# Course approval criteria

#### Course content

Applicants must be able to evidence that:

- The course content clearly links to the desired outcome of the current Drink-Drive Rehabilitation Scheme (DDRS) syllabus (<a href="http://www.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-drink-drive-rehabilitation-syllabus">http://www.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-drink-drive-rehabilitation-syllabus</a>), published by the Secretary of State for Transport.
- 2. The course content and intended outcomes link to recognised academic and theoretical evidence and adult learning principles<sup>1</sup>.
- 3. The design of the course is such that it is suitable for presentation to a wide cross-section of offender, taking into consideration different learning styles, varying levels of ability and those groups defined as 'vulnerable' (e.g. young persons (17-18 years²), the elderly³ and those with a recognised learning or physical disability).
- 4. The course, including the delivery and administration arrangements, aligns with the current DfT DDRS course guidance (<a href="http://assets.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-drink-drive-rehabilitation/dsa drinkdrive rehabilitation scheme drink drive offenders.pdf">http://assets.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-drink-drive-rehabilitation/dsa drinkdrive rehabilitation scheme drink drive offenders.pdf</a>) published by the Secretary of State for Transport. Please note: the current course guidance will be revised following this consultation. The revised guidance will apply to all course approvals issued under the new arrangements.
- The learning materials show a clear link to the DDRS syllabus outcomes and ultimately the DSA Safe and Responsible Driving (category B) syllabus (<a href="http://www.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-safe-responsible-driving-category-b-syllabus">http://www.dft.gov.uk/publications/dsa-safe-responsible-driving-category-b-syllabus</a>) which are aligned.

(a) The Federation of Drug & Alcohol Professionals (FDAP) Code of Practice - http://www.fdap.org.uk/code\_of\_practice.php;

(b) Models of care for alcohol misusers (MoCAM) -

http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod\_consum\_dh/groups/dh\_digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 4136809.pdf;

(c) NOMS Offender Management Model (OMM) – Alcohol Interventions Guidance - <a href="http://www.alcoholpolicy.net/2010/02/noms-alcohol-interventions-guidance-.html">http://www.alcoholpolicy.net/2010/02/noms-alcohol-interventions-guidance-.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Children and Young Persons Act 1933.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> World Health Organisation accept the chronological age of 65 as being 'elderly' or 'older person'.

6. A recognised method of course and trainer evaluation (such as the Kirkpatrick 4 level model<sup>4</sup>) will be operated to confirm, as a minimum, that Reaction (level 1) and Transfer of Learning (level 2) will have taken place, demonstrating that each offender will have gained a basic level of understanding.

### Course delivery arrangements

Applicants must be able to evidence that:

- 1. Trainers hold a relevant adult learning qualification or have relevant experience.
- 2. They would be capable of delivering sufficient courses to meet demand in all specified geographical areas covered by their approval.
- 3. The training environment is and remains conducive for learning to take place.

# **Course administration arrangements**

Applicants must evidence how they would:

- 1. Ensure that suitable processes and procedures will be in place to provide referral opportunities (e.g. counselling services) for those who require additional longer term support.
- 2. Ensure that accurate records will be kept relating to course administration.

# 'Appropriate persons' criteria for providers

1. That key personnel (e.g. owner, Managing Director, Finance Director etc) are, and remain, 'appropriate persons'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kirkpatrick D, (1994) Evaluating Training Programmes. Berret-Koehler Publishers Inc.