

Annex F: Response form for the consultation document on a Fixed Unit Price methodology and updated cost estimates

You may respond to this consultation by email or by post.

Please note that if you accessing this document electronically you will only be able to enter text in the response fields.

Respondent Details	
Name:	F McMorrow
Organisation:	Copeland BC
Address:	
Town/ City:	
County/ Postcode:	
Telephone:	
E-mail:	
Fax:	

Please return by 18th June 2010 to:

Fixed Unit Price methodology and updated
cost estimates consultation
Office for Nuclear Development
Department of Energy and Climate Change
Area 3D
3 Whitehall Place
London
SW1A 2AW

You can also submit this form by email:
decomguidance@decc.gsi.gov.uk

Tick this box if you are requesting non-disclosure of your response. ☐

No.	Question
Chapter 3: The methodology to determine a Fixed Unit Price	
1	Do you agree or disagree that prospective operators of new nuclear power stations should be given the option to defer the setting of their Fixed Unit Price? If so, do you agree that this deferral should be limited to 10 years after the nuclear power station has commenced operation? Do you have any comments on the way the Government proposes to determine an expected Fixed Unit Price as the basis for an operator's interim provision in the event that they choose to defer the setting of their Fixed Unit Price?
Response	Yes. could be more flexible than ten years to ensure best information is available.
2	Do you agree or disagree with the proposal that the Schedule for the Government to take title to and liability for an operator's waste should be set in relation to the predicted end of the decommissioning of the nuclear power station? Do you have any comments on the way the Government proposes to recoup the additional costs it will incur in this case?
Response	Yes but no further comments
3	Do you agree or disagree that the proposed methodology to determine a Fixed Unit Price strikes the right balance in protecting the taxpayer, by taking a prudent and conservative approach to cost estimation, while facilitating new nuclear build by providing certainty to operators? What are your reasons?
Response	no comments
4	Do you agree or disagree with the proposed approach to determining an operator's contribution to the fixed costs of constructing a Geological Disposal Facility? What are your reasons?
Response	Yes pleased to see recognition of potential need for two repositories. Also pleased to see recognition of need to fund community benefits in GDF locality.
5	Do you agree or disagree with the proposal that the units to be used for the Fixed Unit Price are pence per kWh for spent fuel and cubic metres of packaged volume for intermediate level waste? What are your reasons?
Response	no comments
Chapter 5: Updated estimates of the costs for decommissioning, waste management and waste disposal	
6	Do the updated cost estimates represent a credible range of estimates of the likely costs for decommissioning, waste management and waste disposal for a new nuclear power station?

No.	Question
Response	no comments

Please select the category below which best describes who you are responding on behalf of.

- ☐ Business representative organisation/trade body
- ☐ Central Government
- ☐ Charity or social enterprise
- ☐ Individual
- ☐ Large business (over 250 staff)
- ☐ Legal representative
- ☒ Local Government
- ☐ Medium business (50 to 250 staff)
- ☐ Small business (10 to 49 staff)
- ☐ Micro business (up to 9 staff)
- ☐ Trade union or staff association
- ☐ Other (please describe):

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. The Government does not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box. ☐

Department of Energy and Climate Change
URN 10D/579