First Release



DATE: 14June 2012 IFD140612-HBAI

Coverage: United Kingdom Theme: Economy

HOUSEHOLDS BELOW AVERAGE INCOME STATISTICS

The Households Below Average Income statistical report (HBAI) for the period 1994/95-2010/11 is published today. This National Statistics First Release contains a digest of key results taken from this publication. All results are estimates, based on data from the Family Resources Survey (FRS) drawn from a sample of private households in the United Kingdom.

The main report results are for 2010/11 using modified OECD equivalisation scales, with the geographical coverage being the United Kingdom. The reference year for absolute low-income results is 1998/99.

Figures are rounded to the nearest 100,000 or percentage point meaning figures may not sum exactly due to rounding. All figures in this First Release are in the HBAI statistical report.

RELATIVE LOW-INCOME INDICATORS

Changes in relative low-income indicators depend on how changing incomes at the lower end of the distribution compare with income growth for the rest of the population. Relative low-income counts fall if income growth at the lower end outstrips overall income growth. Where reductions are reported for relative low-income indicators, these tend to be on a smaller scale to those seen for the absolute indicators discussed below, as a large part of the income growth at the bottom of the distribution is usually absorbed in keeping pace with the population as a whole.

Children – In 2010/11, 18 per cent of children (2.3 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median net disposable household income Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 27 per cent (3.6 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this represents a fall of 2 percentage points (0.3m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 2 percentage points (0.2m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 9 percentage points (1.1m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 7 percentage points (0.9m) AHC.

Working-age adults – In 2010/11, 15 per cent of working-age adults (5.5 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median net disposable household income Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 21 per cent (7.8 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this represents a fall of 1 percentage point (0.2m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 1 percentage point (0.1m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a rise of 1 percentage point (0.5m) on a BHC basis and a rise of 2 percentage points (1.1m) AHC.

Pensioners – In 2010/11, 17 per cent of pensioners (2.0 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median net disposable household income Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 14 per cent (1.7 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this represents a fall of 1 percentage point (no change in numbers) on a BHC basis and a fall of 1 percentage point (0.1m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 9 percentage points (0.7m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 14 percentage points (1.3m) AHC.

Whole Population – In 2010/11, 16 per cent of people (9.8 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of contemporary median net disposable household income Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 21 per cent (13.0 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this represents a fall of 1 percentage point (0.5m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 1 percentage point (0.5m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 3 percentage points (1.3m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 3 percentage points (1.0m) AHC.

Issued by: HBAI team Information Governance and Security Directorate Dept for Work and Pensions

Telephone: Press Office: 020 3267 5144

DWP website: www.dwp.gov.uk

Statistician:

Peter Matejic Information Governance and Security Directorate Dept for Work and Pensions 2nd floor, Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1H 9NA

Telephone: 020 7449 7337 Facsimile: 020 7449 7123 email: team.hbai@dwp.gsi.gov.uk

Next Publication: May/June 2013

'ABSOLUTE' LOW-INCOME INDICATORS

Absolute incomes are assessed against the median in 1998/99 adjusted for inflation, and the indicator falls if poorer households are seeing their income rise in real terms.

Children – In 2010/11, 11 per cent of children (1.4 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median net disposable household income held constant in real terms Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 18 per cent (2.3 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this was flat in percentage point terms and numbers on a BHC basis and was flat in percentage point terms and numbers AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 16 percentage points (2.1m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 16 percentage points (2.1m) AHC.

Working-age adults – In 2010/11, 10 per cent of working-age adults (3.8 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median net disposable household income held constant in real terms Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 16 per cent (5.8 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this was flat in percentage point terms (a rise of 0.1m) on a BHC basis and flat in percentage point terms (a rise of 0.2m in terms of numbers) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 4 percentage points (1.2m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 4 percentage points (0.8m) AHC.

Pensioners – In 2010/11, 11 per cent of pensioners (1.3 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median net disposable household income held constant in real terms Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 9 per cent (1.0 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this represents a rise of 1 percentage point (0.1m) on a BHC basis and a rise of 1 percentage point (0.1m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 16 percentage points (1.5m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 20 percentage points (1.9m) AHC.

Whole Population – In 2010/11, 11 per cent of people (6.5 million) were in households in the UK with incomes below 60 per cent of 1998/99 median net disposable household income held constant in real terms Before Housing Costs (BHC), and 15 per cent (9.1 million) After Housing Costs (AHC).

Compared to 2009/10, this was flat in percentage point terms (a rise of 0.3m) on a BHC basis and was flat in percentage point terms (a rise of 0.3m) AHC.

Compared to 1998/99, this represents a fall of 9 percentage points (4.7m) on a BHC basis and a fall of 9 percentage points (4.9m) AHC.

RELATIVE LOW INCOME AND MATERIAL DEPRIVATION INDICATOR

This is an additional indicator of child poverty complementing the Before Housing Costs based relative and absolute low-income indicators above. In 2010/11, 14 per cent of children (1.9 million) were living in UK households in low income and material deprivation. This represents a fall of 1 percentage point (0.2m) since 2009/10.

SEVERE RELATIVE LOW INCOME AND MATERIAL DEPRIVATION INDICATOR (SEVERE POVERTY)

This is also an additional indicator of child poverty complementing the Before Housing Costs based relative and absolute low-income indicators above. In 2010/11, 4 per cent of children (0.6 million) were living in UK households in severe low income and material deprivation. This represents a fall of 1 percentage point (0.1m) since 2009/10.

MATERIAL DEPRIVATION INDICATOR FOR PENSIONERS

This is an additional indicator of pensioner poverty complementing the After Housing Costs based relative and absolute low-income indicators above. In 2010/11, 9 per cent of pensioners aged 65 or over (0.8 million) were materially deprived. This represents a fall of 1 percentage point (0.1m) since 2009/10.

GROUPS WITH AN ABOVE AVERAGE PROPORTION IN LOW INCOME IN 2010/11

In 2010/11, 16 per cent of the population lived in households with below 60 per cent of contemporary median income Before Housing Costs, 21 per cent on an After Housing Costs basis. The following were among the groups who were more likely to be in relative low income:

- **Children** In particular, children in workless families, lone-parent families, and those in families with three or more children. These patterns applied on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases but all these groups have shown falls in the proportion in low income since 1998/99.
- **Pensioners** Those aged 85 or more, those having no occupational/personal pensions and those living alone had a higher risk of low income when compared with the overall UK population on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases but all these groups have shown falls in the proportion in low income since 1998/99.
- Workless households Nearly half of working-age adults in workless households were living in lowincome households on a Before Housing Cost basis, with over six in ten living in low-income households on an After Housing Costs basis.
- Ethnic minorities Households headed by a member of certain ethnic minority communities were more likely to have low incomes. This was particularly the case for households headed by someone of Pakistani or Bangladeshi ethnic origin, with around half of this group living in households with below 60 per cent of median income on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases.
- **Disabled people** Individuals in families containing one or more disabled person were more likely to live in low-income households than those in families with no disabled people. This pattern applies on both Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs bases.
- Social rented sector tenants Individuals in this group were more likely to live in low-income households than other tenure types, with around three in ten living in households with below 60 per cent of median income Before Housing Costs, and around four in ten on an After Housing Costs basis.
- No educational qualifications Working-age adults with no educational qualifications were more likely to live in low income than those with a qualification. On a Before Housing Costs basis, around three in ten working-age adults without qualifications lived in households with below 60 per cent of median income, with around four in ten on an After Housing Costs basis.
- **Region / country** Individuals living in the West Midlands and Northern Ireland were most likely to live in low-income households on a Before Housing Costs basis, with individuals in Inner London more likely on an After Housing Costs basis. Those living in the South East were least likely to live in low-income households on both bases.

THE INCOME DISTRIBUTION IN 2010/11 - ACTUAL MONEY VALUES

In order to allow comparisons of living standards between different household types, income is adjusted to take into account variations in the size and composition of the household in a process known as equivalisation. This adjustment reflects the common sense notion that a household of several people requires a higher income than a single person in order for both households to enjoy a comparable standard of living. Therefore, the majority of monetary amounts presented in HBAI are on this equivalised basis and do not reflect actual money amounts for any family type other than a couple with no children (which is the benchmark used for equivalisation). The median income Before Housing Costs is £419 per week and 60 per cent of this median is £251 per week (see Table 1 overleaf).

INCOME CHANGE AND INEQUALITY

Between 2009/10 and 2010/11, incomes fell, in real terms, across each quintile of the income distribution on a Before Housing Costs basis and on an After Housing Costs basis. However, between 1998/99 and 2010/11, there was income growth, in real terms, across each quintile of the income distribution.

Income inequality, as measured by the Gini Coefficient, fell by two percentage points between 2009/10 and 2010/11 on both a Before Housing Costs and After Housing Costs basis (see notes for editors for a definition of the Gini coefficient).

 Table 1 shows cash equivalent household incomes for different family types, corresponding to the overall equivalised 2010/11 income distribution Before Housing Costs:

£pw equivalised 2010/11 prices							Source: F	FRS 2010/1
	Mean	Median	60% median	Bottom quintile	Second quintile	Middle quintile	Fourth quintile	Top quintile
Before Housing Costs								
Couple with no children								
(equivalised income benchmark)	511	419	251	< 272	272 - 365	366 - 480	481 - 654	655 +
Single with no children	342	281	168	< 182	182 - 245	246 - 321	322 - 438	439 +
Couple with two children aged 5 and 14	782	641	384	< 415	415 - 559	560 - 734	735 - 1,000	1,001 +
Single with two children aged 5 and 14	613	502	301	< 326	326 - 439	440 - 576	577 - 784	785 +

Note:

1. This table takes levels of equivalised income for the population as a whole and translates them into cash equivalents for a selection of family types. For example, for results Before Housing Costs, it shows that 60 per cent of median equivalised income in 2010/11 for a couple (the equivalised income reference) was £251 per week. This translates to a reference income of £168 for a single person with no children. This implies that a single person with no children with a cash income of £168 or less is below 60 per cent of median equivalised income for the population as a whole.

MATERIAL DEPRIVATION FOR CHILDREN

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by families with children has been included in the Family Resources Survey since 2004/05. Respondents are asked whether they have each of a series of goods and services. If they do not have them, they are asked whether this is because they do not want them or because they cannot afford them. An analysis of how families with children responded to these questions by their location on the income distribution is included in the HBAI publication with the accompanying relative low income and material deprivation measure of child poverty.

These questions can be used as an additional way of measuring living standards for children and their families. Table 7, attached, taken from the publication, gives an illustration of the information obtained.

Not surprisingly, children in the higher income quintiles are less likely to be deprived of an item or service. For example, only 2 per cent of children in the top quintile Before Housing Costs are lacking outdoor space / facilities to play safely, in contrast to 15 per cent of children in the bottom quintile who lack this. Just 7 per cent of children in the top income quintile live in families that cannot afford at least one week's holiday away from home, but more than half of children in the bottom quintile cannot afford such a holiday.

MATERIAL DEPRIVATION FOR PENSIONERS

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by pensioners aged 65 or over has been included in the Family Resources Survey since May 2008. Respondents are asked whether they have access to a series of goods and services. If they do not have a good or service, they are asked whether this is because:

- they do not have the money for this;
- it is not a priority on their current income;
- their health / disability prevents them;
- it is too much trouble or tiring;
- they have no one to do this with or help them;
- it is not something they want; it is not relevant to them;
- other.

There is one exception to this; for the unexpected expense question, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded 'yes' would pay. Options were:

- use own income but cut back on essentials;
- use own income but not need to cut back on essentials;
- use savings;
- use a form of credit;
- get money from friends or family;
- other

An analysis of how pensioners responded to these questions by their location on the income distribution is given in Table 8, attached, taken from the publication.

Around half of pensioners in the lowest quintile both Before and After Housing Costs were unable to take a holiday away from home, the most common reason across all quintiles for this was health or disability prevents them. Over four-fifths of pensioners in the lowest quintile would be able to pay an unexpected expense of £200; the most common way of doing this across all quintiles was to use savings. Only 1 per cent of all pensioners did not have at least one filling meal a day.

ADDITIONAL TABLES

The following tables are included overleaf:

- **Table 2.1** Percentage of individuals below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 2.2** Number of individuals below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 3.1** Percentage of children below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 3.2** Number of children below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 3.3** Percentage and number of children falling below thresholds of low income and material deprivation 2004/05 to 2010/11
- **Table 4.1** Percentage of working-age adults below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 4.2** Number of working-age adults below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 5.1** Percentage of pensioners below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 5.2** Number of pensioners below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.
- **Table 6** Money values and real-terms changes since 1994/95 of decile medians and overall population mean in 2010/11 prices
- **Table 7** Material Deprivation. Quintile distribution of income (Before Housing Costs) for children by whether they have the material deprivation items and services, United Kingdom, 2010/11.
- **Table 8** Material Deprivation. Quintile distribution of income (After Housing Costs) for pensioners by whether they have the material deprivation items and services, United Kingdom, 2010/11.

Table 2.1

Percentage of individuals below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Percentag	e of individuals							Source: FR
			e Housing			· Housing (
			elow media			elow media		
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
Contempo	orary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	10	19	28	15	24	31	
	1995/96	9	18	27	15	24	31	
	1996/97	11	19	28	17	25	32	
	1997/98	11	20	28	17	24	31	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	11	19	28	16	24	31	
	1999/00	10	19	28	16	24	31	
	2000/01	10	19	27	15	23	30	
	2001/02	10	18	27	15	23	30	
	2002/03	10	18	27	15	22	30	
	2003/04	10	18	26	14	21	29	
	2004/05	10	17	26	13	21	28	
	2005/06	10	18	26	15	22	29	
	2006/07	11	18	26	15	22	29	
	2007/08	11	18	27	16	23	30	
	2008/09	10	18	26	16	22	29	
	2009/10	10	17	25	15	22	30	
	2010/11	9	16	25	15	21	29	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-1	-3	-3	-2	-3	-2	
Ū	2009/10-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1	

Percentag	e of individuals							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing (
			elow media			elow media		
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real ter	ms				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	13	23	32	20	29	36	
	1995/96	12	23	32	19	28	36	
	1996/97	12	21	30	19	27	34	
	1997/98	11	20	29	18	25	32	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	11	19	28	16	24	31	
	1999/00	9	18	26	14	22	29	
	2000/01	9	15	24	12	20	26	
	2001/02	7	13	21	10	17	24	
	2002/03	7	12	20	9	15	22	
	2003/04	7	12	19	9	15	21	
	2004/05	6	11	18	9	13	19	
	2005/06	7	11	18	9	14	20	
	2006/07	7	12	18	10	14	20	
	2007/08	7	12	18	10	15	20	
	2008/09	7	11	18	10	15	20	
	2009/10	6	10	17	10	15	20	
	2010/11	6	11	17	10	15	21	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-4	-9	-11	-6	-9	-10	
•	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	0	0	1	0	0	1	

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 2.2

Number of individuals below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Number of	f individuals (millions)							Source: FRS
		Befor	e Housing	Costs	After	^r Housing (Costs	
			elow media			elow media		All
-	-	50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	individuals
Contempo	rary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	5.3	10.4	15.5	8.5	13.5	17.3	55.3
	1995/96	5.2	9.9	15.2	8.2	13.5	17.3	55.5
	1996/97	5.9	10.8	15.6	9.4	14.0	17.7	55.6
	1997/98	6.0	10.9	15.7	9.2	13.6	17.1	55.7
FRS (UK)	1998/99	6.1	11.2	16.1	9.3	14.0	17.8	57.5
	1999/00	6.1	11.1	16.2	9.3	13.8	17.7	57.7
	2000/01	6.1	10.7	15.9	8.8	13.4	17.4	57.9
	2001/02	5.9	10.7	15.8	8.5	13.2	17.2	58.1
	2002/03	5.9	10.6	15.7	8.5	13.1	17.3	58.3
	2003/04	5.8	10.4	15.4	8.4	12.6	17.0	58.5
	2004/05	5.6	10.0	15.2	7.9	12.1	16.6	58.8
	2005/06	5.9	10.4	15.5	8.6	12.8	17.2	59.1
	2006/07	6.3	10.7	15.7	9.0	13.2	17.5	59.5
	2007/08	6.5	11.0	15.9	9.3	13.5	17.8	59.9
	2008/09	6.2	10.8	15.6	9.5	13.5	17.7	60.3
	2009/10	5.9	10.3	15.3	9.2	13.5	18.0	60.7
	2010/11	5.6	9.8	15.2	8.9	13.0	17.6	61.1
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-0.5	-1.3	-1.0	-0.4	-1.0	-0.1	3.6
	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2	-0.3	-0.5	-0.4	0.5

Number of individuals (millions) Source: FRS **Before Housing Costs** After Housing Costs **Below median** Below median All 50% 60% 70% 50% 60% 70% individuals Contemporary income thresholds FRS (GB) 1994/95 7.2 12.9 18.0 11.2 15.8 19.7 55.3 1995/96 6.9 12.5 55.5 17.8 10.8 15.7 19.7 1996/97 55.6 66 11.9 167 104 15.0 18.6 1997/98 6.3 11.4 16.2 9.8 14.1 17.7 55.7 FRS (UK) 1998/99 6.1 11.2 16.1 9.3 14.0 17.8 57.5 1999/00 5.4 10.2 15.3 8.3 12.9 16.7 57.7 2000/01 57.9 4.9 8.9 13.7 7.0 11.5 15.3 2001/02 4.0 7.5 12.2 5.8 9.7 13.7 58.1 2002/03 3.9 7.1 11.5 5.4 8.8 12.7 58.3 2003/04 3.9 7.0 11.2 5.5 8.6 12.0 58.5 2004/05 58.8 3.6 6.6 10.6 5.2 7.8 11.2 2005/06 3.9 6.6 10.7 5.4 8.2 11.6 59.1 2006/07 4.1 6.9 10.8 59.5 58 8.6 11.8 2007/08 4.2 7.1 59.9 11.1 5.9 8.7 12.1 2008/09 4.1 6.7 10.7 6.1 9.0 12.2 60.3 2009/10 3.7 6.2 10.0 6.1 8.8 12.1 60.7 2010/11 3.9 6.5 10.5 6.3 9.1 12.7 61.1 1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3} Change -2.2 -4.7 -5.6 -3.0 -4.9 -5.1 3.6 2009/10-2010/11 2,3 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.2 0.3 0.6 0.5

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of individuals below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 3.1

Percentage of children below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Percentag	e of children							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing		
			elow media			Below median		
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
Contempo	orary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	12	25	35	21	33	40	
	1995/96	11	24	35	21	33	41	
1996/97	1996/97	14	27	36	25	34	42	
	1997/98	14	27	37	25	33	41	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	14	26	36	24	34	41	
	1999/00	13	26	37	23	33	41	
	2000/01	12	23	34	21	31	40	
	2001/02	11	23	35	20	31	39	
	2002/03	11	23	34	20	30	38	
	2003/04	11	22	33	19	29	37	
	2004/05	11	21	33	18	28	38	
	2005/06	11	22	33	19	30	38	
	2006/07	12	22	33	20	30	39	
	2007/08	12	23	33	21	31	39	
	2008/09	11	22	32	20	30	39	
	2009/10	10	20	31	19	29	38	
	2010/11	9	18	29	17	27	37	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-5	-9	-7	-7	-7	-5	
Ū.	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	

Percentag	e of children			<u> </u>				Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing		
		в 50%	elow media 60%	an 70%	в 50%	elow media 60%	an 70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held				50%	00 %	1076	
FRS (GB)	1994/95	17	30	40	28	37	45	
	1995/96	16	29	40	27	37	46	
	1996/97	16	29	38	27	36	44	
	1997/98	15	28	38	26	34	42	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	14	26	36	24	34	41	
	1999/00	11	23	34	21	31	39	
	2000/01	9	19	30	16	27	35	
	2001/02	7	15	27	13	23	32	
	2002/03	7	14	25	11	21	29	
	2003/04	7	14	24	11	20	28	
	2004/05	7	13	23	11	18	27	
	2005/06	7	13	23	11	19	27	
	2006/07	8	13	23	12	19	27	
	2007/08	7	13	23	12	19	28	
	2008/09	7	12	22	12	19	27	
	2009/10	6	11	19	11	18	26	
	2010/11	6	11	19	11	18	27	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-8	-16	-17	-13	-16	-15	
-	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 3.2

Number of children below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Number of	f children (millions)							Source: FR
			e Housing			Housing		All
			elow media			elow media		children
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	•
Contempo	erary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	1.5	3.2	4.5	2.7	4.1	5.1	12.6
	1995/96	1.4	3.0	4.5	2.7	4.2	5.2	12.7
	1996/97	1.8	3.4	4.6	3.2	4.3	5.3	12.7
	1997/98	1.8	3.4	4.7	3.1	4.2	5.2	12.7
FRS (UK)	1998/99	1.8	3.4	4.8	3.1	4.4	5.4	13.1
	1999/00	1.7	3.4	4.8	3.1	4.3	5.4	13.2
	2000/01	1.6	3.1	4.5	2.8	4.1	5.2	13.1
	2001/02	1.5	3.0	4.6	2.6	4.0	5.1	13.1
	2002/03	1.5	2.9	4.4	2.6	3.9	5.0	13.0
	2003/04	1.4	2.9	4.3	2.5	3.7	4.9	13.0
	2004/05	1.4	2.7	4.3	2.3	3.6	4.8	12.9
	2005/06	1.4	2.8	4.2	2.5	3.8	4.9	12.8
	2006/07	1.5	2.9	4.3	2.6	3.9	5.0	12.8
	2007/08	1.5	2.9	4.3	2.7	4.0	5.1	12.8
	2008/09	1.4	2.8	4.1	2.6	3.9	5.0	12.8
	2009/10	1.3	2.6	4.0	2.4	3.8	5.0	13.0
	2010/11	1.2	2.3	3.8	2.2	3.6	4.8	13.0
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-0.6	-1.1	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1
-	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	-0.1	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.1

Number of	f children (millions)			-			-	Source: FR
			e Housing			Housing		All
			elow media		Below median			children
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real teri	ms				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	2.1	3.8	5.1	3.5	4.7	5.7	12.6
	1995/96	2.0	3.7	5.1	3.5	4.7	5.8	12.7
	1996/97	2.0	3.7	4.9	3.4	4.6	5.6	12.7
	1997/98	1.9	3.5	4.8	3.3	4.4	5.3	12.7
FRS (UK)	1998/99	1.8	3.4	4.8	3.1	4.4	5.4	13.1
	1999/00	1.5	3.1	4.5	2.7	4.1	5.1	13.2
	2000/01	1.2	2.5	3.9	2.1	3.6	4.6	13.1
	2001/02	0.9	2.0	3.5	1.6	3.0	4.2	13.1
	2002/03	0.9	1.8	3.2	1.5	2.7	3.8	13.0
	2003/04	0.9	1.8	3.1	1.5	2.5	3.6	13.0
	2004/05	0.9	1.7	2.9	1.4	2.3	3.4	12.9
	2005/06	0.9	1.6	2.9	1.4	2.4	3.4	12.8
	2006/07	1.0	1.7	2.9	1.5	2.5	3.5	12.8
	2007/08	1.0	1.7	2.9	1.5	2.5	3.6	12.8
	2008/09	0.9	1.6	2.8	1.5	2.4	3.5	12.8
	2009/10	0.8	1.4	2.5	1.4	2.3	3.4	13.0
	2010/11	0.8	1.4	2.5	1.5	2.3	3.5	13.0
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-1.0	-2.1	-2.3	-1.7	-2.1	-2.0	-0.1
	2009/10-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 3.3

Percentage and number of children falling below thresholds of low income and material deprivation 2004/05 to 2010/11.

Children						Source: FR
		Low income a	nd material	Severe low in	come and	
		depriva	tion ¹	material dep	orivation ²	All
		Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	children
FRS (UK)	2004/05	17	2.2	6	0.7	12.9
	2005/06	16	2.1	6	0.7	12.8
	2006/07	16	2.0	6	0.7	12.8
	2007/08	17	2.2	6	0.8	12.8
	2008/09	17	2.2	6	0.8	12.8
	2009/10	16	2.1	5	0.7	13.0
	2010/11	14	1.9	4	0.6	13.0
Change	2009/10-2010/11 ^{3,4}	-1	-0.2	-1	-0.1	0.1

Notes:

1. A family is in low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 70 per cent of contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs.

2. A family is in severe low income and material deprivation if they have a material deprivation score of 25 or more and a household income below 50 per cent of contemporary median income, Before Housing Costs.

3. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

4. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages and numbers of children below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage and total number of children below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 4.1

Percentage of working-age adults below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Percentag	e of working-age adult							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing (
			elow media			elow media		
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
Contempo	orary income threshold	s						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	8	15	21	14	20	25	
	1995/96	8	14	21	14	20	25	
	1996/97	9	15	21	15	21	26	
	1997/98	9	15	21	15	20	25	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	8	15	21	14	19	25	
	1999/00	9	15	21	14	20	25	
	2000/01	9	15	21	14	19	25	
	2001/02	9	15	21	14	19	24	
	2002/03	9	15	21	14	19	24	
	2003/04	9	15	21	14	19	24	
	2004/05	9	14	21	13	19	24	
	2005/06	9	15	22	15	20	26	
	2006/07	9	15	21	15	20	26	
	2007/08	10	15	22	15	21	26	
	2008/09	10	16	22	16	22	27	
	2009/10	10	16	22	16	22	28	
	2010/11	9	15	22	16	21	28	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	1	1	1	1	2	3	
_	2008/09-2010/11 2,3	0	-1	0	-1	-1	0	

Percentage of working-age adults

Percentag	e of working-age adult						-	Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing (
			elow media			elow media		
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real terr	ns				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	11	18	25	17	23	29	
	1995/96	10	17	24	17	23	29	
	1996/97	10	16	23	16	22	27	
	1997/98	9	16	22	15	20	25	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	8	15	21	14	19	25	
	1999/00	8	14	20	13	18	23	
	2000/01	8	13	18	12	17	22	
	2001/02	6	11	16	10	15	19	
	2002/03	6	10	16	10	14	19	
	2003/04	6	10	16	10	14	18	
	2004/05	6	10	15	9	13	18	
	2005/06	7	10	15	10	14	19	
	2006/07	6	10	15	10	14	18	
	2007/08	7	11	16	10	14	19	
	2008/09	7	11	16	11	15	20	
	2009/10	6	10	15	11	16	20	
	2010/11	7	10	16	12	16	21	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-2	-4	-5	-2	-4	-4	
-	2008/09-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0	0	1	0	0	1	

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years. 2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 4.2

Number of working-age adults below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Number of	f working-age adults (r	nillions)						Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing		All working-age
			elow medi			elow medi		adults
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
Contempo	orary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	2.6	4.8	7.0	4.7	6.6	8.2	32.8
	1995/96	2.6	4.6	6.8	4.5	6.5	8.2	32.8
	1996/97	2.8	5.0	7.1	5.0	6.8	8.5	32.9
	1997/98	2.9	5.0	7.1	4.8	6.5	8.1	33.1
FRS (UK)	1998/99	2.9	5.0	7.1	4.8	6.6	8.4	34.1
	1999/00	3.0	5.1	7.3	4.9	6.7	8.5	34.3
	2000/01	3.1	5.1	7.3	4.9	6.6	8.5	34.4
	2001/02	3.0	5.1	7.2	4.7	6.5	8.3	34.7
	2002/03	3.1	5.1	7.3	4.8	6.7	8.5	34.8
	2003/04	3.1	5.1	7.3	4.9	6.7	8.6	35.0
	2004/05	3.1	5.0	7.3	4.7	6.5	8.5	35.2
	2005/06	3.3	5.4	7.7	5.2	7.2	9.1	35.5
	2006/07	3.4	5.3	7.6	5.3	7.3	9.2	35.8
	2007/08	3.5	5.6	7.8	5.5	7.5	9.5	35.9
	2008/09	3.6	5.8	8.0	5.8	7.8	9.7	36.1
	2009/10	3.5	5.7	8.1	5.8	7.9	10.1	36.2
	2010/11	3.4	5.5	8.0	5.7	7.8	10.0	36.4
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.6	2.3
-	2008/09-2010/11 2,3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.3

Number of	f working-age adults (n							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing		All working-age
			elow media			elow media		adults
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real teri	ns				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	3.5	5.9	8.1	5.7	7.5	9.4	32.8
	1995/96	3.3	5.7	7.9	5.5	7.5	9.4	32.8
	1996/97	3.2	5.4	7.6	5.4	7.3	8.9	32.9
	1997/98	3.0	5.2	7.3	5.0	6.7	8.4	33.1
FRS (UK)	1998/99	2.9	5.0	7.1	4.8	6.6	8.4	34.1
	1999/00	2.7	4.7	6.9	4.5	6.3	8.0	34.3
	2000/01	2.6	4.3	6.3	4.1	5.9	7.5	34.4
	2001/02	2.1	3.7	5.7	3.4	5.2	6.7	34.7
	2002/03	2.2	3.6	5.5	3.4	4.9	6.5	34.8
	2003/04	2.3	3.6	5.4	3.5	5.0	6.5	35.0
	2004/05	2.1	3.5	5.3	3.3	4.6	6.2	35.2
	2005/06	2.3	3.6	5.5	3.5	5.0	6.6	35.5
	2006/07	2.3	3.6	5.4	3.6	5.1	6.6	35.8
	2007/08	2.4	3.8	5.6	3.7	5.2	6.8	35.9
	2008/09	2.5	3.8	5.7	4.0	5.6	7.2	36.1
	2009/10	2.3	3.7	5.6	4.1	5.6	7.3	36.2
	2010/11	2.5	3.8	5.9	4.2	5.8	7.6	36.4
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-0.4	-1.2	-1.3	-0.6	-0.8	-0.7	2.3
-	2008/09-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.3

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of working-age adults below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 5.1

Percentage of pensioners below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real-terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Percentag	e of pensioners							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing C		
		Below median		Below median				
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
Contempo	prary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	12	24	41	11	28	40	
	1995/96	12	24	40	10	28	40	
	1996/97	13	25	40	13	29	39	
	1997/98	13	25	40	13	29	38	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	14	27	41	13	29	38	
	1999/00	14	25	40	13	28	38	
	2000/01	13	25	39	11	26	36	
	2001/02	14	25	39	11	26	37	
	2002/03	13	24	39	11	24	37	
	2003/04	12	23	36	10	21	34	
	2004/05	11	21	34	8	18	30	
	2005/06	11	21	33	8	17	29	
	2006/07	13	23	35	10	19	31	
	2007/08	13	23	34	10	18	29	
	2008/09	11	20	31	9	16	26	
	2009/10	9	18	28	8	15	25	
	2010/11	9	17	28	8	14	24	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-5	-9	-13	-5	-14	-14	
-	2009/10-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0	-1	0	0	-1	-1	

Percentag	e of pensioners							Source: FRS
			e Housing			Housing C		
		Below median		Below median				
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real ter	ms				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	16	32	48	19	36	47	
	1995/96	16	32	47	18	35	46	
	1996/97	14	28	43	16	32	42	
	1997/98	14	27	42	15	31	40	
FRS (UK)	1998/99	14	27	41	13	29	38	
	1999/00	12	23	37	10	25	35	
	2000/01	10	20	33	8	20	31	
	2001/02	8	18	29	7	14	28	
	2002/03	7	16	27	6	12	23	
	2003/04	7	15	25	6	10	19	
	2004/05	6	13	23	5	8	15	
	2005/06	6	12	21	5	8	14	
	2006/07	7	15	23	6	10	16	
	2007/08	7	14	23	6	9	15	
	2008/09	7	12	20	5	9	14	
	2009/10	5	10	17	5	8	13	
	2010/11	6	11	19	5	9	14	
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-9	-16	-22	-8	-20	-25	
_	2009/10-2010/11 ^{2,3}	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Notes:

 FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.
 Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in percentages of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 5.2

Number of pensioners below thresholds of contemporary and 1998/99 real terms median income 1994/95 to 2010/11.

Numbers	of pensioners (millions	5)						Source: FRS
			e Housing			r Housing (All
			elow media			an	pensioners	
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	p=
Contempo	orary income threshold	S						
FRS (GB)	1994/95	1.2	2.4	4.0	1.1	2.8	4.0	9.9
	1995/96	1.2	2.4	3.9	1.0	2.8	3.9	9.9
	1996/97	1.3	2.4	4.0	1.2	2.9	3.9	9.9
	1997/98	1.3	2.5	4.0	1.3	2.9	3.8	10.0
FRS (UK)	1998/99	1.5	2.8	4.2	1.4	2.9	3.9	10.3
	1999/00	1.4	2.6	4.1	1.3	2.8	3.9	10.3
	2000/01	1.4	2.6	4.0	1.2	2.7	3.7	10.3
	2001/02	1.4	2.6	4.0	1.2	2.7	3.8	10.4
	2002/03	1.4	2.5	4.0	1.1	2.5	3.8	10.5
	2003/04	1.3	2.4	3.8	1.0	2.2	3.6	10.5
	2004/05	1.2	2.3	3.7	0.9	1.9	3.2	10.7
	2005/06	1.2	2.2	3.6	0.9	1.8	3.1	10.8
	2006/07	1.4	2.5	3.8	1.1	2.1	3.4	10.9
	2007/08	1.4	2.5	3.7	1.1	2.0	3.2	11.1
	2008/09	1.2	2.3	3.5	1.1	1.8	3.0	11.3
	2009/10	1.0	2.1	3.2	1.0	1.8	2.9	11.5
	2010/11	1.0	2.0	3.3	1.0	1.7	2.8	11.7
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-0.4	-0.7	-0.9	-0.4	-1.3	-1.1	1.4
-	2009/10-2010/11 ^{2,3}	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1

Numbers	of pensioners (millions	5)						Source: FRS
			e Housing			^r Housing (All
		Below median			В	an	pensioners	
		50%	60%	70%	50%	60%	70%	pensioners
1998/99 in	come thresholds held	constant	in real ter	ms				
FRS (GB)	1994/95	1.6	3.2	4.7	1.9	3.6	4.6	9.9
	1995/96	1.6	3.1	4.7	1.8	3.5	4.5	9.9
	1996/97	1.4	2.8	4.2	1.6	3.2	4.1	9.9
	1997/98	1.4	2.7	4.2	1.4	3.0	4.0	10.0
FRS (UK)	1998/99	1.5	2.8	4.2	1.4	2.9	3.9	10.3
	1999/00	1.3	2.3	3.8	1.1	2.5	3.6	10.3
	2000/01	1.1	2.0	3.4	0.8	2.0	3.2	10.3
	2001/02	0.9	1.8	3.1	0.7	1.5	2.9	10.4
	2002/03	0.8	1.7	2.8	0.6	1.2	2.4	10.5
	2003/04	0.7	1.6	2.6	0.6	1.1	2.0	10.5
	2004/05	0.6	1.4	2.4	0.5	0.9	1.6	10.7
	2005/06	0.6	1.3	2.3	0.5	0.8	1.5	10.8
	2006/07	0.8	1.6	2.5	0.7	1.1	1.7	10.9
	2007/08	0.8	1.6	2.5	0.6	1.0	1.6	11.1
	2008/09	0.7	1.4	2.3	0.6	1.0	1.5	11.3
	2009/10	0.6	1.1	2.0	0.5	0.9	1.5	11.5
	2010/11	0.6	1.3	2.2	0.6	1.0	1.6	11.7
Change	1998/99-2010/11 ^{2,3}	-0.8	-1.5	-2.0	-0.8	-1.9	-2.4	1.4
-	2009/10-2010/11 2,3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Notes:

1. FRS figures are for Great Britain up to 1997/98, and for the United Kingdom from 1998/99, with estimates for Northern Ireland imputed for the years 1998/99 through 2001/02. The reference period for FRS figures is single financial years.

2. Small changes in estimates from year to year, particularly at the bottom of the income distribution, may not be significant in view of data uncertainties.

3. Due to rounding, the estimates of change in numbers of pensioners below low-income thresholds may not equal the difference between the total percentage of individuals below thresholds for any pair of years shown.

Table 6

Money values of quintile medians and overall population mean in average 2010/11 prices

(£pw equivalised)						Source: FRS
		Quir	ntile group med	dians		
	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3 (median)	Quintile 4	Quintile 5	Population mean
Income Before Housing Costs						
1994/95	171	246	340	463	698	410
1995/96	173	248	340	459	702	411
1996/97	175	256	355	477	723	426
1997/98	177	261	361	486	735	437
1998/99	180	266	367	500	763	452
1999/00	186	274	378	511	774	461
2000/01	193	285	390	526	803	482
2001/02	204	302	409	544	840	503
2002/03	207	307	416	551	844	507
2003/04	208	309	416	554	837	505
2004/05	213	314	420	559	847	512
2005/06	212	316	424	565	860	519
2006/07	209	316	426	565	867	523
2007/08	208	316	427	568	875	529
2008/09	212	320	429	574	890	534
2009/10	218	324	432	576	891	542
2010/11	216	318	419	551	846	511
Income growth 1998/99 to 2010/11	20%	20%	14%	10%	11%	13%

Notes:

1. Figures are for the United Kingdom from 2002/03 onwards. Earlier years are for Great Britiain only.

Table 7

Quintile distribution of income (Before Housing Costs) for children by whether they have the material deprivation items and services, United Kingdom, 2010/11.

Percentage of children	·	Not or	wivelieed d	ionocoblo k	nousehold in		Source: FRS
		Bottom	Second	Middle	Fourth	Тор	All
		quintile	quintile	quintile	quintile	quintile	children
Outdoor space /	Have this	85	86	93	95	98	91
facilities to play safely	Don't have this	15	14	7	5	2	9
Enough bedrooms for	Child/ren has/have this	76	76	91	96	98	84
every child 10 years or over and of a different	Want but can't afford this	23	21	9	4	0	14
gender	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	1	3	0	0	2	1
	Child/ren has/have this	91	95	97	98	99	96
Celebrations on special occasions	Want but can't afford this	7	3	2	0	0	3
000001010	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	3	1	1	1	1	2
Leisure equipment such	Child/ren has/have this	79	85	91	95	96	88
as sports equipment or	Want but can't afford this	14	9	4	1	0	6
a bicycle	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	7	6	5	4	4	5
At least one week's	Child/ren has/have this	- 35	43	64	81	89	59
holiday away from home	Want but can't afford this	60	52	31	15	7	36
with family	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	5	5	5	4	4	5
	Child/ren does/do this	- 64	68	77	81	85	74
Hobby or leisure activity	Would like to but can't afford this	11	9	4	2	1	6
,	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	25	23	19	17	15	21
	Child/ren does/do this	- 43	47	58	63	73	55
Swimming at least once	Would like to but can't afford this	20	17	7	4	1	11
a month	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	36	36	35	33	26	34
	Child/ren does/do this	- 59	62	71	74	79	68
Have friends round for tea or a snack once a	Would like to but can't afford this	13	10	5	2	1	7
fortnight	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	28	28	25	24	20	25
	Child/ren does/do this	- 85	88	_0 91	94	_0 97	<u> </u>
Go on school trip at	Would like to but can't afford this	9	6	4	1	0	5
east once a term	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	7	6	5	5	2	5
	Child/ren does/do this	- , 55	60	72	81	86	68
Go to a playgroup at	Would like to but can't afford this	8	9	3	1	0	5
least once a week	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	37	31	25	18	14	27
		-					
Attend organised	Child/ren does/do this	52 16	58	69 E	76 3	83	66 9
activity once a week	Would like to but can't afford this	16	14	5	-	1	-
	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	- 31	29	26	22	16	26
Eat fresh fruit and/or	Child/ren does/do this	83	86 5	91	95	95	89
vegetables every day	Would like to but can't afford this	8	5	2	0	0	4
	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	- 9	9	7	4	4	7
Have a warm winter	Child/ren does/do this	93	95	98	99	99	96
coat	Would like to but can't afford this	5	3	1	1	0	2
	Don't want or need; doesn't apply	1	1	1	1	1	1

Notes: 1. Percentages relate to the proportion of children whose parent / guardian answered the material deprivation questions, some children have not been included in computing the above breakdowns due to either: a skipped response, doesn't know the answer or refusal to answer the question.

2. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Table 8

Quintile distribution of income (After Housing Costs) for pensioners by whether they have the material deprivation items and services, United Kingdom, 2010/11.

Percentage of pensioners ¹		Net equ	ivalised di	sposable	nousehold	income		Source: FR
		Bottom	Second	Middle	Fourth	Тор	All	Most common reason
		quintile	quintile	quintile	quintile	quintile	pensioner	for 'no' response ²
	Yes	98	98	99	100	99	99	30%
At least one filling meal a day	No	2	2	1	0	1	1	Not something I want
Go out socially at least once a	Yes	70	71	74	79	89	76	36%
month	No	30	29	26	21	11	24	Health / disability prevents me
See friends or family at least	Yes	92	95	94	96	98	95	42%
once a month	No	8	5	6	4	2	5	Other reason
Take a holiday away from	Yes	49	51	58	63	80	59	33%
home	No	51	49	42	37	20	41	Health / disability prevents me
Able to replace cooker if it	Yes	83	85	91	95	99	90	87%
proke down	No	17	15	9	5	1	10	No money for this
Home kept in a good state of repair	Yes	95	96	97	98	99	97	56%
	No	5	4	3	2	1	3	No money for this
Heating, electrics, plumbing	Yes	97	98	99	99	99	98	45%
and drains working	No	3	2	1	1	1	2	No money for this
	Yes	94	94	94	95	97	95	54%
Have a damp-free home	No	6	6	6	5	3	5	Other reason
	Yes	94	96	97	98	99	97	64%
Home kept adequately warm	No	6	4	3	2	1	3	No money for this
	Yes	94	96	98	99	99	97	84%
Able to pay regular bills	No	6	4	2	1	1	3	No money for this
Have a telephone to use,	Yes	96	95	98	99	99	97	36%
whenever needed	No	4	5	2	1	1	3	No money for this
Have access to a car or taxi,	Yes	86	85	92	94	98	91	40%
whenever needed	No	14	15	8	6	2	9	No money for this
	Yes	86	87	91	92	96	90	28%
Have hair done or cut regularly	No	14	13	9	8	4	10	No money for this
	Yes	97	98	98	99	99	98	36%
Have a warm waterproof coat	No	3	2	2	1	1	2	No money for this

		Bottom quintile	Second quintile	Middle quintile	Fourth quintile	Top quintile	All pensioner	Most common reason for 'yes' response ³
Able to pay an unexpected	Yes	84	81	89	94	98	88	52%
expense of £200	No	16	19	11	6	2	12	Use savings

Notes:

 Percentages relate to the proportion of pensioners who answered the material deprivation questions.
 For the first 14 questions in the table the follow up questions were asked to explore the reason for a "no" response. Options were: No money for this; Not priority on current income; Health/disability prevents me; Too much trouble/too tiring; No-one to do this with or help me; Not something I want; Not relevant to me; Other. Pensioners can give multiple responses.

3. For the last question in the table relating to being able to meet an unexpected expense of £200, the follow up question was asked to explore how those who responded "yes" would pay. Options were: Use own income but cut back on essentials; Use own income but not need to cut back on essentials; Use my savings; Use a form of credit; Get money from friends or family; Other. 4. The figures for most common reason for "no" response for at least one filling meal a day has been suppressed due to small sample

size.

5. Percentages may not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Notes for editors:

- 1. The Households Below Average Income statistical report (HBAI) for the period 1994/95-2010/11 is published today. The report, the twenty-third in the HBAI series, uses household disposable incomes, adjusted for household size and composition, as a proxy for material living standards. It principally gives information on the income distribution in GB / UK for the financial years 1994/95 to 2010/11 using cross-sectional data from the DWP Family Resources Survey (FRS). The report also draws on data from the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS), run by the University of Essex, which, unlike the Family Resources Survey, tracks the same individuals over time to give a picture of income changes over time. The latest BHPS estimates available relate to 2008, and were published by DWP on 23 September 2010.
- 2. Northern Ireland was included in the Family Resources Survey (FRS) from 2002/03. Detailed time series data will therefore have coverage for Great Britain only before 2002/03. This break in the series is made clear in a footnote attached to the relevant tables in the latest HBAI publication. However, for high-level trend data, estimates for Northern Ireland have been imputed for the years 1998/99 to 2001/02. This allows for changes since 1998/99 to be measured at the UK level. These data are presented in Tables 2 5 in this release.
- 3. In order to allow comparisons of living standards between different household types, income is adjusted to take into account variations in the size and composition of the household in a process known as equivalisation. This adjustment reflects the common sense notion that a household of several people requires a higher income than a single person in order for both households to have an equivalent standard of living. A key assumption made in HBAI is that all individuals in the household benefit equally from the combined equivalised income of the household. The equivalisation scales used in the report are modified OECD scales, which are consistent with those used in the EU.
- 4. HBAI employs two measures of net equivalised household income: Before Housing Costs (BHC) and After Housing Costs (AHC). Each measure has advantages and disadvantages as a guide to differences in, and changes in, living standards. Housing costs are made up of: rent (gross of housing benefit); water rates, community water charges and council water charges; mortgage interest payments (net of tax relief); structural insurance premiums (for owner occupiers); and ground rent and services charges.
- 5. The income definition used in the main analysis in HBAI, derived from the Family Resources Survey (FRS) is as follows: net earnings; profit or loss from self-employment after income tax and NI; all social security benefits and tax credits, including Social Fund grants; occupational and private pension income; investment income; maintenance payments; top-up loans and parental contributions for students, educational grants and payments; the cash value of certain forms of income in kind such as free school meals, Healthy Start vouchers and free TV licences for the over 75s (where data is available). HBAI income is net of: income tax payments; National Insurance contributions; contributions to occupational, stakeholder and personal pension schemes; council tax/domestic rates; maintenance and child support payments made; parental contributions to students living away from home and student loan repayments.
- 6. Information from the DWP survey, the Family Resources Survey, was introduced into the HBAI series after a review of methodology in 1996. The Family Resources Survey does not collect information on individuals living in institutions, e.g. nursing homes, barracks or jails; and homeless people living rough or in bed and breakfast accommodation. Consequently HBAI does not cover these individuals. Survey data are grossed to national totals and an adjustment is made using Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs' Survey of Personal Incomes (SPI) to ensure very high income cases are correctly represented in HBAI.
- 7. To ensure that the figures produced from the survey can provide reliable estimates, we round the figures to the nearest 100,000. This can mean that figures may not sum due to this rounding. As an example, if poverty levels amongst a certain group changed from 1.64 to 1.57 million, the rounded levels of poverty would be 1.6 million in both years as both levels round to this, but the unrounded difference would be 0.07 million which would be rounded to 0.1 million. This could also work in the opposite direction, as if the statistics changed from 1.66 to 1.64, the rounded levels of poverty would be 1.7 million in the first year and 1.6 in the second year because of rounding, but the unrounded difference would be 0.02 million which would be rounded to no change. Only rounding figures at the final point of calculation of a statistic produces the best estimate.
- 8. FRS datasets for 2008/09 and 2009/10 have been revised to cover the following two issues:
 - Revision due to changes to the direct tax system in Northern Ireland: In 2007/08 a new rates system was introduced in Northern Ireland. However, within the FRS dataset, information under the

old property specific values were being used for 2008/09 and 2009/10, resulting in higher rate values being calculated which overestimated housing costs in Northern Ireland.

• Revision due to new tenure data from the Department of Communities and Local Government (CLG): CLG tenure data is used as a control total within the FRS grossing regime. CLG has published more up to date information and has revised previously published estimates. Due to the size of the changes to the tenure totals we have taken the unusual decision to revise the grossing for 2008/09 and 2009/10.

More information can be found at: <u>http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/hbai/hbai revision due to ni tax changes.pdf</u>.

- 9. These figures continue to use grossing factors introduced in the 2003/04 publication for all years. Details of the FRS grossing regime are available at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/frs/reports/pn170205final.pdf.
- 10. HBAI allows consistent comparisons of disposable income over time, for different parts of the income distribution. The income measures used have been up-rated using the information derived from the Retail Prices Index (RPI) to enable one year's data to be compared with another. This edition focuses on results that cover the period since 1994/95. Some results are presented from the Family Expenditure Survey (FES), which look at the period between 1979 and the mid 1990s. Following a consultation exercise with outside academics and other Government departments, results from the two survey sources are presented together, using agreed methodologies to provide a longer time series.
- 11. In previous years, analysis comparing household expenditure levels using the Family Expenditure Survey (a survey run for the Office for National Statistics which captures household expenditure) indicated that the standard of living of people in the bottom decile was no worse than that of people in the second decile; and the expenditure levels of zero or negative income cases place nearly half of them in the top half of the expenditure distribution. For these reasons, results for the bottom end of a distribution of living standards.
- 12. The Gini Coefficient is a quantitative measure of income inequality ranging from 0 (perfect equality all individuals would have equal income) to 100 per cent (perfect inequality one individual would have all the income of the country). It has been commonly used to see how income inequality has changed over time, and to compare between countries.
- 13. "Households Below Average Income 1994/95 to 2010/11" ISBN 978 1 78153 046 7 is produced by the Department for Work and Pensions and is a National Statistics publication. General enquiries about the figures contained in the publication should be referred to the Department for Work and Pensions Press Office. Questions of detail on the figures may be referred to the DWP statistician responsible for HBAI (Tel: 020 7449 7337, email: team.hbai@dwp.gsi.gov.uk) in the DWP Information Governance and is The Security Directorate. report available the DWP Internet on site at http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/hbai.asp.