of whom around 70 per cent were in the bottom two quintiles.
- Working-age adults in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income households if they were not in receipt of disability benefits.
- Working-age adults living in households headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Working-age adults with no educational qualification were about twice as likely to live in low-income households as those with a qualification below degree level.


## Pensioners

- Between 1994/95 and 2008/09, there was no consistent change in the proportion or number of pensioners living in households below thresholds of contemporary median income on a Before Housing Costs basis; there has been a drop between 2007/08 and 2008/09, following a rise between 2005/06 and 2006/07 and no change between 2006/07 and 2007/08. For income After Housing Costs, the
proportions and numbers showed a marked fall, from 1998/99, before an increase between 2005/06 and 2006/07 and a decrease between 2006/07 and 2008/09.
- From 1994/95 to 2008/09, there were pronounced falls in the proportions and numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds held constant in real terms, on both income measures, with 2008/09 showing a reduction compared to 2007/08.
- In 2008/09, pensioners were more likely to be in the second lowest income quintile and less likely to be in the top two quintiles of the income distribution, than the population as a whole.
- A higher proportion of single pensioners living alone were in low-income households than any other group.
- Pensioners in families containing one or more disabled adults not receiving disability benefits were more likely to be living in low-income households compared to those in receipt of disability benefits.
- Pensioners living in a household headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to be in a low-income household. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Around 45 per cent of pensioner families in households below 60 per cent of contemporary median household income After Housing Costs had someone receiving an occupational or personal pension compared to around 70 per cent of all pensioners.
- In general, the older the age of the pensioners, the greater the likelihood of low income.
- Almost half of pensioners in the lowest quintile were unable to take a holiday away from home, the most common reason across all quintiles for this was health or disability issues. Over three quarters of pensioners in the lowest quintile would be able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, the most common way of doing this across all quintiles was to use savings. Only 1 per cent of all pensioners did not have at least one filling meal a day.


## Persistence of Low Income

- For full details, see the DWP Low Income Dynamics publication available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/lid.asp. This report was published in September 2009.
- Persistence of low-income is defined as spending three or more years out of any four-year period in a household with an income below 60 per cent of median income.
- On both a Before and After Housing Cost basis there was a fall in persistent low income for the whole population over the period 1991 to 2007.
- On a Before Housing Cost basis, there was a reduction in persistent low income for children over the period 1991-1994 to 1993-1996. While trends remained broadly the same between 1993-1996 and 1999-2002, since then there has been a continued fall to 2003-2006. The level for the most recent period 2004-2007 has remained stable. On an After Housing Cost basis, there were falls in the persistent low-income estimates for children since 1996-1999 until the latest period which has seem a small increase.
- On both Before Housing Cost and After Housing Cost bases, there were falls in persistent low income for working-age adults over the period 1991 to 2007.
- On both Before Housing Cost and After Housing Cost bases, there were slight increases in the incidence of persistent low income among pensioners up to around 1998-2001. Since this time, however the proportion of pensioners experiencing persistent poverty has since shown a continuous fall.
- For all individuals, children, working-age adults and pensioners, the level of persistent poverty in 2004-2007 was lower than it was at the start of the 17-year period on both a Before Housing Cost basis and an After Housing Cost basis.


## Chapter 2

## The Income Distribution

- Income Distribution: Overall, the income distribution in 2008/09 was skewed towards the lower end, showing a relatively high concentration of individuals close to the 60 per cent of median low-income threshold and - particularly Before Housing Costs - a long 'tail' for higher incomes.
- Sources of income: State support was the main source of income for the bottom quintile whereas earnings were the principal source for the other four quintiles.
- Income growth: There was income growth across the whole distribution from 1994/95 to 2008/09, with growth being the greatest in the second quintile on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases. Incomes generally rose between 2007/08 and 2008/09 Before Housing Costs, but fell After Housing Costs.
- Income ratios: The ratio of the incomes of the top quintile (fifth) of the population to the incomes of the bottom quintile has shown little change Before Housing Costs between 1994/95 and 2008/09, although this has risen After Housing Costs since 2004/05.
- Inequality: The Gini coefficient, a measure of inequality, has fluctuated slightly since 1994/95 on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases, but has remained the same between 2007/08 and 2008/09.

The income distribution

## Introduction

This chapter looks at the overall income distribution and, in order to place the findings presented in this report in a wider context, it considers the prevailing social and economic conditions between 1994/95 and 2008/09.

## The economic climate

Table 2.1 presents a time series of key economic indicators, which show over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09:

- Sustained growth in GDP between 1994/95 and 2007/08. In 2008/09, there has been a contraction in GDP growth.
- Broadly stable employment rates (as a result of falling or generally stable unemployment during the period). There was a reduction in the employment rate for males in 2008/09.
- A marked decrease in the inflation and interest rates in 2008/09.
- Growth in average earnings generally above the rate of inflation since 1996/97 although this is not the case in 2007/08 and 2008/09.
- Sustained growth in real disposable household income.


## The overall income distribution

Figure 2.1 shows the income distribution for the United Kingdom in 2008/09 both Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC). The shaded areas numbered 1 to 10 show each successive tenth, or decile, of the population.

HBAI presents analyses of disposable income on two measures: Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC). This is principally to take into account variations in housing costs that do not correspond to comparable variations in the quality of housing. An explanation of how negative incomes can occur After Housing Costs and zero incomes Before Housing Costs is given in Appendix 1.

Figure 2.1 (BHC): Income distribution for the total population, 2008/09


Figure 2.1 (AHC): Income distribution for the total population, 2008/09


The income distribution for 2008/09 is clearly skewed towards the lower end and has a long tail at the upper end. On a Before Housing Costs basis, almost two-thirds of individuals had an equivalised household income that was less than the national mean average. There was a large concentration of individuals around the 60 per cent of median income mark. The distribution showed similar characteristics on an After Housing Costs basis.

The presence of substantial numbers of individuals with relatively high incomes results in a skewed distribution and a large difference between the overall mean and the median.

Income measures used in HBAI take into account variations in the size and composition of the households in which people live. This process is called equivalisation.

Equivalisation reflects the fact that a family of several people needs a higher income than a single individual in order for them to enjoy a comparable standard of living.

Equivalence scales conventionally take a couple with no children as the reference point. Consider a single person, a couple with no children, and a couple with two children aged fourteen and ten, all having unadjusted weekly household incomes of £200 (Before Housing Costs). The process of equivalisation, as conducted in HBAI, gives an equivalised income of $£ 299$ to the single person, $£ 200$ to the couple with no children, but only $£ 131$ to the couple with children. Appendix $\mathbf{2}$ gives more detail.

## Sources of income

Households receive income from a variety of sources. The main ones are earnings, selfemployment, state support (i.e. benefits and tax credits), interest on investments and occupational pensions. Table 2.2 and Figure 2.2 show the distribution of gross income sources for each fifth, or quintile, of the population, ranked by net disposable household income. The various sources of income are shown as a percentage of the total gross income of the quintile.

Figure 2.2 (BHC): Income sources as a proportion of gross income by quintile, 2008/09



Successive quintiles, from the bottom to the top, showed a steadily diminishing share of state support income and an increasing share of income from employment. These were the main sources of income overall, accounting for around nine-tenths of income combined.

On a Before Housing Costs (BHC) basis, earnings made up around 28 per cent of the gross income of the bottom quintile while state support made up over half. In the top quintile, around 85 per cent of income was derived from earnings. The largest proportion of income from investment was also seen in the highest income group (although it should be noted that comparisons with National Accounts data would suggest that surveys such as the FRS understate investment income). These findings were mirrored on an After Housing Costs (AHC) basis. It is also the case that the Family Resources Survey underestimates receipt of most types of State Support. See the Family Resources Survey publication at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/frs/ for further details.

Statistics relating to the overall equivalised income distribution and their equivalent money values for different family types.

As explained above, household incomes in HBAI are adjusted, or equivalised, in order to facilitate comparisons between different family types. The majority of monetary amounts presented in HBAI are on this equivalised basis and do not reflect actual monetary amounts or incomes for any family type other than a couple with no children. This 'couple' family type is the reference point for the equivalisation process.

Table 2.3 shows how the equivalised values of different statistics relating to the overall income distribution can be translated into actual household incomes for different family types. Similar information is presented for historic years in Table 2.4ts.

For example, Table 2.3 shows that equivalised median income BHC in 2008/09 was $£ 407$ per week. This translates into an actual net income of $£ 407$ for a couple with no children, $£ 273$ for a single person with no children, $£ 623$ for a couple with two children aged five and fourteen and $£ 489$ for a lone parent with two children aged five and fourteen.

## Income growth in real terms

Table 2.1 shows comparisons between growth in Real Household Disposable Income and real growth in HBAI mean BHC unequivalised income. For some more recent years, income growth in the HBAI-based series appears slightly lower than the National Accounts estimates. The implication of this is that absolute real income growth could be understated in the HBAI series. Comparisons over a longer time period are believed to be more robust.

One way of measuring inequality is to determine the ratio of the top quintile median ( $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile) to the bottom quintile median ( $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile). Table 2.1ts shows that there is some evidence to suggest that on the BHC basis, this measure has stayed roughly constant since 1994/95. Table 2.1ts also shows the ratio of the top to middle quintile medians, and middle to bottom quintile medians. Both BHC and AHC measures have shown little change since 1994/95.

## Income shares

Table 2.2ts shows the share of total income received by individuals in different quantiles of the income distribution. It can be seen that, in 2008/09, individuals in the top quintile accounted for over 40 per cent of total income whilst those in the bottom quintile accounted for less than 10 per cent. The income shares of all parts of the income distribution showed little change over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09.

Looking at the ratio of the total income received by equivalent quantile groups at the top and bottom of the income distribution over time is another way of measuring and tracking inequality in the income distribution. This information would suggest that there was little change in inequality over the entire period 1994/95 to 2008/09, with slight increases in
inequality since 2004/05. These results, however, are quite sensitive to data at the extremes of the income distribution that may be less reliable than other data.

## Gini coefficient

Table 2.2ts also shows the value of the Gini coefficient since 1994/95. The Gini coefficient is a widely-used measure of inequality, which can take values from zero to 100. A value of zero would indicate complete equality, while a value of 100 would indicate total inequality. In 2008/09, the coefficient was 36 for income Before Housing Costs, and 40 for income After Housing Costs. Changes between 1994/95 and 2008/09 have been slight and have fluctuated, with the Gini coefficient remaining the same between 2007/08 and 2008/09.

When considering changes in the top and bottom deciles, users should be aware that results for the bottom decile are particularly vulnerable to income measurement problems, and have no validity as a guide to the consumption capabilities of the poorest 10 per cent of individuals. Results for the top 10 per cent are also particularly susceptible to sampling errors and income measurement problems.

## 2 The income distribution

Table 2.1: Recent Economic Indicators ${ }^{1,2,3}$


## Notes:

1. All growth figures are now for the financial year in question compared to the previous financial year.
2. All figures are for the United Kingdom, except Average Earnings Growth which covers Great Britain.

3: Some minor revisions exist since last year due to revisions to underlying ONS data.
4. Gross Domestic Product at market prices, chained volume measure.
5. The base interest rate is the annual average for the relevant financial year.
6. Inflation rate is the annual average change for each financial year as measured by All Items Retail Price Index.
7. Employment Rates are seasonally adjusted figures for the financial year.
8. Average earnings growth is the actual (as opposed to real terms) annual average for each financial year.
9. Real disposable income growth is based on the Real Disposable Income series, seasonally adjusted, financial years, chained volume measures, reference year 2005.

| Percentages | HBAI mean net disposable unequivalised income growth (BHC) $)^{1,2}$ <br> Cash terms |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Real terms | Source: FRS |  |
| $1995 / 96$ | -0.3 | 2.9 |
| $1996 / 97$ | 2.4 | 5.5 |
| $1997 / 98$ | 4.0 | 5.5 |
| $1998 / 99$ | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| $1999 / 00$ | 0.5 | 3.2 |
| $2000 / 01$ | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| $2001 / 02$ | 5.1 | 6.5 |
| $2002 / 03$ | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| $2003 / 04$ | -0.3 | 2.1 |
| $2004 / 05$ | 1.9 | 4.9 |
| $2005 / 06$ | 1.1 | 3.7 |
| $2006 / 07$ | 0.1 | 3.8 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 0.9 | 5.1 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 0.5 | 3.5 |

## Notes:

[^0]Table 2.2: Income sources as a proportion of gross ${ }^{1}$ income by quintile

| Percentage of gross ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ income |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom <br> quintile | Second <br> quintile | Middle <br> quintile | Fourth <br> quintile | Top <br> quintile | Overall |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 28 | 47 | 65 | 78 | 85 | 73 |
| Investments | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| Occupational pensions | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| Miscellaneous | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| State support received | 59 | 39 | 21 | 10 | 2 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 38 | 52 | 68 | 76 | 82 | 73 |
| Investments | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| Occupational pensions | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Miscellaneous | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| State support received | 50 | 37 | 21 | 12 | 3 | 14 |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

1. Gross income is not equivalised.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.
3. The methodology for this table has been improved. This means the figures above are not comparble with those from previous publications.

Table 2.3: Statistics relating to the overall equivalised net disposable income distribution and their equivalent money values for different family types, in 2008/09 prices, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| £pw equivalised 2008/09 prices | Mean | Median | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { median } \end{gathered}$ | Bottom quintile | Second quintile |  | Middle quintile |  | Fourth quintile |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Top quintile |  |  |  |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple with no children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (equivalised income benchmark) | 507 | 407 | 244 | < 255 | 255 | 353 |  |  | 354 | 469 | 470 | - | 649 | $650+$ |
| Single with no children | 339 | 273 | 164 | < 171 | 171 | - 236 | 237 | - 314 | 315 | - | 434 | $435+$ |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 775 | 623 | 374 | < 391 | 391 | - 540 | 541 | - 717 | 718 | - | 993 | 994 + |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 608 | 489 | 293 | < 306 | 306 | - 424 | 425 | - 562 | 563 | - | 778 | 779 + |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple with no children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (equivalised income benchmark) | 433 | 343 | 206 | < 195 | 195 | - 292 | 293 | 400 | 401 | - | 570 | $571+$ |
| Single with no children | 251 | 199 | 119 | < 114 | 114 | - 169 | 170 | - 232 | 233 | - | 330 | $331+$ |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 701 | 555 | 333 | < 316 | 316 | - 473 | 474 | - 649 | 650 | - | 923 | 924 + |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 519 | 411 | 247 | < 234 | 234 | - 350 | 351 | - 480 | 481 | - | 684 | 685 + |

[^1]2. The part of this table excluding the self-employed has been removed from the publication following user consultation, as has the part looking at 50 per cent of mean income.

## Table 2.1ts: Money values of decile medians and overall population mean in average 2008/09 prices, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| £pw equivalised 2008/09 prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decile group medians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Decile 1 | Decile 2 | Decile 3 | Decile 4 | Decile 5 | Decile 6 | Decile 7 | Decile 8 | Decile 9 | Decile 10 | Population median | Population mean |
| Income Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 137 | 180 | 215 | 254 | 298 | 348 | 406 | 476 | 576 | 822 | 322 | 389 |
| 1995/96 | 136 | 183 | 217 | 255 | 299 | 348 | 404 | 472 | 584 | 833 | 322 | 390 |
| 1996/97 | 140 | 186 | 223 | 265 | 311 | 362 | 419 | 491 | 598 | 850 | 336 | 404 |
| 1997/98 | 138 | 188 | 227 | 270 | 319 | 368 | 427 | 501 | 608 | 868 | 342 | 414 |
| 1998/99 | 141 | 191 | 231 | 275 | 323 | 376 | 439 | 516 | 630 | 918 | 348 | 428 |
| 1999/00 | 144 | 198 | 238 | 282 | 332 | 384 | 446 | 527 | 639 | 928 | 358 | 437 |
| 2000/01 | 147 | 207 | 249 | 294 | 343 | 398 | 459 | 542 | 661 | 960 | 369 | 457 |
| 2001/02 | 157 | 218 | 262 | 310 | 361 | 415 | 477 | 560 | 691 | 1,000 | 388 | 477 |
| 2002/03 | 157 | 223 | 268 | 316 | 367 | 423 | 487 | 567 | 691 | 1,009 | 395 | 481 |
| 2003/04 | 156 | 224 | 270 | 317 | 368 | 423 | 488 | 570 | 693 | 1,002 | 395 | 479 |
| 2004/05 | 160 | 229 | 275 | 322 | 372 | 425 | 491 | 574 | 700 | 1,017 | 398 | 486 |
| 2005/06 | 157 | 229 | 276 | 322 | 373 | 431 | 496 | 582 | 709 | 1,044 | 402 | 492 |
| 2006/07 | 152 | 227 | 277 | 325 | 376 | 431 | 496 | 583 | 714 | 1,042 | 404 | 496 |
| 2007/08 | 151 | 226 | 276 | 325 | 375 | 435 | 499 | 585 | 718 | 1,063 | 404 | 502 |
| 2008/09 | 151 | 229 | 280 | 329 | 381 | 437 | 506 | 591 | 731 | 1,072 | 407 | 507 |
| Income After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 78 | 126 | 155 | 193 | 233 | 277 | 326 | 386 | 472 | 682 | 254 | 308 |
| 1995/96 | 84 | 128 | 155 | 194 | 234 | 277 | 324 | 384 | 475 | 692 | 255 | 310 |
| 1996/97 | 85 | 129 | 160 | 203 | 246 | 291 | 340 | 402 | 495 | 717 | 268 | 323 |
| 1997/98 | 84 | 131 | 166 | 210 | 252 | 296 | 346 | 411 | 504 | 728 | 273 | 334 |
| 1998/99 | 91 | 135 | 170 | 214 | 256 | 303 | 358 | 423 | 522 | 774 | 279 | 347 |
| 1999/00 | 91 | 141 | 179 | 222 | 267 | 314 | 366 | 437 | 534 | 790 | 291 | 357 |
| 2000/01 | 92 | 150 | 189 | 234 | 280 | 327 | 380 | 455 | 560 | 819 | 302 | 377 |
| 2001/02 | 101 | 161 | 202 | 251 | 296 | 344 | 398 | 469 | 583 | 860 | 319 | 395 |
| 2002/03 | 101 | 167 | 210 | 257 | 305 | 356 | 411 | 484 | 594 | 869 | 330 | 404 |
| 2003/04 | 99 | 170 | 214 | 261 | 308 | 359 | 416 | 490 | 601 | 884 | 333 | 406 |
| 2004/05 | 100 | 177 | 221 | 266 | 313 | 363 | 421 | 495 | 610 | 906 | 337 | 415 |
| 2005/06 | 98 | 173 | 220 | 267 | 315 | 370 | 428 | 507 | 623 | 930 | 342 | 422 |
| 2006/07 | 92 | 171 | 220 | 268 | 318 | 370 | 429 | 506 | 626 | 933 | 344 | 426 |
| 2007/08 | 91 | 170 | 220 | 269 | 320 | 374 | 435 | 512 | 634 | 959 | 346 | 434 |
| 2008/09 | 84 | 169 | 219 | 268 | 318 | 371 | 437 | 519 | 641 | 960 | 343 | 433 |

Notes:

## Table 2.1ts (continued): Money values of quintile medians and overall population mean in average 2008/09 prices, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

 Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. All estimates are subject to sampling error.

Table 2.2ts (BHC): Income shares and Gini coefficient, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2,2,3}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | 04/05 | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Quintile shares of total income (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom 20\% of the income distribution | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Second quintile | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Middle quintile | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 16.1 |
| Fourth quintile | 22.8 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| Top 20\% of the income distribution | 40.8 | 41.0 | 40.9 | 41.5 | 42.2 | 42.0 | 42.6 | 42.4 | 42.0 | 41.6 | 41.8 | 42.1 | 42.6 | 43.1 | 43.0 |
| Share ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio top quintile share to middle quintile share | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Ratio middle quintile share to bottom quintile share | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Ratio top quintile share to bottom quintile share | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Other comparisons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom 10\% of the income distribution ${ }^{3}$ | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Bottom 30\% of the income distribution | 13.2 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| Bottom 40\% of the income distribution | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 19.1 | 19.1 |
| Bottom 50\% of the income distribution | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.2 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 27.5 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 26.6 | 26.6 |
| Top 10\% of the income distribution ${ }^{4}$ | 25.8 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.7 | 27.4 | 27.2 | 28.0 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 27.0 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 28.5 |
| Top 30\% of the income distribution | 53.1 | 53.2 | 53.1 | 53.6 | 54.2 | 54.1 | 54.5 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 53.5 | 53.6 | 54.0 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 54.7 |
| Top 40\% of the income distribution | 63.6 | 63.6 | 63.5 | 63.9 | 64.5 | 64.3 | 64.6 | 64.3 | 64.0 | 63.7 | 63.7 | 64.1 | 64.4 | 64.8 | 64.7 |
| Top 50\% of the income distribution | 72.5 | 72.5 | 72.5 | 72.8 | 73.3 | 73.1 | 73.3 | 73.0 | 72.8 | 72.6 | 72.5 | 72.8 | 73.1 | 73.4 | 73.4 |
| Ratio top 30\% share to bottom 30\% share | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Ratio top $40 \%$ share to bottom $40 \%$ share | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Ratio top 50\% share to bottom 50\% share | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Gini coefficient (per cent) | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. These estimates should be treated with caution as they are unlikely to be accurate to the degree of precision quoted.
3. Results for the bottom 10 per cent of reported incomes (italicised in the above table) are particularly vulnerable to income measurement problems. They have no validity as a guide to the consumption capabilities of the poorest 10 per cent of individuals.
4. Results for the top 10 per cent of reported incomes (italicised in the above table) are particularly vulnerable to sampling errors and income measurement problems. HMRC statistics are likely to provide a better quide to incomes at the top end of the income distribution
5 . Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Quintile shares of total income (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom 20\% of the income distribution | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| Second quintile | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 11.2 |
| Middle quintile | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Fourth quintile | 23.2 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 22.6 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 21.9 | 22.1 |
| Top 20\% of the income distribution | 43.1 | 43.2 | 43.2 | 43.7 | 44.4 | 44.1 | 44.7 | 44.3 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 43.9 | 44.4 | 44.9 | 45.6 | 45.7 |
| Share ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio top quintile share to middle quintile share | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Ratio middle quintile share to bottom quintile share | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Ratio top quintile share to bottom quintile share | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.0 |
| Other comparisons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom 10\% of the income distribution ${ }^{3}$ | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Bottom 30\% of the income distribution | 10.9 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.2 |
| Bottom 40\% of the income distribution | 17.1 | 17.4 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 16.3 |
| Bottom 50\% of the income distribution | 24.7 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 24.5 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 24.4 | 23.9 | 23.7 |
| Top 10\% of the income distribution ${ }^{4}$ | 27.6 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 29.8 | 29.4 | 29.0 | 28.6 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 30.8 | 30.7 |
| Top 30\% of the income distribution | 55.6 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 56.0 | 56.6 | 56.4 | 56.8 | 56.3 | 55.9 | 55.7 | 55.9 | 56.4 | 56.8 | 57.4 | 57.7 |
| Top 40\% of the income distribution | 66.2 | 66.1 | 66.2 | 66.5 | 66.9 | 66.7 | 67.0 | 66.4 | 66.1 | 65.9 | 66.0 | 66.6 | 66.9 | 67.5 | 67.7 |
| Top 50\% of the income distribution | 75.3 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.4 | 75.7 | 75.5 | 75.6 | 75.1 | 74.9 | 74.8 | 74.8 | 75.3 | 75.6 | 76.1 | 76.3 |
| Ratio top 30\% share to bottom 30\% share | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Ratio top $40 \%$ share to bottom $40 \%$ share | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Ratio top $50 \%$ share to bottom $50 \%$ share | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Gini coefficient (per cent) | 37 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 40 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. These estimates should be treated with caution as they are unlikely to be accurate to the degree of precision quoted.
3. Results for the bottom 10 per cent of reported incomes (italicised in the above table) are particularly vulnerable to income measurement problems. They have no validity as a guide to the consumption capabilities of the poorest 10 per cent of individuals.
4. Results for the top 10 per cent of reported incomes (italicised in the above table) are particularly vulnerable to sampling errors and income measurement problems. HMRC statistics are likely to provide a better guide to incomes at the top end of the income distribution.
5 . Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 2.3ts (BHC): Money values of quintile medians and population mean for family type and economic status groups in average 2008/09 prices ${ }^{1,2,3}$

| Income Before Housing Costs (Epw equivalised 2008/09 prices) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2 The income distribution

Table 2.3ts (AHC): Money values of quintile medians and population mean for family type and economic status groups in average 2008/09 prices ${ }^{1,2,3}$

| Income After Housing Costs (£pw equivalised 2008/09 prices) | Source: FRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quintiles of family type and economic status |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile (median) | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | Whole Group (mean) |
| 1994/95-1996/97 (Great Britain) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 131 | 178 | 237 | 324 | 525 | 299 |
| Single male pensioner | 128 | 156 | 207 | 290 | 471 | 269 |
| Single female pensioner | 116 | 147 | 176 | 253 | 399 | 231 |
| Couple with children | 108 | 181 | 252 | 331 | 495 | 298 |
| Couple without children | 151 | 285 | 379 | 484 | 706 | 427 |
| Single with children | 99 | 124 | 139 | 176 | 286 | 170 |
| Single male without children | 90 | 188 | 291 | 397 | 593 | 334 |
| Single female without children | 93 | 192 | 284 | 382 | 559 | 317 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 56 | 186 | 278 | 403 | 745 | 387 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 226 | 317 | 391 | 485 | 672 | 440 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 188 | 249 | 306 | 382 | 535 | 351 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 131 | 189 | 251 | 328 | 504 | 303 |
| No full-time, one or more part-time work | 97 | 153 | 207 | 291 | 478 | 268 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 123 | 153 | 201 | 275 | 427 | 248 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 55 | 97 | 113 | 139 | 250 | 136 |
| Workless, other inactive | 78 | 120 | 137 | 175 | 297 | 170 |
| All individuals | 111 | 176 | 259 | 358 | 553 | 314 |
| 2006/07-2008/09 (United Kingdom) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 177 | 261 | 346 | 461 | 724 | 430 |
| Single male pensioner | 176 | 245 | 313 | 416 | 643 | 387 |
| Single female pensioner | 155 | 226 | 292 | 390 | 557 | 348 |
| Couple with children | 140 | 242 | 331 | 440 | 689 | 422 |
| Couple without children | 185 | 359 | 481 | 622 | 937 | 570 |
| Single with children | 116 | 167 | 206 | 277 | 416 | 246 |
| Single male without children | 102 | 235 | 355 | 486 | 742 | 430 |
| Single female without children | 110 | 236 | 344 | 470 | 704 | 395 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 85 | 240 | 361 | 526 | 975 | 553 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 264 | 380 | 481 | 608 | 873 | 556 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 239 | 326 | 402 | 511 | 770 | 494 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 153 | 228 | 303 | 411 | 686 | 420 |
| No full-time, one or more part-time work | 119 | 199 | 273 | 375 | 607 | 346 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 159 | 231 | 297 | 390 | 578 | 353 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 31 | 105 | 149 | 188 | 321 | 164 |
| Workless, other inactive | 70 | 142 | 179 | 236 | 391 | 218 |
| All individuals | 140 | 243 | 344 | 470 | 738 | 431 |
| Notes: <br> 1. The 1994/95-1996/97 information is for Great Britain and 2006/07-2008/09 is for the United Kingdom. However, means and medians for Great Britain and the United Kingdom were found to be very similar (see Appendix 4 of HBAI 2004/05), so allowing comparisons of the type shown in this table. <br> 2. From one year to the next, certain results may be volatile. Therefore, results have been presented as three-year averages to overcome this. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Results for the bottom quintile median is particularly vulnerable incomes on the After Housing Cost measure. | income me | rement pro | ms . They | so affect | the pres | of negative |

Table 2.4ts: Equivalent money values of overall distribution mean, median, and $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of median income for different family types in 2008/09 prices, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| £pw equivalised 2008/09 prices | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Income Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 322 | 322 | 336 | 342 | 348 | 358 | 369 | 388 | 395 | 395 | 398 | 402 | 404 | 404 | 407 |
| Single no children | 216 | 216 | 225 | 229 | 233 | 240 | 248 | 260 | 264 | 264 | 267 | 269 | 271 | 271 | 273 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 493 | 493 | 515 | 524 | 532 | 548 | 565 | 593 | 604 | 604 | 610 | 615 | 618 | 619 | 623 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 386 | 386 | 404 | 411 | 417 | 430 | 443 | 465 | 474 | 473 | 478 | 482 | 485 | 485 | 489 |
| 60\% of median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 193 | 193 | 202 | 205 | 209 | 215 | 222 | 233 | 237 | 237 | 239 | 241 | 242 | 243 | 244 |
| Single no children | 129 | 129 | 135 | 138 | 140 | 144 | 149 | 156 | 159 | 159 | 160 | 162 | 162 | 163 | 164 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 296 | 296 | 309 | 314 | 319 | 329 | 339 | 356 | 362 | 362 | 366 | 369 | 371 | 371 | 374 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 232 | 232 | 242 | 247 | 250 | 258 | 266 | 279 | 284 | 284 | 287 | 289 | 291 | 291 | 293 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 389 | 390 | 404 | 414 | 428 | 437 | 457 | 477 | 481 | 479 | 486 | 492 | 496 | 502 | 507 |
| Single no children | 260 | 261 | 270 | 277 | 287 | 293 | 306 | 319 | 322 | 321 | 325 | 330 | 332 | 336 | 339 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 594 | 597 | 617 | 633 | 656 | 669 | 699 | 729 | 736 | 733 | 743 | 753 | 759 | 768 | 775 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 466 | 468 | 484 | 497 | 514 | 525 | 548 | 572 | 577 | 575 | 583 | 591 | 595 | 602 | 608 |
| Income After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 254 | 255 | 268 | 273 | 279 | 291 | 302 | 319 | 330 | 333 | 337 | 342 | 344 | 346 | 343 |
| Single no children | 148 | 148 | 156 | 158 | 162 | 169 | 175 | 185 | 192 | 193 | 196 | 198 | 200 | 201 | 199 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 412 | 414 | 435 | 443 | 453 | 471 | 489 | 517 | 535 | 539 | 546 | 554 | 557 | 561 | 555 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 305 | 306 | 322 | 328 | 335 | 349 | 363 | 383 | 396 | 399 | 405 | 410 | 413 | 415 | 411 |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ of median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 153 | 153 | 161 | 164 | 168 | 174 | 181 | 192 | 198 | 200 | 202 | 205 | 206 | 208 | 206 |
| Single no children | 89 | 89 | 93 | 95 | 97 | 101 | 105 | 111 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 119 | 120 | 120 | 119 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 247 | 248 | 261 | 266 | 272 | 282 | 294 | 310 | 321 | 324 | 328 | 332 | 334 | 336 | 333 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 183 | 184 | 193 | 197 | 201 | 209 | 218 | 230 | 238 | 240 | 243 | 246 | 248 | 249 | 247 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple no children | 308 | 310 | 323 | 334 | 347 | 357 | 377 | 395 | 404 | 406 | 415 | 422 | 426 | 434 | 433 |
| Single no children | 179 | 180 | 187 | 193 | 201 | 207 | 218 | 229 | 234 | 235 | 241 | 245 | 247 | 251 | 251 |
| Couple with two children aged 5 and 14 | 499 | 502 | 524 | 540 | 562 | 579 | 610 | 639 | 654 | 657 | 673 | 684 | 690 | 702 | 701 |
| Single with two children aged 5 and 14 | 369 | 372 | 388 | 400 | 416 | 429 | 452 | 474 | 485 | 487 | 498 | 507 | 511 | 520 | 519 |

## Notes

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. This table takes levels of equivalised income for the population as a whole and translates them into cash equivalents for a selection of family types. For example, for results Before Housing Costs, it shows that 60 per cent of median (equivalised) income in $2008 / 09$ for a couple (the equivalised income benchmark) was $£ 244$ per week. This translates to a cash income of $£ 164$ for a single person with no children. This implies that a single person with no children with a cash income of $£ 164$ or less is below 60 per cent of median (equivalised) income for the population as a whole.

## Chapter 3

## Whole Population

- Contemporary trends: Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, the percentage of the population below 60 per cent and 70 per cent thresholds of contemporary median income showed slight falls on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases. The number of individuals below 60 per cent contemporary median income increased on a Before Housing Costs basis between 1994/95 to 2008/09, but was around the same level on an After Housing Costs basis. There was little change between 2007/08 and 2008/09.
- Real trends: The proportion and number of the population below low-income thresholds held constant in real terms fell substantially over the same period with proportions falling by around one half.
- Family type: Families with children, particularly lone-parent families, were more likely to be in low-income households than their childless counterparts.
- Disability status: Individuals in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income households than those in families with no disabled person if they were not in receipt of disability benefits. Disabled individuals were also more likely to live in low-income households than nondisabled individuals.
- Ethnicity: Individuals living in households headed by a member from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Regional differences: Individuals living in the North East and West Midlands were most likely to live in low-income households on a Before Housing Costs basis, with individuals in Inner London more likely on an After Housing Cost basis. Those living in the East of England, South East, South West and Scotland were least likely to live in low-income households on both bases.


## Introduction

This chapter examines the position of groups making up the whole population of the United Kingdom in the income distribution in 2008/09 and looks in more detail into how this might be linked to their family or household characteristics. High-level trends over time from 1994/95 onwards are also examined, with data for Great Britain from 1994/95 to 1997/98 and for the United Kingdom for some groups from 1998/99 to 2001/02 and all groups from 2002/03 onwards.

## Income by economic status

Figure 3.1 shows the composition of income quintiles by the economic status of families.

Figure 3.1 (BHC): Proportions of economic types within income quintiles 2008/09
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## Tables in this chapter are:

3.1 Quintile distribution of income: economic status of adults in the family; family type; gender and adulthood; disability; ethnic group (three year average).
3.2 Quintile distribution of income: disability and receipt of disability benefits; tenure; direct payment accounts; savings and investments; region and country (three year average).
3.3-3.4 Composition of low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 3.1-3.2.
3.5-3.6 Percentage of individuals falling into low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 3.1-3.2.
3.1tr - 3.4tr Trends over time for headline figures for years covered by the Family Expenditure Survey (FES) and the Family Resources Survey (FRS). Tables 3.1tr and 3.2 tr show the percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms respectively. Tables 3.3tr and 3.4tr show the number for the same measures as outlined for Tables 3.1tr and 3.2tr.
3.1ts - 3.2ts Populations over time Tables 3.1ts to 3.2ts present populations over time by: family type, and gender and adulthood; economic status of the family.
3.3ts - 3.4ts. Composition of individuals in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: family type, and gender and adulthood; economic status of the family.
3.5ts - 3.6ts Composition of individuals in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 incomes held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 3.3ts - 3.4ts.
3.7ts - 3.8ts. Percentage of individuals in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: family type, and gender and adulthood; economic status of the family.
3.9ts - 3.10ts Percentage of individuals in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 incomes held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 3.7ts - 3.8ts.

## Definition of low income

'Low income' is defined using thresholds derived from percentages of median income for the whole population. Households reporting the lowest incomes may not have the lowest living standards. The bottom ten per cent of the income distribution should not, therefore, be interpreted as having the bottom ten per cent of living standards. This is a particular issue for lower thresholds of median income. To
reflect this uncertainty in these tables, results for the 50 per cent of median threshold are presented in italics.

## Definition of 'benefit units' and 'households'

A family, or benefit unit, is a single adult or a couple living as married, together with any dependent children. An adult living in the same household as his or her parents, for example, is a separate benefit unit from the parents.

A household is a single person or group of people living at the same address as their only or main residence, who either share one meal a day together, or share the living accommodation (i.e. the living room). A household will consist of one or more benefit units.

For the analysis of working and workless households, households are classified according to whether they contain a working-age adult or pensioner who works, but the status of non-working pensioners is ignored, unless the child only lives with pensioners, in which case the status of all adults are included.

## Pensioner classifications in this chapter

This chapter classifies all individuals according to the status of their family unit. For the purposes of this chapter, the classification pensioner couple includes individuals in a family unit where one member is above state retirement age, and one is below. This differs from Chapter 6, where only individuals above state retirement age are included. Thus, a pensioner above state retirement age, with a working-age partner, will be included under results for pensioner couple in Chapter 6 whilst their (working-age) partner is excluded as they will appear in Chapter 5.

## Gender

In any analysis of gender, it must be remembered that HBAI attempts to measure the living standards of an individual as determined by household income. This assumes that both partners in a couple benefit equally from the household's income, and will therefore appear at the same position in the income distribution. Any differences in figures can only be driven by gender differences for single adults, which will themselves be diluted by the figures for couples. The lower level gender disaggregation in the family type classification is therefore likely to be more informative.

Research has suggested that, particularly in low-income households, the above assumption with regard to income sharing is not always valid as men sometimes benefit at the expense of women from shared household income. This means that it is possible that HBAI results broken down by gender could understate differences between the two groups.

## Ethnicity

Individuals have been classified according to the ethnic group of the household reference person (see Appendix 1 for definition of household reference person), which means that information about households of mixed composition is lost.

Estimates showing ethnic breakdowns are presented as three-year averages because of small sample sizes. However, the figures must still be treated with some caution, as the sample sizes are still small for certain ethnic minority groups, especially in the case of households headed by a person of mixed ethnicity.

## Disability

For this analysis, disability is defined as having any long-standing illness, disability or impairment that leads to a substantial difficulty with one or more areas of the individual's life. Everyone classified as disabled under this definition would also be classified as disabled under the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). However, some individuals classified as disabled under the DDA would not be captured by this definition.

No adjustment is made to disposable household income to take into account any additional costs that may be incurred due to the illness or disability in question. This means that the position in the income distribution of these groups, as shown here, may be somewhat upwardly biased.

## Tenure

The separate council and housing association splits have been removed from this publication. This is because a significant number of housing association tenants wrongly report that they are council tenants. The most common reason for this is where their home used to be owned by the council and although ownership has now transferred to a housing association, the tenant still thinks that their landlord is the council (local authority).

Following a user consultation it was also decided to remove the rented unfurnished and rented furnished splits from these tables.

## Direct payment accounts

For the purposes of this analysis, direct payment accounts are accounts that accept Automatic Credit Transfers (ACT) such as Basic Bank accounts, Current accounts, Post Office accounts, or savings accounts with any other bank or building society.

## Savings and investments

The data relating to investments and savings should be treated with caution. Questions relating to investments are a sensitive section of the questionnaire and have the lowest response rate. A high proportion of respondents do not know the interest received on their investments. Evidence also suggests that there is some under-reporting of capital by respondents, in terms of both the actual values of the savings and the investment income.

## Region and country

Disaggregation by geographical regions is presented in this chapter as three-year averages. This presentation has been used as single-year regional estimates are considered too volatile. Estimates for the UK, however, are shown as single-year estimates for the latest available year.

The three-year average approach employed in this chapter for the presentation of smaller geographic areas is consistent with the approach used for time series. It reduces year-on-year variation by smoothing out differences.

This issue was further discussed in Appendix 5 of the 2004/05 HBAI publication, where regional time series using three-year averages were presented.

Although the FRS sample is large enough to allow some analysis to be performed at a regional level, it should be noted that no adjustment has been made for regional cost of living differences, as the necessary data are not available. In the analysis here it is therefore assumed that there is no difference in the cost of living between regions, although the After Housing Costs measure will partly take into account differences in housing costs.

## Trends over time for headline figures

All time trends are based on thresholds of median income, are presented for the UK and based on OECD equivalisation scales (see Appendix 2 for a discussion of the OECD scales). Such presentation is in accordance with the 2004 and 2007 Spending Reviews, as the bases for future measurement of child and pensioner poverty.

- A relative low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of contemporary median income.
- An 'absolute' low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of 1998/99 median income that have been held constant in real terms.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting results over short time periods, as changes are often small in relation to sampling variation and other sources of measurement error.

## 3 Whole population

Table 3.1 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 3.1 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Net equivalised disposable household income | All |  |  |
|  | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top | individuals |
| (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## 3 Whole population

Table 3.2 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  | FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All <br> individuals <br> (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 18 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 43.2 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 26 | 26 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 1.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 16 | 29 | 30 | 15 | 9 | 0.5 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 30 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 25 | 27 | 22 | 17 | 10 | 14.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 17 | 31 | 28 | 18 | 6 | 4.9 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 29 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 9.7 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 35 | 34 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 1.0 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 20 | 44 | 26 | 8 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 45 | 27 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 15 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 42.7 |
| Owned outright | 23 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 16.8 |
| Buying with a mortgage | 10 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 28 | 25.9 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 39 | 34 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 9.8 |
| All rented privately | 23 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 7.8 |
| Direct payment account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No accounts | 30 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 1.8 |
| With one or more accounts | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 58.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 33 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 19.8 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 18 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 14 | 11.4 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 15 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 4.2 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 13 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 8.0 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 13 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 27 | 1.9 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 13 | 15 | 20 | 22 | 29 | 3.9 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 14 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 30 | 1.6 |
| £20,000 or more | 9 | 11 | 15 | 23 | 42 | 9.5 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 50.2 |
| North East | 24 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 13 | 2.5 |
| North West | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 6.7 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 22 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 5.1 |
| East Midlands | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 15 | 4.3 |
| West Midlands | 24 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 5.3 |
| East of England | 17 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 5.6 |
| London | 19 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 31 | 7.4 |
| Inner | 21 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 32 | 2.9 |
| Outer | 18 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 30 | 4.5 |
| South East | 14 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 29 | 8.2 |
| South West | 18 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 5.1 |
| Scotland | 19 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 5.0 |
| Wales | 23 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 2.9 |
| Northern Ireland | 23 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 1.7 |
| All individuals ${ }^{3}$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 60.3 |

[^3]Table 3.2 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | individuals (millions) |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 19 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 43.2 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 30 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 10 | 1.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 20 | 23 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 0.5 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 34 | 24 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 21 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 12 | 14.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 13 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 8 | 4.9 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 25 | 25 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 9.7 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 35 | 33 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 1.0 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 21 | 45 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 45 | 25 | 16 | 11 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 12 | 17 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 42.7 |
| Owned outright | 12 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 16.8 |
| Buying with a mortgage | 12 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 25.9 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 42 | 33 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 9.8 |
| All rented privately | 37 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 7.8 |
| Direct payment account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No accounts | 26 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 1.8 |
| With one or more accounts | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 58.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 35 | 26 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 19.8 |
| Less than £1,500 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 13 | 11.4 |
| £1,500 but less than $£ 3,000$ | 14 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 4.2 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 11 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 23 | 8.0 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 10 | 13 | 23 | 28 | 27 | 1.9 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 29 | 3.9 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 11 | 15 | 17 | 26 | 31 | 1.6 |
| £20,000 or more | 7 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 46 | 9.5 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 50.2 |
| North East | 21 | 22 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 2.5 |
| North West | 21 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 6.7 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 21 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 5.1 |
| East Midlands | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 4.3 |
| West Midlands | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 5.3 |
| East of England | 18 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 5.6 |
| London | 26 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 28 | 7.4 |
| Inner | 29 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 28 | 2.9 |
| Outer | 24 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 28 | 4.5 |
| South East | 17 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 27 | 8.2 |
| South West | 18 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 5.1 |
| Scotland | 17 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 5.0 |
| Wales | 20 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 2.9 |
| Northern Ireland | 18 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 14 | 1.7 |
| All individuals ${ }^{3}$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 60.3 |

## Notes:

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. A household is said to have a direct payment accounts if a household member has an account accepting Automatic Credit Transfers (ACT). Accounts included are Basic Bank accounts, Current accounts, Post Office accounts, or savings accounts with any other bank or building society.
3. The totals for all individuals are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 3 Whole population

Table 3.3: Composition of low-income groups of individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All individuals |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 12 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 25 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 7 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 12 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 |
| Workless, one or more aged aged 60 or over | 22 | 23 | 24 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 17 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 12 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 3 |
| Workless, other inactive | 24 | 25 | 23 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 10 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 12 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 13 |
| Single pensioner | 10 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Male | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Female | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Couple with children | 32 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| Couple without children | 12 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 19 |
| Single with children | 12 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 8 |
| Single without children | 22 | 19 | 17 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 18 |
| Male | 14 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| Female | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 37 | 34 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 38 |
| Adult female | 40 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| Children | 23 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 28 | 21 |
| Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled individuals | 22 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 18 |
| Disabled children | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Disabled working-age adults | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 8 |
| Disabled pensioners | 8 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| Non-disabled individuals | 78 | 77 | 76 | 80 | 80 | 78 | 82 |
| Non-disabled children | 21 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 20 |
| Non-disabled working-age adults | 45 | 41 | 39 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 51 |
| Non-disabled pensioners | 12 | 12 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 82 | 83 | 85 | 81 | 82 | 84 | 90 |
| Mixed | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 5 |
| Indian | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Black or Black British | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Black Caribbean | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| All individuals (millions=100\%) | 6.3 | 10.9 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 60.3 |

1. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 3.4: Composition of low-income groups of individuals by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | All |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | individuals |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 68 | 64 | 63 | 69 | 67 | 66 | 72 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 28 | 29 | 31 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 24 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 5 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 23 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 16 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 59 | 53 | 53 | 42 | 43 | 46 | 71 |
| Owned outright | 34 | 31 | 30 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 28 |
| Buying with a mortgage | 25 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 43 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 26 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 16 |
| All rented privately | 15 | 15 | 15 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 13 |
| Direct payment account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No accounts | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| With one or more accounts | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 51 | 54 | 53 | 57 | 57 | 54 | 33 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 13 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| £20,000 or more | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 16 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 83 | 83 | 83 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 84 |
| North East | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| North West | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 9 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| East Midlands | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| West Midlands | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| East of England | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| London | 13 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 12 |
| Inner | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Outer | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| South East | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| South West | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Scotland | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Wales | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Northern Ireland | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| All individuals (millions=100\%) ${ }^{3}$ | 6.3 | 10.9 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 60.3 |

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. A household is said to have a direct payment accounts if a household member has an account accepting Automatic Credit Transfers (ACT). Accounts included are Basic Bank accounts, Current accounts, Post Office accounts, or savings accounts with any other bank or building society.
3. The totals for all individuals are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 3 Whole population

Table 3.5: Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | individuals |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | (millions) |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 15 | 19 | 26 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 5.3 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 15.1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 8.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 6 | 15 | 27 | 15 | 24 | 34 | 7.2 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 15 | 27 | 37 | 24 | 33 | 43 | 6.0 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 13 | 24 | 36 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 10.4 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 38 | 63 | 73 | 60 | 74 | 80 | 2.0 |
| Workless, other inactive | 26 | 45 | 60 | 44 | 61 | 70 | 5.9 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 10 | 18 | 28 | 9 | 15 | 23 | 7.9 |
| Single pensioner | 14 | 23 | 36 | 11 | 18 | 30 | 4.7 |
| Male | 10 | 18 | 30 | 9 | 14 | 26 | 1.2 |
| Female | 15 | 25 | 38 | 12 | 20 | 32 | 3.5 |
| Couple with children | 10 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 23 | 30 | 20.7 |
| Couple without children | 7 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 11.3 |
| Single with children | 14 | 34 | 49 | 32 | 49 | 60 | 5.0 |
| Single without children | 13 | 20 | 26 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 10.6 |
| Male | 13 | 20 | 26 | 22 | 26 | 31 | 6.6 |
| Female | 12 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 4.1 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 10 | 16 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 25 | 23.1 |
| Adult female | 10 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 24.4 |
| Children | 11 | 22 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 39 | 12.8 |
| Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled individuals | 12 | 23 | 34 | 17 | 24 | 34 | 11.1 |
| Disabled children | 11 | 27 | 38 | 25 | 36 | 46 | 0.8 |
| Disabled working-age adults | 15 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 33 | 41 | 5.1 |
| Disabled pensioners | 10 | 19 | 30 | 9 | 15 | 26 | 5.3 |
| Non-disabled individuals | 10 | 17 | 24 | 15 | 22 | 28 | 49.1 |
| Non-disabled children | 11 | 22 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 12.0 |
| Non-disabled working-age adults | 9 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 31.0 |
| Non-disabled pensioners | 12 | 22 | 32 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 6.1 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 10 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 54.0 |
| Mixed | 13 | 23 | 32 | 26 | 35 | 43 | 0.5 |
| Asian or Asian British | 22 | 36 | 46 | 31 | 43 | 51 | 2.9 |
| Indian | 15 | 23 | 29 | 20 | 29 | 34 | 1.2 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 32 | 52 | 68 | 43 | 60 | 71 | 1.2 |
| Black or Black British | 17 | 29 | 39 | 31 | 41 | 49 | 1.6 |
| Black Caribbean | 16 | 24 | 33 | 23 | 32 | 41 | 0.7 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 19 | 33 | 43 | 37 | 48 | 55 | 0.9 |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 17 | 25 | 32 | 27 | 37 | 43 | 0.9 |
| All individuals | 10 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 22 | 29 | 60.3 |

Table 3.6: Percentage of individuals in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | individuals |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | (millions) |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 10 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 21 | 27 | 43.2 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 8 | 23 | 32 | 21 | 32 | 41 | 1.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 3 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 21 | 28 | 0.5 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 11 | 27 | 36 | 25 | 36 | 47 | 1.1 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 12 | 22 | 33 | 16 | 24 | 33 | 14.6 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 6 | 14 | 25 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 4.9 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 15 | 26 | 37 | 19 | 28 | 38 | 9.7 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 16 | 32 | 47 | 28 | 40 | 51 | 1.0 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 12 | 18 | 35 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 0.4 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 20 | 42 | 56 | 35 | 48 | 58 | 0.6 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 9 | 14 | 20 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 42.7 |
| Owned outright | 13 | 20 | 28 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 16.8 |
| Buying with a mortgage | 6 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 18 | 25.9 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 17 | 35 | 51 | 31 | 47 | 60 | 9.8 |
| All rented privately | 12 | 21 | 30 | 31 | 40 | 47 | 7.8 |
| Direct payment account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No accounts | 18 | 27 | 36 | 22 | 30 | 38 | 1.8 |
| With one or more accounts | 10 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 | 58.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 16 | 30 | 42 | 27 | 39 | 48 | 19.8 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 9 | 16 | 25 | 15 | 22 | 30 | 11.4 |
| £1,500 but less than $£ 3,000$ | 8 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 4.2 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 7 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 8.0 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 7 | 11 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 1.9 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 8 | 13 | 18 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 3.9 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 9 | 13 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 1.6 |
| £20,000 or more | 6 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 9.5 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 11 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 23 | 30 | 50.2 |
| North East | 12 | 22 | 31 | 16 | 25 | 31 | 2.5 |
| North West | 12 | 20 | 30 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 6.7 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 11 | 21 | 30 | 15 | 23 | 31 | 5.1 |
| East Midlands | 12 | 21 | 29 | 15 | 23 | 30 | 4.3 |
| West Midlands | 12 | 22 | 31 | 17 | 25 | 33 | 5.3 |
| East of England | 9 | 15 | 23 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 5.6 |
| London | 11 | 18 | 25 | 21 | 28 | 34 | 7.4 |
| Inner | 12 | 19 | 27 | 24 | 31 | 38 | 2.9 |
| Outer | 11 | 17 | 24 | 20 | 26 | 31 | 4.5 |
| South East | 8 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 24 | 8.2 |
| South West | 10 | 16 | 24 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 5.1 |
| Scotland | 10 | 17 | 25 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 5.0 |
| Wales | 12 | 21 | 30 | 16 | 23 | 31 | 2.9 |
| Northern Ireland | 12 | 20 | 30 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 1.7 |
| All individuals ${ }^{3}$ | 10 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 22 | 29 | 60.3 |

## Notes:

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old);

Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. A household is said to have a direct payment accounts if a household member has an account accepting Automatic Credit Transfers (ACT). Accounts included are Basic Bank accounts, Current accounts, Post Office accounts, or savings accounts with any other bank or building society.
3. The totals for all individuals are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.

## 3 Whole population

Table 3.1tr Percentage of individuals falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) ${ }^{4}$ | 1979 | 5 | 13 | 22 | 6 | 13 | 23 |  |
|  | 1981 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 7 | 15 | 25 |  |
|  | 1987 | 8 | 18 | 28 | 11 | 21 | 29 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 12 | 21 | 29 | 14 | 23 | 30 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 13 | 22 | 29 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 13 | 22 | 29 | 17 | 25 | 31 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 16 | 25 | 32 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 10 | 19 | 28 | 15 | 24 | 30 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 17 | 25 | 31 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 10 | 19 | 28 | 15 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 11 | 19 | 28 | 17 | 25 | 32 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 17 | 24 | 31 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 11 | 19 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 10 | 19 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 10 | 19 | 27 | 15 | 23 | 30 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 23 | 30 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 22 | 30 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 14 | 21 | 29 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 13 | 21 | 28 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 11 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 23 | 30 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 22 | 29 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -2 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 3.2tr Percentage of individuals falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 20 | 33 | 46 | 22 | 35 | 47 |  |
|  | 1981 | 22 | 35 | 47 | 25 | 36 | 48 |  |
|  | 1987 | 18 | 29 | 38 | 22 | 32 | 40 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 20 | 28 | 36 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 17 | 26 | 33 | 20 | 28 | 35 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 16 | 26 | 34 | 21 | 29 | 35 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 16 | 25 | 33 | 21 | 29 | 35 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 14 | 23 | 32 | 19 | 27 | 34 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 18 | 26 | 33 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 18 | 26 | 32 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 13 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 29 | 36 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 12 | 23 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 36 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 19 | 27 | 34 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 11 | 20 | 29 | 18 | 25 | 32 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 11 | 19 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 14 | 22 | 29 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 12 | 20 | 26 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 24 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 15 | 22 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 9 | 15 | 21 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 13 | 19 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 20 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 14 | 20 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 15 | 20 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 15 | 20 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -4 | -8 | -10 | -6 | -10 | -11 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## 3 Whole population

Table 3.3tr Number of individuals falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Number of individuals (millions) |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs <br> Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All individuals |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) ${ }^{4}$ | 1979 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 54.0 |
|  | 1981 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 13.5 | 54.7 |
|  | 1987 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 55.4 |
|  | 1988/89 | 6.5 | 11.8 | 16.0 | 7.9 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 56.0 |
|  | 1990/91 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 13.5 | 17.1 | 56.1 |
|  | 1991/92 | 7.1 | 12.4 | 16.7 | 9.5 | 14.0 | 17.7 | 56.6 |
|  | 1992/93 | 6.9 | 12.2 | 16.9 | 9.4 | 14.3 | 18.1 | 57.1 |
|  | 1993/95 | 6.4 | 11.3 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 57.3 |
|  | 1994/96 | 5.9 | 10.9 | 15.9 | 8.8 | 13.8 | 17.3 | 57.5 |
|  | 1995/97 | 6.1 | 11.6 | 16.4 | 9.7 | 14.4 | 17.9 | 57.7 |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 5.3 | 10.4 | 15.5 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 17.3 | 55.3 |
|  | 1995/96 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 15.2 | 8.2 | 13.5 | 17.3 | 55.5 |
|  | 1996/97 | 5.9 | 10.8 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 14.0 | 17.7 | 55.6 |
|  | 1997/98 | 6.0 | 10.9 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 13.6 | 17.1 | 55.7 |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 6.1 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 9.3 | 14.0 | 17.8 | 57.5 |
|  | 1999/00 | 6.1 | 11.1 | 16.2 | 9.3 | 13.8 | 17.7 | 57.7 |
|  | 2000/01 | 6.1 | 10.7 | 15.9 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 17.4 | 57.9 |
|  | 2001/02 | 5.9 | 10.7 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 13.2 | 17.2 | 58.1 |
|  | 2002/03 | 5.9 | 10.6 | 15.7 | 8.5 | 13.1 | 17.3 | 58.3 |
|  | 2003/04 | 5.8 | 10.4 | 15.4 | 8.4 | 12.6 | 17.0 | 58.5 |
|  | 2004/05 | 5.6 | 10.0 | 15.2 | 7.9 | 12.1 | 16.6 | 58.8 |
|  | 2005/06 | 5.9 | 10.4 | 15.5 | 8.6 | 12.8 | 17.2 | 59.1 |
|  | 2006/07 | 6.3 | 10.7 | 15.7 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 59.5 |
|  | 2007/08 | 6.5 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 9.3 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 59.9 |
|  | 2008/09 | 6.3 | 10.9 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 60.3 |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0.1 | -0.3 | -0.5 | 0.1 | -0.6 | -0.1 | 2.7 |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.4 |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 3.4tr Number of individuals falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Number of individuals (millions) |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All individuals |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 24.6 | 11.9 | 18.8 | 25.7 | 54.0 |
|  | 1981 | 12.2 | 19.0 | 25.6 | 13.5 | 19.9 | 26.4 | 54.7 |
|  | 1987 | 9.9 | 15.9 | 20.8 | 12.1 | 17.5 | 22.1 | 55.4 |
|  | 1988/89 | 9.6 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 56.0 |
|  | 1990/91 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 18.7 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 19.8 | 56.1 |
|  | 1991/92 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 19.1 | 11.8 | 16.2 | 20.1 | 56.6 |
|  | 1992/93 | 8.9 | 14.5 | 19.1 | 11.8 | 16.4 | 20.3 | 57.1 |
|  | 1993/95 | 7.9 | 13.2 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 19.4 | 57.3 |
|  | 1994/96 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 17.3 | 10.3 | 15.0 | 18.8 | 57.5 |
|  | 1995/97 | 6.8 | 12.4 | 17.3 | 10.6 | 15.1 | 18.6 | 57.7 |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 7.2 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 11.2 | 15.8 | 19.7 | 55.3 |
|  | 1995/96 | 6.9 | 12.5 | 17.8 | 10.8 | 15.7 | 19.7 | 55.5 |
|  | 1996/97 | 6.6 | 11.9 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 15.0 | 18.6 | 55.6 |
|  | 1997/98 | 6.3 | 11.4 | 16.2 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 17.7 | 55.7 |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 6.1 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 9.3 | 14.0 | 17.8 | 57.5 |
|  | 1999/00 | 5.4 | 10.2 | 15.3 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 57.7 |
|  | 2000/01 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 13.7 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 15.3 | 57.9 |
|  | 2001/02 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 13.7 | 58.1 |
|  | 2002/03 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 5.4 | 8.8 | 12.7 | 58.3 |
|  | 2003/04 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 58.5 |
|  | 2004/05 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 11.2 | 58.8 |
|  | 2005/06 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 59.1 |
|  | 2006/07 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 59.5 |
|  | 2007/08 | 4.2 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 12.1 | 59.9 |
|  | 2008/09 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 60.3 |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -2.0 | -4.4 | -5.4 | -3.2 | -5.1 | -5.6 | 2.7 |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.1 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 3.1ts: Population of individuals by family type, gender and adulthood, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of individuals whose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Single male pensioner | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Single female pensioner | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Couple with children | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.7 | 20.7 |
| Couple without children | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.3 |
| Single with children | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Single male without children | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Single female without children | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Gender and adulthood: (millio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 23.1 |
| Adult female | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 23.5 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 24.4 |
| Children | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| All individuals (millions) | 55.3 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 55.9 | 56.1 | 56.2 | 56.4 | 58.3 | 58.5 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 59.5 | 59.9 | 60.3 |
| Percentage of individuals whose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Single male pensioner | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Single female pensioner | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Couple with children | 38 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 34 |
| Couple without children | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Single with children | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |  |
| Single male without children | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Single female without children | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Adult female | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Children | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 3.2ts: Population of individuals by economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Number of individuals whose economic sta | lions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 12.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 7.2 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.2 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.4 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| Workless, other inactive | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| All individuals (millions) | 55.3 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 55.9 | 56.1 | 56.2 | 56.4 | 58.3 | 58.5 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 59.5 | 59.9 | 60.3 |
| Percentage of individuals whose economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 22 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Workless, other inactive | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 3.3ts: Composition of individuals living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type, gender and adulthood, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| Single male pensioner | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Single female pensioner | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Couple with children | 38 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 32 |
| Couple without children | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Single with children | 16 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| Single male without children | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Single female without children | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 |
| Adult female | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 40 |
| Children | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Single male pensioner | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Single female pensioner | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Couple with children | 36 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| Couple without children | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Single with children | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 |
| Single male without children | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Single female without children | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 33 |
| Adult female | 39 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Children | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

All individuals (per cent)

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Table 3.4ts: Composition of individuals living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 13 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 25 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 23 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 21 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Workless, other inactive | 22 | 23 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 12 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 20 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | 10 |  | 9 | 11 |
| Workless, other inactive | 25 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| Single male pensioner | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Single female pensioner | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| Couple with children | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 32 |
| Couple without children | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| Single with children | 16 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| Single male without children | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 |
| Single female without children | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| Adult female | 40 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 40 |
| Children | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Single male pensioner | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Single female pensioner | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Couple with children | 35 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| Couple without children | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Single with children | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| Single male without children | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 |
| Single female without children | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 35 |
| Adult female | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 38 |
| Children | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

All individuals (per cent)
Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Table 3.6ts: Composition of individuals living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 12 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 26 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 22 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 |
| Workless, other inactive | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 24 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 12 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 8 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 25 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 18 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| Workless, other inactive | 23 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 28 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 3.7ts: Percentage of individuals living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type, gender and adulthood, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 18 |
| Single male pensioner | 23 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 18 |
| Single female pensioner | 31 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 29 | 30 | 25 |
| Couple with children | 19 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| Couple without children | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| Single with children | 41 | 36 | 46 | 47 | 44 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| Single male without children | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| Single female without children | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 19 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Adult female | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Children | 25 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 19 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| Single male pensioner | 28 | 29 | 31 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 14 |
| Single female pensioner | 39 | 36 | 41 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 35 | 32 | 28 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 20 |
| Couple with children | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| Couple without children | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Single with children | 61 | 60 | 65 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 56 | 57 | 54 | 51 | 51 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 49 |
| Single male without children | 25 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 |
| Single female without children | 22 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 27 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Adult female | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Children | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only

Table 3.8ts: Percentage of individuals living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 22 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 12 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 24 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 27 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 26 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 24 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 60 | 58 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 66 | 67 | 66 | 62 | 65 | 62 | 67 | 63 |
| Workless, other inactive | 42 | 39 | 48 | 49 | 47 | 49 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 45 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 19 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 26 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 25 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 17 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 24 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 30 | 29 | 34 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 33 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 31 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 19 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 74 | 74 | 79 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 76 | 76 | 77 | 72 | 75 | 73 | 78 | 74 |
| Workless, other inactive | 62 | 61 | 66 | 64 | 64 | 66 | 63 | 66 | 65 | 64 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 62 | 61 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 3.9ts: Percentage of individuals living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by family type, gender and adulthood, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 26 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| Single male pensioner | 33 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 10 |
| Single female pensioner | 41 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 32 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 17 |
| Couple with children | 22 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Couple without children | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Single with children | 51 | 48 | 50 | 48 | 44 | 40 | 31 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 16 |
| Single male without children | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Single female without children | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Adult female | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Children | 30 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensioner couple | 27 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Single male pensioner | 39 | 39 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 27 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Single female pensioner | 50 | 46 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 32 | 26 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| Couple with children | 27 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Couple without children | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Single with children | 67 | 67 | 68 | 64 | 62 | 58 | 52 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| Single male without children | 28 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 21 |
| Single female without children | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| Gender and adulthood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult male | 23 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| Adult female | 28 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Children | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 3.10ts: Percentage of individuals living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 25 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 16 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 29 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 17 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 35 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 67 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 66 | 62 | 62 | 53 | 55 | 51 | 48 | 49 | 45 | 51 | 40 |
| Workless, other inactive | 53 | 50 | 51 | 51 | 47 | 45 | 40 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 28 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 28 | 27 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 22 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 14 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 36 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 32 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 40 | 39 | 35 | 34 | 32 | 28 | 22 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 77 | 78 | 81 | 79 | 78 | 76 | 76 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 64 | 58 |
| Workless, other inactive | 68 | 66 | 69 | 65 | 64 | 63 | 59 | 54 | 50 | 47 | 43 | 43 | 46 | 44 | 42 |
| All individuals (per cent) | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only

## Children

- Contemporary trends: In general, there was a decrease in the proportion and number of children below various thresholds of contemporary median income between the years 1994/95 and 2008/09. The figures showed a rise in the earlier years of the period and a fall in later years, but have stayed broadly flat since 2000/01 with a small reduction between 2007/08 and 2008/09. In 2008/09 the number and proportion of children who were living in low income and material deprivation remained at the same level as in 2007/08.
- Real trends: Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, there was a marked fall in the proportion of children below low income thresholds held constant in real terms, 2008/09 has shown a fall compared to 2007/08.
- Quintile distributions: In 2008/09, children were more likely to be in the bottom two quintiles, and less likely to be in the top two quintiles of the income distribution than the population as a whole.
- Family type: Children in lone-parent families were much more likely to live in low income, and low-income and materially deprived households than those in families with two adults, although with a much lower likelihood if the single parent was working. However since 1998/99, there has been a reduction in the proportion of children in relative low income in lone-parent families.
- Family size: Children in large families - those with three or more children - were more likely to live in low-income, and low-income and materially deprived households, although the proportion of children in relative low income for this group has decreased since 1998/99.
- Disability status: Children in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income and low-income and materially deprived households than those in families with no disabled person if they were not in receipt of disability benefits.
- Ethnicity: Children living in households headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income and low-income and materially deprived households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi, or Black Non-Caribbean ethnic origin.
- Material deprivation: 30 per cent of children in the bottom quintile are in families that would like to but cannot afford to have enough bedrooms for every child 10 years or over and of a different gender, while around 60 per cent of children in the bottom quintile would like to but cannot afford at least one week's holiday away from home with the whole family. Less than 10 per cent of children in the bottom quintile who would like to were unable to celebrate special occasions.


## 4 Children

## Introduction

This chapter looks at how family and household characteristics related to the position of children in the income distribution in 2008/09. High-level trends over time since 1994/95 are also examined, with data for Great Britain from 1994/95 to 1997/98 and for the United Kingdom for some groups from 1998/99 to 2001/02 and all groups from 2002/03 onwards.

The position of children in the income distribution is defined by the net equivalised income of the household in which they live. A child is defined here as an individual under 16 years of age, or an unmarried 16 to 19 year old in full-time non-advanced education. Unmarried 19 year olds in full-time non-advanced education have been included in this definition since April 2006.

## The position of children in the overall income distribution

Figure 4.1 shows the income distribution for children in 2008/09, which was skewed towards the lower end of the overall population distribution. An explanation of how negative incomes can occur After Housing Costs and zero incomes Before Housing Costs is given in Appendix 1.

Figure 4.1 (BHC): Income distribution; all individuals and all children by income band, 2008/09


Figure 4.1 (AHC): Income distribution; all individuals and all children by income band, 2008/09


Equivalised household income (in £10 per week bands)

Tables in this chapter are;
4.1 Quintile distribution of income in households with children by: economic status of the family and family type; economic status of household; number of children in family; disability and receipt of disability benefits; ethnic group (three-year average).
4.2 Quintile distribution of income in households with children by: state support received by family; age of youngest child in family; tenure; savings and investments; household bills in arrears; region and country (three-year average).
4.3-4.4 Composition of low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 4.1-4.2.
4.5-4.6 Percentage of children falling into low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 4.1-4.2.
4.7 Material deprivation Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of their deprivation of items and services.
4.8 Material deprivation Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of parental deprivation of items and services.
4.1tr - 4.5tr Trends over time for headline figures for years covered by the Family Expenditure Survey (FES) and the Family Resources Survey (FRS). Tables 4.1tr and 4.2 tr show the percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms respectively. Tables 4.3tr and 4.4tr show the number for the same measures as outlined for Tables 4.1tr and 4.2tr. Table 4.5tr presents a series that shows trends over time for low income and material deprivation.
4.1ts - 4.4ts Populations over time Tables 4.1ts to 4.4ts present populations over time by: family type and economic status of the family; economic status of household; region and country (three-year average); number of children in family.
4.5ts - 4.7ts Composition of children in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: family type and economic status of the family; economic status of household; number of children in family.
4.8ts - 4.10ts Composition of children in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 4.5ts - 4.7ts.
4.11ts - 4.15ts Percentage of children in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: family type and economic status of the family; economic status of household; region and country (three-year average, the number of children can be found in Table 4.14ts); number of children in family.
4.16ts - 4.20ts Percentage of children in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 4.11ts - 4.15ts. The number of children by region and country (three-year average) can be found in Table 4.19ts.

## Definition of low income

'Low income' is defined using thresholds derived from percentages of median income for the whole population. Households reporting the lowest incomes may not have the lowest living standards. The bottom ten per cent of the income distribution should not, therefore, be interpreted as having the bottom ten per cent of living standards. This is a particular issue for lower thresholds of median income. To reflect this uncertainty in these tables, results for the 50 per cent of median threshold are presented in italics.

## Definition of 'benefit units' and 'households'

A family, or benefit unit, is a single adult or a couple living as married, together with any dependent children. An adult living in the same household as his or her parents, for example, is a separate benefit unit from the parents.

A household is a single person or group of people living at the same address as their only or main residence, who either share one meal a day together, or share the living accommodation (i.e. the living room). A household will consist of one or more benefit units.

For the analysis of working and workless households, households are classified according to whether they contain a working-age adult or pensioner who works, but the status of non-working pensioners is ignored, unless the child only lives with pensioners, in which case the status of all adults are included.

## Disability

For this analysis, disability is defined as having any long-standing illness, disability or impairment that leads to a substantial difficulty with one or more areas of the individual's life. Everyone classified as disabled under this definition would also be classified as disabled under the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). However, some individuals classified as disabled under the DDA would not be captured by this definition.

No adjustment is made to disposable household income to take into account any additional costs that may be incurred due to the illness or disability in question. This means that the position in the income distribution of these groups, as shown here, may be somewhat upwardly biased.

## Tenure

The separate council and housing association splits have been removed from this publication. This is because a significant number of housing association tenants wrongly report that they are council tenants. The most common reason for this is where their home used to be owned by the council and although ownership has now transferred to a housing association, the tenant still thinks that their landlord is the council (local authority).

Following a user consultation it was also decided to remove the rented unfurnished and rented furnished splits from these tables.

## Ethnicity

Children have been classified according to the ethnic group of the household reference person (see Appendix 1 for definition of household reference person), which means that information about households of mixed composition is lost.

Estimates showing ethnic breakdowns are presented as three-year averages because of small sample sizes. However, the figures must still be treated with some caution, as the sample sizes are still small for certain ethnic minority groups, especially in the case of households headed by a person of mixed ethnicity.

## Savings and investments

The data relating to investments and savings should be treated with caution. Questions relating to investments are a sensitive section of the questionnaire and have the lowest response rate. A high proportion of respondents do not know the interest received on their investments. Evidence also suggests that there is some under-reporting of capital by respondents, in terms of both the actual values of the savings and the investment income.

## Bills in arrears

The number of bills in arrears is presented at a benefit unit level. Bills considered are: electricity, gas, other fuel, Council Tax, insurance, telephone, television / video rental, hire purchase and water rates.

## Region and country

Disaggregation by geographical regions is presented in this chapter as three-year averages. This presentation has been used as single-year regional estimates are considered too volatile. Estimates for the UK, however, are shown as single-year estimates for the latest available year.

The three-year average approach employed in this chapter for the presentation of smaller geographic areas is consistent with the approach used for time series. It reduces year-on-year variation by smoothing out differences.

This issue was further discussed in Appendix 5 of the 2004/05 HBAI publication, where regional time series using three-year averages were presented.

Although the FRS sample is large enough to allow some analysis to be performed at a regional level, it should be noted that no adjustment has been made for regional cost of living differences, as the necessary data are not available. In the analysis here it is therefore assumed that there is no difference in the cost of living between regions, although the After Housing Costs measure will partly take into account differences in housing costs.

## Material deprivation

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by families with children has been included in the Family Resources Survey since 2004/05. Respondents are asked whether they have 21 goods and services, including child, adult and household items. If they do not have them, they are asked whether this is because they do not want them or because they cannot afford them. An analysis of how families with children responded to these questions by their location on the income distribution is given in this chapter (see Tables 4.7 and 4.8).

These questions are used as an additional way of measuring living standards for children and their families, as outlined in the conclusions of the Measuring Child Poverty Consultation. Analysis has been included in Tables 4.3 to 4.6 for children living in families who fall into low income and material deprivation.

A prevalence weighted approach has been used in combination with a 70 per cent income threshold. Prevalence weighting is a technique of scoring deprivation in which more weight in the deprivation measure is given to families lacking those items that most families already have. This means a greater importance, when an item is lacked, is assigned to those items that are more commonly owned in the
population. See Appendix 2 for further details on how material deprivation is calculated.

The material deprivation scores used in the calculation of low income and material deprivation levels for children have been changed to be calculated using survey year weights rather than using 2004/05 fixed weights. A more detailed explanation of weights used for material deprivation in given in Appendix 2.

## Trends over time for headline figures

All time trends are based on thresholds of median income, are presented for the UK and based on OECD equivalisation scales (see Appendix 2 for a discussion of the OECD scales). Such presentation is in accordance with the 2004 and 2007 Spending Reviews, as the bases for future measurement of child and pensioner poverty.

- A relative low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of contemporary median income.
- An 'absolute' low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of 1998/99 median income that have been held constant in real terms.
- A relative low income and material deprivation indicator - the proportion of each group that are below threshold of 70 per cent contemporary median income and in material deprivation.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting results over short time periods, as changes are often small in relation to sampling variation and other sources of measurement error.

## 4 Children

Table 4.1 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All children (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Economic status and family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 39 | 31 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 3.1 |
| In full-time work | 14 | 27 | 28 | 22 | 9 | 0.7 |
| In part-time work | 24 | 41 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Not working | 60 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Couple with children: | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 9.7 |
| Self-employed | 24 | 21 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 1.5 |
| Both in full-time work | 2 | 10 | 23 | 35 | 32 | 1.6 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 5 | 18 | 30 | 26 | 21 | 2.9 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 22 | 31 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 2.4 |
| One or more in part-time work | 57 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Both not in work | 65 | 26 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 9 | 20 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 7.3 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 34 | 30 | 17 | 9 | 10 | 3.5 |
| Workless households | 64 | 27 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Number of children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 17 | 3.6 |
| Two children | 20 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 5.8 |
| Three or more children | 35 | 30 | 17 | 9 | 9 | 3.4 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 22 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 9.9 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 28 | 28 | 21 | 14 | 9 | 0.9 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 17 | 32 | 31 | 13 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 33 | 26 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 0.6 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 33 | 31 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 1.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 25 | 40 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 35 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 1.2 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 37 | 35 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 0.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 21 | 44 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 48 | 28 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 0.3 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 22 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 11.1 |
| Mixed | 29 | 24 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 0.1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 48 | 22 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Indian | 29 | 22 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 0.3 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 62 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Black or Black British | 38 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Black Caribbean | 29 | 24 | 25 | 12 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 43 | 26 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 34 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 0.2 |
| All children ${ }^{3}$ | 24 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 12.8 |

Notes:

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children live only with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
3. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 4.1 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  | RS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | Allchildren(millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Economic status and family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 44 | 30 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 3.1 |
| In full-time work | 18 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 8 | 0.7 |
| In part-time work | 24 | 43 | 23 | 8 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Not working | 67 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Couple with children: | 21 | 22 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 9.7 |
| Self-employed | 27 | 24 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 1.5 |
| Both in full-time work | 2 | 12 | 24 | 33 | 29 | 1.6 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 6 | 19 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 2.9 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 25 | 30 | 21 | 12 | 11 | 2.4 |
| One or more in part-time work | 56 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Both not in work | 69 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 11 | 21 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 7.3 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 36 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 3.5 |
| Workless households | 70 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Number of children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 3.6 |
| Two children | 23 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 5.8 |
| Three or more children | 34 | 31 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 3.4 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 24 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 9.9 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 32 | 25 | 22 | 13 | 9 | 0.9 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 19 | 26 | 33 | 14 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 37 | 24 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 0.6 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 36 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 1.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 27 | 40 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 38 | 26 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 1.2 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 37 | 34 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 0.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 23 | 45 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 47 | 26 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 24 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 11.1 |
| Mixed | 36 | 24 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 0.1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 49 | 23 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Indian | 33 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 60 | 27 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Black or Black British | 46 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 0.5 |
| Black Caribbean | 35 | 24 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 53 | 22 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 42 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 0.2 |
| All children ${ }^{3}$ | 27 | 24 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 12.8 |

## Notes:

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children live only with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
3. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 4 Children

Table 4.2 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All children (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 23 | 39 | 24 | 9 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 69 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 35 | 40 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Child Tax Credit | 27 | 31 | 24 | 15 | 4 | 7.6 |
| Working Tax Credit | 29 | 37 | 23 | 9 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Income Support | 59 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 |
| Housing Benefit | 56 | 33 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2.1 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 14 | 11 | 17 | 23 | 35 | 4.3 |
| Age of youngest child in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 15 | 14 | 5.6 |
| 5-10 | 23 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 3.8 |
| 11-15 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 13 | 2.7 |
| 16-19 | 16 | 22 | 21 | 23 | 17 | 0.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 15 | 19 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 8.6 |
| Owned outright | 26 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 1.3 |
| Buying with mortgage | 13 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 7.3 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 51 | 33 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2.7 |
| All rented privately | 30 | 33 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 1.6 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 41 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 5.1 |
| Less than £1,500 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 17 | 8 | 2.7 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 13 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 0.9 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 8 | 19 | 26 | 27 | 20 | 1.5 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 10 | 10 | 24 | 32 | 25 | 0.3 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 14 | 22 | 24 | 29 | 0.7 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 9 | 11 | 17 | 32 | 31 | 0.3 |
| £20,000 or more | 8 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 47 | 1.3 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 20 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 10.6 |
| One bill in arrears | 46 | 31 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0.9 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 47 | 34 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 25 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 10.8 |
| North East | 32 | 23 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 0.5 |
| North West | 29 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 1.5 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 29 | 24 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 1.1 |
| East Midlands | 27 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 9 | 0.9 |
| West Midlands | 32 | 24 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 1.2 |
| East of England | 18 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 17 | 1.2 |
| London | 25 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 21 | 1.6 |
| Inner | 30 | 23 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 0.6 |
| Outer | 23 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 1.0 |
| South East | 17 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 1.8 |
| South West | 20 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 12 | 1.0 |
| Scotland | 23 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 1.0 |
| Wales | 29 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 27 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 0.4 |
| All children ${ }^{3}$ | 24 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 12.8 |

## Notes:

1. The population figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to all children as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. Figures relate to the number of children whose parent / guardian answered the questions on arrears, some children have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
3. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 4.2 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All children (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 24 | 37 | 25 | 8 | 5 | 0.8 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 75 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 36 | 39 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Child Tax Credit | 30 | 30 | 23 | 14 | 4 | 7.6 |
| Working Tax Credit | 30 | 38 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Income Support | 66 | 28 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 |
| Housing Benefit | 67 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2.1 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 16 | 13 | 18 | 22 | 31 | 4.3 |
| Age of youngest child in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 30 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 5.6 |
| 5-10 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 3.8 |
| 11-15 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 2.7 |
| 16-19 | 18 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 15 | 0.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 15 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 8.6 |
| Owned outright | 17 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 1.3 |
| Buying with mortgage | 14 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 7.3 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 51 | 34 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2.7 |
| All rented privately | 51 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 1.6 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 44 | 29 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 5.1 |
| Less than £1,500 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 15 | 7 | 2.7 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 16 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 15 | 0.9 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 10 | 20 | 26 | 26 | 18 | 1.5 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 8 | 11 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 0.3 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 16 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 0.7 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 10 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 0.3 |
| £20,000 or more | 8 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 45 | 1.3 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 21 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 10.6 |
| One bill in arrears | 50 | 30 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 54 | 30 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 28 | 24 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 10.8 |
| North East | 30 | 24 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 0.5 |
| North West | 30 | 26 | 21 | 14 | 9 | 1.5 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 28 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 1.1 |
| East Midlands | 26 | 27 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 0.9 |
| West Midlands | 32 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 9 | 1.2 |
| East of England | 23 | 22 | 23 | 17 | 15 | 1.2 |
| London | 36 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 19 | 1.6 |
| Inner | 40 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 18 | 0.6 |
| Outer | 34 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 19 | 1.0 |
| South East | 23 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 1.8 |
| South West | 23 | 28 | 21 | 17 | 11 | 1.0 |
| Scotland | 22 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 14 | 1.0 |
| Wales | 28 | 27 | 21 | 14 | 10 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 23 | 30 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 0.4 |
| All children ${ }^{3}$ | 27 | 24 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 12.8 |

1. The population figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to all children as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. Figures relate to the number of children whose parent / guardian answered the questions on arrears, some children have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
3. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 4 Children

Table 4.3: Composition of low-income groups of children by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Income and Material Deprivation ${ }^{1}$ | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | All |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | children |
| Economic status and family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 47 | 31 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 38 | 24 |
| In full-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| In part-time work | 7 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Not working | 38 | 24 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 12 |
| Couple with children: | 53 | 69 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 62 | 76 |
| Self-employed | 4 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Both in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 23 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 14 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| One or more in part-time work | 12 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 6 |
| Both not in work | 18 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 5 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 16 | 23 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 29 | 57 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 33 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 28 |
| Workless households | 52 | 37 | 41 | 36 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 15 |
| Number of children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 21 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 28 |
| Two children | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 45 |
| Three or more children | 42 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 32 | 35 | 36 | 27 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 63 | 74 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 71 | 77 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 9 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 20 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 12 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 16 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 9 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 77 | 74 | 76 | 79 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 86 |
| Mixed | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 12 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 7 |
| Indian | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Black or Black British | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Black Caribbean | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| All children (millions=100\%) ${ }^{4}$ | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 12.8 |

1. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. See Appendix 2 for further details.
2. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children live only with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
3. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
4. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
5. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

6. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. See Appendix 2 for further details.
7. The figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to 100 as they are not mutually exclusive groups since people can receive more than one benefit.
8. Figures relate to the number of children whose parent / guardian answered the questions on arrears, some children have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
9. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
10. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Table 4.5: Percentage of children in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Income and Material Deprivation ${ }^{1}$ | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All children (millions) |
|  |  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status and family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 33 | 14 | 34 | 50 | 31 | 50 | 61 | 3.1 |
| In full-time work | 7 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 21 | 29 | 0.7 |
| In part-time work | 17 | 7 | 19 | 35 | 16 | 29 | 47 | 0.8 |
| Not working | 55 | 23 | 54 | 73 | 49 | 75 | 84 | 1.5 |
| Couple with children: | 12 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 17 | 24 | 32 | 9.7 |
| Self-employed | 6 | 16 | 22 | 32 | 21 | 30 | 39 | 1.5 |
| Both in full-time work | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1.6 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 2.9 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 13 | 7 | 19 | 33 | 18 | 29 | 40 | 2.4 |
| One or more in part-time work | 35 | 32 | 53 | 65 | 45 | 60 | 71 | 0.7 |
| Both not in work | 57 | 39 | 64 | 78 | 54 | 75 | 85 | 0.7 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 5 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 20 | 7.3 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 20 | 16 | 31 | 45 | 28 | 40 | 52 | 3.5 |
| Workless households | 58 | 28 | 59 | 77 | 52 | 78 | 87 | 1.9 |
| Number of children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 13 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 21 | 27 | 34 | 3.6 |
| Two children | 14 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 17 | 27 | 34 | 5.8 |
| Three or more children | 27 | 15 | 31 | 46 | 24 | 40 | 53 | 3.4 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 14 | 11 | 20 | 29 | 19 | 28 | 36 | 9.9 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 21 | 8 | 25 | 35 | 22 | 35 | 45 | 0.9 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 15 | 2 | 12 | 23 | 9 | 21 | 28 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 24 | 11 | 30 | 40 | 27 | 41 | 51 | 0.6 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 28 | 14 | 29 | 43 | 25 | 40 | 51 | 1.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 22 | 8 | 22 | 37 | 17 | 29 | 43 | 0.3 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 30 | 15 | 31 | 45 | 28 | 43 | 54 | 1.2 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 34 | 18 | 34 | 49 | 29 | 42 | 54 | 0.5 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 23 | 13 | 20 | 36 | 21 | 30 | 43 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 42 | 21 | 44 | 59 | 35 | 50 | 62 | 0.3 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 15 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 18 | 27 | 36 | 11.1 |
| Mixed | 23 | 14 | 26 | 39 | 28 | 41 | 50 | 0.1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 28 | 25 | 44 | 58 | 38 | 54 | 63 | 0.9 |
| Indian | 12 | 15 | 27 | 35 | 24 | 36 | 44 | 0.3 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 39 | 34 | 58 | 76 | 48 | 66 | 77 | 0.5 |
| Black or Black British | 31 | 20 | 34 | 46 | 37 | 50 | 58 | 0.5 |
| Black Caribbean | 22 | 16 | 24 | 35 | 25 | 38 | 46 | 0.2 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 36 | 22 | 40 | 53 | 43 | 56 | 65 | 0.3 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 17 | 18 | 33 | 40 | 35 | 46 | 52 | 0.2 |
| All children ${ }^{4}$ | 17 | 11 | 22 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 39 | 12.8 |

1. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. See Appendix 2 for further details.
2. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children live only with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
3. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
4. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.

Table 4.6: Percentage of children in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children | Low Income and Material Deprivation ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All children (millions) |
|  |  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| State support received by family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 22 | 8 | 19 | 34 | 17 | 28 | 40 | 0.8 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 60 | 38 | 68 | 77 | 59 | 82 | 88 | 0.3 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 36 | 17 | 33 | 50 | 28 | 41 | 54 | 0.3 |
| Child Tax Credit | 21 | 11 | 24 | 36 | 21 | 34 | 45 | 7.6 |
| Working Tax Credit | 19 | 10 | 24 | 40 | 20 | 35 | 49 | 2.6 |
| Income Support | 57 | 18 | 52 | 74 | 45 | 75 | 87 | 1.5 |
| Housing Benefit | 54 | 18 | 50 | 70 | 47 | 74 | 85 | 2.1 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 5 | 9 | 13 | 18 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 4.3 |
| Age of youngest child in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 19 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 22 | 34 | 43 | 5.6 |
| 5-10 | 16 | 11 | 21 | 31 | 18 | 28 | 36 | 3.8 |
| 11-15 | 16 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 21 | 29 | 38 | 2.7 |
| 16-19 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 0.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 7 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 12 | 17 | 24 | 8.6 |
| Owned outright | 8 | 16 | 24 | 31 | 11 | 20 | 26 | 1.3 |
| Buying with mortgage | 6 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 24 | 7.3 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 45 | 19 | 46 | 65 | 35 | 58 | 72 | 2.7 |
| All rented privately | 25 | 13 | 26 | 41 | 40 | 54 | 63 | 1.6 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 34 | 17 | 37 | 53 | 33 | 50 | 61 | 5.1 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 14 | 9 | 18 | 29 | 17 | 27 | 38 | 2.7 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 5 | 8 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 0.9 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 1.5 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 0 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 0.3 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 1 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 0.7 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 0 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 0.3 |
| £20,000 or more | 0 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 1.3 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 11 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 10.6 |
| One bill in arrears | 40 | 19 | 42 | 56 | 37 | 58 | 69 | 0.9 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 52 | 20 | 42 | 62 | 41 | 60 | 73 | 1.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 17 | 12 | 22 | 33 | 21 | 31 | 40 | 10.8 |
| North East | 20 | 13 | 28 | 39 | 22 | 34 | 41 | 0.5 |
| North West | 21 | 13 | 26 | 39 | 22 | 33 | 43 | 1.5 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 19 | 12 | 26 | 37 | 20 | 31 | 41 | 1.1 |
| East Midlands | 19 | 13 | 25 | 35 | 19 | 30 | 40 | 0.9 |
| West Midlands | 21 | 15 | 29 | 41 | 24 | 36 | 45 | 1.2 |
| East of England | 11 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 26 | 34 | 1.2 |
| London | 19 | 13 | 22 | 34 | 29 | 39 | 46 | 1.6 |
| Inner | 23 | 15 | 27 | 39 | 32 | 44 | 51 | 0.6 |
| Outer | 17 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 27 | 37 | 43 | 1.0 |
| South East | 11 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 17 | 26 | 33 | 1.8 |
| South West | 14 | 10 | 17 | 28 | 18 | 26 | 35 | 1.0 |
| Scotland | 16 | 11 | 21 | 31 | 15 | 25 | 33 | 1.0 |
| Wales | 17 | 13 | 26 | 38 | 21 | 32 | 41 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 14 | 13 | 24 | 36 | 15 | 26 | 37 | 0.4 |
| All children ${ }^{4}$ | 17 | 11 | 22 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 39 | 12.8 |

Notes:

1. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. See Appendix 2 for further details.
2. The figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to 100 as they are not mutually exclusive groups since people can receive more than one benefit.
3. Figures relate to the number of children whose parent / guardian answered the questions on arrears, some children have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
4. The totals for all children are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.

## 4 Children

Table 4.7 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of their deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children ${ }^{1}$ |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | All children |
| Outdoor space / facilities to play safely | Have this | 78 | 84 | 90 | 94 | 96 | 87 |
|  | Don't have this | 22 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 |
| Enough bedrooms for every child 10 years or over and of a different gender | Child/ren has/have this | 69 | 78 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 82 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 30 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 16 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Celebrations on special occasions | Child/ren has/have this | 90 | 96 | 96 | 98 | 98 | 95 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Leisure equipment such as sports equipment or a bicycle | Child/ren has/have this | 78 | 86 | 90 | 93 | 94 | 87 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 17 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| At least one week's holiday away from home with family | Child/ren has/have this | 34 | 49 | 68 | 80 | 92 | 61 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 60 | 47 | 27 | 15 | 4 | 35 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Hobby or leisure activity | Child/ren does/do this | 66 | 74 | 79 | 85 | 86 | 77 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 19 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 17 |
| Swimming at least once a month | Child/ren does/do this | 45 | 54 | 58 | 62 | 71 | 56 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 22 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 33 | 31 | 34 | 35 | 28 | 32 |
| Have friends round for tea or a snack once a fortnight | Child/ren does/do this | 57 | 65 | 69 | 74 | 82 | 68 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 18 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 25 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 17 | 24 |
| Go on school trip at least once a term | Child/ren does/do this | 82 | 88 | 91 | 95 | 97 | 89 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 12 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Go to a playgroup at least once a week | Child/ren does/do this | 50 | 63 | 72 | 74 | 83 | 66 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 36 | 31 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 28 |

## Notes:

1. Percentages relate to the proportion of children whose parent / guardian answered the material deprivation questions, some children have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 4.7 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of their deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children ${ }^{1}$ |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | All children |
| Outdoor space / facilities to play safely | Have this | 79 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 96 | 87 |
|  | Don't have this | 21 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 13 |
| Enough bedrooms for every child 10 years or over and of a different gender | Child/ren has/have this | 70 | 77 | 88 | 97 | 97 | 82 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 28 | 20 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 16 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Celebrations on special occasions | Child/ren has/have this | 90 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 95 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Leisure equipment such as sports equipment or a bicycle | Child/ren has/have this | 77 | 86 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 87 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 16 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| At least one week's holiday away from home with family | Child/ren has/have this | 36 | 49 | 71 | 81 | 92 | 61 |
|  | Want but can't afford this | 59 | 47 | 24 | 14 | 4 | 35 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Hobby or leisure activity | Child/ren does/do this | 64 | 75 | 81 | 85 | 87 | 77 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 15 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 21 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 17 |
| Swimming at least once a month | Child/ren does/do this | 44 | 55 | 60 | 63 | 71 | 56 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 23 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 34 | 31 | 34 | 35 | 27 | 32 |
| Have friends round for tea or a snack once a fortnight | Child/ren does/do this | 56 | 66 | 71 | 74 | 83 | 68 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 18 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 27 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 24 |
| Go on school trip at least once a term | Child/ren does/do this | 81 | 89 | 92 | 94 | 97 | 89 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 13 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Go to a playgroup at least once a week | Child/ren does/do this | 50 | 65 | 72 | 77 | 82 | 66 |
|  | Would like to but can't afford this | 13 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 36 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 17 | 28 |

## Notes:

1. Percentages relate to the proportion of children whose parent / guardian answered the material deprivation questions, some children have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question. 2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 4 Children

Table 4.8 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of parental deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children ${ }^{1}$ |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | children |
| Money to decorate home | Adult/s has/have this | 58 | 68 | 80 | 90 | 96 | 76 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 36 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 20 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Hobby or leisure activity | Adult/s has/have this | 41 | 49 | 57 | 71 | 76 | 56 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 35 | 25 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 20 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 24 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 24 |
| Holiday away from home one week a year not with relatives | Adult/s does/do this | 27 | 40 | 61 | 76 | 89 | 54 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 69 | 55 | 34 | 20 | 6 | 41 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Home contents insurance | Adult/s has/have this | 44 | 65 | 84 | 93 | 96 | 73 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 44 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 13 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Friends round for drink / meal at least once a month | Adult/s has/have this | 45 | 52 | 61 | 72 | 80 | 60 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 34 | 25 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 21 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 22 |
| Make savings of 10 pounds a month or more | Adult/s does/do this | 28 | 38 | 58 | 74 | 86 | 53 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 67 | 58 | 38 | 20 | 10 | 43 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Two pairs of all weather shoes for each adult | Adult/s has/have this | 78 | 85 | 91 | 96 | 99 | 88 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 21 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Replace worn out furniture | Adult/s does/do this | 32 | 42 | 58 | 77 | 84 | 55 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 56 | 45 | 28 | 13 | 8 | 33 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 12 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 12 |
| Replace broken electrical goods | Adult/s does/do this | 44 | 56 | 73 | 86 | 93 | 67 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 46 | 34 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 25 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 8 |
| Money to spend on self each week | Adult/s has/have this | 39 | 50 | 65 | 83 | 89 | 62 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 58 | 47 | 33 | 14 | 8 | 35 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Keep house warm | Adult/s does/do this | 75 | 84 | 91 | 96 | 98 | 87 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 25 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

## Notes:

1. Percentages relate to the proportion of children whose parent / guardian answered the material deprivation questions, some children have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 4.8 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for children by extent of parental deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children ${ }^{1}$ |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { quintile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All children |
| Money to decorate home | Adult/s has/have this | 58 | 69 | 82 | 91 | 97 | 76 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 35 | 27 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 20 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Hobby or leisure activity | Adult/s has/have this | 41 | 49 | 60 | 70 | 77 | 56 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 35 | 24 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 20 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 24 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 24 |
| Holiday away from home one week a year not with relatives | Adult/s does/do this | 28 | 41 | 64 | 78 | 90 | 54 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 68 | 54 | 31 | 19 | 6 | 41 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Home contents insurance | Adult/s has/have this | 43 | 67 | 86 | 95 | 96 | 73 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 42 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 19 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Friends round for drink / meal at least once a month | Adult/s has/have this | 46 | 53 | 63 | 71 | 81 | 60 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 34 | 24 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 19 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 20 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 17 | 22 |
| Make savings of 10 pounds a month or more | Adult/s does/do this | 27 | 40 | 61 | 74 | 89 | 53 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 68 | 56 | 35 | 20 | 7 | 43 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Two pairs of all weather shoes for each adult | Adult/s has/have this | 78 | 85 | 93 | 97 | 99 | 88 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 21 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Replace worn out furniture | Adult/s does/do this | 31 | 44 | 61 | 77 | 86 | 55 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 56 | 44 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 33 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 14 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 12 |
| Replace broken electrical goods | Adult/s does/do this | 42 | 59 | 76 | 87 | 94 | 67 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 46 | 32 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 25 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 12 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 8 |
| Money to spend on self each week | Adult/s has/have this | 40 | 51 | 69 | 83 | 90 | 62 |
|  | Would like this but cannot afford it | 58 | 46 | 29 | 14 | 7 | 35 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Keep house warm | Adult/s does/do this | 75 | 85 | 92 | 96 | 98 | 87 |
|  | Would like to but cannot afford it | 24 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 12 |
|  | Don't want or need; doesn't apply | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Notes:

1. Percentages relate to the proportion of children whose parent / guardian answered the material deprivation questions, some children have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Children
Table 4.1tr: Percentage of children falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) ${ }^{4}$ | 1979 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 7 | 14 | 25 |  |
|  | 1981 | 8 | 19 | 29 | 11 | 21 | 30 |  |
|  | 1987 | 10 | 23 | 33 | 16 | 27 | 36 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 15 | 25 | 33 | 19 | 27 | 36 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 18 | 27 | 35 | 23 | 31 | 39 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 17 | 28 | 36 | 24 | 32 | 40 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 17 | 29 | 38 | 24 | 34 | 41 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 23 | 33 | 39 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 15 | 27 | 36 | 24 | 33 | 39 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 15 | 29 | 38 | 26 | 35 | 42 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 12 | 25 | 35 | 21 | 33 | 40 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 21 | 33 | 41 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 14 | 27 | 36 | 25 | 34 | 42 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 14 | 27 | 37 | 25 | 33 | 41 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 34 | 41 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 13 | 26 | 37 | 23 | 33 | 41 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 12 | 23 | 34 | 21 | 31 | 40 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 11 | 23 | 35 | 20 | 31 | 39 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 11 | 23 | 34 | 20 | 30 | 38 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 19 | 29 | 37 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 11 | 21 | 33 | 18 | 28 | 38 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 19 | 30 | 38 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 12 | 22 | 33 | 20 | 30 | 39 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 12 | 23 | 33 | 21 | 31 | 39 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 11 | 22 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 39 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -3 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -2 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 4.2tr: Percentage of children falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 21 | 38 | 55 | 24 | 41 | 58 |  |
|  | 1981 | 27 | 43 | 58 | 30 | 46 | 60 |  |
|  | 1987 | 23 | 34 | 45 | 27 | 39 | 49 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 21 | 31 | 40 | 25 | 34 | 44 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 23 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 36 | 45 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 23 | 32 | 41 | 28 | 37 | 45 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 23 | 33 | 41 | 29 | 38 | 45 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 20 | 31 | 40 | 28 | 36 | 43 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 18 | 29 | 38 | 27 | 35 | 42 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 17 | 30 | 39 | 28 | 36 | 44 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 17 | 30 | 40 | 28 | 37 | 45 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 16 | 29 | 40 | 27 | 37 | 46 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 16 | 29 | 38 | 27 | 36 | 44 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 15 | 28 | 38 | 26 | 34 | 42 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 34 | 41 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 11 | 23 | 34 | 21 | 31 | 39 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 16 | 27 | 35 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 7 | 15 | 27 | 13 | 23 | 32 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 11 | 21 | 29 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 11 | 20 | 28 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 11 | 18 | 27 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 11 | 19 | 27 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 19 | 27 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 19 | 28 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 12 | 19 | 27 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -7 | -14 | -15 | -12 | -15 | -14 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Children
Table 4.3tr: Number of children falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$


## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Children
Table 4.4tr: Number of children falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$


## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## 4 Children

Table 4.5tr: Percentage and number of children falling below thresholds of low income and material deprivation ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2,4}$

|  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percentage | Number <br> (millions) |  |  |
| FRS (UK) | $2004 / 05$ | 17 | 2.2 | 12.9 |
|  | $2005 / 06$ | 16 | 2.1 | 12.8 |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | 16 | 2.0 | 12.8 |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | 17 | 2.2 | 12.8 |
|  | $2008 / 09$ | 17 | 2.2 | 12.8 |
| Change | $2007 / 08-2008 / 09^{2,3}$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Notes:

1. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. See Appendix 2 for further details.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages and numbers of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage and total number of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. The calculation methodology for the material deprivation prevalence weights has been changed to be calculated using survey year weights rather than using 2004/05 fixed weights, see Appendix 2 for more details.

## Table 4.1ts: Population of children by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of children whose family type is: (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| In full-time work | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| In part-time work | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Not working | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Couple with children: | 10.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| Self-employed | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Both in full-time work | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| One or more in part-time work | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Both not in work | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| All children (millions) | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| Percentage of children whose family type is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 |
| In full-time work | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| In part-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Not working | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| Couple with children: | 79 | 79 | 79 | 78 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 76 |
| Self-employed | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Both in full-time work | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 21 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 23 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| One or more in part-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Both not in work | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

$\begin{array}{llll}100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\ & & & \end{array}$

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## Table 4.2ts: Population of children by economic status of household ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 ${ }^{3}$ | 95/96 ${ }^{3}$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | $99 / 00$ | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | $06 / 07$ | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of children by economic status of household: (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work |  | . | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all |  | .. | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Workless households | . | . | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| All children (millions) | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| Percentage of children by economic status of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work |  | .. | 52 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 57 | 57 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | . | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 |
| Workless households | . | . | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Within households, pensioners are all adults is included in the analysis. <br> 2. Figures are for the United Kingdom <br> 3. Data on economic status of the ho <br> 4. Percentages may not sum to 100 | classific <br> ards. Ea ble on a ding. | ons if the <br> years onsisten | are not e for Gr basis for | rking, <br> Britain <br> arlier ye | are inc <br> ly. | ed if they | are wor | g. For | se hous | olds wh | childr | only liv | ith pens | ers, th | atus of |

## Table 4.3ts: Population of children by region and country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94/95- } \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95/96- } \\ & 97 / 198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97/98- } \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | 98/99- 00/01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99/00- } \\ & \text { 01/02 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 00/01- } \\ & 02 / 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 03 / 04- \\ 05 / 06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04/05- } \\ & 06 / 07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 05/06- } \\ & 07 / 108 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 06 / 07- \\ 08 / 09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of children whose region/country is: (3-year average, millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 10.9 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| North East | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| North West | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| East Midlands | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| West Midlands | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| East of England | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| London | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| South East | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| South West | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Scotland | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Wales | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | .. | .. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| All children (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| Percentage of children whose region/country is: (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| North East | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| North West | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| East Midlands | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| West Midlands | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| East of England | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| London | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| South East | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| South West | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Scotland | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Wales | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | . | .. | .. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all children figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

4. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
5. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## Table 4.5ts: Composition of children living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 35 | 34 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 38 |
| In full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| In part-time work | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Not working | 31 | 30 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 29 |
| Couple with children: | 65 | 66 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 58 | 57 | 60 | 60 | 62 | 62 |
| Self-employed | 16 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| Both in full-time work | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 13 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 16 |
| One or more in part-time work | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| Both not in work | 28 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 16 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 39 | 39 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 45 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 40 |
| In full-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| In part-time work | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Not working | 34 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 29 | 29 |
| Couple with children: | 61 | 61 | 58 | 58 | 57 | 55 | 55 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 55 | 58 | 58 | 60 | 60 |
| Self-employed | 14 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Both in full-time work | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 14 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| One or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Both not in work | 25 | 26 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 14 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

All children (per cent)
$\begin{array}{llll}100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\ & & & \end{array}$

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 4.6ts: Composition of children living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by economic status of household ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 ${ }^{3}$ | 95/96 ${ }^{3}$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 16 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | .. | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 39 |
| Workless households | . | .. | 55 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 47 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 41 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 18 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | . | 28 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 37 |
| Workless households | . | . | 54 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 46 | 49 | 46 | 43 | 41 | 41 | 39 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children only live with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
3. Data on economic status of the household is not available on a consistent basis for earlier years.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

# Table 4.7ts: Composition of children living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by number of children in family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$ 

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 24 |
| Two children | 36 | 35 | 34 | 37 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 38 | 38 |
| Three or more children | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 43 | 41 | 38 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 19 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 25 |
| Two children | 36 | 36 | 35 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
| Three or more children | 45 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 40 | 38 | 35 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

| Percentage of children | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 36 | 36 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 43 | 42 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 32 |
| In full-time work | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| In part-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Not working | 32 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 25 |
| Couple with children: | 64 | 64 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 57 | 58 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 67 | 64 | 65 | 68 |
| Self-employed | 15 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| Both in full-time work | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| One or more in part-time work | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 16 |
| Both not in work | 26 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 22 | 18 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 38 | 38 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 46 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 37 |
| In full-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| In part-time work | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Not working | 32 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 28 |
| Couple with children: | 62 | 62 | 59 | 58 | 57 | 54 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 57 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 63 |
| Self-employed | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 12 |
| Both in full-time work | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 16 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| One or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 |  | 11 | 10 | 13 |
| Both not in work | 23 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 15 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^4]Table 4.9ts: Composition of children living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by economic status of household ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 ${ }^{3}$ | 95/96 ${ }^{3}$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 17 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 23 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | .. | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 39 |
| Workless households | . | . | 53 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 38 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 19 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | .. | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 38 |
| Workless households | .. | .. | 52 | 48 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 39 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes:

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children only live with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
3. Data on economic status of the household is not available on a consistent basis for earlier years.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 26 |
| Two children | 36 | 36 | 34 | 37 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 35 | 37 | 38 |
| Three or more children | 47 | 48 | 50 | 48 | 50 | 48 | 47 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 38 | 44 | 42 | 36 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 18 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 30 |
| Two children | 37 | 37 | 35 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 38 | 39 |
| Three or more children | 45 | 45 | 46 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 35 | 39 | 37 | 31 |
| All children (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## Table 4.11ts: Percentage of children living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 43 | 38 | 49 | 49 | 46 | 46 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 34 |
| In full-time work | 10 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 12 |
| In part-time work | 20 | 17 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 26 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 19 |
| Not working | 57 | 51 | 64 | 67 | 63 | 65 | 62 | 59 | 61 | 59 | 57 | 56 | 58 | 55 | 54 |
| Couple with children: | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Self-employed | 28 | 25 | 22 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| Both in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 16 | 15 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 19 |
| One or more in part-time work | 52 | 45 | 53 | 57 | 52 | 61 | 49 | 54 | 52 | 50 | 42 | 44 | 47 | 54 | 53 |
| Both not in work | 73 | 68 | 71 | 73 | 74 | 68 | 66 | 71 | 71 | 64 | 62 | 64 | 68 | 68 | 64 |
| All children (per cent) | 25 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 62 | 61 | 67 | 64 | 64 | 62 | 58 | 58 | 55 | 52 | 52 | 50 | 52 | 52 | 50 |
| In full-time work | 13 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 22 | 21 |
| In part-time work | 33 | 31 | 44 | 42 | 50 | 41 | 35 | 40 | 36 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 29 |
| Not working | 81 | 81 | 86 | 84 | 83 | 84 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 76 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 75 |
| Couple with children: | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 24 |
| Self-employed | 32 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 33 | 30 | 31 | 30 |
| Both in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 23 | 22 | 28 | 27 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 30 | 29 | 29 |
| One or more in part-time work | 57 | 53 | 61 | 64 | 58 | 65 | 56 | 61 | 59 | 57 | 48 | 53 | 54 | 61 | 60 |
| Both not in work | 83 | 82 | 79 | 82 | 83 | 79 | 74 | 81 | 80 | 77 | 74 | 74 | 77 | 79 | 75 |
| All children (per cent) | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 4.12ts: Percentage of children living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by economic status of household ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$

| Percentage of children | 94/95 ${ }^{3}$ | 95/96 ${ }^{3}$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | . | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | .. | 29 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| Workless households | . | . | 69 | 71 | 68 | 69 | 65 | 64 | 65 | 63 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 61 | 59 |
| All children (per cent) | 25 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | . | . | 36 | 37 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| Workless households | . | . | 86 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 82 | 84 | 82 | 81 | 78 | 78 | 81 | 80 | 78 |
| All children (per cent) | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 |

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children only live with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
3. Data on economic status of the household is not available on a consistent basis for earlier years.

## Table 4.13ts: Percentage of children living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by region and country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98-1 \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | 98/99- $00 / 01$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03104 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07108 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| North East | 32 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| North West | 29 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 26 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 31 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| East Midlands | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 25 |
| West Midlands | 27 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 29 |
| East of England | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| London | 25 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 22 |
| South East | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| South West | 21 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| Scotland | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Wales | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 26 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | .. | . | 29 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 25 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| North East | 37 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 35 | 36 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 |
| North West | 36 | 36 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 33 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| East Midlands | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| West Midlands | 33 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 36 |
| East of England | 29 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| London | 41 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 39 |
| South East | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| South West | 31 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 |
| Scotland | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 25 |
| Wales | 36 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 32 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ire
3. The all children figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables.

| Number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 95/96- <br> $97 / 98$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02103- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07 / 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West Midlands | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| East of England | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| London | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| South East | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| South West | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Scotland | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Wales | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | . | . | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| North West | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| East Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| West Midlands | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| East of England | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| London | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| South East | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| South West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Scotland | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Wales | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | .. | .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all children figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

Table 4.15ts: Percentage of children living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by number of children in family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| Two children | 20 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| Three or more children | 39 | 37 | 42 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 31 |
| All children (per cent) | 25 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 27 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 27 |
| Two children | 26 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 27 |
| Three or more children | 46 | 46 | 48 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 42 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 42 | 43 | 40 |
| All children (per cent) | 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

| Percentage of children | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 53 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 46 | 41 | 33 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 17 |
| In full-time work | 12 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
| In part-time work | 28 | 26 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| Not working | 69 | 66 | 68 | 69 | 63 | 59 | 49 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 26 |
| Couple with children: | 24 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Self-employed | 32 | 28 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 17 |
| Both in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 22 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| One or more in part-time work | 55 | 52 | 56 | 59 | 52 | 59 | 43 | 42 | 38 | 36 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 39 | 35 |
| Both not in work | 80 | 76 | 73 | 75 | 74 | 64 | 60 | 52 | 55 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 42 |
| All children (per cent) | 30 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent: | 69 | 68 | 70 | 66 | 64 | 59 | 53 | 44 | 39 | 35 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| In full-time work | 18 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 13 |
| In part-time work | 46 | 48 | 48 | 45 | 50 | 37 | 28 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 14 |
| Not working | 86 | 86 | 88 | 85 | 83 | 82 | 77 | 64 | 59 | 55 | 48 | 47 | 49 | 48 | 45 |
| Couple with children: | 29 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| Self-employed | 36 | 33 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 26 | 21 | 22 | 20 |
| Both in full-time work | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| One in full-time work, one in part-time work | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| One in full-time work, one not working | 29 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 30 | 26 | 21 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| One or more in part-time work | 62 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 58 | 63 | 51 | 49 | 45 | 43 | 34 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 42 |
| Both not in work | 88 | 86 | 82 | 83 | 83 | 75 | 70 | 70 | 68 | 57 | 54 | 53 | 59 | 55 | 50 |
| All children (per cent) | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

All children (per cent)
Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 4.17ts: Percentage of children living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by economic status of household ${ }^{1}$, United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | . | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | .. | .. | 32 | 32 | 32 | 29 | 23 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 17 |
| Workless households | .. | .. | 72 | 73 | 68 | 63 | 54 | 40 | 41 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 31 |
| All children (per cent) | 30 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | .. | .. | 13 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | .. | .. | 40 | 38 | 40 | 36 | 30 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| Workless households | . | . | 88 | 87 | 85 | 83 | 78 | 68 | 63 | 58 | 52 | 50 | 54 | 53 | 48 |
| All children (per cent) | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

## Notes:

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For those households where children only live with pensioners, the status of all adults is included in the analysis.
2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
3. Data on economic status of the household is not available on a consistent basis for earlier years.

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99- \\ & 00 / 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07 / 08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| North East | 37 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 30 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| North West | 34 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| East Midlands | 30 | 28 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| West Midlands | 31 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| East of England | 23 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| London | 30 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 |
| South East | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| South West | 26 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Scotland | 33 | 33 | 31 | 28 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Wales | 35 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Northern Ireland | . | .. | . | . | 27 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 14 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 37 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| North East | 42 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 31 | 28 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 21 |
| North West | 40 | 39 | 40 | 37 | 34 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 38 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| East Midlands | 36 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| West Midlands | 36 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 |
| East of England | 32 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| London | 44 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 39 | 37 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 27 |
| South East | 30 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| South West | 35 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| Scotland | 36 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| Wales | 41 | 40 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 30 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 21 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 26 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 37 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

[^5]Table 4.19ts: Number of children living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by region and country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Number of children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94/95- } \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 02/03- } \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05 / 06- \\ & 07 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| West Midlands | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East of England | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| London | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South East | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | . | .. | . | . | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West Midlands | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| East of England | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| London | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| South East | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| South West | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Scotland | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | .. | . | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All children (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all children figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables.

Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Two children | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Three or more children | 44 | 44 | 45 | 41 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 17 |
| All children (per cent) | 30 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One child | 30 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| Two children | 30 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| Three or more children | 52 | 52 | 51 | 46 | 47 | 43 | 37 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 22 |
| All children (per cent) | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only

## Chapter 5

## Working-age adults

- Contemporary trends: Over the period 1994/95 to 2008/09, there was little change in the percentage of working-age adults below various thresholds of contemporary median income although there was an increase in the number. Between 2007/08 and 2008/09, the number of working-age adults below 60 per cent contemporary median income rose on both a Before Housing Cost and an After Housing Cost basis.
- Real trends: There were marked falls over the period in the percentage and number of working-age adults below various low-income thresholds held constant in real-terms, although there has been little change since 2001/02.
- Quintile distributions: In 2008/09, working-age adults were more likely to be in the top two quintiles, and less likely to be in the bottom two quintiles of the income distribution than the population as a whole.
- Family type: Working-age adults with children were more likely to live in lowincome households than their childless counterparts. This was particularly evident for singles with children, of whom around 70 per cent were in the bottom two quintiles.
- Disability status: Working-age adults in families containing one or more disabled people were more likely to live in low-income households if they were not in receipt of disability benefits.
- Ethnicity: Working-age adults living in households headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to live in low-income households. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Educational attainment: Working-age adults with no educational qualification were about twice as likely to live in low-income households as those with a qualification below degree level.


## Introduction

This chapter examines the position of working-age adults in the income distribution in 2008/09 and looks in more detail at how this might be linked to their family or household characteristics. High-level trends over time from 1994/95 onwards are also examined, with data for Great Britain from 1994/95 to 1997/98 and for the United Kingdom for some groups from 1998/99 to 2001/02 and for all groups from 2002/03 onwards.

Working-age adults are defined as all those adults below state pension age. This chapter includes results only for those individuals below state pension age. Thus, any partners above state pension age will be excluded from results in this chapter, but will be included in Chapter 6 results for pensioner couples. This differs from Chapter 3, where both adults in a couple with one adult above state retirement age and one below are classified as a pensioner couple.

## The position of working-age adults in the overall income distribution

Figure 5.1 compares the income distribution of working-age adults with and without children with that of the whole population for 2008/09. For working-age adults with children, the distribution was similar to that of the population as a whole. By comparison, those without children were skewed towards the top of the distribution. An explanation of how negative incomes can occur After Housing Costs and zero incomes Before Housing Costs is given in Appendix 1.

Figure 5.1 (BHC): Income distribution; all individuals and working-age adults with and without children by income band, 2008/09


Figure 5.1 (AHC): Income distribution; all individuals and working-age adults with and without children by income band, 2008/09


Tables in this chapter are;
5.1 Quintile distribution of income by: economic status of adults in the family; economic status of household; family type; gender by number of adults in the family and work status.
5.2 Quintile distribution of income by: age of head of family; tenure; ethnic group (three-year average); region and country (three-year average).
5.3 Quintile distribution of income by: disability and receipt of disability benefits; state support received by family; savings and investments; household bills in arrears; educational attainment.
5.4 - 5.6 Composition of low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 5.1-5.3.
5.7 - 5.9 Percentage of low-income working-age adults falling into various categories as outlined in Tables 5.1-5.3.
5.1tr - 5.4tr Trends over time for headline figures for years covered by the Family Expenditure Survey (FES) and the Family Resources Survey (FRS). Tables 5.1tr and 5.2 tr show the percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms respectively. Tables 5.3tr and 5.4tr show the number for the same measures as outlined for Tables 5.1tr and 5.2tr.
5.1ts - 5.2ts Populations over time Tables 5.1ts to 5.2ts present populations over time by: family type; family type and economic status of the family.

Working-age adults
5.3ts - 5.4ts Composition of working-age adults in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: family type; family type and economic status of the family.
5.5ts - 5.6ts Composition of working-age adults in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 5.3ts - 5.4ts.
5.7ts - 5.8ts Percentage of working-age adults in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by the categories outlined for Tables 5.3ts - 5.4ts.
5.9ts - 5.10ts Percentage of working-age adults in households with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 5.3ts - 5.4ts.

## Definition of low income

'Low income' is defined using thresholds derived from percentages of median income for the whole population. Households reporting the lowest incomes may not have the lowest living standards. The bottom ten per cent of the income distribution should not, therefore, be interpreted as having the bottom ten per cent of living standards. This is a particular issue for lower thresholds of median income. To reflect this uncertainty in these tables, results for the 50 per cent of median threshold are presented in italics.

## 'Workless, other inactive' working-age adults

The 'Workless, other inactive' group consists of families in which all adults are economically inactive (i.e. where no adult is in work or unemployed). This includes working-age adults in receipt of sickness and disability benefits, who may have living standards lower than those implied by the results presented because of additional costs associated with their disability (for which no adjustment has been made here).

Please see Appendix 1 for the full definitions of other economic status categories.

## Definition of 'benefit units' and 'households'

A family, or benefit unit, is a single adult or a couple living as married, together with any dependent children. An adult living in the same household as his or her parents, for example, is a separate benefit unit from the parents.

A household is a single person or group of people living at the same address as their only or main residence, who either share one meal a day together, or share the
living accommodation (i.e. the living room). A household will consist of one or more benefit units.

For the analysis of working and workless households, households are classified according to whether they contain a working-age adult or pensioner who works, but the status of non-working pensioners is ignored.

## Gender

In any analysis of gender, it must be remembered that HBAI attempts to measure the living standards of an individual as determined by household income. This assumes that both partners in a couple benefit equally from the household's income, and will therefore appear at the same position in the income distribution. Any differences in figures can only be driven by gender differences for single adults, which will themselves be diluted by the figures for couples. The lower level gender disaggregation in the family type classification is therefore likely to be more informative.

Research has suggested that, particularly in low-income households, the above assumption with regard to income sharing is not always valid as men sometimes benefit at the expense of women from shared household income. This means that it is possible that HBAI results broken down by gender could understate differences between the two groups.

## Tenure

The separate council and housing association splits have been removed from this publication. This is because a significant number of housing association tenants wrongly report that they are council tenants. The most common reason for this is where their home used to be owned by the council and although ownership has now transferred to a housing association, the tenant still thinks that their landlord is the council (local authority).

Following a user consultation it was also decided to remove the rented unfurnished and rented furnished splits from these tables.

## Ethnicity

Working-age individuals have been classified according to the ethnic group of the household reference person (see Appendix 1 for definition of household reference person), which means that information about households of mixed composition is lost.

Estimates showing ethnic breakdowns are presented as three-year averages because of small sample sizes. However, the figures must still be treated with some
caution, as the sample sizes are still small for certain ethnic minority groups, especially in the case of households headed by a person of mixed ethnicity.

## Region and country

Disaggregation by geographical regions is presented in this chapter as three-year averages. This presentation has been used as single-year regional estimates are considered too volatile. Estimates for the UK, however, are shown as single-year estimates for the latest available year.

The three-year average approach employed in this chapter for the presentation of smaller geographic areas is consistent with the approach used for time series. It reduces year-on-year variation by smoothing out differences.

This issue was further discussed in Appendix 5 of the 2004/05 HBAI publication, where regional time series using three-year averages were presented.

Although the FRS sample is large enough to allow some analysis to be performed at a regional level, it should be noted that no adjustment has been made for regional cost of living differences, as the necessary data are not available. In the analysis here it is therefore assumed that there is no difference in the cost of living between regions, although the After Housing Costs measure will partly take into account differences in housing costs.

## Disability

For this analysis, disability is defined as having any long-standing illness, disability or impairment that leads to a substantial difficulty with one or more areas of the individual's life. Everyone classified as disabled under this definition would also be classified as disabled under the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). However, some individuals classified as disabled under the DDA would not be captured by this definition.

No adjustment is made to disposable household income to take into account any additional costs that may be incurred due to the illness or disability in question. This means that the position in the income distribution of these groups, as shown here, may be somewhat upwardly biased.

## Pension provision

Following consultation with users, the questions on pension provision in the Family Resources Survey were changed in 2006/07 to try to provide more information on the type of private pension scheme and identify increasing participation within older age groups. However, problems were identified during analysis as some respondents reported dormant (closed) pension schemes memberships as if they were live pension scheme memberships with respondents making continuing
contributions. It has not been possible to identify and exclude all the dormant memberships on a consistent basis and the decision has been taken to withdraw estimates showing pension scheme membership by type of provision from Tables

## 5.3, 5.6 and 5.9.

## Savings and investments

The data relating to investments and savings should be treated with caution. Questions relating to investments are a sensitive section of the questionnaire and have the lowest response rate. A high proportion of respondents do not know the interest received on their investments. Evidence also suggests that there is some under-reporting of capital by respondents, in terms of both the actual values of the savings and the investment income.

## Bills in arrears

The number of bills in arrears is presented at a benefit unit level. Bills considered are: electricity, gas, other fuel, Council Tax, insurance, telephone, television / video rental, hire purchase and water rates.

## Educational attainment

Information for students should be treated with some caution because they are often dependent on irregular flows of income. They also receive a large proportion of their income from loans, which, with the exception of student loans, are not counted as income in HBAI. The figures are also not necessarily representative of all students because HBAI only covers private households and this excludes halls of residence.

## Trends over time for headline figures

All time trends are based on thresholds of median income, are presented for the UK and based on OECD equivalisation scales (see Appendix 2 for a discussion of the OECD scales). Such presentation is in accordance with the 2004 and 2007 Spending Reviews, as the bases for future measurement of child and pensioner poverty.

- A relative low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of contemporary median income.
- An 'absolute' low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of 1998/99 median income that have been held constant in real terms.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting results over short time periods, as changes are often small in relation to sampling variation and other sources of measurement error.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.1 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults | Net equivalised disposable household income So |  |  |  |  | FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle <br> quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 19 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 8 | 18 | 31 | 40 | 12.7 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 4 | 14 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 5.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 17 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 4.5 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 31 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 3.5 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 37 | 25 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 60 | 21 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Workless, other inactive | 46 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 4.2 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 6 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 34 | 22.1 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 24 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 13 | 9.7 |
| Workless households | 57 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 4.4 |
| Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 14 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 23.5 |
| Singles | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 12.6 |
| All working-age adults with children | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 13.1 |
| Couples | 17 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 11.1 |
| Singles | 37 | 31 | 18 | 10 | 4 | 2.0 |
| All working-age adults without children | 16 | 14 | 18 | 24 | 29 | 23.1 |
| Couples | 11 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 37 | 12.4 |
| Singles | 21 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 10.6 |
| Male | 21 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 6.6 |
| Female | 20 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 4.1 |
| Gender by number of adults in the family and work status ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 17 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 26 | 18.9 |
| Couple, in work | 11 | 14 | 20 | 25 | 31 | 11.0 |
| Couple, workless | 50 | 23 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 1.1 |
| Single, in work | 9 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 4.4 |
| Single, workless | 44 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Females | 18 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 17.3 |
| Couple, in work | 11 | 14 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 10.5 |
| Couple, workless | 56 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0.9 |
| Single, in work | 12 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 3.6 |
| Single, workless | 47 | 26 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 2.2 |
| All working-age adults | 17 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 36.1 |

[^6] example, a household with a pensioner in work, but a working-age person not in work, would be in the 'At least one adult in work, but not all' category. A household with all working-age adults in work and a pensioner not in work would be categorised as 'All adults in work'.
2. Lone parents have not been disaggregated by gender as sample sizes for lone-parent males are too small to allow robust estimates.
3. 'In work' is defined as one or more adults in the family in part-time or full-time work.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.1 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 22 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 28 | 3.6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 4 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 38 | 12.7 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 5 | 15 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 5.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 20 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 4.5 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 32 | 25 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 3.5 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 31 | 25 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 0.9 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 66 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Workless, other inactive | 53 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 4.2 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 8 | 12 | 20 | 27 | 33 | 22.1 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 28 | 24 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 9.7 |
| Workless households | 61 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4.4 |
| Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 15 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 23.5 |
| Singles | 28 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 12.6 |
| All working-age adults with children | 23 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 13.1 |
| Couples | 20 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 11.1 |
| Singles | 43 | 28 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 2.0 |
| All working-age adults without children | 18 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 23.1 |
| Couples | 12 | 10 | 17 | 25 | 36 | 12.4 |
| Singles | 25 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 10.6 |
| Male | 25 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 6.6 |
| Female | 25 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 4.1 |
| Gender by number of adults in the family and work status ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 19 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 18.9 |
| Couple, in work | 12 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 30 | 11.0 |
| Couple, workless | 49 | 22 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Single, in work | 12 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 27 | 4.4 |
| Single, workless | 50 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 2.4 |
| Females | 21 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 17.3 |
| Couple, in work | 12 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 29 | 10.5 |
| Couple, workless | 56 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0.9 |
| Single, in work | 15 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 3.6 |
| Single, workless | 56 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2.2 |
| All working-age adults | 20 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 36.1 |

Notes:

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For example, a household with a pensioner in work, but a working-age person not in work, would be in the 'At least one adult in work, but not all' category. A household with all working-age adults in work and a pensioner not in work would be categorised as 'All adults in work'.
2. Lone parents have not been disaggregated by gender as sample sizes for lone-parent males are too small to allow robust estimates.
3. 'In work' is defined as one or more adults in the family in part-time or full-time work.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.2 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults | Net equivalised disposable household income So |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All working |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | age adults (millions) |
| Age of head of family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 49 | 27 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 25-29 | 23 | 26 | 27 | 17 | 7 | 1.2 |
| 30-34 | 19 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 2.8 |
| 40-44 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 3.0 |
| 45-49 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 2.1 |
| 50-54 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 24 | 0.9 |
| 55 + | 23 | 24 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 0.4 |
| Without children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 11 | 1.6 |
| 20-24 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 19 | 3.4 |
| 25-29 | 10 | 8 | 17 | 26 | 38 | 2.7 |
| 30-34 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 26 | 45 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 42 | 1.5 |
| 40-44 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 1.7 |
| 45-49 | 16 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 29 | 2.2 |
| 50-54 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 2.7 |
| $55+$ | 19 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 5.4 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 12 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 30 | 25.2 |
| Owned outright | 18 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 26 | 7.4 |
| Buying with mortgage | 9 | 12 | 20 | 27 | 32 | 17.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 41 | 30 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 5.2 |
| All rented privately | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 5.8 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 15 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 32.3 |
| Mixed | 24 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 25 | 0.3 |
| Asian or Asian British | 33 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 1.8 |
| Indian | 21 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 26 | 0.8 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 51 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 0.7 |
| Black or Black British | 28 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 0.9 |
| Black Caribbean | 24 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 31 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 0.5 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 24 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 0.6 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 17 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 26 | 30.1 |
| North East | 22 | 17 | 21 | 22 | 17 | 1.5 |
| North West | 19 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 19 | 4.0 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 19 | 18 | 22 | 24 | 18 | 3.0 |
| East Midlands | 18 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 19 | 2.6 |
| West Midlands | 21 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 3.1 |
| East of England | 14 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 28 | 3.3 |
| London | 16 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 38 | 4.8 |
| Inner | 18 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 39 | 2.0 |
| Outer | 15 | 12 | 15 | 20 | 37 | 2.8 |
| South East | 11 | 13 | 17 | 24 | 35 | 4.8 |
| South West | 15 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 2.9 |
| Scotland | 16 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 3.1 |
| Wales | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 1.7 |
| Northern Ireland | 18 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 15 | 1.0 |
| All working-age adults ${ }^{1}$ | 17 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 36.1 |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults | Net equivalised disposable household income So |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All working- |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { quintile } \end{gathered}$ | age adults (millions) |
| Age of head of family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 56 | 24 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0.7 |
| 25-29 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 15 | 5 | 1.2 |
| 30-34 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 2.8 |
| 40-44 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 3.0 |
| 45-49 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 2.1 |
| 50-54 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 0.9 |
| $55+$ | 24 | 21 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 0.4 |
| Without children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 10 | 1.6 |
| 20-24 | 23 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 16 | 3.4 |
| 25-29 | 14 | 9 | 19 | 27 | 32 | 2.7 |
| 30-34 | 13 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 41 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 22 | 41 | 1.5 |
| 40-44 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 32 | 1.7 |
| 45-49 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 2.2 |
| 50-54 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 23 | 32 | 2.7 |
| 55 + | 18 | 15 | 16 | 22 | 29 | 5.4 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 11 | 14 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 25.2 |
| Owned outright | 13 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 7.4 |
| Buying with mortgage | 10 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 29 | 17.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 45 | 28 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 5.2 |
| All rented privately | 35 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 5.8 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 17 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 32.3 |
| Mixed | 31 | 19 | 14 | 15 | 22 | 0.3 |
| Asian or Asian British | 36 | 20 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 1.8 |
| Indian | 24 | 16 | 20 | 15 | 24 | 0.8 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 50 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Black or Black British | 35 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 0.9 |
| Black Caribbean | 28 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 0.4 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 41 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 0.5 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 32 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 0.6 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 19 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 30.1 |
| North East | 21 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 18 | 1.5 |
| North West | 20 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 4.0 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 19 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 19 | 3.0 |
| East Midlands | 19 | 16 | 21 | 24 | 19 | 2.6 |
| West Midlands | 21 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 3.1 |
| East of England | 17 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 26 | 3.3 |
| London | 24 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 33 | 4.8 |
| Inner | 26 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 33 | 2.0 |
| Outer | 23 | 11 | 14 | 19 | 33 | 2.8 |
| South East | 16 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 32 | 4.8 |
| South West | 17 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 2.9 |
| Scotland | 17 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 3.1 |
| Wales | 20 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 1.7 |
| Northern Ireland | 16 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 17 | 1.0 |
| All working-age adults ${ }^{1}$ | 20 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 36.1 |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.3 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults | Net equivalised disposable household income Sour |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | age adults (millions) |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 15 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 28.4 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child In receipt of disability benefits Not in receipt of disability benefits | 23 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 0.7 |
|  | 16 | 26 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 0.2 |
|  | 26 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child In receipt of disability benefits Not in receipt of disability benefits | 25 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 6.7 |
|  | 23 | 30 | 23 | 17 | 7 | 1.9 |
|  | 26 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 4.8 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child In receipt of disability benefits Not in receipt of disability benefits | 32 | 33 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 0.4 |
|  | 18 | 44 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 0.2 |
|  | 43 | 26 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 0.3 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 23 | 30 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 2.3 |
| Carer's Allowance | 22 | 35 | 26 | 13 | 4 | 0.6 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 59 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 31 | 30 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Child Tax Credit | 23 | 28 | 26 | 18 | 6 | 7.4 |
| Working Tax Credit | 27 | 35 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 2.7 |
| Income Support | 50 | 31 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Housing Benefit | 53 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2.9 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 12 | 11 | 17 | 26 | 34 | 24.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 30 | 23 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 12.3 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 16 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 18 | 7.3 |
| £1,500 but less than $£ 3,000$ | 12 | 14 | 18 | 27 | 29 | 2.6 |
| £3,000 but less than $£ 8,000$ | 9 | 13 | 19 | 29 | 31 | 4.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 9 | 8 | 19 | 29 | 36 | 1.1 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 9 | 17 | 25 | 39 | 2.2 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 10 | 9 | 13 | 28 | 40 | 0.9 |
| £20,000 or more | 8 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 52 | 4.9 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 15 | 15 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 31.4 |
| One bill in arrears | 38 | 26 | 18 | 11 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 46 | 29 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualification degree level or above | 8 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 49 | 8.6 |
| Qualification below degree level | 16 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 19.9 |
| Student | 27 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 1.8 |
| No qualifications | 33 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 5.8 |
| All working-age adults | 17 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 36.1 |

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The population figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to all working-age adults as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
3. Figures relate to the number of adults who answered the questions on arrears, some adults have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.3 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 18 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 28.4 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 26 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 12 | 0.7 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 21 | 20 | 33 | 18 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 29 | 23 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 26 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 6.7 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 22 | 30 | 23 | 17 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 28 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 4.8 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 33 | 33 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 0.4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 19 | 45 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 43 | 24 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 0.3 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 22 | 30 | 25 | 16 | 7 | 2.3 |
| Carer's Allowance | 20 | 36 | 26 | 13 | 4 | 0.6 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 64 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 30 | 30 | 22 | 13 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Child Tax Credit | 26 | 27 | 24 | 17 | 5 | 7.4 |
| Working Tax Credit | 30 | 35 | 22 | 10 | 3 | 2.7 |
| Income Support | 57 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Housing Benefit | 65 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 2.9 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 14 | 11 | 18 | 24 | 33 | 24.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 33 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 12.3 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 19 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 16 | 7.3 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 14 | 15 | 20 | 26 | 26 | 2.6 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 10 | 13 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 4.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 9 | 8 | 18 | 31 | 34 | 1.1 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 11 | 17 | 26 | 37 | 2.2 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 10 | 9 | 12 | 29 | 40 | 0.9 |
| £20,000 or more | 8 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 54 | 4.9 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 17 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 26 | 31.4 |
| One bill in arrears | 43 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 54 | 24 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1.6 |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualification degree level or above | 11 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 46 | 8.6 |
| Qualification below degree level | 18 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 19.9 |
| Student | 40 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 1.8 |
| No qualifications | 33 | 23 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 5.8 |
| All working-age adults | 20 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 36.1 |

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The population figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to all working-age adults as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
3. Figures relate to the number of adults who answered the questions on arrears, some adults have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.4: Composition of low-income groups of working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All workingage adults |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 35 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 15 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 8 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 12 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 10 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 15 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 4 |
| Workless, other inactive | 31 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 12 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 24 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 61 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 35 | 38 | 39 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 27 |
| Workless households | 42 | 41 | 37 | 39 | 37 | 33 | 12 |
| Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 54 | 53 | 54 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 65 |
| Singles | 46 | 47 | 46 | 50 | 48 | 47 | 35 |
| All working-age adults with children | 37 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 43 | 44 | 36 |
| Couples | 29 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 31 |
| Singles | 8 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 5 |
| All working-age adults without children | 63 | 59 | 56 | 59 | 57 | 56 | 64 |
| Couples | 25 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 34 |
| Singles | 38 | 36 | 34 | 39 | 36 | 35 | 29 |
| Male | 24 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 18 |
| Female | 14 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 11 |
| Gender by number of adults in the family and work status ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 54 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 52 |
| Couple, in work | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 31 |
| Couple, workless | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| Single, in work | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 12 |
| Single, workless | 19 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 7 |
| Females | 46 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 48 |
| Couple, in work | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 29 |
| Couple, workless | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| Single, in work | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Single, workless | 15 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 6 |
| All working-age adults (millions =100\%) | 3.6 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 36.1 |

[^7] example, a household with a pensioner in work, but a working-age person not in work, would be in the 'At least one adult in work, but not all' category. A household with all working-age adults in work and a pensioner not in work would be categorised as 'All adults in work'.
2. Lone parents have not been disaggregated by gender as sample sizes for lone-parent males are too small to allow robust estimates.
3. 'In work' is defined as one or more adults in the family in part-time or full-time work.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.5: Composition of low-income groups of working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | All working- |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | age adults |
| Age of head of family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 25-29 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 30-34 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 35-39 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| 40-44 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| 45-49 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 50-54 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $55+$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Without children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| 25-29 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| 30-34 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 35-39 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 40-44 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 45-49 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 50-54 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| $55+$ | 19 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 15 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 53 | 47 | 48 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 70 |
| Owned outright | 25 | 22 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 20 |
| Buying with mortgage | 28 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 49 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 28 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 14 |
| All rented privately | 19 | 19 | 19 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 16 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 81 | 82 | 83 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 90 |
| Mixed | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Asian or Asian British | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 |
| Indian | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Black or Black British | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Black Caribbean | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 83 | 83 | 83 | 85 | 85 | 84 | 84 |
| North East | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| North West | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| East Midlands | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| West Midlands | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| East of England | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| London | 14 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| Inner | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Outer | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| South East | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| South West | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Scotland | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Wales | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Northern Ireland | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| All working-age adults (millions=100\%) ${ }^{1}$ | 3.6 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 36.1 |

1. The totals for all working-age adults are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.6: Composition of low-income groups of working-age adults by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All workingage adults |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 72 | 69 | 68 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 78 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 25 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 18 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 13 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 6 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Carer's Allowance | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 3 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| Child Tax Credit | 20 | 26 | 29 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 20 |
| Working Tax Credit | 8 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 7 |
| Income Support | 9 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 5 |
| Housing Benefit | 19 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 8 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 54 | 47 | 45 | 49 | 47 | 46 | 68 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 54 | 58 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 56 | 34 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 13 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| £20,000 or more | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 14 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 77 | 74 | 75 | 74 | 74 | 76 | 87 |
| One bill in arrears | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 4 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 5 |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualification degree level or above | 14 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 24 |
| Qualification below degree level | 49 | 50 | 52 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 55 |
| Student | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 5 |
| No qualifications | 28 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 16 |
| All working-age adults (millions=100\%) | 3.6 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 36.1 |

## Notes

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The figures given for benefit/tax credit receipt do not sum to 100 as they are not mutually exclusive groups since people can receive more than one benefit.
3. Figures relate to the number of adults who answered the questions on arrears, some adults have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.7: Percentage of working-age adults in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 14 | 18 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12.7 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 5.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 6 | 15 | 25 | 15 | 24 | 32 | 4.5 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 16 | 28 | 37 | 26 | 35 | 44 | 3.5 |
| Workless, one or more aged 60 or over | 24 | 35 | 44 | 25 | 34 | 44 | 0.9 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 38 | 58 | 69 | 57 | 68 | 75 | 1.5 |
| Workless, other inactive | 26 | 43 | 55 | 44 | 57 | 65 | 4.2 |
| Economic status of household ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All adults in work | 4 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 22.1 |
| At least one adult in work, but not all | 13 | 23 | 32 | 22 | 31 | 39 | 9.7 |
| Workless households | 34 | 53 | 66 | 52 | 65 | 73 | 4.4 |
| Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 8 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 23.5 |
| Singles | 13 | 22 | 29 | 23 | 30 | 36 | 12.6 |
| All working-age adults with children | 10 | 18 | 27 | 18 | 26 | 33 | 13.1 |
| Couples | 9 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 22 | 28 | 11.1 |
| Singles | 14 | 33 | 47 | 32 | 48 | 58 | 2.0 |
| All working-age adults without children | 10 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 23.1 |
| Couples | 7 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 12.4 |
| Singles | 13 | 20 | 26 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 10.6 |
| Male | 13 | 20 | 26 | 22 | 26 | 31 | 6.6 |
| Female | 12 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 4.1 |
| Gender by number of adults in the family and work status ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 10 | 16 | 21 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 18.9 |
| Couple, in work | 6 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 11.0 |
| Couple, workless | 32 | 48 | 58 | 40 | 52 | 61 | 1.1 |
| Single, in work | 5 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 4.4 |
| Single, workless | 29 | 42 | 53 | 44 | 53 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Females | 10 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 23 | 28 | 17.3 |
| Couple, in work | 6 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 10.5 |
| Couple, workless | 36 | 53 | 65 | 46 | 60 | 69 | 0.9 |
| Single, in work | 5 | 10 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 24 | 3.6 |
| Single, workless | 24 | 44 | 57 | 46 | 60 | 68 | 2.2 |
| All working-age adults | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 36.1 |

1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For example, a household with a pensioner in work, but a working-age person not in work, would be in the 'At least one adult in work, but not all' category. A household with all working-age adults in work and a pensioner not in work would be categorised as 'All adults in work'.
2. Lone parents have not been disaggregated by gender as sample sizes for lone-parent males are too small to allow robust estimates.
3. 'In work' is defined as one or more adults in the family in part-time or full-time work.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.8: Percentage of working-age adults in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Age of head of family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 21 | 44 | 58 | 48 | 61 | 69 | 0.7 |
| 25-29 | 9 | 21 | 33 | 19 | 32 | 42 | 1.2 |
| 30-34 | 8 | 17 | 26 | 17 | 26 | 33 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 10 | 17 | 25 | 17 | 24 | 30 | 2.8 |
| 40-44 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 17 | 23 | 30 | 3.0 |
| 45-49 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 25 | 2.1 |
| 50-54 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 22 | 29 | 0.9 |
| 55 + | 13 | 20 | 31 | 20 | 27 | 33 | 0.4 |
| Without children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 14 | 23 | 30 | 23 | 30 | 38 | 1.6 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 3.4 |
| 25-29 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 2.7 |
| 30-34 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 1.9 |
| 35-39 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 1.5 |
| 40-44 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 1.7 |
| 45-49 | 9 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 2.2 |
| 50-54 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 2.7 |
| 55 + | 13 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 19 | 24 | 5.4 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 8 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 25.2 |
| Owned outright | 12 | 17 | 22 | 10 | 14 | 19 | 7.4 |
| Buying with mortgage | 6 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 17.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 19 | 37 | 51 | 36 | 49 | 59 | 5.2 |
| All rented privately | 12 | 19 | 26 | 30 | 37 | 42 | 5.8 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 9 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 32.3 |
| Mixed | 14 | 22 | 30 | 26 | 34 | 41 | 0.3 |
| Asian or Asian British | 20 | 31 | 41 | 29 | 39 | 46 | 1.8 |
| Indian | 13 | 20 | 25 | 19 | 26 | 30 | 0.8 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 30 | 49 | 64 | 41 | 56 | 67 | 0.7 |
| Black or Black British | 16 | 26 | 34 | 29 | 37 | 44 | 0.9 |
| Black Caribbean | 15 | 22 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 37 | 0.4 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 18 | 29 | 38 | 34 | 44 | 49 | 0.5 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 16 | 22 | 28 | 26 | 34 | 41 | 0.6 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 10 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 30.1 |
| North East | 11 | 20 | 27 | 16 | 24 | 28 | 1.5 |
| North West | 11 | 18 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 28 | 4.0 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 10 | 17 | 24 | 15 | 21 | 27 | 3.0 |
| East Midlands | 11 | 17 | 23 | 15 | 21 | 26 | 2.6 |
| West Midlands | 12 | 19 | 26 | 17 | 23 | 30 | 3.1 |
| East of England | 8 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 3.3 |
| London | 10 | 15 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 4.8 |
| Inner | 10 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 2.0 |
| Outer | 10 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 2.8 |
| South East | 7 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 4.8 |
| South West | 9 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 25 | 2.9 |
| Scotland | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 3.1 |
| Wales | 11 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 22 | 28 | 1.7 |
| Northern Ireland | 10 | 17 | 25 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 1.0 |
| All working-age adults ${ }^{1}$ | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 36.1 |
| Note: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.9: Percentage of working-age adults in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All workingage adults (millions) |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult, no disabled child | 9 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 24 | 28.4 |
| No disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 8 | 20 | 28 | 19 | 28 | 37 | 0.7 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 3 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 22 | 27 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 10 | 24 | 31 | 22 | 31 | 41 | 0.5 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, no disabled child | 13 | 23 | 32 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 6.7 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 10 | 21 | 32 | 16 | 25 | 35 | 1.9 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 15 | 24 | 32 | 23 | 30 | 37 | 4.8 |
| 1 or more disabled adult, 1 or more disabled child | 15 | 29 | 44 | 27 | 38 | 49 | 0.4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 10 | 16 | 33 | 16 | 26 | 40 | 0.2 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 18 | 39 | 53 | 34 | 46 | 55 | 0.3 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 10 | 20 | 31 | 16 | 25 | 36 | 2.3 |
| Carer's Allowance | 7 | 17 | 29 | 14 | 23 | 36 | 0.6 |
| Jobseeker's Allowance | 36 | 57 | 68 | 56 | 67 | 74 | 1.1 |
| Incapacity Benefit | 15 | 28 | 40 | 25 | 33 | 44 | 1.7 |
| Child Tax Credit | 10 | 20 | 31 | 19 | 30 | 39 | 7.4 |
| Working Tax Credit | 11 | 23 | 38 | 21 | 34 | 47 | 2.7 |
| Income Support | 19 | 45 | 61 | 43 | 62 | 72 | 1.8 |
| Housing Benefit | 23 | 49 | 65 | 53 | 70 | 79 | 2.9 |
| Not in receipt of any state support listed above | 8 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 24.4 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 16 | 27 | 37 | 27 | 37 | 44 | 12.3 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 8 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 7.3 |
| £1,500 but less than $£ 3,000$ | 7 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 2.6 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 6 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 4.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 1.1 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 7 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 2.2 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 8 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 0.9 |
| £20,000 or more | 6 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 4.9 |
| Household bills in arrears ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bills in arrears | 9 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 31.4 |
| One bill in arrears | 21 | 35 | 46 | 35 | 47 | 56 | 1.5 |
| Two or more bills in arrears | 23 | 41 | 56 | 44 | 58 | 66 | 1.6 |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualification degree level or above | 6 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 8.6 |
| Qualification below degree level | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 | 19.9 |
| Student | 18 | 27 | 34 | 33 | 42 | 49 | 1.8 |
| No qualifications | 18 | 30 | 41 | 27 | 36 | 44 | 5.8 |
| All working-age adults | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 36.1 |

## Notes:

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The population figures given for receipt of benefit/tax credit do not sum to all working-age adults as they are not mutually exclusive groups since people can receive more than one benefit.
3. Figures relate to the number of adults who answered the questions on arrears, some adults have not been included in these breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.1tr: Percentage of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) ${ }^{4}$ | 1979 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 14 |  |
|  | 1981 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 17 |  |
|  | 1987 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 10 | 16 | 22 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 12 | 17 | 22 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 23 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 14 | 19 | 25 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 25 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 25 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 26 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 25 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 25 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 25 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 24 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 26 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 26 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 26 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 27 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of working-age adults below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 5.2tr: Percentage of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 12 | 21 | 33 | 14 | 24 | 36 |  |
|  | 1981 | 14 | 24 | 35 | 17 | 26 | 37 |  |
|  | 1987 | 13 | 20 | 28 | 17 | 24 | 31 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 27 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 21 | 27 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 22 | 28 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 12 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 23 | 28 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 11 | 17 | 24 | 16 | 21 | 27 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 10 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 21 | 26 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 26 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 17 | 23 | 29 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 23 | 29 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 10 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 22 | 27 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 9 | 16 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 25 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 25 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 23 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 22 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 19 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 19 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 18 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 18 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 14 | 19 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 14 | 18 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 19 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 20 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -2 | -4 | -5 | -3 | -4 | -5 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentage of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of working-age adults below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## 5 Working-age adults

Table 5.3tr: Number of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Number of working-age adults (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS <br> All working-age adults |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) ${ }^{4}$ | 1979 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 31.2 |
|  | 1981 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 31.6 |
|  | 1987 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 33.1 |
|  | 1988/89 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 33.6 |
|  | 1990/91 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 33.5 |
|  | 1991/92 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 33.7 |
|  | 1992/93 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 33.9 |
|  | 1993/95 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 33.9 |
|  | 1994/96 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 34.1 |
|  | 1995/97 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 34.3 |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 32.8 |
|  | 1995/96 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 32.8 |
|  | 1996/97 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 32.9 |
|  | 1997/98 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 33.1 |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 34.1 |
|  | 1999/00 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 34.3 |
|  | 2000/01 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 34.4 |
|  | 2001/02 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 34.7 |
|  | 2002/03 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 34.8 |
|  | 2003/04 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 35.0 |
|  | 2004/05 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 35.2 |
|  | 2005/06 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 35.5 |
|  | 2006/07 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 35.8 |
|  | 2007/08 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 35.9 |
|  | 2008/09 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 36.1 |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of working-age adults below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 5.4tr: Number of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Number of working-age adults (millions) |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All working-age adults |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 31.2 |
|  | 1981 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 11.1 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 31.6 |
|  | 1987 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 10.2 | 33.1 |
|  | 1988/89 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 33.6 |
|  | 1990/91 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 33.5 |
|  | 1991/92 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 33.7 |
|  | 1992/93 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 33.9 |
|  | 1993/95 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 33.9 |
|  | 1994/96 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 34.1 |
|  | 1995/97 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 34.3 |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 32.8 |
|  | 1995/96 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 32.8 |
|  | 1996/97 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 32.9 |
|  | 1997/98 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 33.1 |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 34.1 |
|  | 1999/00 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 34.3 |
|  | 2000/01 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 34.4 |
|  | 2001/02 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 34.7 |
|  | 2002/03 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 34.8 |
|  | 2003/04 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 35.0 |
|  | 2004/05 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 35.2 |
|  | 2005/06 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 35.5 |
|  | 2006/07 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 35.8 |
|  | 2007/08 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 35.9 |
|  | 2008/09 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 36.1 |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.4 | -1.2 | -1.4 | -0.8 | -1.1 | -1.2 | 2.0 |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of working-age adults below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## Table 5.1ts: Population of working-age adults by family type, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of working-age adults who | million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| Couples | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.4 |
| Single male | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Single female | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Working-age adults with children | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.1 |
| Couples | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.1 |
| Singles | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| All working-age adults (millions) | 32.8 | 32.8 | 32.9 | 33.1 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 35.2 | 35.5 | 35.8 | 35.9 | 36.1 |
| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 62 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| Couples | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| Single male | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Single female | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Working-age adults with children | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Couples | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| Singles | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.2ts: Population of working-age adults by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of working-age adults whose econ | s is: ( m | ions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| Working-age adults with children | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.1 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| All working-age adults (millions) | 32.8 | 32.8 | 32.9 | 33.1 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 35.2 | 35.5 | 35.8 | 35.9 | 36.1 |
| Percentage of working-age adults whose | tatus is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 62 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| Working-age adults with children | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |


| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Workless, other inactive category includes workless, one or more aged 60 or over.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Table 5.3ts: Composition of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | 97198 | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 58 | 59 |
| Couples | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Single male | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| Single female | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Working-age adults with children | 51 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 41 |
| Couples | 39 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 |
| Singles | 12 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 51 | 51 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 57 |
| Couples | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Single male | 21 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| Single female | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Working-age adults with children | 49 | 49 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 43 |
| Couples | 35 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 31 |
| Singles | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.4ts: Composition of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 58 | 59 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 18 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 26 |
| Working-age adults with children | 51 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 41 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 14 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 51 | 51 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 57 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 16 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 19 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| Working-age adults with children | 49 | 49 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 43 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Workless, other inactive category includes workless, one or more aged 60 or over.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.5ts: Composition of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 real-terms median household income, by family type, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 57 | 57 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 62 |
| Couples | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| Single male | 19 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 24 |
| Single female | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 14 |
| Working-age adults with children | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| Couples | 38 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 |
| Singles | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 51 | 50 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 |
| Couples | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| Single male | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 25 |
| Single female | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Working-age adults with children | 49 | 50 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 |
| Couples | 36 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Singles | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 5.6ts: Composition of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 real-terms median household income, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | $06 / 07$ | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 57 | 57 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 62 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 28 |
| Working-age adults with children | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 9 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 12 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 51 | 50 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 26 |
| Working-age adults with children | 49 | 50 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Notes

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Workless, other inactive category includes workless, one or more aged 60 or over.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

Table 5.7ts: Percentage of working-age adults living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | 97198 | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Couples | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Single male | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| Single female | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 19 |
| Working-age adults with children | 20 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Couples | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| Singles | 37 | 33 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| Couples | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Single male | 25 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 |
| Single female | 22 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 27 |
| Working-age adults with children | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| Couples | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 |
| Singles | 58 | 57 | 62 | 58 | 59 | 57 | 54 | 55 | 53 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 49 | 48 | 48 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 5.8ts: Percentage of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of contemporary median household income, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 15 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 16 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 17 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 23 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 42 | 43 | 50 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 59 | 54 | 55 | 61 | 54 | 55 | 53 | 59 | 52 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 26 | 23 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Working-age adults with children | 20 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 25 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 14 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 35 | 31 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 41 | 33 | 38 | 34 | 32 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 76 | 72 | 77 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 81 | 80 | 82 | 74 | 75 | 80 | 76 | 78 | 75 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 50 | 47 | 56 | 61 | 57 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 58 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 56 | 53 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 18 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 17 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 23 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 29 | 28 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 58 | 60 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 63 | 63 | 69 | 63 | 64 | 61 | 66 | 60 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 39 | 36 | 43 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 43 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 43 | 45 | 49 | 47 | 47 |
| Working-age adults with children | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 30 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 20 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 29 | 28 | 29 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 43 | 43 | 50 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 42 | 39 | 42 | 44 | 42 | 46 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 89 | 88 | 90 | 90 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 90 | 93 | 87 | 85 | 92 | 91 | 92 | 91 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 73 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 77 | 78 | 74 | 78 | 77 | 76 | 73 | 73 | 74 | 73 | 71 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Workless, other inactive category includes workless, one or more aged 60 or over.

Table 5.9ts: Percentage of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of $1998 / 99$ median household income held constant in real terms, by family type, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | $95 / 96$ | 96/97 | 97198 | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | 07108 | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Couples | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Single male | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Single female | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 |
| Working-age adults with children | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Couples | 21 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Singles | 47 | 44 | 46 | 43 | 40 | 37 | 29 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 16 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| Couples | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Single male | 28 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 21 |
| Single female | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| Working-age adults with children | 30 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 17 |
| Couples | 25 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| Singles | 64 | 64 | 65 | 60 | 59 | 55 | 49 | 43 | 39 | 36 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 23 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 5.10ts: Percentage of working-age adults living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median household income held constant in real terms, by family type and economic status of the family, United Kingdom

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 17 | 17 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 15 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 50 | 50 | 52 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 44 | 48 | 52 | 45 | 43 | 40 | 46 | 36 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 33 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 28 | 27 |
| Working-age adults with children | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 29 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 16 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 19 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 39 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 23 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 82 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 78 | 74 | 73 | 63 | 65 | 52 | 55 | 59 | 54 | 58 | 47 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 63 | 60 | 59 | 63 | 57 | 55 | 46 | 37 | 38 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 30 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working-age adults without children | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 20 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 15 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 9 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 27 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 21 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 61 | 63 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 64 | 56 | 56 | 60 | 53 | 54 | 49 | 55 | 50 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 44 | 42 | 45 | 41 | 40 | 41 | 39 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 38 | 36 | 36 |
| Working-age adults with children | 30 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 17 |
| One or more full-time self-employed | 33 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Single/couple all in full-time work | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Couple, one full-time, one part-time work | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Couple, one full-time work, one not working | 26 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 17 |
| No full-time, one or more in part-time work | 51 | 53 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 48 | 39 | 37 | 32 | 29 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 30 |
| Workless, one or more unemployed | 92 | 92 | 92 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 84 | 84 | 78 | 72 | 77 | 78 | 77 | 70 |
| Workless, other inactive ${ }^{2}$ | 80 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 75 | 69 | 65 | 61 | 55 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 46 |
| All working-age adults (per cent) | 23 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 |

## Notes

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Workless, other inactive category includes workless, one or more aged 60 or over.

## Chapter 6

## Pensioners

- Contemporary trends: Between 1994/95 and 2008/09, there was no consistent change in the proportion or number of pensioners living in households below thresholds of contemporary median income on a Before Housing Costs basis; there has been a drop between 2007/08 and 2008/09, following a rise between 2005/06 and 2006/07 and no change between 2006/07 and 2007/08. For income After Housing Costs, the proportions and numbers showed a marked fall, from 1998/99, before an increase between 2005/06 and 2006/07 and a decrease between 2006/07 and 2008/09.
- Real trends: From 1994/95 to 2008/09, there were pronounced falls in the proportions and numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds held constant in real terms, on both income measures, with 2008/09 showing a reduction compared to 2007/08.
- Quintile distributions: In 2008/09, pensioners were more likely to be in the second lowest income quintile and less likely to be in the top two quintiles of the income distribution, than the population as a whole.
- Family type: A higher proportion of single pensioners living alone were living in low-income households than any other group.
- Disability status: Pensioners in families containing one or more disabled adults not receiving disability benefits were more likely to be living in low-income households compared to those in receipt of disability benefits.
- Ethnicity: Pensioners living in a household headed by someone from an ethnic minority were more likely to be in a low-income household. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin.
- Pensions receipt: Around 45 per cent of pensioner families in households below 60 per cent of contemporary median household income After Housing Costs had someone receiving an occupational or personal pension compared to around 70 per cent of all pensioners.
- Age: In general, the older the age of the pensioners, the greater the likelihood of low income.
- Material deprivation: Almost half of pensioners in the lowest quintile were unable to take a holiday away from home, the most common reason across all quintiles for this was health or disability issues. Over three quarters of pensioners in the lowest quintile would be able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, the most common way of doing this across all quintiles was to use savings. Only 1 per cent of all pensioners did not have at least one filling meal a day.


## Introduction

This chapter examines the position of pensioners in the income distribution in 2008/09 and looks in more detail at how this might be linked to their family or household characteristics. High-level trends over time from 1994/95 onwards are also examined, with data for Great Britain from 1994/95 to 1997/98 and for the United Kingdom for some groups from 1998/99 to 2001/02 and for all groups from 2002/03 onwards.

Pensioners are defined as all those adults above state pension age. This chapter includes results only for those individuals above state pension age. Thus, any partners below state pension age will be excluded from results in this chapter, but will be included in Chapter 5. This differs from Chapter 3, where both adults in a couple with one adult above state retirement age and one below are classified as a pensioner couple.

## The position of pensioners in the overall income distribution

Figure 6.1 compares the income distribution of pensioners in 2008/09 with that of the entire population. An explanation of how negative incomes can occur After Housing Costs and zero incomes Before Housing Costs is given in Appendix 1.

Figure 6.1 (BHC): Income distribution; all individuals and pensioners by income band, 2008/09


Figure 6.1 (AHC): Income distribution; all individuals and pensioners by income band, 2008/09


Tables in this chapter are;
6.1 Quintile distribution of income by: economic status of adults in the family; age; family type; gender; disability and receipt of disability benefits; tenure; ethnic group (three-year average).
6.2 Quintile distribution of income by: pensions receipt; state support received by family; savings and investments; region and country (three-year average).
6.3-6.4 Composition of low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 6.1-6.2.
6.5 - 6.6 Percentage of pensioners falling into low-income groups with categories as outlined for Tables 6.1-6.2.
6.7 Material deprivation Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by extent of their deprivation of items and services.
6.1tr - 6.6tr Trends over time for headline figures for years covered by the Family Expenditure Survey (FES) and the Family Resources Survey (FRS). Tables 6.1tr and 6.2tr show the percentage falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms respectively. Tables 6.3tr and 6.4tr show the number for the same measures as outlined for Tables 6.1tr and 6.2tr, while Tables 6.5tr and 6.6tr present a series that only includes pensioners aged 65 or over. This is in line with international measures of poverty and will provide a consistent time series going forward in light of the equalisation of state pension age for men and women that begins in 2010.

Pensioners
6.1ts - 6.3ts Populations over time Tables 6.1ts to 6.3ts present populations over time by: age and gender; tenure; region and country (three-year average).
6.4ts - 6.5ts Composition of pensioners in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: age and gender; tenure.
6.6ts - 6.9ts Percentage of pensioners in households with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: age and gender; tenure; region and country (three-year average, the number of pensioners can be found in Table 6.9ts).
6.10ts - 6.13ts Percentage of pensioners in households below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms over time by the categories outlined for Tables 6.6ts - 6.9ts. The number of pensioners by region and country (three-year average) can be found in Table 6.13ts.
6.14ts - 6.15ts Composition of pensioners in households with incomes below 50 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: age and gender; tenure.
6.16ts - 6.19ts Percentage of pensioners in households below 50 per cent of contemporary median income over time by: age and gender; tenure; region and country (three-year average, the number of pensioners can be found in Table 6.19ts).

## Definition of low income

'Low income' is defined using thresholds derived from percentages of median income for the whole population. Households reporting the lowest incomes may not have the lowest living standards. The bottom ten per cent of the income distribution should not, therefore, be interpreted as having the bottom ten per cent of living standards. This is a particular issue for lower thresholds of median income. To reflect this uncertainty in these tables, results for the 50 per cent of median threshold are presented in italics.

## Definition of 'benefit units' and 'households'

A family, or benefit unit, is a single adult or a couple living as married, together with any dependent children. An adult living in the same household as his or her parents, for example, is a separate benefit unit from the parents.

A household is a single person or group of people living at the same address as their only or main residence, who either share one meal a day together, or share the living accommodation (i.e. the living room). A household will consist of one or more benefit units.

## Age and gender

This is based on the age of pensioners in the household. The first age band of 60 to 64 year olds will be made up only of females.

In any analysis of gender or age, it must be remembered that HBAI attempts to measure the living standards of an individual as determined by household income. This assumes that both partners in a couple benefit equally from the household's income, and will therefore appear at the same position in the income distribution.

Any differences in gender figures can only be driven by gender differences for single adults, which will themselves be diluted by the figures for couples.

Research has suggested that, particularly in low-income households, the above assumption with regard to income sharing is not always valid as men sometimes benefit at the expense of women from shared household income. This means that it is possible that HBAI results broken down by gender could understate differences between the two groups.

## Disability

For this analysis, disability is defined as having any long-standing illness, disability or impairment that leads to a substantial difficulty with one or more areas of the individual's life. Everyone classified as disabled under this definition would also be classified as disabled under the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). However, some individuals classified as disabled under the DDA would not be captured by this definition.

No adjustment is made to disposable household income to take into account any additional costs that may be incurred due to the illness or disability in question. This means that the position in the income distribution of these groups, as shown here, may be somewhat upwardly biased.

## Tenure

The separate council and housing association splits have been removed from this publication. This is because a significant number of housing association tenants wrongly report that they are council tenants. The most common reason for this is where their home used to be owned by the council and although ownership has now transferred to a housing association, the tenant still thinks that their landlord is the council (local authority).

Following a user consultation it was also decided to remove the rented unfurnished and rented furnished splits from these tables.

## Ethnicity

Pensioners have been classified according to the ethnic group of the household reference person (see Appendix 1 for definition of household reference person), which means that information about households of mixed composition is lost.

Estimates showing ethnic breakdowns are presented as three-year averages because of small sample sizes. However, the figures must still be treated with some caution, as the sample sizes are still small for certain ethnic minority groups. Categories with very small sample sizes have been suppressed.

## Pension provision

Following consultation with users, the questions on pension provision in the Family Resources Survey were changed in 2006/07 to try to provide more information on the type of private pension scheme and identify increasing participation within older age groups. However, problems were identified during analysis as some respondents reported dormant (closed) pension schemes memberships as if they were live pension scheme memberships with respondents making continuing contributions. It has not been possible to identify and exclude all the dormant memberships on a consistent basis, although we have sought to minimise any discontinuity.

## Savings and investments

The data relating to investments and savings should be treated with caution. Questions relating to investments are a sensitive section of the questionnaire and have the lowest response rate. A high proportion of respondents do not know the interest received on their investments. Evidence also suggests that there is some under-reporting of capital by respondents, in terms of both the actual values of the savings and the investment income.

## Region and country

Disaggregation by geographical regions is presented in this chapter as three-year averages. This presentation has been used as single-year regional estimates are considered too volatile. Estimates for the UK, however, are shown as single-year estimates for the latest available year.

The three-year average approach employed in this chapter for the presentation of smaller geographic areas is consistent with the approach used for time series. It reduces year-on-year variation by smoothing out differences.

This issue was further discussed in Appendix 5 of the 2004/05 HBAI publication, where regional time series using three-year averages were presented.

Although the FRS sample is large enough to allow some analysis to be performed at a regional level, it should be noted that no adjustment has been made for regional cost of living differences, as the necessary data are not available. In the analysis here it is therefore assumed that there is no difference in the cost of living between regions, although the After Housing Costs measure will partly take into account differences in housing costs.

## Material deprivation

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by pensioners has been included in the Family Resources Survey since May 2008. Respondents are asked whether they have access to 15 goods and services. In all cases except being able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, if they do not have a good or service, they are asked whether this is because; they do not have the money for this; it is not a priority on their current income; their health / disability prevents them; it is too much trouble or tiring; they have no one to do this with or help them; it is not something they want; it is not relevant to them; other. For the unexpected expense question, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded yes would pay. Options were: use own income but cut back on essentials; use own income but not need to cut back on essentials; use savings; use a form of credit; get money from friends or family; other. An analysis of how pensioners responded to these questions by their location on the income distribution is given in this chapter (see Table 6.7).

## Trends over time for headline figures

All time trends are based on thresholds of median income, are presented for the UK and based on OECD equivalisation scales (see Appendix 2 for a discussion of the OECD scales). Such presentation is in accordance with the 2004 and 2007 Spending Reviews, as the bases for future measurement of child and pensioner poverty.

- A relative low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of contemporary median income.
- An 'absolute' low-income indicator - the proportions of each group that are below thresholds of 1998/99 median income that have been held constant in real terms.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting results over short time periods, as changes are often small in relation to sampling variation and other sources of measurement error.

Pensioners
Table 6.1 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All pensioners (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more working | 9 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 31 | 1.9 |
| No one working | 26 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 8 | 9.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 1.9 |
| 65-69 | 20 | 27 | 22 | 16 | 15 | 2.6 |
| 70-74 | 24 | 30 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 2.3 |
| 75-79 | 26 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 8 | 1.9 |
| 80-84 | 29 | 31 | 20 | 14 | 6 | 1.5 |
| $85+$ | 26 | 30 | 23 | 15 | 6 | 1.1 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple living with others | 13 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 17 | 0.6 |
| Couple living alone | 22 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 6.1 |
| Single living with others | 15 | 26 | 27 | 21 | 11 | 0.8 |
| Single living alone | 29 | 32 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 3.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 22 | 27 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 4.2 |
| Female | 24 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 7.1 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult | 25 | 24 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 4.9 |
| One or more disabled adults | 22 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 7 | 6.4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 11 | 31 | 33 | 20 | 5 | 2.6 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 30 | 30 | 19 | 12 | 9 | 3.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 25 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 8.9 |
| Owned outright | 26 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 13 | 8.1 |
| Buying with mortgage | 14 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 0.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 17 | 45 | 24 | 12 | 1 | 1.9 |
| All rented privately | 25 | 33 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 0.5 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 24 | 28 | 22 | 15 | 11 | 10.7 |
| Mixed | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Asian or Asian British | 39 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Indian | 39 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 14 | 0.1 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 48 | 23 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Black or Black British | 31 | 32 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Black Caribbean | 35 | 31 | 19 | 10 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 34 | 21 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{3}$ | 23 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 11 | 11.3 |

## Notes:

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The figures for Mixed and Black Non-Caribbean have been suppressed due to small sample sizes.
3. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.1 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | pensioners (millions) |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more working | 6 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 38 | 1.9 |
| No one working | 15 | 31 | 24 | 19 | 11 | 9.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 1.9 |
| 65-69 | 13 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 2.6 |
| 70-74 | 12 | 31 | 25 | 20 | 12 | 2.3 |
| 75-79 | 13 | 32 | 25 | 18 | 12 | 1.9 |
| 80-84 | 16 | 31 | 23 | 19 | 11 | 1.5 |
| $85+$ | 15 | 29 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 1.1 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple living with others | 9 | 23 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 0.6 |
| Couple living alone | 12 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 6.1 |
| Single living with others | 13 | 22 | 28 | 24 | 13 | 0.8 |
| Single living alone | 16 | 33 | 21 | 19 | 11 | 3.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 4.2 |
| Female | 14 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 15 | 7.1 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult | 14 | 25 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 4.9 |
| One or more disabled adults | 13 | 29 | 26 | 21 | 11 | 6.4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 6 | 25 | 34 | 28 | 8 | 2.6 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 17 | 33 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 3.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 11 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 8.9 |
| Owned outright | 11 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 8.1 |
| Buying with mortgage | 11 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 0.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 20 | 45 | 20 | 13 | 2 | 1.9 |
| All rented privately | 27 | 34 | 19 | 15 | 6 | 0.5 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 14 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 10.7 |
| Mixed | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Asian or Asian British | 30 | 25 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 0.2 |
| Indian | 27 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 0.1 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 40 | 29 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Black or Black British | 29 | 33 | 18 | 14 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Black Caribbean | 30 | 35 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 27 | 22 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{3}$ | 13 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 11.3 |

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The figures for Mixed and Black Non-Caribbean have been suppressed due to small sample sizes.
3. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Pensioners
Table 6.2 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All pensioners (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Pensions receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 21 | 25 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 6.6 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 38 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 1.5 |
| Only one with occupational/personal pension | 20 | 29 | 21 | 16 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Both with occupational/personal pensions | 10 | 20 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 2.0 |
| Single | 27 | 31 | 22 | 14 | 7 | 4.7 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 35 | 33 | 17 | 10 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Occupational/personal pension | 21 | 29 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 2.8 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 12 | 31 | 35 | 18 | 4 | 1.4 |
| Attendance Allowance | 9 | 30 | 33 | 23 | 6 | 1.2 |
| Pension Credit | 29 | 37 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Housing Benefit | 11 | 50 | 26 | 12 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Not in receipt of any benefit listed above | 24 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 7.2 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 32 | 33 | 20 | 11 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 29 | 31 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 1.4 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 27 | 34 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 0.7 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 29 | 31 | 22 | 14 | 5 | 1.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 26 | 33 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 0.4 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 23 | 29 | 23 | 15 | 9 | 1.0 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 24 | 29 | 24 | 14 | 8 | 0.5 |
| £20,000 or more | 11 | 18 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 3.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 25 | 27 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 9.3 |
| North East | 24 | 37 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 0.5 |
| North West | 25 | 31 | 22 | 14 | 8 | 1.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 28 | 31 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 0.9 |
| East Midlands | 30 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 0.8 |
| West Midlands | 25 | 27 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 1.0 |
| East of England | 24 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 1.1 |
| London | 24 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 15 | 1.0 |
| Inner | 23 | 27 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Outer | 24 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 0.7 |
| South East | 21 | 24 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 1.6 |
| South West | 24 | 25 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 1.1 |
| Scotland | 22 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Wales | 28 | 26 | 22 | 14 | 9 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 32 | 25 | 23 | 14 | 7 | 0.3 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{2}$ | 23 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 11 | 11.3 |

## Notes:

1. The population figures given for benefit receipt do not sum to all pensioners as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.2 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All pensioners (millions) |
|  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |
| Pensions receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 12 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 6.6 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 27 | 31 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 1.5 |
| Only one with occupational/personal pension | 9 | 29 | 26 | 20 | 16 | 3.2 |
| Both with occupational/personal pensions | 5 | 16 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 2.0 |
| Single | 15 | 31 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 4.7 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 23 | 39 | 18 | 13 | 6 | 1.9 |
| Occupational/personal pension | 10 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 16 | 2.8 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 6 | 26 | 36 | 25 | 6 | 1.4 |
| Attendance Allowance | 4 | 22 | 32 | 32 | 11 | 1.2 |
| Pension Credit | 12 | 49 | 21 | 15 | 2 | 1.9 |
| Housing Benefit | 15 | 50 | 19 | 13 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Not in receipt of any benefit listed above | 14 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 7.2 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 22 | 38 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 17 | 34 | 26 | 16 | 7 | 1.4 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 14 | 35 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 0.7 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 13 | 34 | 26 | 18 | 9 | 1.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 14 | 27 | 31 | 19 | 8 | 0.4 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 12 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 12 | 1.0 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 13 | 27 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 0.5 |
| £20,000 or more | 6 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 34 | 3.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year averages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 15 | 28 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 9.3 |
| North East | 13 | 34 | 29 | 17 | 7 | 0.5 |
| North West | 14 | 31 | 26 | 18 | 11 | 1.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 16 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 0.9 |
| East Midlands | 16 | 31 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 0.8 |
| West Midlands | 13 | 29 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 1.0 |
| East of England | 15 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 16 | 1.1 |
| London | 20 | 26 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 1.0 |
| Inner | 25 | 27 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 0.3 |
| Outer | 17 | 25 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 0.7 |
| South East | 13 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 1.6 |
| South West | 14 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 17 | 1.1 |
| Scotland | 11 | 31 | 25 | 20 | 13 | 0.9 |
| Wales | 15 | 29 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 18 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 11 | 0.3 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{2}$ | 13 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 11.3 |

## Notes:

1. The population figures given for benefit receipt do not sum to all pensioners as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.3: Composition of low-income groups of pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All pensioners |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more working | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 16 |
| No one working | 93 | 93 | 94 | 92 | 92 | 94 | 84 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 17 |
| 65-69 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 |
| 70-74 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 75-79 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| 80-84 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| 85 + | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple living with others | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Couple living alone | 46 | 49 | 50 | 47 | 50 | 49 | 54 |
| Single living with others | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Single living alone | 46 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 42 | 34 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30 | 34 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 37 |
| Female | 70 | 66 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 63 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult | 50 | 48 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 43 |
| One or more disabled adults | 50 | 52 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 57 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 7 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 23 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 43 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 34 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 84 | 83 | 80 | 65 | 67 | 65 | 79 |
| Owned outright | 80 | 79 | 75 | 59 | 61 | 59 | 72 |
| Buying with mortgage | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 10 | 12 | 16 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 17 |
| All rented privately | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 93 | 95 | 95 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 96 |
| Mixed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian or Asian British | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Indian | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Black or Black British | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Black Caribbean | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| All pensioners (millions=100\%) ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 11.3 |

## Notes:

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.4: Composition of low-income groups of pensioners by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  | All |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | pensioners |
| Pensions receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 49 | 52 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 52 | 59 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 25 | 22 | 19 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 13 |
| Only one with occupational/personal pension | 17 | 23 | 25 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 28 |
| Both with occupational/personal pensions | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 18 |
| Single | 51 | 48 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 41 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 32 | 26 | 24 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 17 |
| Occupational/personal pension | 19 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 25 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| Attendance Allowance | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| Pension Credit | 17 | 21 | 22 | 13 | 18 | 26 | 17 |
| Housing Benefit | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 22 | 13 |
| Not in receipt of any benefit listed above | 75 | 68 | 64 | 72 | 66 | 57 | 64 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 31 | 29 | 28 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 21 |
| Less than $£ 1,500$ | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 12 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 15 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 15 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 10 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| £20,000 or more | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 29 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 83 | 83 | 83 | 85 | 85 | 84 | 84 |
| North East | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| North West | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| East Midlands | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| West Midlands | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| East of England | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| London | 10 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 |
| Inner | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Outer | 7 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| South East | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| South West | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Scotland | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Wales | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Northern Ireland | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| All pensioners (millions=100\%) ${ }^{2}$ | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 11.3 |

1. The population figures given for benefit receipt do not sum to all pensioners as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 6 <br> Pensioners

Table 6.5: Percentage of pensioners in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All pensioners (millions) |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Economic status of adults in the family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or more working | 5 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 1.9 |
| No one working | 12 | 23 | 35 | 10 | 18 | 29 | 9.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 11 | 17 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 24 | 1.9 |
| 65-69 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 2.6 |
| 70-74 | 10 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 2.3 |
| 75-79 | 12 | 22 | 36 | 9 | 16 | 27 | 1.9 |
| 80-84 | 14 | 25 | 37 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 1.5 |
| 85 + | 13 | 23 | 34 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 1.1 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple living with others | 6 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 12 | 16 | 0.6 |
| Couple living alone | 10 | 19 | 29 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 6.1 |
| Single living with others | 8 | 13 | 23 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 0.8 |
| Single living alone | 15 | 25 | 38 | 12 | 19 | 32 | 3.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9 | 18 | 30 | 8 | 14 | 24 | 4.2 |
| Female | 12 | 22 | 33 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 7.1 |
| Disability and receipt of disability benefits ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disabled adult | 13 | 22 | 32 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 4.9 |
| One or more disabled adults | 10 | 19 | 31 | 9 | 15 | 26 | 6.4 |
| In receipt of disability benefits | 3 | 9 | 18 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 2.6 |
| Not in receipt of disability benefits | 14 | 26 | 39 | 12 | 21 | 34 | 3.8 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 12 | 21 | 32 | 8 | 13 | 22 | 8.9 |
| Owned outright | 12 | 22 | 33 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 8.1 |
| Buying with mortgage | 7 | 12 | 19 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 0.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 7 | 15 | 29 | 14 | 24 | 44 | 1.9 |
| All rented privately | 14 | 23 | 35 | 21 | 30 | 45 | 0.5 |
| Ethnic group of head (3-year average) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 12 | 22 | 33 | 10 | 17 | 28 | 10.7 |
| Mixed | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Asian or Asian British | 27 | 37 | 45 | 23 | 35 | 45 | 0.2 |
| Indian | 28 | 36 | 42 | 21 | 31 | 39 | 0.1 |
| Pakistani and Bangladeshi | 31 | 46 | 59 | 31 | 49 | 61 | 0.1 |
| Black or Black British | 18 | 29 | 44 | 22 | 31 | 47 | 0.1 |
| Black Caribbean | 20 | 33 | 48 | 21 | 30 | 48 | 0.1 |
| Black Non-Caribbean | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | . | . |
| Chinese or other ethnic group | 23 | 32 | 42 | 18 | 30 | 40 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{3}$ | 11 | 20 | 31 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 11.3 |

## Notes

1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
2. The figures for Mixed and Black Non-Caribbean have been suppressed due to small sample sizes.
3. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.

Table 6.6: Percentage of pensioners in low-income groups by various family and household characteristics, United Kingdom

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  | All pensioners (millions) |
|  | Income Thresholds - Below Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Pensions receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couples | 9 | 18 | 28 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 6.6 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 22 | 35 | 47 | 20 | 32 | 44 | 1.5 |
| Only one with occupational/personal pension | 7 | 17 | 28 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 3.2 |
| Both with occupational/personal pensions | 4 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 2.0 |
| Single | 14 | 23 | 36 | 11 | 18 | 30 | 4.7 |
| No occupational/personal pensions | 22 | 32 | 45 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 1.9 |
| Occupational/personal pension | 9 | 18 | 30 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 2.8 |
| State support received by family ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Living Allowance | 4 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 1.4 |
| Attendance Allowance | 3 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 1.2 |
| Pension Credit | 11 | 25 | 40 | 7 | 17 | 40 | 1.9 |
| Housing Benefit | 3 | 9 | 24 | 9 | 20 | 44 | 1.5 |
| Not in receipt of any benefit listed above | 13 | 22 | 31 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 7.2 |
| Savings and investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No savings | 16 | 28 | 42 | 15 | 26 | 42 | 2.4 |
| Less than £1,500 | 14 | 25 | 36 | 12 | 20 | 33 | 1.4 |
| $£ 1,500$ but less than $£ 3,000$ | 11 | 22 | 39 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 0.7 |
| $£ 3,000$ but less than $£ 8,000$ | 11 | 24 | 38 | 9 | 16 | 29 | 1.7 |
| $£ 8,000$ but less than $£ 10,000$ | 12 | 23 | 34 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 0.4 |
| $£ 10,000$ but less than $£ 16,000$ | 11 | 21 | 33 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 1.0 |
| $£ 16,000$ but less than $£ 20,000$ | 12 | 22 | 33 | 8 | 16 | 25 | 0.5 |
| £20,000 or more | 6 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 3.3 |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 12 | 22 | 33 | 10 | 18 | 29 | 9.3 |
| North East | 11 | 21 | 35 | 8 | 18 | 30 | 0.5 |
| North West | 12 | 22 | 35 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 1.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 14 | 25 | 39 | 10 | 19 | 32 | 0.9 |
| East Midlands | 14 | 27 | 39 | 11 | 20 | 31 | 0.8 |
| West Midlands | 12 | 22 | 33 | 9 | 16 | 28 | 1.0 |
| East of England | 12 | 21 | 32 | 11 | 18 | 28 | 1.1 |
| London | 14 | 22 | 31 | 15 | 23 | 32 | 1.0 |
| Inner | 14 | 23 | 31 | 18 | 29 | 40 | 0.3 |
| Outer | 14 | 22 | 31 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 0.7 |
| South East | 11 | 19 | 27 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 1.6 |
| South West | 12 | 22 | 32 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 1.1 |
| Scotland | 10 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 14 | 27 | 0.9 |
| Wales | 14 | 25 | 36 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | 19 | 29 | 40 | 13 | 22 | 32 | 0.3 |
| All pensioners ${ }^{2}$ | 11 | 20 | 31 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 11.3 |

## Notes:

1. The population figures given for benefit receipt do not sum to all pensioners as they are not mutually exclusive groups, since people can receive more than one benefit.
2. The totals for all pensioners are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.

## 6 Pensioners

Table 6.7 (BHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by extent of their deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  | All pensioners | Most common reason for 'no' response ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile |  |  |
| At least one filling meal a day | Yes | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 | .. ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | No | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 37\% <br> Health / disability prevents me |
| Go out socially at least once a month | Yes | 67 | 68 | 72 | 78 | 90 | 72 |  |
|  | No | 33 | 32 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 28 |  |
| See friends or family at least once a month | Yes | 94 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 95 | 95 | $38 \%$Other reason |
|  | No | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Take a holiday away from home | Yes | 53 | 53 | 58 | 67 | 85 | 60 | 36\% <br> Health / disability prevents me |
|  | No | 47 | 47 | 42 | 33 | 15 | 40 |  |
| Able to replace cooker if it broke down | Yes | 86 | 86 | 89 | 96 | 99 | 89 | 87\% <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 14 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 11 |  |
| Home kept in a good state of repair | Yes | 94 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 96 | $53 \%$ <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Heating, electrics, plumbing and drains working | Yes | 97 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 98 | $46 \%$ <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Have a damp-free home | Yes | 92 | 94 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 94 | 44\% |
|  | No | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | Other reason |
| Home kept adequately warm | Yes | 94 | 95 | 97 | 96 | 98 | 96 | $72 \%$ <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Able to pay regular bills | Yes | 94 | 95 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 96 | 83\% <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Have a telephone to use, whenever needed | Yes | 99 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 | $44 \%$ <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Have access to a car or taxi, whenever needed | Yes | 84 | 87 | 93 | 94 | 99 | 90 | 38\% <br> No money for this |
|  | No | 16 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 10 |  |
| Have hair done or cut regularly | Yes | 84 | 88 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 89 | 29\% <br> Not priority on current income |
|  | No | 16 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 11 |  |
| Have a warm waterproof coat | Yes | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | $44 \%$No money for this |
|  | No | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |  | Most common reason for 'yes' response ${ }^{4}$ |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | All pensioners |  |
| Able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$ | Yes | 83 | 82 | 87 | 93 | 99 | 87 | $56 \%$Use savings |
|  | No | 17 | 18 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 13 |  |

## Notes:

1. For 2008/09, the first year this set of questions were asked on the Family Resources Survey, there is only 11 months worth of data. From 2009/10 onwards the questions will cover the full 12 months.
2. Percentages relate to the proportion of pensioners who answered the material deprivation questions, some pensioners have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
3. For the first 14 questions in the table the follow up questions were asked to explore the reason for a "no" response. Options were: No money for this; Not priority on current income; Health/disability prevents me; Too much trouble/too tiring; No-one to do this with or help me; Not something I want; Not relevant to me; Other.
4. For the last question in the table relating to being able to meet an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded "yes" would pay. Options were: Use own income but cut back on essentials; Use own income but not need to cut back on essentials; Use my savings; Use a form of credit; Get money from friends or family; Other.
5. The figures for most common reason for "no" response for at least one filling meal a day has been suppressed due to small sample size.

6 . Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.7 (AHC): Quintile distribution of income for pensioners by extent of their deprivation of items and services, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Source: FRS 2008/09 } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Most common } \\ \text { reason for 'no' } \\ \text { response }^{3} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | Top quintile | All pensioners |  |
| At least one filling meal a day | Yes | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 | .. ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | No | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Go out socially at least once a month | Yes | 66 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 88 | 72 | 37\% |
|  | No | 34 | 35 | 28 | 25 | 12 | 28 | Health / disability prevents me |
| See friends or family at least once a month | Yes | 93 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 38\% |
|  | No | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | Other reason |
| Take a holiday away from home | Yes | 50 | 52 | 58 | 63 | 82 | 60 | 36\% |
|  | No | 50 | 48 | 42 | 37 | 18 | 40 | Health / disability prevents me |
| Able to replace cooker if it broke down | Yes | 83 | 83 | 90 | 95 | 99 | 89 | 87\% |
|  | No | 17 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 11 | No money for this |
| Home kept in a good state of repair | Yes | 93 | 95 | 96 | 98 | 99 | 96 | 53\% |
|  | No | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | No money for this |
| Heating, electrics, plumbing and drains working | Yes | 97 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 46\% |
|  | No | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | No money for this |
| Have a damp-free home | Yes | 92 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 98 | 94 | 44\% |
|  | No | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | Other reason |
| Home kept adequately warm | Yes | 94 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 72\% |
|  | No | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | No money for this |
| Able to pay regular bills | Yes | 93 | 94 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 96 | 83\% |
|  | No | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | No money for this |
| Have a telephone to use, whenever needed | Yes | 98 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 44\% |
|  | No | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | No money for this |
| Have access to a car or taxi, whenever needed | Yes | 81 | 85 | 93 | 94 | 98 | 90 | 38\% |
|  | No | 19 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 10 | No money for this |
| Have hair done or cut regularly | Yes | 83 | 85 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 89 | 29\% |
|  | No | 17 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 11 | Not priority on current income |
| Have a warm waterproof coat | Yes | 97 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 44\% |
|  | No | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | No money for this |
|  |  | Net equivalised disposable household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bottom quintile | Second quintile | Middle quintile | Fourth quintile | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { quintile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All pensioners | reason for 'yes' response ${ }^{4}$ |
| Able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$ | Yes | 79 | 79 | 88 | 94 | 99 | 87 | 56\% |
|  | No | 21 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 13 | Use savings |

Notes:

1. For 2008/09, the first year this set of questions were asked on the Family Resources Survey, there is only 11 months worth of data. From 2009/10 onwards the questions will cover the full 12 months
2. Percentages relate to the proportion of pensioners who answered the material deprivation questions, some pensioners have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.
3. For the first 14 questions in the table the follow up questions were asked to explore the reason for a "no" response. Options were: No money for this; Not priority on current income; Health/disability prevents me; Too much trouble/too tiring; No-one to do this with or help me; Not something I want; Not relevant to me; Other.
4. For the last question in the table relating to being able to meet an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded "yes" would pay. Options were: Use own income but cut back on essentials; Use own income but not need to cut back on essentials; Use my savings; Use a form of credit; Get money from friends or family; Other.
5. The figures for most common reason for "no" response for at least one filling meal a day has been suppressed due to small sample size.
6. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## 6 <br> Pensioners

Table 6.1tr: Percentage of pensioners falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$


## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 6.2tr: Percentage of pensioners falling below various thresholds of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 49 | 64 | 73 | 48 | 62 | 71 |  |
|  | 1981 | 42 | 60 | 71 | 43 | 57 | 68 |  |
|  | 1987 | 28 | 50 | 62 | 31 | 49 | 58 |  |
|  | 1988/89 | 29 | 50 | 61 | 33 | 48 | 57 |  |
|  | 1990/91 | 26 | 45 | 56 | 29 | 42 | 51 |  |
|  | 1991/92 | 21 | 40 | 52 | 25 | 39 | 48 |  |
|  | 1992/93 | 17 | 37 | 50 | 21 | 37 | 47 |  |
|  | 1993/95 | 15 | 31 | 47 | 16 | 35 | 45 |  |
|  | 1994/96 | 13 | 28 | 45 | 15 | 32 | 42 |  |
|  | 1995/97 | 12 | 27 | 43 | 15 | 31 | 40 |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 16 | 32 | 48 | 19 | 36 | 47 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 16 | 32 | 47 | 18 | 35 | 46 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 14 | 28 | 43 | 16 | 32 | 42 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 14 | 27 | 42 | 15 | 31 | 40 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 14 | 27 | 41 | 13 | 29 | 38 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 12 | 23 | 37 | 10 | 25 | 35 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 10 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 20 | 31 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 8 | 18 | 29 | 7 | 14 | 28 |  |
|  | 2002/03 | 7 | 16 | 27 | 6 | 12 | 23 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 19 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 5 | 8 | 15 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 14 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 6 | 10 | 16 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 7 | 14 | 23 | 6 | 9 | 15 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 13 |  |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -8 | -15 | -21 | -8 | -20 | -25 |  |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -1 | -2 | -3 | 0 | -1 | -1 |  |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 6.3tr: Number of pensioners falling below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$


## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.
4. FES figures have seen revisions since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to methodological improvements.

Table 6.4tr: Number of pensioners falling below various thresholds of $1998 / 99$ median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Number of pensioners (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FES/FRS <br> All pensioners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| FES (UK) | 1979 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 9.1 |
|  | 1981 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 9.5 |
|  | 1987 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 9.9 |
|  | 1988/89 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 9.9 |
|  | 1990/91 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 10.1 |
|  | 1991/92 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 10.2 |
|  | 1992/93 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 10.2 |
|  | 1993/95 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 10.2 |
|  | 1994/96 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 10.1 |
|  | 1995/97 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 9.9 |
|  | 1995/96 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 9.9 |
|  | 1996/97 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 9.9 |
|  | 1997/98 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 |
| FRS (UK) | 1998/99 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 10.3 |
|  | 1999/00 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 10.3 |
|  | 2000/01 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 10.3 |
|  | 2001/02 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 10.4 |
|  | 2002/03 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 10.5 |
|  | 2003/04 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 10.5 |
|  | 2004/05 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 10.7 |
|  | 2005/06 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 10.8 |
|  | 2006/07 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 10.9 |
|  | 2007/08 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 11.1 |
|  | 2008/09 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 11.3 |
| Change | 1998/99-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.7 | -1.4 | -1.9 | -0.8 | -1.9 | -2.4 | 1.0 |
|  | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 |

## Notes:

1. FES figures are for the United Kingdom, FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. FES figures are single calendar years from 1979-87, two combined calendar years from 1988/89-1992/93 and two financial years combined from 1993/95-1995/97.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## 6 Pensioners

Table 6.5tr: Percentage of pensioners aged 65 or over falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 13 | 25 | 43 | 12 | 29 | 42 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 13 | 25 | 42 | 11 | 29 | 42 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 13 | 26 | 42 | 13 | 30 | 41 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 14 | 27 | 42 | 13 | 31 | 40 |  |
|  | 1998/99 | 15 | 28 | 43 | 14 | 30 | 40 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 14 | 26 | 41 | 13 | 29 | 39 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 14 | 26 | 40 | 11 | 27 | 38 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 14 | 26 | 40 | 11 | 26 | 38 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 2002/03 | 13 | 25 | 40 | 11 | 25 | 38 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 12 | 24 | 38 | 10 | 21 | 35 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 11 | 22 | 35 | 8 | 18 | 31 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 11 | 21 | 34 | 8 | 17 | 30 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 13 | 24 | 36 | 11 | 19 | 32 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 13 | 23 | 35 | 10 | 18 | 30 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 11 | 21 | 33 | 9 | 16 | 27 |  |
| Change | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -2 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -3 |  |
| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 17 | 34 | 50 | 20 | 38 | 49 |  |
|  | 1995/96 | 17 | 33 | 49 | 19 | 37 | 48 |  |
|  | 1996/97 | 15 | 29 | 45 | 16 | 33 | 44 |  |
|  | 1997/98 | 15 | 28 | 44 | 15 | 32 | 42 |  |
|  | 1998/99 | 15 | 28 | 43 | 14 | 30 | 40 |  |
|  | 1999/00 | 13 | 24 | 39 | 11 | 26 | 37 |  |
|  | 2000/01 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 8 | 20 | 32 |  |
|  | 2001/02 | 9 | 18 | 30 | 7 | 15 | 29 |  |
| FRS (UK) | 2002/03 | 7 | 16 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 23 |  |
|  | 2003/04 | 7 | 15 | 26 | 6 | 10 | 19 |  |
|  | 2004/05 | 6 | 14 | 24 | 4 | 8 | 15 |  |
|  | 2005/06 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 8 | 14 |  |
|  | 2006/07 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 6 | 10 | 16 |  |
|  | 2007/08 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 9 | 15 |  |
|  | 2008/09 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 13 |  |
| Change | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -1 | -2 | -3 | 0 | -1 | -1 |  |

## Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 6.6tr: Number of pensioners aged 65 or over falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Numbers of pensioners (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All pensioners |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 8.5 |
|  | 1995/96 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 8.5 |
|  | 1996/97 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 8.5 |
|  | 1997/98 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
|  | 1998/99 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
|  | 1999/00 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
|  | 2000/01 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 8.7 |
|  | 2001/02 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 8.7 |
| FRS (UK) | 2002/03 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 9.0 |
|  | 2003/04 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 9.0 |
|  | 2004/05 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 9.1 |
|  | 2005/06 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 9.2 |
|  | 2006/07 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 9.2 |
|  | 2007/08 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 9.3 |
|  | 2008/09 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 9.4 |
| Change | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.1 |
| Numbers of pensioners (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  |  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All |
|  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 隹sioners |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRS (GB) | 1994/95 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 8.5 |
|  | 1995/96 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 8.5 |
|  | 1996/97 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 8.5 |
|  | 1997/98 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 8.6 |
|  | 1998/99 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
|  | 1999/00 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 8.6 |
|  | 2000/01 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 8.7 |
|  | 2001/02 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 8.7 |
| FRS (UK) | 2002/03 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
|  | 2003/04 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 9.0 |
|  | 2004/05 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 9.1 |
|  | 2005/06 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 9.2 |
|  | 2006/07 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 9.2 |
|  | 2007/08 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 9.3 |
|  | 2008/09 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 9.4 |
| Change | 2007/08-2008/09 ${ }^{2,3}$ | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 |

## Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties; see references to sampling errors in Appendix 2.
3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total number of pensioners below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

## Table 6.1ts: Population of pensioners by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | 04/05 | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of pensioners wh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 65-69 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 70-74 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 75-79 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 80-84 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 85 + | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Number of pensioners wh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Female | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| All pensioners (millions) | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 65-69 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 |
| 70-74 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 75-79 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 80-84 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 85 + | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Female | 65 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

## Table 6.2ts: Population of pensioners by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ce: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Number of pensioners whose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Owned outright | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| Buying with mortgage | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| All rented privately | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| All pensioners (millions) | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
| Percentage of pensioners wh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 65 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 79 |
| Owned outright | 58 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 65 | 65 | 68 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 72 | 72 |
| Buying with mortgage | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 28 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| All rented privately | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding

## Table 6.3ts: Population of pensioners by region and country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Source: FRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94/95- } \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97-1 \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99- \\ & 00 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05 / 06- \\ & 07108 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of pensioners whose region/country is: (3-year average, millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| North East | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| North West | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| East Midlands | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| West Midlands | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| East of England | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| London | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| South East | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| South West | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Scotland | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Wales | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| All pensioners (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.1 |
| Percentage of pensioners whose region/country is: (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| North East | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| North West | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| East Midlands | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| West Midlands | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| East of England | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| London | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| South East | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| South West | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Scotland | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Wales | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | .. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| All pensioners (per cent) ${ }^{2}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables.
3. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.4ts: Composition of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| 65-69 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 70-74 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| 75-79 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 18 |
| 80-84 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| 85 + | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 31 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 34 |
| Female | 69 | 68 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 66 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 17 |
| 65-69 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 70-74 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| 75-79 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 16 |
| 80-84 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 85 + | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 32 | 33 |
| Female | 70 | 68 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 67 | 65 | 67 | 65 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 67 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

## Table 6.5ts: Composition of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $94 / 95$ | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 71 | 74 | 71 | 71 | 72 | 74 | 73 | 75 | 79 | 80 | 83 | 84 | 83 | 84 | 83 |
| Owned outright | 65 | 69 | 66 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 70 | 73 | 75 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 79 |
| Buying with mortgage | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| All rented privately | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 42 | 45 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 49 | 49 | 53 | 56 | 58 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 68 | 67 |
| Owned outright | 36 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 43 | 48 | 50 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Buying with mortgage | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 49 | 48 | 50 | 47 | 46 | 43 | 44 | 40 | 37 | 34 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 |
| All rented privately | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.6ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | 04/05 | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 17 |
| 65-69 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 |
| 70-74 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 |
| 75-79 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 29 | 25 | 22 |
| 80-84 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 36 | 28 | 32 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 27 | 28 | 25 |
| 85 + | 33 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 26 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 27 | 23 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 18 |
| Female | 26 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 22 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 20 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 16 |
| 65-69 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| 70-74 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
| 75-79 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 16 |
| 80-84 | 34 | 34 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 39 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 19 |
| 85 + | 30 | 30 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 18 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 24 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 14 |
| Female | 31 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 17 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 16 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

## Table 6.7ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 21 |
| Owned outright | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 25 | 22 |
| Buying with mortgage | 18 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 12 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 18 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| All rented privately | 31 | 25 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 23 | 25 | 32 | 24 | 23 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 20 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 13 |
| Owned outright | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 14 |
| Buying with mortgage | 20 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 17 | 13 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 48 | 48 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 41 | 34 | 28 | 25 | 28 | 28 | 24 |
| All rented privately | 43 | 41 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 42 | 39 | 43 | 38 | 38 | 29 | 34 | 38 | 28 | 30 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 16 |

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

## Table 6.8ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{6 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by region or country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 95/96- <br> $97 / 98$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07 / 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| North East | 26 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 |
| North West | 24 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 22 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 28 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 25 |
| East Midlands | 26 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| West Midlands | 25 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| East of England | 24 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 21 |
| London | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| South East | 21 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| South West | 23 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 |
| Scotland | 28 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| Wales | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 |
| Northern Ireland | . | .. | . | .. | 30 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 29 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 24 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| North East | 33 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| North West | 28 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| East Midlands | 27 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| West Midlands | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 16 |
| East of England | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 18 |
| London | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 |
| South East | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| South West | 27 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 17 |
| Scotland | 31 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| Wales | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 27 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 28 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

| Number of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 95/96- <br> $97 / 98$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07 / 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| North East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East of England | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| London | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South East | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| South West | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Scotland | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Wales | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | . | .. | .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners (millions) | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| East of England | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| London | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South East | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Scotland | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | .. | . | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners (millions) | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

All pensioners (millions)

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

## Table 6.10ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 |
| 65-69 | 25 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| 70-74 | 33 | 33 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 10 |
| 75-79 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 26 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 13 |
| 80-84 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 23 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 15 |
| 85 + | 41 | 35 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 23 | 18 | 15 |
| Gender (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 28 | 29 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| Female | 34 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 13 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 32 | 32 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 65-69 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| 70-74 | 38 | 36 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| 75-79 | 45 | 44 | 39 | 38 | 35 | 27 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| 80-84 | 48 | 47 | 42 | 38 | 37 | 34 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 11 |
| 85 + | 46 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 29 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| Gender (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 31 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Female | 39 | 38 | 35 | 33 | 30 | 26 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 36 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 6.11ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than 60 per cent of $1998 / 99$ median income held constant in real terms, by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1,2}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | 04/05 | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 31 | 32 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| Owned outright | 32 | 34 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 13 |
| Buying with mortgage | 22 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 33 | 31 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| All rented privately | 41 | 31 | 36 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 16 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 32 | 32 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 24 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Owned outright | 24 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Buying with mortgage | 25 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 61 | 60 | 57 | 54 | 52 | 45 | 36 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| All rented privately | 54 | 48 | 49 | 47 | 44 | 38 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 36 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 |

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | 98/99 <br> $00 / 01$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05 / 06- \\ & 07 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 30 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| North East | 35 | 31 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 |
| North West | 32 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 36 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 15 |
| East Midlands | 33 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| West Midlands | 32 | 30 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| East of England | 30 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 13 |
| London | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| South East | 25 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| South West | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Scotland | 36 | 33 | 29 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Wales | 32 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Northern Ireland | . | .. | . | .. | 29 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 21 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 34 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| North East | 40 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| North West | 34 | 31 | 30 | 27 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 38 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| East Midlands | 33 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| West Midlands | 35 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| East of England | 34 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| London | 35 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| South East | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| South West | 33 | 32 | 29 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Scotland | 38 | 35 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Wales | 33 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 25 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 35 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |

## All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

| Number of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 96 / 97- \\ & 98 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | 98/99 <br> $00 / 01$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05 / 06- \\ & 07 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region / Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| West Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East of England | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| London | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South East | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | . | .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region / Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| North East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| North West | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East Midlands | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| West Midlands | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East of England | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| London | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South East | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South West | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | .. | .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All pensioners (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

Table 6.14ts: Composition of pensioners living in households with less than 50 per cent of contemporary median household income, by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 17 |
| 65-69 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 70-74 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| 75-79 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 17 |
| 80-84 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| 85 + | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 27 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 30 |
| Female | 73 | 71 | 73 | 71 | 69 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 69 | 72 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 71 | 70 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 20 |
| 65-69 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 21 |
| 70-74 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 16 |
| 75-79 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| 80-84 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 17 |
| 85 + | 8 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 28 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Female | 72 | 71 | 69 | 69 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 67 | 67 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 67 | 70 | 68 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Table 6.15ts: Composition of pensioners living in households with less than 50 per cent of contemporary median household income, by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | $00 / 01$ | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | $03 / 04$ | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 81 | 84 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 82 | 84 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 84 |
| Owned outright | 76 | 79 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 77 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 81 | 80 | 81 | 80 |
| Buying with mortgage | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 11 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| All rented privately | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 48 | 52 | 48 | 51 | 49 | 55 | 54 | 57 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 65 |
| Owned outright | 39 | 45 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 47 | 47 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 58 | 61 | 60 | 59 |
| Buying with mortgage | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 39 | 37 | 43 | 41 | 41 | 35 | 39 | 35 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 25 |
| All rented privately | 13 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 6.16ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than 50 per cent of contemporary median household income, by age and gender, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | $05 / 06$ | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 11 |
| 65-69 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| 70-74 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| 75-79 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| 80-84 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 14 |
| 85 + | 19 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 13 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| Female | 14 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 65-69 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| 70-74 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| 75-79 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| 80-84 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 12 |
| 85 + | 14 | 15 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Female | 13 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 11 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 |

Notes:

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 6.17ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than 50 per cent of contemporary median household income, by tenure, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94/95 | 95/96 | 96/97 | $97 / 98$ | 98/99 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | $02 / 03$ | 03/04 | $04 / 05$ | 05/06 | 06/07 | $07 / 08$ | 08/09 |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Owned outright | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| Buying with mortgage | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| All rented privately | 16 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 14 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Owned outright | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Buying with mortgage | 13 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| Social rented sector tenants | 16 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| All rented privately | 24 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 21 |
| All pensioners (per cent) | 11 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 |

1. Figures are for United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.

Table 6.18ts: Percentage of pensioners living in households with less than $\mathbf{5 0}$ per cent of contemporary median household income, by region or country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94/95- } \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | 96/97$98 / 99$ | 97/9899/00 | $\begin{aligned} & 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00101- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01/02- } \\ & 03 / 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 02/03- } \\ & 04 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05 / 06- \\ & 07 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| North East | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| North West | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| East Midlands | 12 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| West Midlands | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| East of England | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| London | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| South East | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| South West | 12 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| Scotland | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Wales | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| North East | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| North West | 10 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| East Midlands | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| West Midlands | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| East of England | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 11 |
| London | 14 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| South East | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| South West | 12 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Scotland | 9 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Wales | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | .. | .. | .. | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 |
| All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$ | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |

## All pensioners (percentage) ${ }^{2}$

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

Table 6.19ts: Number of pensioners living in households with less than 50 per cent of contemporary median household income, by region or country, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$

| Number of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 / 95- \\ & 96 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 / 96- \\ & 97 / 98 \end{aligned}$ | 96/9798/99 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 / 98- \\ & 99 / 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 / 99-1 \\ & 00 / 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 / 00- \\ & 01 / 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 00 / 01- \\ & 02 / 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 02- \\ & 03 / 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 02 / 03- \\ & 04 / 05 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 / 04- \\ & 05 / 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 04 / 05- \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 05 / 06- \\ 07 / 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 07- \\ & 08 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| North East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| North West | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East Midlands | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| West Midlands | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East of England | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| London | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | .. | . | . | .. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| All pensioners (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region/Country (3-year average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| North East | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| North West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East Midlands | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| West Midlands | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| East of England | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| London | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South East | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South West | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Northern Ireland | . | . | . | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All pensioners (millions) ${ }^{2}$ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
2. The all pensioners figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables

## Chapter 7

## Low-income dynamics

- Definition: Persistence of low income is defined as spending three or more years out of any four-year period in a household with an income below 60 per cent of median income. Incomes are equivalised using the McClements equivalisation scale.
- Overall trends: On both a Before and After Housing Cost basis there was a fall in persistent low income for the whole population over the period 1991 to 2007.
- Trends for children: On a Before Housing Cost basis, there was a reduction in persistent low income for children over the period 1991-1994 to 1993-1996. While trends remained broadly the same between 1993-1996 and 1999-2002, since then there has been a continued fall to 2003-2006. The level for the most recent period 2004-2007 has remained stable. On an After Housing Cost basis, there were falls in the persistent low-income estimates for children since 19961999 until the latest period which has seem a small increase.
- Trends for working-age adults: On both Before Housing Cost and After Housing Cost bases, there were falls in persistent low income for working-age adults over the period 1991 to 2007.
- Trends for pensioners: On both Before Housing Cost and After Housing Cost bases, there were slight increases in the incidence of persistent low income among pensioners up to around 1998-2001. Since this time, however the proportion of pensioners experiencing persistent poverty has since shown a continuous fall.
- Long-term trends: For all individuals, children, working-age adults and pensioners, the level of persistent poverty in 2004-2007 was lower than it was at the start of the 17-year period on both a Before Housing Cost basis and an After Housing Cost basis.
- Further details: For further details see the DWP Low Income Dynamics publication available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/lid.asp.


## Introduction

HBAI analysis contained elsewhere uses a snapshot survey, and records usual household income at the time of the interview. The extent to which individuals living in low-income households at a point in time are simply experiencing transitory fluctuations in income, or are persistently experiencing low income, is clearly an important issue and one that can only be addressed using longitudinal data. The issues of income mobility and the extent of persistence of low incomes experienced by different groups are therefore addressed in this chapter, using data from the longitudinal British Household Panel Survey (BHPS).

The BHPS is run by The University of Essex's Institute for Social and Economic Research. Further information is on their website at http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/survey/bhps

The data were made available through the UK Data Archive and were released under the auspices of the ESRC UK Longitudinal Studies Centre (ULSC) at the University of Essex. Neither the ULSC nor the Archive bears any responsibility for the analysis or interpretation of the BHPS data presented here.

The information presented in this chapter is based on analysis of 17 waves of the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS), from 1991 up to and including 2007. This chapter is based on the findings of the 'Low-Income Dynamics' (LID) analytical paper which was published by DWP in autumn 2009. Due to improvements in the timeliness of the availability of Family Resources Survey (FRS) data, it is no longer possible to include the analysis of the latest wave of BHPS in the HBAI report. We hope to publish analysis based on 2008 (Wave 18) BHPS separately in autumn 2010.

This chapter focuses mainly on the trends in relation to individuals who are persistently observed as living in low-income households between 1991-1994 and 2004-2007. Full details of the analysis of income mobility and transition rates are covered in the LID paper, which is available on the DWP website at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/lid.asp.

## Changes since last year

Some of the BHPS figures presented in this chapter have been revised since their publication in the last (2007/08) HBAI report. This is due to changes made by the data providers.

## Equivalisation scale used

Note that incomes have been equivalised using the McClements equivalisation scale (see Appendix 2 for details on equivalisation scales).

## Definition of income

The measures of income used in the BHPS analysis presented in this chapter are very similar to those used in FRS analysis (see Appendix 1), but with two notable exceptions:
i) This chapter presents results on the persistence of low income on both Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC) measures. However, the housing costs in BHPS differ from the ones normally used in HBAI (see Appendix 1 for a list of housing costs used in HBAI). For this analysis, housing costs have been defined simply as weekly gross housing costs as recorded on the BHPS. In the case of renters, these housing costs will include service and water charges because this is how the information is requested on the questionnaire. For mortgage payers, these amounts will not be included. There is also no information collected in the BHPS on the cost of structural insurance payments. Another difference is that for HBAI methodology, only the interest element from a repayment mortgage is deducted as housing costs; whereas in BHPS both the repayment and interest elements will be included as part of 'gross housing costs'. On the BHPS, there were around 500 cases with this type of mortgage, whose AHC income (as compared with standard HBAI methodology) will be understated.
ii) As a form of general taxation, Council Tax is also deducted from the definition of net disposable income used in FRS-based HBAI analysis. However, there was insufficient information on Council Tax collected on the BHPS in earlier years to allow the construction of an income measure deducting Council Tax.

Like the BHC series from the BHPS, the BHPS-based AHC series does not deduct Council Tax payments from income.

## Persistent low-income indicators

Table 7.1 (BHC) presents the persistent low-income indicators for individuals, and for children, pensioners and working-age adults. Table 7.1 (AHC) presents the same figures on an After Housing Costs basis.

The definition of persistence used in is 'at least three years out of four below thresholds of 60 or 70 per cent of median income'. An alternative definition using the bottom 20 or 30 per cent of the income distribution as opposed to thresholds of median income, is also included for validation purposes. This is useful as threshold results may be subject to variation due to the density of the income distribution around the 60 and 70 per cent of median markers.

Using this alternative definition of the bottom 20 or 30 per cent of the income distribution to describe low income is also shown in Tables 7.1 BHC and 7.1 AHC. While there was a slight tendency for 20 / 30 per cent levels to show less variation on a BHC basis than on an AHC basis, the trends over time were broadly consistent.

Table 7.1 (BHC): Persistent low income by group ${ }^{1}$

|  | Source: BHPS 1991-2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of group below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main definition |  | Alternative definition ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | Below 60\% of median | Below 70\% of median | In bottom | 20\% In bottom | 30\% |
| All individuals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1992-1995 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1993-1996 | 11 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1994-1997 | 12 | 20 | 15 | 24 |  |
| 1995-1998 | 11 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1996-1999 | 12 | 20 | 15 | 24 |  |
| 1997-2000 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1998-2001 | 12 | 19 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 1999-2002 | 11 | 19 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 2000-2003 | 10 | 19 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 2001-2004 | 10 | 19 | 14 | 24 |  |
| 2002-2005 | 9 | 17 | 13 | 23 |  |
| 2003-2006 | 8 | 17 | 13 | 23 |  |
| 2004-2007 | 8 | 17 | 13 | 23 |  |
| Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 19 | 29 | 22 | 33 |  |
| 1992-1995 | 18 | 28 | 21 | 32 |  |
| 1993-1996 | 17 | 27 | 20 | 33 |  |
| 1994-1997 | 17 | 27 | 21 | 32 |  |
| 1995-1998 | 17 | 28 | 20 | 32 |  |
| 1996-1999 | 17 | 28 | 21 | 33 |  |
| 1997-2000 | 17 | 27 | 21 | 32 |  |
| 1998-2001 | 17 | 26 | 21 | 32 |  |
| 1999-2002 | 16 | 27 | 19 | 32 |  |
| 2000-2003 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 31 |  |
| 2001-2004 | 12 | 23 | 17 | 30 |  |
| 2002-2005 | 11 | 21 | 15 | 29 |  |
| 2003-2006 | 10 | 20 | 15 | 27 |  |
| 2004-2007 | 10 | 21 | 16 | 27 |  |
| Working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 15 |  |
| 1992-1995 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 1993-1996 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 1994-1997 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 1995-1998 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 1996-1999 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 1997-2000 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 15 |  |
| 1998-2001 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 15 |  |
| 1999-2002 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 15 |  |
| 2000-2003 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 16 |  |
| 2001-2004 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 2002-2005 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 15 |  |
| 2003-2006 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 15 |  |
| 2004-2007 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 16 |  |
| Pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 19 | 37 | 23 | 43 |  |
| 1992-1995 | 19 | 35 | 24 | 43 |  |
| 1993-1996 | 19 | 34 | 25 | 43 |  |
| 1994-1997 | 21 | 35 | 26 | 43 |  |
| 1995-1998 | 20 | 36 | 25 | 43 |  |
| 1996-1999 | 20 | 36 | 26 | 42 |  |
| 1997-2000 | 20 | 38 | 26 | 45 |  |
| 1998-2001 | 21 | 37 | 27 | 44 |  |
| 1999-2002 | 19 | 37 | 25 | 44 |  |
| 2000-2003 | 18 | 36 | 25 | 43 |  |
| 2001-2004 | 17 | 36 | 25 | 44 |  |
| 2002-2005 | 16 | 32 | 24 | 42 |  |
| 2003-2006 | 16 | 31 | 24 | 41 |  |
| 2004-2007 | 14 | 29 | 23 | 39 |  |

Notes:

1. There is a higher density of individuals around $60 \%$ and $70 \%$ median income. The alternative definition is presented for validation purposes as it presents estimates that are not vulnerable to errors in measuring median income.

Table 7.1 (AHC): Persistent low income by group ${ }^{1}$

|  | Source: BHPS 1991-2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of group below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main definition |  | Alternative definition ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | Below 60\% of median | Below 70\% of median | In bottom | 20\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { In bottom } \\ 30 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| All individuals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 15 | 22 | 14 |  | 23 |
| 1992-1995 | 15 | 23 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1993-1996 | 14 | 22 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1994-1997 | 15 | 22 | 15 |  | 24 |
| 1995-1998 | 15 | 22 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1996-1999 | 15 | 21 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1997-2000 | 14 | 21 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1998-2001 | 14 | 21 | 14 |  | 24 |
| 1999-2002 | 13 | 21 | 13 |  | 24 |
| 2000-2003 | 12 | 21 | 13 |  | 24 |
| 2001-2004 | 11 | 20 | 13 |  | 24 |
| 2002-2005 | 11 | 19 | 13 |  | 22 |
| 2003-2006 | 9 | 18 | 13 |  | 23 |
| 2004-2007 | 10 | 18 | 12 |  | 23 |
| Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 25 | 32 | 23 |  | 34 |
| 1992-1995 | 23 | 32 | 22 |  | 33 |
| 1993-1996 | 22 | 31 | 22 |  | 33 |
| 1994-1997 | 23 | 31 | 22 |  | 33 |
| 1995-1998 | 23 | 31 | 22 |  | 34 |
| 1996-1999 | 23 | 31 | 23 |  | 33 |
| 1997-2000 | 22 | 30 | 22 |  | 33 |
| 1998-2001 | 21 | 30 | 22 |  | 34 |
| 1999-2002 | 20 | 30 | 21 |  | 34 |
| 2000-2003 | 17 | 28 | 19 |  | 33 |
| 2001-2004 | 15 | 27 | 19 |  | 32 |
| 2002-2005 | 16 | 26 | 19 |  | 31 |
| 2003-2006 | 14 | 24 | 19 |  | 31 |
| 2004-2007 | 15 | 26 | 19 |  | 32 |
| Working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 10 | 14 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 1992-1995 | 10 | 16 | 10 |  | 17 |
| 1993-1996 | 10 | 15 | 10 |  | 16 |
| 1994-1997 | 10 | 14 | 10 |  | 16 |
| 1995-1998 | 10 | 14 | 9 |  | 17 |
| 1996-1999 | 9 | 14 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 1997-2000 | 9 | 14 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 1998-2001 | 9 | 14 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 1999-2002 | 8 | 14 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 2000-2003 | 8 | 14 | 9 |  | 17 |
| 2001-2004 | 8 | 13 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 2002-2005 | 8 | 13 | 9 |  | 16 |
| 2003-2006 | 7 | 13 | 9 |  | 17 |
| 2004-2007 | 7 | 13 | 9 |  | 17 |
| Pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1994 | 21 | 36 | 17 |  | 38 |
| 1992-1995 | 20 | 36 | 17 |  | 39 |
| 1993-1996 | 19 | 35 | 18 |  | 38 |
| 1994-1997 | 21 | 35 | 20 |  | 40 |
| 1995-1998 | 23 | 34 | 22 |  | 38 |
| 1996-1999 | 23 | 34 | 22 |  | 37 |
| 1997-2000 | 23 | 35 | 22 |  | 38 |
| 1998-2001 | 22 | 35 | 23 |  | 38 |
| 1999-2002 | 18 | 34 | 20 |  | 38 |
| 2000-2003 | 17 | 33 | 20 |  | 37 |
| 2001-2004 | 16 | 31 | 20 |  | 37 |
| 2002-2005 | 14 | 27 | 17 |  | 33 |
| 2003-2006 | 11 | 24 | 16 |  | 32 |
| 2004-2007 | 9 | 20 | 12 |  | 29 |

Notes:

1. There is a higher density of individuals around $60 \%$ and $70 \%$ median income. The alternative definition is presented for validation purposes as it presents estimates that are not vulnerable to errors in measuring median income.

## Appendix 1 Glossary and Definitions of Concepts and Technical Terms

## Technical Terms

## Confidence Interval

A measure of sampling error. A 95 per cent confidence interval for an estimate is the range that contains the 'true' figure on average 19 times out of 20 . Note that this ignores any systematic errors which may be present in the survey and analysis processes.

## Deciles / decile points

Deciles (or decile points) are the income values which divide the United Kingdom population, when ranked by income, into ten equal-sized groups. Decile is also often used as a shorthand term for decile group; for example 'the bottom decile' is used to describe the bottom ten per cent of the income distribution.

## Decile groups

These are groups of the population defined by the decile points. The lowest decile group is the ten per cent of the population with the lowest incomes. The second decile group contains individuals with incomes above the lowest decile point but below the second decile point. The two lowest decile groups combined make up the lowest quintile group.

## Decile group medians / quintile group medians

These are median income values for a particular decile or quintile group, i.e. the income value which divides the group into two equal-sized parts. The median of the lowest decile is thus the same as the 5th percentile; the median of the lowest quintile is the same as the 10th percentile.

## Equivalisation

The process by which household income is adjusted by household size and composition as a proxy for material living standards. Household incomes are divided by household equivalence factors which vary according to the number of adults and the number and age of dependants in the household. For more information see Appendix 2.

## Equivalised income

Income which has undergone equivalisation.

## Equivalence scales

The scales used in equivalisation. Appendix 2 gives the scales used in HBAI and discusses the methodology.

## Gini coefficient

A widely-used, international standard summary measure of inequality. It can take values from zero to 100, where a value of zero would indicate total equality, with each household having an equal share of income, while higher values indicate greater inequality.

## Mean

Mean household income of individuals is the "average", found by adding up equivalised household incomes for each individual in a population and dividing the result by the number of people. For example if one household with a total weekly equivalised income of $£ 1,000$ contains three persons and a second household with income of $£ 2,000$ contains four persons, mean weekly household income would be $£ 1,571$ as computed as $\left(\left(3^{\star} £ 1,000\right)+\left(4^{\star} £ 2,000\right)\right) / 7$.

## Median

Median household income divides the population of individuals, when ranked by equivalised household income, into two equal sized groups. The median of the whole population is the same as the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. The term is also used for the midpoint of the subsets of the income distribution: see decile/quintile group medians.

## Percentiles

These are the income values which divide the United Kingdom population, when ranked by income, into 100 equal-sized groups. Ten per cent of the population have incomes below the 10th percentile, 20 per cent have incomes below the 20th percentile and so on.

## Quantiles

A quantile group is any sub-group of the population extracted from the population when ranked by income. Quintile groups and decile groups are examples of quantile groups - or quantiles for short.

## Quintiles

Quintiles are income values which divide the United Kingdom population, when ranked by income, into five equal-sized groups. The lowest quintile is the same as the 20th percentile. Quintile is also, often, used as a shorthand term for quintile group; for example 'the bottom quintile' to describe the bottom 20 per cent of the income distribution.

## Quintile groups

These are groups of the population defined by the quintiles. The lowest quintile group is the 20 per cent of the population with the lowest incomes. The second quintile group is the population with incomes above the lowest quintile but below the second quintile.

## Sampling error

The uncertainty in the estimates which arises from taking a random sample of the household population. The likely size of this error for a particular statistic can be identified and expressed as a confidence interval. For more information see Appendix 2.

## Threshold

An equivalised income value used for comparing sections of an income distribution over time or for comparing proportions of groups over time, for example: fractions of 1998/99 median income or fractions of contemporary medians. A relative threshold is relative to the contemporary median for each year's survey. A fixed threshold uses the median from an 'anchor' year which is then uprated for inflation as appropriate. For example, the absolute threshold '60 per cent of the 1998/99 median income' in 1998/99 is the same as the relative threshold, but the corresponding value in 2008/09 has been uprated for inflation over the period.

## Measures of Income ${ }^{1}$

The income measure used in HBAI is weekly net (disposable) equivalised household income. This comprises total income from all sources of all household members including dependants.

Income is adjusted for household size and composition by means of equivalence scales, which reflect the extent to which households of different size and composition require a different level of income to achieve the same standard of living. This adjusted income is referred to as equivalised income. (See Appendix 2 for further details).

Income Before Housing Costs (BHC) includes the following main components:

- usual net earnings from employment;
- profit or loss from self-employment (losses are treated as a negative income);
- all Social Security benefits (including Housing Benefit, Social Fund, maternity, funeral and community care grants, but excluding Social Fund loans) and Tax Credits. For the full list, please see the 'Other definitions used in HBAI' section;
- income from occupational and private pensions;
- investment income;
- maintenance payments, if a person receives them directly;
- income from educational grants and scholarships (including, for students, top-up loans and parental contributions);
- the cash value of certain forms of income in kind (free school meals, Healthy Start vouchers and free school milk and free TV licence for those aged 75 and over).

Income is net of the following items:

- income tax payments;
- National Insurance contributions;
- domestic rates / council tax;
- contributions to occupational pension schemes (including all additional voluntary contributions (AVCs) to occupational pension schemes, and any contributions to stakeholder and personal pensions);
- all maintenance and child support payments, which are deducted from the income of the person making the payment;
- parental contributions to students living away from home;
- student loan repayments.

Income After Housing Costs (AHC) is derived by deducting a measure of housing costs from the above income measure.

[^8]
## Housing costs

These include the following:

- rent (gross of housing benefit);
- water rates, community water charges and council water charges;
- mortgage interest payments;
- structural insurance premiums (for owner occupiers);
- ground rent and service charges.

For Northern Ireland households water provision is funded from taxation and there are no direct water charges. Therefore it is already taken into account in the Before Housing Costs measure.

In the 1995/96 and subsequent datasets, a refinement was made to the calculation of mortgage interest payments to disregard additional loans which had been taken out for purposes other than house purchase.

Negative incomes BHC are reset to zero, but negative AHC incomes calculated from the adjusted BHC incomes are possible. Where incomes have been adjusted to zero BHC, income AHC is derived from the adjusted BHC income.

## Other definitions used in HBAI

A number of other definitions are employed in compiling the HBAI results. The main definitions are described below.

## Adult

All those individuals who are aged 16 and over, unless defined as a dependent child (see Child); all adults in the household are interviewed as part of the Family Resources Survey (FRS).

## Benefit unit

A single adult or a couple living as married and any dependent children; from January 2006 same-sex partners (civil partners and cohabitees) are also included in the same benefit unit.

## Child

A dependent child is defined as an individual aged under 16. A person will also be defined as a child if they are 16 to 19-years old and they are:

- Not married nor in a Civil Partnership nor living with a partner; and
- living with parents; and
- in full-time non-advanced education or in unwaged government training.


## Contemporary average income

The average income for the period covered by the survey. Household incomes are adjusted from the date of interview to an average of 2008/09 prices.

## Couple

Two adults, of same or different sex, who are married (spouse), or from January 2006 in a civil partnership (partner), or are assumed to be living together as such (cohabitee).

## Families / family units

The terms 'families' and 'family units' are used interchangeably with benefit units. See definition above.

Full-time work
Normally working 31 or more hours a week, either as an employee or self-employed.

## Government Office Region

English Government Office Regions comprise the following counties:

| North East: | Durham, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear. |
| :--- | :--- |
| North West: | Cumbria, Cheshire, Greater Manchester, Lancashire, <br> Merseyside. |
| Yorkshire and <br> the Humber: | North Yorkshire, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire. |
| East Midlands: | Derbyshire, Leicestershire, Lincolnshire, Northamptonshire, <br> Nottinghamshire. |
| West Midlands: | Shropshire, Staffordshire, Warwickshire, West Midlands, <br> Worcestershire. |
| East of England: | Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Norfolk, <br> Suffolk. |
| London: | Greater London - which can also be split down into Inner and <br> Outer London, which comprise of the following local <br> authorities: |

Inner London: City of London, Camden, Hackney, Hammersmith and Fulham, Haringey, Islington, Kensington and Chelsea, Lambeth, Lewisham, Newham, Southwark, Tower Hamlets, Wandsworth, Westminster.

Outer London: Barking and Dagenham, Barnet, Bexley, Brent, Bromley, Croydon, Ealing, Enfield, Greenwich, Harrow, Havering, Hillingdon, Hounslow, Kingston upon Thames, Merton, Redbridge, Richmond upon Thames, Sutton, Waltham Forest.

South East: Buckinghamshire, East Sussex, Hampshire, Kent, Oxfordshire, Surrey, West Sussex.

South West: Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly, Devon, Dorset, Gloucestershire, Somerset, Wiltshire.

## Head of benefit unit

The head of the first benefit unit will be the same as the head of the household. For second and subsequent benefit units the head will be the first adult to be interviewed.

## Head of household (used prior to 2001/02, since replaced by household reference person)

Interviewers classified the head of household using standard procedures:

- In a household containing only husband, wife and children under 16 (and boarders), the husband was always the head of household.
- Similarly, when a couple has been recorded as living together/cohabiting, the male partner was treated as the head of household.
- In all situations where there are other relatives in the household or where some or all of the household are unrelated (except that a husband always takes precedence), the person in whose name the accommodation was owned or rented was taken as the head.
- When the accommodation is supplied with a job or provided rent free for some other reason, the person to whom the accommodation was given in this way was the head.
- Occasionally more than one person will have equal claim to be the head, in these cases, where they are of the same sex, the oldest was the head; where they are of different sexes, the male was the head.


## Household

A single person or group of people living at the same address as their only or main residence, who either share one meal a day together or share the living accommodation (i.e. living room). A household will consist of one or more benefit units.

## Household reference person (used from 2001/02 onwards)

This is classified as the Highest Income Householder (HIH); without regard to gender.

In a single adult household

- The HIH is the sole householder (i.e. the person in whose name the accommodation is owned or rented).

If there are two or more householders

- The HIH is the householder with the highest personal income from all sources.

If there are two or more householders who have the same income

- The HIH is the eldest householder


## Material Deprivation

Children - The material deprivation suite of questions was introduced, from 2004/05, to investigate deprivation amongst families with children. Questions were asked on whether people were able to afford to buy essential items or services, or to participate in leisure or social activities. An analysis of how individuals responded to these questions by their location in the income distribution is given in Chapter 4 (Children).

Pensioners - The material deprivation suite of questions was introduced, from 2008/09, to investigate deprivation amongst pensioners. Questions were asked on whether people were able to afford to buy essential items or services, or to participate in leisure or social activities. An analysis of how individuals responded to these questions by their location in the income distribution is given in Chapter 6 (Pensioners).

More details on material deprivation is given in Appendix 2.

## Low Income and Material Deprivation

The Low Income and Material Deprivation is a combined measure for children using a prevalence weighted score from the material deprivation questions in combination with a 70 per cent income threshold. A child is considered materially deprived if it lives in a family that has a score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs. An analysis of the risk and composition of children being materially deprived is given in Chapter 4 (Children). See Appendix 2 for further details.

## Prevalence Weighted

Prevalence weighting is a technique of scoring deprivation, in which more weight in the deprivation measure is given to families lacking those items that most families already have. This means a greater importance, when an item is lacked, is assigned to those items that are more commonly owned in the population. See Appendix 2 for further details.

## Part-time work

Normally working fewer than 31 hours a week, either as an employee or selfemployed.

## Pensioner

A person of state pension age or above ( 65 for men, 60 for women).

## State support

Tax credits or Social Security benefits included in this category are:
Armed Forces Compensation Scheme (formerly War Disablement Pension)
Attendance Allowance
Carer's Allowance (formerly Invalid Care Allowance)
Child Benefit
Child Maintenance Bonus
Child Tax Credit and Working Tax Credit, including disabled elements;
Community Care Grants
Council Tax Benefit
Disability Living Allowance both CARE and MOBILITY components
Disability Working Allowance
Employment and Support Allowance
Extended Payment of Council Tax Benefit
Extended Payment of Housing Benefit
Guardian's Allowance
Housing Benefit
In Work Credit
Incapacity Benefit
Income Support
Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit
Job Grant (formerly Lone parent benefit run-on)
Jobseeker's Allowance (Contributions-based)
Jobseeker's Allowance (Income-based)
Maternity Allowance
Other State Benefits
Pension Credit
Rates Rebate
Retirement Pension
Return to Work Credit (only available in a few remaining pilot areas within
the UK)
Severe Disablement Allowance (ceased to be available to new claimants as
of 6th April 2001)
Social Fund Grant for Funeral Expenses
Social Fund Grant for Maternity Expenses
Statutory Adoption Pay
Statutory Maternity Pay
Statutory Paternity Pay
Statutory Sick Pay
War Widow's Pension
Widow's Payment/Bereavement Payment
Widow's Pension/Bereavement Allowance
Widowed Mother's Allowance/Widowed Parents Allowance
Winter Fuel payments
Work Search premium
Wan

## Family type and economic status classifications

For some analyses, individuals are classified into family type or economic status groups. Individuals are classified according to the status of the benefit unit in which they live. All individuals in a benefit unit (adults and children) will therefore be given the same classification. The classifications are defined below.

## Family type

Pensioner couple - a couple where one or more of the adults are state pension age or over. However, in the Chapter 6 (Pensioners), results for pensioner couples do not count anyone who is not a pensioner.

Single male pensioner - single male adult of state pension age or over.
Single female pensioner - single female adult of state pension age or over.
Couple with children - a non-pensioner couple with dependent children.
Single with children - a non-pensioner single adult with dependent children.
Couple without children - a non-pensioner couple with no dependent children.
Single male without children - a non-pensioner single adult male with no dependent children.

Single female without children - a non-pensioner single adult female with no dependent children.

## Economic status

Individuals are allocated to the first category which applies in the following order; so, for example, a couple with one partner unemployed and the other working part-time would be allocated to the 'One or more in part-time work' group. It should be noted that this classification is not consistent with the International Labour Organization (ILO) classification.

One or more full-time self-employed - Benefit units where at least one adult usually works self-employed in their main job for 31 or more hours a week.

Single or couple, all in full-time work - Benefit units where all adults usually work 31 or more hours a week.

Couple, one in full-time work, one in part-time work - Benefit units headed by a couple, where one partner usually works 31 or more hours a week and the other partner usually works fewer than 31 hours a week.

Couple, one in full-time work, one not working - Benefit units headed by a couple, where one partner usually works 31 or more hours a week and the other partner does not work.

No-one in full-time work, one or more in part-time work - Benefit units where at least one adult works, but for fewer than 31 hours a week.

Workless, one or more aged 60 or over - Benefit units where at least one adult is aged 60 or over.

Workless, one or more unemployed - Benefit units where at least one adult is unemployed.

Workless, other inactive - Benefit units not classified above (this group includes the long term sick, disabled people and non-working single parents).

## Economic status groups for children

The tables that show estimates for dependent children use an amended economic status classification closely related to the definitions used above. Children are grouped according to family type and the economic status of their parent(s) as defined in the previous section. As with the main economic status groups, individuals are allocated to the first category that applies in the following order:

## Lone parent

- In full-time work (includes self-employed)
- In part-time work
- Not working (unemployed or inactive)


## Couple with children

- One or more full-time self-employed
- Both in full-time work
- One in full-time work, one in part-time work
- One in full-time work, one not working
- Neither in full-time work, one or more in part-time work
- Both workless (unemployed or inactive)


## Economic status of household

For this analysis, households are classified according to an assessment of the employment status of all working-age adults and working pensioners within the household (i.e. non-working pensioners are not considered in the assessment, except in the case of those households where children live only with pensioners, where the status of all adults is considered). Individuals are assigned to one of three categories:

All adults in work - A household where all working-age adults are in employment or are self-employed, or if there are no working-age adults in the household, at least one working pensioner.

At least one, but not all adults in work - A household where at least one workingage adult is in employment or is self-employed, or where a pensioner is in work if none of the working-age adults in the household are in work.

Workless household - A household where no adult members are in employment or are self-employed. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. So for example, a household with a pensioner in work, but a working-age person not in work, would be in the 'At least one adult in work, but not all' category. A household with all working-age adults in work and a pensioner not in work would be categorised as 'All adults in work'.

## Appendix 2

## Methodology

## Household incomes as an indicator of individuals' living standards

Households Below Average Income (HBAI) uses household disposable incomes, adjusted for household size and composition, as a proxy for the material living standards of individuals or, more precisely, for the level of consumption of goods and services that people could attain given the disposable income of the household in which they live.

The unit of analysis is the individual, so the populations and percentages in the tables are numbers and percentages of individuals - both adults and children.

The living standards of an individual depend not only on his or her own income, but also on the income of others in the household. Consequently, the analyses are based on total household income: the equivalised income of a household is taken to represent the income level of every individual in the household. Equivalisation, a technique that allows comparison of incomes between households of different sizes and compositions, is explained later in this Appendix. Thus, all members of any one household will appear at the same point in the income distribution.

The family type and economic status groupings used in some tables are classifications of individuals according to the characteristics of the benefit unit to which they belong. Therefore, these tables are analyses of individuals classified by their type of benefit unit and by their household income. A definition of benefit unit can be found in Appendix 1.

## Use of the OECD scales

The OECD equivalisation scales are used throughout the main body of the HBAI publication.

This change occurred from the 2005/06 publication and was prompted by the Government's 2004 Spending Review, which stated that future child poverty measurements will report incomes Before Housing Costs and equivalised using the OECD scale. More detail on the use of these scales can be found in Appendix 3 of the 2004/05 HBAI publication.

The Opportunity for all (Ofa) Indicators in Appendix 3 of this publication use the McClements equivalisation scales and represent Great Britain only. Ofa publications continue to use these bases for equivalisation and coverage, and these Appendix 3 tables provide consistent Ofa indicators.

## Equivalisation using OECD scales

The income measures used in HBAI take into account variations in the size and composition of the households in which individuals live. This reflects the common sense notion that, in order to enjoy a comparable standard of living, a household of say three adults will need a higher income than a single person living alone. The process of adjusting income in this way is known as equivalisation and is needed in order to make sensible income comparisons between households.

Equivalence scales conventionally take an adult couple without children as the reference point, with an equivalence value of one. The process then increases relatively the income of single person households (since their incomes are divided by a value of less than one) and reduces relatively the incomes of households with three or more persons, which have an equivalence value of greater than one.

Consider a single person, a couple with no children, and a couple with two children aged fourteen and ten, all having unadjusted weekly household incomes of $£ 200$ (Before Housing Costs). The process of equivalisation, as conducted in HBAI, gives an equivalised income of $£ 299$ to the single person, $£ 200$ to the couple with no children, but only $£ 131$ to the couple with children.

The main equivalence scales now used in HBAI are the OECD scales, which take the values shown in Table A2.1. The equivalent values used by the McClements equivalence scales are also shown for comparison alongside OECD values. The McClements scales were used by HBAI to adjust income up to the 2004/05 HBAI publication.

In both the OECD and McClements versions two separate scales are used, one for income Before Housing Costs (BHC) and one for income After Housing Costs (AHC). The construction of household equivalence values from these scales is quite straightforward. For example, the BHC equivalence value for a household containing a couple with a fourteen year old and a ten year old child together with one other adult would be 1.86 from the sum of the scale values:
$0.67+0.33+0.33+0.20+0.33=1.86$
This is made up of 0.67 for the first adult, 0.33 for their spouse, the other adult and the fourteen year old child and 0.2 for the ten year old child. The total income for the household would then be divided by 1.86 in order to arrive at the measure of equivalised household income used in HBAI analysis.

## Housing costs

It may be argued that the costs of housing faced by different households at a given time do not always match the true value of the housing that they actually enjoy, and that housing costs should therefore be deducted from any definition of disposable income. However, any measure of income defined in this way would understate the relative standard of living of those individuals who were actually benefiting from a better quality of housing by paying more for better accommodation. Income growth
over time would also understate improvements in living standards where higher costs reflected improvements in the quality of housing.

Conversely, any income measure that does not deduct housing costs may overstate the living standards of individuals whose housing costs are high relative to the quality of their accommodation (for example, some residents of London). Income growth over time may also overstate improvements in living standards for lowincome groups, as a rise in Housing Benefit to offset higher rents (for a given quality of accommodation) would be counted as an income rise.

Therefore, HBAI presents analyses of disposable income on two bases: Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC). This is principally to take into account variations in housing costs that themselves do not correspond to comparable variations in the quality of housing. A definition of housing costs can be found in Appendix 1.

## Population

The analyses in this publication are primarily based on the Family Resources Survey (FRS). Households in Northern Ireland (NI) were surveyed for the first time in the 2002/03 survey year. A detailed analysis of observed trends, together with results for NI and the UK for the first three years of NI data can be found in Appendix 3 of the 2004/05 publication.

The survey covers the private household sector. All the results therefore exclude people living in institutions, e.g. nursing homes, halls of residence, barracks or prisons, and homeless people living rough or in bed and breakfast accommodation. The area of Scotland north of the Caledonian Canal was included in the FRS for the first time in the 2001/02 survey year, and from the 2002/03 survey year, the FRS was extended to include a 100 per cent boost of the Scottish sample. This has increased the sample size available for analysis at the Scottish level.

A further adjustment is that households containing a married adult whose spouse is temporarily absent, whilst within the scope of the FRS, are excluded from HBAI. Similarly, prior to the 1996/97 data, households containing a self-employed adult who had been full-time self-employed for less than two months were excluded. This exclusion is no longer made because of the improvements in the self-employment questions in the FRS.

## Self-employed

All analyses in this volume include the full-time self-employed. Nevertheless, it should be noted that a proportion of this group are believed to report incomes that do not reflect their living standards and there are also recognised difficulties in obtaining timely and accurate income information from this group. Previous analysis of the level of material deprivation reported by those self-employed and reporting incomes amongst the lowest 20 per cent of incomes suggests that the link between
lower incomes and higher levels of material deprivation is much weaker than it is for the population as a whole.

There are few differences in the overall picture of proportions in low-income households when analysis is performed either including or excluding the selfemployed. However, this is an area that will be kept under review.

## Income definition

Analyses from the FRS were first presented in HBAI in the 1979 to 1993/94 volume. Prior to that, the Family Expenditure Survey (FES) was used exclusively. The FRS was launched in October 1992 to meet the information requirements of the then DSS. The large sample of the FRS (in 2008/09, full interviews were completed with 23,163 households in Great Britain and 1,929 households in Northern Ireland) makes it possible for HBAI to present information for individual years without - in most cases unduly large sampling errors.

Moving from the FES to the FRS, both as a result of improved questions in the FRS or following the recommendations of a Methodological Review, has introduced some differences in the definition of income. These are detailed in Appendix 2 of the 1996/97 volume. Comparisons of the results were published in the GSS Methodology Series No 18, 'Comparisons of income data between the Family Expenditure Survey and the Family Resources Survey'.

## Material deprivation for children

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by families with children has been included in the Family Resources Survey since 2004/05. Respondents are asked whether they have 21 goods and services, including child, adult and household items. The list of items was identified by independent academic analysis. See McKay, S. and Collard, S. (2004). Developing deprivation questions for the Family Resources Survey, Department for Work and Pensions Working Paper Number 13 available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd5/WP13.pdf. Together, these questions form the best discriminator between those families that are deprived and those that are not. If they do not have a good or service, they are asked whether this is because they do not want them or because they cannot afford them.

These questions are used as an additional way of measuring living standards for children and their families, as outlined in the conclusions of the Measuring Child Poverty Consultation.

A prevalence weighted approach has been used, in combination with a 70 per cent income threshold. Prevalence weighting is a technique of scoring deprivation in which more weight in the deprivation measure is given to families lacking those items that most families already have. This means a greater importance, when an item is lacked, is assigned to those items that are more commonly owned in the population.

For each question a score of 1 indicates where an item is lacked because it cannot be afforded. If the family has the item, the item is not needed or wanted, or the question does not apply then a score of 0 is given. This score is multiplied by the relevant prevalence weight. The scores on each item are summed and then divided by the total maximum score; this results in a continuous distribution of scores ranging from 0 to 1 . The scores are multiplied by 100 to make them easier to interpret. The final scores, therefore, range from 0 to 100, with any families lacking all items which other families had access to scoring 100.

A child is considered materially deprived if they live in a family that has a final score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs.

From the 2008/09 edition of the publication, we have moved to using the prevalence weights relative to the survey year in question, rather than fixed 2004/05 weights, which were used in previous publications. The full list of questions, prevalence weights for the latest survey year and final scores are shown in Table A2.2.

## Material deprivation for pensioners

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by pensioner families has been included in the Family Resources Survey since May 2008. Respondents are asked whether they have access to 15 goods and services. The list of items was identified by independent academic analysis. See Legard, R., Gray, M. and Blake, M. (2008), Cognitive testing: older people and the FRS material deprivation questions, Department for Work and Pensions Working Paper Number 55 available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd5/WP55.pdf and McKay, S. (2008), Measuring material deprivation among older people: Methodological study to revise the Family Resources Survey questions, Department for Work and Pensions Working Paper Number available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd5/WP54.pdf. Together, these questions form the best discriminator between those pensioner families that are deprived and those that are not.

In all cases except being able to pay an unexpected expense of $£ 200$, if they do not have a good or service, they are asked whether this is because; they do not have the money for this; it is not a priority on their current income; their health / disability prevents them; it is too much trouble or tiring; they have no one to do this with or help them; it is not something they want; it is not relevant to them; other.

For the unexpected expense question, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded yes would pay. Options were: use own income but cut back on essentials; use own income but not need to cut back on essentials; use savings; use a form of credit; get money from friends or family; other.

## Grossing

'Grossing up' is the term usually given to the process of applying factors to sample data so that they yield estimates which represent the overall population. The simplest grossing system would be a single factor, the uniform grossing factor, which could be calculated as the number of households in the population divided by the number in the achieved sample. However, surveys are normally grossed by a more complex set of factors, which attempt to correct for differential non-response (i.e. that certain groups are less likely to respond than others) at the same time as they scale up sample estimates.

The system used to calculate grossing factors for HBAI mirrors that of FRS grossing with two differences. FRS grossing uses population estimates as control totals for sample categories which exhibit non-response bias, and have been chosen with the aims of DWP studies in mind. The population estimates are based on control variables, with values derived from external data sources.

The first difference with FRS grossing is that the sample of households is smaller for HBAI purposes because households with spouses living away from home are excluded (see Population section above). The second difference is that separate control totals are introduced for 'very rich' households so that the top end of the income distribution is more accurately reflected, which is particularly important for estimates of mean income (see Survey of Personal Incomes section above).

Department for Work and Pensions statisticians, in consultation with other departments and external experts, reviewed the previous grossing methodology for the FRS, and the latest changes were taken on board for the 2003/04 edition of HBAI; with estimates for historic years revised accordingly. The current publication continues to use the regime adopted for 2003/04.

The 2003/04 publication included more detail of the factors underlying any changes to low-income estimates resulting from the adoption of these new methods.

The control variables and their sources are listed in Table A2.3. The HBAI grossing system controls for variables at both household level and benefit unit level. A grossed count of the number of owner occupying households would thus tie in with the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG) figure, whilst the grossed number of men aged 80 and over, or men living in the North East, would be consistent with Office for National Statistics estimates. Some adjustments have been made to the original control total data sources so that definitions match those in the FRS, for example an adjustment has been made to the demographic data to exclude people not resident in private households.

In order to reconcile control variables at different levels and estimate their joint population, software (CALMAR) provided by the French National Statistics Institute, INSEE, has been used. This software works by iterating towards an optimal solution that, given the particular control totals, minimises the range (maximum to minimum values) of the grossing factors chosen. This should maximise the potential precision of the grossed estimates. CALMAR is used for estimating both Great Britain (GB) and Northern Ireland (NI) populations.

Careful consideration was given to the combination of control totals and the way in which age ranges, Council Tax bands and so on, were grouped together. The aim was to strike a balance so that the grossing system will provide, where possible, accurate estimates in different dimensions without significantly increasing variances.

## Grossing data for Northern Ireland

Apart from the comparable grossing of Northern Ireland data for males, females, children, lone parents, and households as indicated in Table A2.3, there are some differences between the methods used to gross the Northern Ireland sample as compared with the Great Britain sample.

Local taxes in Northern Ireland are collected through the rates system, so Council Tax Band as a control variable is not applicable.

In addition, Northern Ireland housing data are based largely on small-sample surveys. Since it is not desirable to introduce the variance of one survey into another by using it to compute control totals, tenure type has not been used as a control variable.

## Sampling error

The HBAI tables are derived from the sample provided by the Family Resources Survey (FRS). All the figures are therefore subject to sampling error.

Sampling error is the uncertainty in estimates that arises because the results are derived from a random sample of the household population. No two randomly chosen samples would give exactly the same picture of the income distribution and the particular sample chosen in any year could yield results that, by chance, are either high or low. However the likely size of such variation can be identified, at least approximately, by taking account of the size and design of the samples.

Sampling error is thus quite distinct from any systematic errors or biases that may be present in the survey and analysis processes, such as a tendency for respondents to under-report a particular item of income. An estimate of sampling error is a measure of only one particular type of uncertainty in the estimate, and therefore cannot be taken as a guarantee that the figure is 'accurate' within certain limits.

Different figures in HBAI are subject to widely differing levels of sampling error. However, two general observations may usefully be made: firstly, other things being equal, the smaller the sample (or part of sample) from which the estimate is derived, the larger the sampling error; and secondly, estimates of changes, as shown in the Trend and Time Series Tables, are invariably subject to greater sampling error than point-in-time estimates.

Estimates of sampling error in Table A2.4 are expressed here in the form of estimated 95 per cent confidence intervals. The confidence interval would contain the
true value on average 19 out of 20 times, if sampling error were the sole source of errors.

## Survey of Personal Incomes (SPI) - adjustment for individuals with very high incomes

An adjustment is made to sample cases at the top of the income distribution to correct for volatility in the highest incomes captured in the survey. This adjustment uses data from Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs' Survey of Personal Incomes (SPI) to control the numbers and income levels of the very rich while retaining the FRS data on the characteristics of their households. The methodology defines a household as rich if it contains a rich individual and it adjusts pensioners and non-pensioners separately.

For 2008/09, non-pensioners in Great Britain are classified as rich if their net income exceeded $£ 150,000$ per annum ( $£ 100,000$ in Northern Ireland) and pensioners in the United Kingdom are rich if their gross income exceeded $£ 60,000$ per annum. These thresholds have been set at the level above which, for each group, the FRS data is considered to be volatile due to small numbers of cases.

The numbers of rich pensioners and non-pensioners in survey estimates are matched to SPI estimates by the introduction of two extra control totals into the grossing regime. One is for the total number of pensioners above the pensioner threshold and the other for the number of non-pensioners above the non-pensioner threshold. The grossing factors for individual cases are only marginally changed as a result of this adjustment. In addition, each 'very rich' individual in the FRS is assigned an income level derived from the SPI, as the latter gives a more accurate indication of the level of high incomes than the FRS. Again this adjustment is carried out separately for pensioners and nonpensioners.

The estimates using SPI data are provided by Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs' statisticians. The 2008/09 estimates were projections based on 2006/07 data.

## Sampling errors for income growth

The figures in HBAI most susceptible to sampling error are the estimates of the median incomes of particular groups, and especially the estimates of the real changes in these incomes.

In some earlier publications, estimates of such income changes were shown in brackets where necessary to reflect the uncertainty arising from sampling error. For example, estimates were enclosed in brackets if the uncertainty arising from sampling error, as represented by the 95 per cent confidence interval, was beyond +/- 2.5 percentage points and 30 per cent of the estimated change itself. Where uncertainty was even greater, i.e. for example the confidence interval was wider than +/- 10 percentage points or if it was not possible to tell whether a change is positive or negative, ranges were given or else expressed as confidence intervals. These have not been included in this publication.

## Sampling errors for numbers below income thresholds

The 95 per cent confidence interval of those individuals below 60 per cent of 2008/09 median income on a Before Housing Cost basis is of the order of around +/- 340,000 individuals or 0.6 percentage points.

Figures for the number of individuals below 50, 60 and 70 per cent of contemporary median income are shown in Table A2.4, with figures for the number of individuals below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms shown in Table A2.5. For changes in the different groups below 60 per cent of median income between two points in time to be statistically significant, they need to be around the following order of magnitude:

- For the whole population, around 0.8 percentage points or 430-500,000 individuals.
- For children, around 1.5 percentage points or 170-200,000 children.
- For working-age adults, just under one percentage point, or 270-330,000 workingage adults.
- For pensioners, around 1.2 percentage points or 120-130,000 pensioners.


## Sampling errors for compositional figures

The compositional figures in the time series tables for all individuals, for example the proportion of a particular income group who are pensioners, tend to have relatively small sampling errors; in general the uncertainty in these figures from the choice of equivalence scale will be much greater than the sampling error. Bunching of a population type close to a particular income threshold could magnify the relevant sampling error, since a small difference in that threshold would cause a relatively large difference in the percentage of the group below the threshold. However, the uncertainty from equivalisation would also be magnified, and the latter would remain the more important source of uncertainty.

## Methodological improvements made in 2008/09

Some minor methodological improvements have been made for the 2008/09 publication. These include improving the imputation of Winter Fuel Payments amounts, including the increased Christmas Bonus as income and including Healthy Start vouchers which have replaced Welfare Milk. The new Employment Support Allowance has also been included as income. The child maintenance questions on the Family Resources Survey have changed to no longer also collect information on spousal maintenance, so spousal maintenance is no longer included as income.

## British Household Panel Survey

Estimates of persistence of low-incomes derived in Chapter 7 are based on data from the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS), a longitudinal dataset developed at the

University of Essex's Institute for Social and Economic Research, with core funding from the UK Economic and Social Research Council. The first wave was designed as a nationally representative sample of the population of Great Britain living in private households in 1991 and the sample have been re-interviewed every year since then. Each survey is based on a target sample of approximately 5,000 households.

Like the Family Resources Survey, BHPS does not include people in residential institutions, or the homeless. Interviewing started in September 1991 for the first wave and the September of each subsequent year. In the text wave one is referred to as 1991, wave two as 1992 and so on.

The measure of income used here is the sum, across all household members, of income from employment and self-employment, investments and savings, private and occupational pensions, Social Security benefits, Child and Working Tax Credits, and miscellaneous income, less income tax and National Insurance contributions. The components refer to receipts and payments made in the month prior to the interview or the most recent relevant period. Income here, unlike the main HBAI estimates, does not deduct local taxation (including Council Tax). This is something that has to be borne in mind given the variation in Council Tax rates between local councils and the reduction that operates for single householders.

In the BHPS, some self-employment incomes and income from second jobs are reported gross rather than net. Income tax and National Insurance contributions have been imputed for these cases to ensure consistency.

Estimates are equivalised using the McClements scale. Estimates are presented on a Before Housing Costs (BHC) basis and on an After Housing Costs (AHC) basis.

More information on the survey and methods used is available on the ISER web-site at http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/ulsc/bhps/.

Whilst some analysis is based on the full 17-years of data (i.e. individuals present in each of the 17 waves), analysis of different 'rolling' four-year periods is presented as a variation on this. The period 1991 to 1994 uses individuals present in all of the first four waves. Other periods use individuals present in each wave up to and including the end of that four-year period, except that children born to permanent panel members must be present in each wave from birth to the end of the four-year period.

The same individuals are re-interviewed in successive waves. Account is also taken of the inevitable changes of location that some interviewees experience. The survey follows members of the original household if they move to a new household, which ensures that the household/family history is not lost, and that there is no significant fall-off in interview numbers.

Estimates have been weighted using the longitudinal weights which are constructed by the University of Essex. Although the weights attempt to correct for biases arising from sample attrition that are related to factors observed within the data, such as non-response, unequal selection and accommodation type, it is possible that some biases are related to factors which are uncontrolled.

## A2.1: Comparison of OECD and McClements equivalence scales

| Equivalence scales |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Modified OECD <br> rescaled to <br> couple without <br> children=1 | OECD <br> 'Companion' <br> Scale to <br> equivalise AHC <br> results | McClements <br> BHC | McClements <br> AHC |
| First Adult | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.55 |
| Spouse | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.45 |
| Other Second Adult ${ }^{2}$ | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.45 |
| Third Adult | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.45 |
| Subsequent Adults | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.40 |
| Children aged under 14yrs ${ }^{3}$ | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Children aged 14yrs and over ${ }^{3}$ | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.34 |

## Notes:

1. Presented here to two decimal places.
2. For the McClements scale, the weight for 'Other second adult' is used in place of the weight for 'Spouse' when two adults living in a household are sharing accommodation, but are not living as a couple. 'Third adult' and 'Subsequent adult' weights are used for the remaining adults in the household as appropriate. In contrast to the McClements scales, apart from for the first adult, the OECD scales do not differentiate for subsequent adults.
3. The McClements scale varies by age within these groups; appropriate average values are shown in the table.

## A2.2: Material deprivation scores in 2008/09 ${ }^{1}$

| Material deprivation questions | Weights | Final scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For Children |  |  |
| Outdoor space or facilities nearby to play safely | 0.872 | 5.81 |
| Enough bedrooms for every child of 10 or over of a different sex to have their own bedroom | 0.859 | 5.72 |
| Celebrations on special occasions such as birthdays, Christmas or other religious festivals | 0.947 | 6.30 |
| Leisure equipment such as sports equipment or a bicycle | 0.856 | 5.70 |
| A family holiday away from home for at least one week a year | 0.617 | 4.11 |
| A hobby or leisure activity | 0.735 | 4.89 |
| Swimming at least once a month | 0.540 | 3.59 |
| Friends around for tea or a snack once a fortnight | 0.654 | 4.35 |
| Go on school trips | 0.879 | 5.85 |
| Toddler group/nursery/playgroup at least once a week | 0.641 | 4.27 |
| For Adults |  |  |
| Enough money to keep your home in a decent state of decoration | 0.769 | 5.12 |
| A hobby or leisure activity | 0.575 | 3.83 |
| A holiday away from home for at least one week a year, whilst not staying with relatives at their home | 0.554 | 3.69 |
| Household contents insurance | 0.735 | 4.89 |
| Have friends or family around for a drink or meal at least once a month | 0.607 | 4.04 |
| Regular savings of $£ 10$ a month or more for rainy days or retirement | 0.541 | 3.60 |
| Two pairs of all weather shoes for each adult | 0.891 | 5.93 |
| Replace any worn out furniture | 0.562 | 3.74 |
| Replace or repair major electrical goods such as a refrigerator or a washing machine, when broken | 0.674 | 4.49 |
| A small amount of money to spend each week on yourself, not on your family | 0.641 | 4.26 |
| In winter, able to keep accommodation warm enough | 0.874 | 5.82 |
| Sum of all weights | 15.023 | 100 |

## Notes:

1. Material deprivation weights are now calculated based on responses in the survey year in question.

## Table A2.3: Grossing factor control totals

| Control variables used to generate grossing factors for private households |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Groupings | Source of data |
| Males by: Government Office Region/age/sex (England); and Country/age/sex (Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland) | For each region/country: males in the following age groups: <br> $0-9,10-19^{*}$ dependents, 0-19 dependents (NI only), 16-24* non-dependents, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-59, 60-64, 65-74, 75-79, 80 plus | Office for National Statistics (ONS), Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) |
| Females by: Government Office Region/age/sex (England); and Country/age/sex (Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland) | For each region/country: females in the following age groups: <br> $0-9,10-19^{*}$ dependents, 0-19 dependents ( NI only), 16-24* non-dependents, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-74, 75-79, 80 plus | ONS, NISRA |
| *16-19 year old dependents | Dependents aged 16-19 in Scotland, England and Wales | DWP estimates using data derived from ONS and HMRC |
| Lone parents (Great Britain) | Male, Female | DWP estimates |
| Lone parents (Northern Ireland) | All | Northern Ireland Department for Social Development (DSD) estimates |
| Families (England and Wales, Scotland) | Number of families with children | HMRC estimates |
| Tenure type (England and Wales, Scotland) | Number of households of types: LA renters, private renters, owner occupiers | Communities and Local Government (CLG) |
| Council Tax Band (England and Wales, Scotland) | Number of households of types: A, B, C-D, $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$ (as well as band I for Wales) | Valuation Office, Scottish Government |
| Region | Number of households in London, Scotland, "rest of England and Wales", Northern Ireland | CLG estimates, DSD estimates |
| Households Containing 'Very Rich' People (Great Britain and Northern Ireland) | Pensioners, Non-pensioners | HMRC Survey of Personal Incomes (SPI) |

Table A2.4: Ninety-five per cent confidence intervals for numbers and proportions of individuals below various thresholds of contemporary median income, United Kingdom ${ }^{1}$


70 per cent of median income

| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All individuals | 25.4 | 25.9 | 26.5 | 0.6 | $15,280,000$ | $15,620,000$ | $15,960,000$ | 340,000 |
| Children | 31.1 | 32.1 | 33.1 | 1.0 | $3,980,000$ | $4,110,000$ | $4,240,000$ | 130,000 |
| Working-age adults | 21.4 | 22.0 | 22.6 | 0.6 | $7,730,000$ | $7,950,000$ | $8,170,000$ | 220,000 |
| Pensioners | 30.6 | 31.5 | 32.3 | 0.9 | $3,460,000$ | $3,560,000$ | $3,660,000$ | 100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All individuals | 28.7 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 0.6 | $17,310,000$ | $17,650,000$ | $17,990,000$ | 340,000 |
| Children | 37.9 | 38.9 | 39.8 | 1.0 | $4,850,000$ | $4,970,000$ | $5,100,000$ | 130,000 |
| Working-age adults | 26.2 | 26.8 | 27.5 | 0.6 | $9,470,000$ | $9,700,000$ | $9,930,000$ | 230,000 |
| Pensioners | 25.5 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 0.8 | $2,890,000$ | $2,980,000$ | $3,060,000$ | 80,000 |

1. Levels of change needed between two periods for a significant movement based on 60 per cent of median are shown in the 'Sampling errors for number below income thresholds' section above.

Table A2.5: Ninety-five per cent confidence intervals for numbers and proportions of individuals below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, United Kingdom

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of individuals |  |  |  | Number of individuals |  |  |  |
|  | lower bound | HBAI <br> estimate | upper <br> bound | +I- | lower bound | HBAI <br> estimate | upper <br> bound | +/- |
| 60 per cent of 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All individuals | 10.7 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 0.5 | 6,470,000 | 6,760,000 | 7,050,000 | 290,000 |
| Children | 11.5 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 0.9 | 1,470,000 | 1,580,000 | 1,690,000 | 110,000 |
| Working-age adults | 10.0 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 0.5 | 3,610,000 | 3,810,000 | 4,010,000 | 200,000 |
| Pensioners | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.8 | 0.7 | 1,290,000 | 1,370,000 | 1,450,000 | 80,000 |
| After Housing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All individuals | 14.2 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 0.6 | 8,550,000 | 8,890,000 | 9,220,000 | 330,000 |
| Children | 17.6 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 2,260,000 | 2,390,000 | 2,510,000 | 120,000 |
| Working-age adults | 14.6 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 0.7 | 5,270,000 | 5,510,000 | 5,750,000 | 240,000 |
| Pensioners | 8.2 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 920,000 | 990,000 | 1,060,000 | 70,000 |

## Appendix 3

## Opportunity for all Indicators

The Government's report on tackling poverty and social exclusion 'Opportunity for all' (OFa) includes some HBAI-based indicators for the proportions of different groups of the population below low-income thresholds of median income.

The Ofa indicators continue to be measured on the same basis as in previous years, and, unlike the headline results presented in the trends tables in Chapters 3 to 6, cover Great Britain only and use the McClements scales for equivalisation (see Appendix 2 for more details of this process).

Such uniformity of presentation style helps to maintain the time series element from the start of the series in 1994/95.

Tables on the following pages present proportions and numbers for all individuals; for children; for working-age adults; and for pensioners. Estimates are presented for thresholds of both contemporary income and 1998/99 income held constant in real terms. Also included are tables showing the proportion of each group experiencing low income for three or more years out of four years, with low income defined here as below 60 per cent and 70 per cent of median income only. The persistent low income tables also use the McClements scales for equivalisation.

## Appendix 3

Table A3.1: Percentage of individuals falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998199 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 24 | 31 |  |
| 1995/96 | 9 | 17 | 26 | 14 | 23 | 31 |  |
| 1996/97 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 25 | 32 |  |
| 1997/98 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 24 | 31 |  |
| 1998/89 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 24 | 30 |  |
| 1999/00 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 24 | 31 |  |
| 2000/01 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 15 | 23 | 30 |  |
| 2001/02 | 9 | 17 | 26 | 14 | 22 | 29 |  |
| 2002/03 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 14 | 22 | 29 |  |
| 2003/04 | 9 | 17 | 26 | 14 | 21 | 29 |  |
| 2004/05 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 20 | 28 |  |
| 2005/06 | 10 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 21 | 29 |  |
| 2006/07 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 |  |
| 2007/08 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 |  |
| 2008/09 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 15 | 22 | 29 |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 12 | 22 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 35 |  |
| 1995/96 | 12 | 21 | 31 | 18 | 28 | 35 |  |
| 1996/97 | 11 | 20 | 29 | 18 | 27 | 33 |  |
| 1997/98 | 11 | 19 | 28 | 17 | 25 | 31 |  |
| 1998/89 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 24 | 30 |  |
| 1999/00 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 14 | 22 | 29 |  |
| 2000/01 | 8 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 19 | 26 |  |
| 2001/02 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 10 | 16 | 23 |  |
| 2002/03 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 15 | 21 |  |
| 2003/04 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 20 |  |
| 2004/05 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 18 |  |
| 2005/06 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 19 |  |
| 2006/07 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 19 |  |
| 2007/08 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 19 |  |
| 2008/09 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 19 |  |

Percentage of individuals experiencing persistent low income, Great Britain ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of individuals living in households below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: BHPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1991 \text { to } \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \text { to } \\ 1995 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \text { to } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1996 \text { to } \\ 1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \text { to } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \text { to } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \text { to } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \text { to } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { to } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| BHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Below 70\% median | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| AHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Below 70\% median | 22 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 |

Note:

1. The years 1993 to 1996,1995 to 1998 and 1999 to 2002 have been dropped for reasons of space. All years are shown in Chapter 7 of this report.

Table A3.2: Number of individuals falling below various thresholds of contemporary and $1998 / 99$ median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Number of individuals (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | individuals |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 5.1 | 9.8 | 14.9 | 8.2 | 13.1 | 17.1 | 55.3 |
| 1995/96 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 7.7 | 12.9 | 17.1 | 55.5 |
| 1996/97 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 13.8 | 17.6 | 55.6 |
| 1997/88 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 17.0 | 55.7 |
| 1998/99 | 5.6 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 8.7 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 55.9 |
| 1999/00 | 5.4 | 10.0 | 15.2 | 8.6 | 13.2 | 17.1 | 56.1 |
| 2000/01 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 8.3 | 12.7 | 16.7 | 56.2 |
| 2001/02 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 16.5 | 56.4 |
| 2002/03 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 16.7 | 56.6 |
| 2003/04 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 14.6 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 16.4 | 56.8 |
| 2004/05 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 14.3 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 57.1 |
| 2005/06 | 5.5 | 9.7 | 14.4 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 16.6 | 57.4 |
| 2006/07 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 16.9 | 57.8 |
| 2007/08 | 6.1 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 17.1 | 58.1 |
| 2008/09 | 5.9 | 10.1 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 17.0 | 58.5 |


| Number of individuals (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | individuals |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 6.8 | 12.1 | 17.5 |  |  |  | 10.4 | 15.4 | 19.4 | 55.3 |
| 1995/96 | 6.5 | 11.7 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 15.4 | 19.4 | 55.5 |
| 1996/97 | 6.1 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 9.9 | 14.7 | 18.3 | 55.6 |
| 1997/88 | 5.9 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 9.3 | 13.7 | 17.5 | 55.7 |
| 1998/99 | 5.6 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 8.7 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 55.9 |
| 1999/00 | 4.9 | 9.2 | 14.2 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 16.0 | 56.1 |
| 2000/01 | 4.5 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 6.5 | 10.6 | 14.5 | 56.2 |
| 2001/02 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 12.8 | 56.4 |
| 2002/03 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 56.6 |
| 2003/04 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 11.4 | 56.8 |
| 2004/05 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 57.1 |
| 2005/06 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 57.4 |
| 2006/07 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 57.8 |
| 2007/08 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 11.3 | 58.1 |
| 2008/09 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 58.5 |

## Appendix 3

Table A3.3: Percentage of children falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 11 | 23 | 34 | 20 | 31 | 39 |  |
| 1995/96 | 11 | 22 | 34 | 19 | 31 | 40 |  |
| 1996/97 | 12 | 25 | 35 | 23 | 33 | 41 |  |
| 1997/98 | 13 | 25 | 35 | 23 | 32 | 40 |  |
| 1998/99 | 13 | 24 | 35 | 23 | 33 | 40 |  |
| 1999/00 | 12 | 23 | 35 | 22 | 32 | 40 |  |
| 2000/01 | 11 | 21 | 33 | 20 | 30 | 39 |  |
| 2001/02 | 10 | 21 | 33 | 19 | 30 | 38 |  |
| 2002/03 | 10 | 21 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 38 |  |
| 2003/04 | 11 | 21 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 37 |  |
| 2004/05 | 10 | 20 | 32 | 17 | 27 | 37 |  |
| 2005/06 | 11 | 20 | 32 | 19 | 29 | 38 |  |
| 2006/07 | 12 | 21 | 32 | 19 | 29 | 38 |  |
| 2007/08 | 11 | 21 | 32 | 20 | 30 | 39 |  |
| 2008/09 | 11 | 20 | 31 | 19 | 29 | 38 |  |
| Percentage of children |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 15 | 28 | 39 | 25 | 36 | 44 |  |
| 1995/96 | 15 | 27 | 39 | 25 | 36 | 45 |  |
| 1996/97 | 14 | 27 | 37 | 25 | 35 | 43 |  |
| 1997/98 | 14 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 33 | 41 |  |
| 1998/99 | 13 | 24 | 35 | 23 | 33 | 40 |  |
| 1999/00 | 10 | 21 | 33 | 19 | 30 | 38 |  |
| 2000/01 | 9 | 17 | 29 | 15 | 25 | 34 |  |
| 2001/02 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 31 |  |
| 2002/03 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 11 | 19 | 27 |  |
| 2003/04 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 11 | 19 | 27 |  |
| 2004/05 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 25 |  |
| 2005/06 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 25 |  |
| 2006/07 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 17 | 25 |  |
| 2007/08 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 18 | 26 |  |
| 2008/09 | 7 | 11 | 19 | 11 | 17 | 25 |  |

Percentage of children experiencing persistent low income, Great Britain ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of children living in households below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: BHPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1991 \text { to } \\ 1994 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \text { to } \\ 1995 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \text { to } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \text { to } \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \text { to } \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \text { to } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \text { to } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \text { to } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { to } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| BHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Below 70\% median | 29 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 21 |
| AHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| Below 70\% median | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 26 |

## Note:

1. The years 1993 to 1996, 1995 to 1998 and 1999 to 2002 have been dropped for reasons of space. All years are shown in Chapter 7 of this report.

Table A3.4: Number of children falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Number of children (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 12.6 |
| 1995/96 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 12.7 |
| 1996/97 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 12.7 |
| 1997/98 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| 1998/99 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| 1999/00 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| 2000/01 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 12.7 |
| 2001/02 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 12.6 |
| 2002/03 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 12.6 |
| 2003/04 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 12.5 |
| 2004/05 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 12.4 |
| 2005/06 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 12.4 |
| 2006/07 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 12.4 |
| 2007/08 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 12.4 |
| 2008/09 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 12.4 |
| Number of children (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 12.6 |
| 1995/96 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 12.7 |
| 1996/97 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 12.7 |
| 1997/98 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 12.7 |
| 1998/99 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| 1999/00 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 12.7 |
| 2000/01 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 12.7 |
| 2001/02 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 12.6 |
| 2002/03 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 12.6 |
| 2003/04 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 12.5 |
| 2004/05 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 12.4 |
| 2005/06 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 12.4 |
| 2006/07 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 12.4 |
| 2007/08 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 12.4 |
| 2008/09 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 12.4 |

## Appendix 3

Table A3.5: Percentage of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Percentage of working-age adults |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 |  |
| 1995/96 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 19 | 25 |  |
| 1996/97 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 26 |  |
| 1997/98 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 1998/99 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 1999/00 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 25 |  |
| 2000/01 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 2001/02 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 2002/03 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 2003/04 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 24 |  |
| 2004/05 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 24 |  |
| 2005/06 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 26 |  |
| 2006/07 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 26 |  |
| 2007/08 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 26 |  |
| 2008/09 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 27 |  |



Percentage of working-age adults experiencing persistent low income, Great Britain ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of working-age adults living in households below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: BHPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1991 \text { to } \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \text { to } \\ 1995 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \text { to } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \text { to } \\ 1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \text { to } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \text { to } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \text { to } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \text { to } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { to } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| BHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Below 70\% median | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| AHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Below 70\% median | 14 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |

Note:

1. The years 1993 to 1996,1995 to 1998 and 1999 to 2002 have been dropped for reasons of space. All years are shown in Chapter 7 of this report.

Table A3.6: Number of working-age adults falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Number of working-age adults (millions) |  |  |  | Source: FRS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing CostsBelow median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All working-age adults |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 32.8 |
| 1995/96 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 32.8 |
| 1996/97 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 32.9 |
| 1997/98 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 33.1 |
| 1998/99 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 33.2 |
| 1999/00 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 33.3 |
| 2000/01 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 33.5 |
| 2001/02 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 33.7 |
| 2002/03 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 33.9 |
| 2003/04 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 34.0 |
| 2004/05 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 34.2 |
| 2005/06 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 34.5 |
| 2006/07 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 34.8 |
| 2007/08 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 34.9 |
| 2008/09 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 35.1 |
| Number of working-age adults (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  | All working-age |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {adults }}$ |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 32.8 |
| 1995/96 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 32.8 |
| 1996/97 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 32.9 |
| 1997/98 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 33.1 |
| 1998/99 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 33.2 |
| 1999/00 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 33.3 |
| 2000/01 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 33.5 |
| 2001/02 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 33.7 |
| 2002/03 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 33.9 |
| 2003/04 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 34.0 |
| 2004/05 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 34.2 |
| 2005/06 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 34.5 |
| 2006/07 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 34.8 |
| 2007/08 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 34.9 |
| 2008/09 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 35.1 |

## Appendix 3

Table A3.7: Percentage of pensioners falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 10 | 22 | 37 | 11 | 27 | 40 |  |
| 1995/96 | 10 | 22 | 36 | 10 | 26 | 39 |  |
| 1996/97 | 11 | 22 | 36 | 12 | 28 | 39 |  |
| 1997/98 | 12 | 23 | 37 | 13 | 27 | 38 |  |
| 1998/99 | 12 | 24 | 38 | 13 | 27 | 38 |  |
| 1999/00 | 12 | 23 | 36 | 12 | 26 | 37 |  |
| 2000/01 | 11 | 22 | 35 | 11 | 24 | 36 |  |
| 2001/02 | 11 | 23 | 35 | 11 | 23 | 37 |  |
| 2002/03 | 11 | 22 | 35 | 11 | 22 | 37 |  |
| 2003/04 | 10 | 21 | 33 | 10 | 20 | 34 |  |
| 2004/05 | 9 | 19 | 32 | 8 | 17 | 29 |  |
| 2005/06 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 9 | 17 | 29 |  |
| 2006/07 | 11 | 21 | 32 | 10 | 18 | 30 |  |
| 2007/08 | 11 | 21 | 31 | 10 | 18 | 29 |  |
| 2008/09 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 9 | 16 | 26 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of pensioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing CostsBelow median |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% |  |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 15 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 35 | 46 |  |
| 1995/96 | 14 | 28 | 43 | 17 | 34 | 45 |  |
| 1996/97 | 13 | 25 | 39 | 15 | 31 | 41 |  |
| 1997/98 | 13 | 24 | 38 | 14 | 29 | 40 |  |
| 1998/99 | 12 | 24 | 38 | 13 | 27 | 38 |  |
| 1999/00 | 10 | 21 | 34 | 10 | 23 | 35 |  |
| 2000/01 | 9 | 18 | 30 | 7 | 18 | 30 |  |
| 2001/02 | 7 | 16 | 27 | 7 | 14 | 25 |  |
| 2002/03 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 5 | 11 | 21 |  |
| 2003/04 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 6 | 10 | 18 |  |
| 2004/05 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 5 | 8 | 15 |  |
| 2005/06 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 13 |  |
| 2006/07 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 6 | 9 | 15 |  |
| 2007/08 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 14 |  |
| 2008/09 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 5 | 8 | 13 |  |

Percentage of pensioners experiencing persistent low income, Great Britain ${ }^{1}$

| Percentage of pensioners living in households below threshold in at least 3 out of 4 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: BHPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1991 \text { to } \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \text { to } \\ 1995 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \text { to } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \text { to } \\ 1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \text { to } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \text { to } \\ 2004 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \text { to } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \text { to } \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \text { to } \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| BHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 19 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| Below 70\% median | 37 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 32 | 31 | 29 |
| AHC thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 60\% median | 21 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 9 |
| Below 70\% median | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 20 |

## Note:

1. The years 1993 to 1996, 1995 to 1998 and 1999 to 2002 have been dropped for reasons of space. All years are shown in Chapter 7 of this report.

Table A3.8: Number of pensioners falling below various thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 median income held constant in real terms, Great Britain

| Numbers of pensioners (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Source: FRS }}{\text { All }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs Below median |  |  | After Housing Costs Below median |  |  |  |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | pensioners |
| Contemporary income thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 9.9 |
| 1995/96 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 9.9 |
| 1996/97 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 9.9 |
| 1997/98 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 10.0 |
| 1998/99 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 10.0 |
| 1999/00 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 10.0 |
| 2000/01 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 10.1 |
| 2001/02 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 10.1 |
| 2002/03 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 10.2 |
| 2003/04 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 10.3 |
| 2004/05 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 10.4 |
| 2005/06 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 10.5 |
| 2006/07 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 10.6 |
| 2007/08 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 10.8 |
| 2008/09 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 11.0 |


| Numbers of pensioners (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: FRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Housing Costs |  |  | After Housing Costs |  |  |  |
|  | Below median |  |  | Below median |  |  | All |
|  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | pensioners |
| 1998/99 income thresholds held constant in real terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 9.9 |
| 1995/96 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 9.9 |
| 1996/97 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 9.9 |
| 1997/98 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 10.0 |
| 1998/99 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 10.0 |
| 1999/00 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 10.0 |
| 2000/01 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 10.1 |
| 2001/02 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 10.1 |
| 2002/03 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 10.2 |
| 2003/04 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 10.3 |
| 2004/05 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 10.4 |
| 2005/06 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 10.5 |
| 2006/07 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 10.6 |
| 2007/08 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 10.8 |
| 2008/09 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 11.0 |

## Households Below Average Income: An analysis of the income distribution 1994/95-2008/09

Households Below Average Income (HBAI) uses household disposable incomes, adjusted for household size and composition, as a proxy for material living standards or, more precisely, for the level of consumption of goods and services that people could attain given the disposable income of the household in which they live.

This report is the twenty-first in the HBAI series and principally gives information on the income distribution from 1994/95 2008/09 using cross-sectional data from the DWP Family Resource Survey. It also includes a small section on persistence of low income over the period 1991 to 2007 using longitudinal data from the British Household Panel Survey, which is run by the ESRC UK Longitudinal Studies Centre at the University of Essex.
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[^0]:    1. Growth in unequivalised mean net disposable income is also presented here, in order to allow better comparisons with Real Household Disposable Income growth.
    2. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
[^1]:    Note:

    1. This table takes levels of equivalised income for the population as a whole and translates them into cash equivalents for a selection of family types. For example, for results Before Housing Costs, it shows that 60 per cent of median (equivalised) income in 2008/09 for a couple (the equivalised income benchmark) was $£ 244$ per week. This translates to a cash income of $£ 164$ for a single person with no childen. This implies that a single person with no children with a cash income of $£ 164$ or less is below 60 per cent of median (equivalised) income for the population as a whole.
[^2]:    1. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.
[^3]:    Notes:

    1. Disability benefits are Disability Living Allowance; Armed Forces Compensation Scheme; Attendance Allowance (for those over 65 years old); Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit. Disability benefits may be received by or on behalf of the eligible person in the household.
    2. A household is said to have a direct payment accounts if a household member has an account accepting Automatic Credit Transfers (ACT). Accounts included are Basic Bank accounts, Current accounts, Post Office accounts, or savings accounts with any other bank or building society.
    3. The totals for all individuals are shown for the United Kingdom for the year 2008/09 only and are not three-year averages.
    4. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.
[^4]:    All children (per cent)

    | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    |  |  |  |  |

    1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only.
    2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.
[^5]:    Notes:

    1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 1998/99-2000/01 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britain only. Data for Northern Ireland has been imputed for 1998/99 to 2001/02.
    2. The all children figures here are three-year averages and are therefore not directly comparable with the totals in the main tables
[^6]:    1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For
[^7]:    1. Within households, pensioners are excluded from the classifications if they are not working, and are included if they are working. For
[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The definition of income detailed here applies to the analyses based on FRS data. A very similar definition was used for analyses based on the Family Expenditure Survey. For details of the differences between the definitions please see Appendix 2 of HBAI 1979-1996/97.

