

Summary of consultation questions and how to respond

Question 1: Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds?

Yes

Question 2: If yes, do you agree that this should include any such providers who are exempt from the requirement to hold a licence?

No, If you are exempt from holding a licence then you shouldn't be able input to tariff calculation.

Questions 3: Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? If no, please suggest an alternative source.

Yes, NB – this should include MFF.

Question 4: Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? If yes, please give details and reasons.

No.

Question 5: Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? If not, what figure would you propose, and why?

Yes

Question 6: Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? If not, what figure would you propose, and why?

Yes

Question 7: Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? If not, how should their share of supply be calculated?

Yes

Question 8: Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years

accounts, minus local area adjustments? If not, on what basis should they be weighted?

No – Local area adjustments are not transparent and could not be audited or verified. In addition would be administratively cumbersome.

Question 9: Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? If not, what percentage should be set, and why?

Yes

Question 10: Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups?

No