**From:** <redacted> **Sent:** 07 June 2011 15:32

**To:** <redacted> **Cc:** <redacted>

Subject: LEZ ANPR Cameras

Importance: High

<redacted>

## <redacted>

We held a useful meeting last week with <redacted>in our Crime and Policing group. CPG has recently emerged from a major restructuring exercise which has had some impact on business across the group, and there are both apologies for the delay on your request and a real commitment to take this forward for you as soon as possible.

In addition to putting your request for the scope of the S28 to be extended to include LEZ ANPR cameras, <redacted>also wants to take the belated opportunity to share the 2<sup>nd</sup> Annual Report on the existing S28 to the Home Secretary at the same time. This provides some more of the context on how the existing arrangements are working.

In terms of the LEZ request, some more information for the ministerial submission about the pathway from the LEZ ANPR cameras to benefits realisation by the MPS would be helpful. Specific points include: <redacted>

what would be the additional benefit from extending to include a further <redacted>LEZ cameras?

## <redacted>

- what, if any, technical difficulties are there in any data transfer from LEZ cameras.
- <redacted>
- against what data would SO15 compare the LEZ feed?
- For how long would the LEZ data be retained by the MPS?
- What are the costs and who will meet them?

In comparing the existing S28 Certificate with the new draft, there now appears to be a distinction between (a) the Congestion Charging Zone in Central London .... and (b) traffic management and enforcement in the Greater London area. The supporting reasons for the 2007 Certificate do refer explicitly to both and I suspect this is just a need for a little more clarity in the existing certificate. <redacted>This will be important in demonstrating any argument that the use of LEZ data is necessary and proportionate'. Your advice on this point, and any suggested form of words would be appreciated.

In reviewing the Annual Report, there were a number of points where some further clarification would help ensure the Home Secretary receives clear advice:

<redacted>

Status of the systems -<redacted>

• We have noted the request in S12.7 for the Home Secretary to consider the FoI issue and will take this forward as quickly as possible.

Please call me or <redacted>if you wish to discuss.

Regards

<redacted>

**Border Security and Ports Policing, PROTECT** 

Office for Security and Counter Terrorism
The Home Office, 2 Marsham Street, London, SW1P 4DF

**Tel** < redacted >

Mobile < redacted >

E-mail < redacted >

Web www.homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk