Title: Consultation on Repe	Impact Assessment (IA)							
Pollution Act 1974 (abolition of Noise Abatement Zones)			Oi	Date: 30/10/2012				
IA No: DEFRA 1484	Lead department or agency:			Stage: Consultation				
Lead department or a Defra	agency:			Source of i	nterventior	n: Domestic		
Other departments o	r agencies:					nary legislati	on	
				Yvette Hood	•	d Nuisance t	eam	
Summary: Inter	vention and	Options		RPC Opi	nion: Aw	aiting Scr	utiny	
Cost of Preferred (or more likely				Option				
Total Net Present Value Business Net Present Value Net cost to business per year (EANCB on 2009 prices)				In scope of One-Out?	One-In, N	leasure qua	alifies as	
£0.279m	£0.002m N/A Ye		Yes		Zero Net C	Cost		
What is the problem	What is the problem under consideration? Why is government in				essary?			
As part of the Red T causing a burden, up								
(NAZs) have been s remain in use, each powers are not being business. The legislaneed to revoke indiv	covering a single g used in the rema ation appears to b	business. Due to caining 79 (for brevioe little used and p	costs, only ty called 'i roviding lit	/ 5 have beinactive'), w tle benefit.	en revoked hich may d Repeal wo	d while enfo cause unce uld remove	orcement rtainty for the	
What are the policy of	bjectives and the	intended effects?						
					1 6 1	Λ Ι		
The desired policy o clarity for premises of					dens for L/	As and prov	vide	
			_					
What policy options			alternativ	es to regula	tion? Pleas	se justify pr	eferred	
option (further details in Evidence Base) Option 1 (Baseline): Retain sections 63 to 67 of the Control of Pollution Act (1974) i.e. make no change Option 2: Repeal sections 63 to 67 of the Control of Pollution Act (1974) for England and Wales i.e abolish Noise Abatement Zones.								
The preferred option is Option 2. Evidence suggests the legislation is not needed and repeal could potentially save local authorities approximately £0.3m by avoiding the need to individually revoke inactive NAZs and this would also provide clarity to properties covered by 'inactive' NAZs.								
Will the policy be rev	riewed? It will not	be reviewed. If ap	plicable, s	et review da	ate: Month	/Year		
Does implementation (-	·		N/A			
Are any of these organ exempted set out reas			Micro Yes/No	< 20 Yes/No	Small Yes/No	Medium Yes/No	Large Yes/No	
What is the CO ₂ equive (Million tonnes CO ₂ eq	luivalent)				Traded: N/A	N/A	raded:	
I have read the Impact reasonable view of the					vidence, it r	epresents a	a	

Summary: Analysis & Evidence

Policy Option 1

Description: Baseline: Retain s63-67 CoPA (make no change)

FULL ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Price Base	PV Base		Net Benefit (Present Value (PV)) (£m)				
Year	Year		Low: Optional	High: Optional	Best Estimate:		

COSTS (£m)	Total Transition (Constant Price) Years		Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)	Total Cost (Present Value)
Low	Optional		Optional	Optional
High	Optional		Optional	Optional
Best Estimate				

Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main affected groups'

The current situation is assumed to continue i.e. based on the best current information this means 2 NAZs are actively managed by local authorities while in the remaining 79 NAZs across England and Wales, local authorities just respond to legal queries arising out of property transaction searches. Local authorities at some stage incur the costs of revoking the 79 NAZs no longer required.

Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups'

Costs of following-up searches during property transactions in 79 'inactive' NAZs where enforcement powers are not being used. There may be uncertainty and confusion for businesses in 'inactive' NAZs e.g if they wish to make changes that may increase the noise level or a risk the NAZ may become 'active' again. This may be a possible barrier to growth.

BENEFITS (£m)	Total Tra (Constant Price)	ansition Years	Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)	Total Benefit (Present Value)
Low	Optional		Optional	Optional
High	Optional		Optional	Optional
Best Estimate				

Description and scale of key monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'

Benefit assumed to continue for one company through reduced noise survey costs as part of planning application process. This is considered an unintended benefit of the legislation.

Other key non-monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'

Increased certainty for a further single business in Great Britain in knowing the noise level they need to work to when planning developments.

New NAZs could be established (although this appears unlikely as none are believed to have been formed in the last 10 years).

Key assumptions/sensitivities/risks	Discount rate (%)	

BUSINESS ASSESSMENT (Option 1)

Direct impact on business (Equivalent Annual) £m:			In scope of OIOO?	Measure qualifies as
Costs: N/A	Benefits: N/A	Net: N/A	Yes	NA

Summary: Analysis & Evidence

Policy Option 2

Description: Option 2: Repeal s63-67 CoPa '74 for England and Wales (abolish Noise Abatement Zones)

FULL ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Price Base	PV Base	Time Period Years 1	Net Benefit (Present Value (PV)) (£m)					
Year 2012	Year 2012		Low: Optional	High: Optional	Best Estimate: £0.279m			

COSTS (£m)	Total Transition (Constant Price) Years		Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)	Total Cost (Present Value)
Low	Optional	100.0	Optional	Optional
LOW	Ориона		Optional	Орнопаі
High	Optional		Optional	Optional
Best Estimate				£0.002m

Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main affected groups'

Addional planning application costs have been assumed for one business in England and Wales of up to £2000, due to increased noise survey work. One planning application has been assumed in the year under consideration (although this may overstate the frequency) and thus a £0.002m annual benefit to business.

Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups'

There may be less certainty for a further single business in England and Wales, although it is understood that this could be overcome via a planning condition.

There may be less flexibility for local authorities in England and Wales dealing with noise problems due to the removal of powers to implement NAZs, although take-up have been very limited since the legislation came into force.

BENEFITS (£m)	Total Transition (Constant Price) Years		Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)	Total Benefit (Present Value)
Low	Optional		Optional	Optional
High	Optional		Optional	Optional
Best Estimate				£0.281m

Description and scale of key monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'

Removal of costs to local authorities for eventually revoking all NAZs in existence in England and Wales. Using the best information available, the benefit is assumed to cover 79 'inactive' NAZs to produce an estimated saving of £0.269m.

Savings for local authorities in managing NAZs; using the best information available these have been estimated at £0.002m pa for 2 active NAZs and £0.010m pa for a further 79 'inactive' NAZs.

Other key non-monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'

Businesses in 'inactive' NAZs will be freed from NAZ regulation, which may provide greater certainty e.g. that enforcement powers will not be used in future.

There may be property transaction savings for individuals or businesses in 'inactive' NAZs as search queries are avoided.

Key assumptions/sensitivities/risks

Discount rate (%)

3.5

Costs of revoking a NAZ and when this would occur is the major sensitivity. For simplicity, it has been assumed that all NAZs would have been revoked at the end of year 1. Number of properties in NAZs (assumed to be 10 per NAZ to be conservative) influences management costs and avoided costs of revoking NAZs. A risk may be that repeal would prevent future use of NAZs, should circumstances change in a way that increases their appeal.

BUSINESS ASSESSMENT (Option 2)

Direct impact on business (Equivalent Annual) £m:			In scope of OIOO?	Measure qualifies as	
Costs: 0.002	Benefits: 0	Net: 0.002	Yes	Zero net cost	

Evidence Base

Policy objective, problem under consideration and rationale for intervention

The Government committed to removing the legislation underlying NAZs under the Red Tape Challenge Environment theme, subject to consultation. The Red Tape Challenge aims to remove unnecessary and burdensome regulation from the Statute book.

Sections 63 to 67 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 give local authorities the power to implement Noise Abatement Zones (NAZs) in England, Wales and Scotland. These are areas in which current sound levels are established for classified premises and then used as reference levels for the control of noise in that area. The legislation sets out how the NAZs should be established and implemented.

NAZs were introduced to prevent deterioration in environmental noise levels and to achieve reductions in noise levels wherever practicable. They were intended to provide a means to control creeping background sound levels and to tackle unacceptable noise environments resulting from multiple sources of noise.

The powers appear to have been little used and feedback suggests setting up a NAZ is onerous and costly for local authorities, making them unpopular. Alternative noise management tools and other legislation have also provided options that local authorities have found more useful. However, only a small proportion of NAZs have been revoked, with the majority remaining in place without enforcement powers being used (for brevity, described in this document as 'inactive'). It is understood that local authorities delay revoking NAZs due to the costs and personnel this would absorb versus the small ongoing burden of these 'inactive' NAZs on local authorities.

Options considered

Against a baseline option to make no change (Option 1: baseline – retain the legislation), a single option is considered, which is to repeal sections 63 to 67 of the Control of Pollution Act (1974) (Option 2 – repeal s63-67 CoPA '74) for England and Wales. This would abolish all NAZs in England and Wales and prevent new ones being established.

Evidence gathered and technical advisor cost estimates

Desk-based analysis in 2011, which built on earlier work by BRE in 1992, suggested that there were approximately 86 NAZs in 53 local authorities. Geographically these were split as follows: 83 NAZs in England (in 51 local authorities), 3 NAZs in Wales (in 2 local authorities) and none in Scotland. The powers to introduce NAZs did not extend to Northern Ireland. Nearly half (42 of the 86 NAZs) were believed to be 'inactive' (which is used here for brevity to mean that enforcement powers are not being used) or revoked, whilst the status of 29 NAZs was unknown.

The 86 NAZs had mainly been established in the 1970s and 1980s, with the most recent NAZ established in 1999, indicating that not only has interest in NAZs amongst local authorities been limited, there has been a clear fall-off in interest (see Table 1) since the legislation was introduced.

TABLE 1: Number of NAZs introduced and % of total NAZs by decade.

	1974-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2010-2011
Number NAZs designated	39	38	9	0	0
% total NAZ (86)	45%	44%	11%	0%	0%

This suggested that the legislation was not meeting its objectives and was very little used and therefore a suitable candidate for repeal as part of the Government's Red Tape Challenge. When considered alongside anecdotal feedback in 1992 that suggested that NAZs are onerous for local authorities to implement, Defra proposed that this legislation could be repealed.

Recent informal contact with local authorities in England in October 2012 to check the results of the desk-based study suggested that only 2 NAZs remain active in England, with 5 revoked and 76 'inactive'. The number of local authorities with NAZs is believed to be 49. Land use change (e.g. switching to residential use, factory closures) and alternative legislation were cited as a cause for the decline. Three further NAZs identified in Wales are also believed to be 'inactive'. The feedback suggested that the two NAZs in active use cover just one premises each but were felt to provide benefits to these businesses, although for one of the businesses, it is understood that the benefit could equally be provided by a planning condition.

Feedback has also indicated that NAZs are not a significant ongoing burden for local authorities but NAZs are flagged in property transaction searches, triggering legal queries. Local authorities delay formally revoking inactive NAZs by the costs and personnel resource it would absorb.

Local authorities did not anticipate repeal would cause any problems and generally welcomed the idea, as it would remove the burden on local authorities in revoking NAZs.

Although local authorities could not provide cost estimates, Defra's technical advisors on noise, Bureau Veritas have used their expert judgement to provide some provisional estimates on which to base this Impact Assessment.

Cost estimates

BRE'S 1992 work indicated that the number of premises covered by a NAZ could be quite varied – from zero to 350 premises. Anecdotal feedback suggests that the early NAZs covered larger areas and more premises (say 100-250 premises) but later ones were smaller (covering say 50-100 premises). The number of premises is important when estimating costs as a large proportion of the costs Local Authorities bear is related to the work involved in setting-up the Noise Register and serving notices on the premises concerned and it is also relevant to the costs of revoking a NAZ. While a range of 50 to 150 premises and a central estimate of 100 premises per NAZ may appear appropriate given their size when established, the recent informal contact with local authorities suggests otherwise. This indicated significant land-use changes that have resulted in the NAZs covering far fewer premises, so a central estimate of 100 appears likely to overstate the costs and skew the analysis. To be conservative, just 10 premises per 'inactive' NAZ have been assumed, although it is recognised that this may understate the avoided costs for Option 2 and may need to be revised in light of responses during the consultation stage (e.g. the cost of revoking a NAZ covering 10 premises is estimated here at £3,399 but is estimated to be £38,841 for NAZs with 150 premises). For active NAZs, it has been assumed that there are two, each covering one premises as per the informal feedback in October 2012. Both of these assumptions have been applied to the costs per premises as provided by Defra's technical advisors on noise, Bureau Veritas (see Table 2).

Table 2 – monetised annual costs estimates for local authorities of NAZs

Activity	Sub-task	LA time	Cost (£)	Cost (£) NAZ
		(hours)	NAZ with 1	with 10
		NAZ with 1	premises	premises
		premises		
Setting up	Drafting Order	4.00	203	203
a NAZ	Newspaper adverts	-	666	666
	Creation of the Noise Level	22.50	1139	1139x10x0.75
	Register			= 8544
	Service of Notice on each property	5.00	253	2532
	detailing their noise record from			
	the Noise Level Register			
	Sub-total		2261	11,944
Managing	Update of Noise Level Register	20.00	1013	Not
an active	(incl negotiation with company and			applicable
NAZ	neighbours)			
	Responding to property searches	0.25	13	
	from within NAZ			
	Sub-total		1026	
		1	1	T
Managing	Responding to property searches	0.25	13	130
an inactive	from within NAZ			
NAZ	Sub-total		13	130
<u> </u>	10 · N · ·	T = 00	1050	10500
Revoking a	Serving a Notice on each premises	5.00	253	2530
NAZ	of the appropriate category in the			
	NAZ	4.00	000	000
	Drafting Order	4.00	203	203
	Newspaper adverts	-	666	666
	Sub-total		1,122	3,399

Monetised and non-monetised costs and benefits for each option considered

Option 1 (baseline) - Retain s63-67 CoPA '74 i.e. make no change

The current situation is assumed to continue i.e. based on the best current information this means 2 NAZs are actively managed by local authorities while in the remaining 79 NAZs across England and Wales, local authorities just respond to legal queries arising out of property transaction searches. NAZs may be revoked under sections 63 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 but no provision is made for 'inactive' NAZs so, at some stage, local authorities are assumed to incur the costs of revoking the 79 NAZs no longer required. Potential costs for businesses include following up property transaction search queries in the 79 NAZs where enforcement powers are not being used. Additionally, there may be confusion and uncertainty for businesses in the 79 'inactive' NAZs where enforcement powers are not being used e.g. if they wish to make changes that may increase the noise level above the level in the Noise Level Register or a risk the NAZ may become 'active' again. This uncertainty could be a potential barrier to growth.

Against this, it is assumed benefits continue for two businesses covered by the two NAZs in active use. These are understood to include reduced noise survey work costs in planning applications (considered to be an intended benefit of the legislation) and the benefit of clarity of the noise level to work to. Local authorities would still be able to utilise powers provided under sections 63 to 67 of CoPA 1974 to establish new Noise Abatement Zones, should they so wish.

Option 2 – Repeal s63-67 CoPA '74, which would automatically abolish all existing NAZs

Costs

It is estimated there would be a cost to a single business of approximately £0.002m pa and a non-monetised cost to a further business (clarity of the noise level to work to), which it is understood could be overcome via a planning condition.

There may be small administrative costs to local authorities if they have to update their information systems but this is assumed to be trivial.

A further possible cost may be that repeal would prevent future use of NAZs should circumstances change and their appeal increase (e.g. for cumulative effects issues or due to legislative changes such as ones to the licensing regime) although take-up has been very limited do far.

Benefits

This would avoid the costs of revoking the 79 'inactive' NAZs across England and Wales currently believed to be in existence. This saving is estimated to be worth approximately £0.269m (79 x £3,399). For simplicity, it has been assumed that all NAZs would be revoked at the end of year in the baseline. In reality it could take longer but without detailed information from local authorities this simplifying assumption is considered appropriate given the scale of the impacts. A one-year timescale has also been chosen to better communicate the costs and benefits involved.

NAZ management costs would be avoided, which would result in a saving for local authorities. For two active NAZs (each covering 1 premises) an estimated cost saving of £0.002m pa (2x £1026) has been assumed plus a saving for the 79 'inactive' NAZs across England and Wales, estimated at £0.010m pa (79 x £130). For simplicity, it has been assumed that in the baseline these costs would have been incurred for 12 months before the NAZs were revoked, while the repeal would happen at the start of the year.

Overall the savings are in the region of £0.28m, assuming NAZs would have been revoked after 12 months in the baseline. If the NAZs were instead revoked after ten years, with the repeal still happening at the start of the first year, the impact on the benefits is minimal. Whilst the avoided cost for local authorities of revoking their NAZs is reduced to £0.20m, their benefits in terms of the avoided cost of managing NAZs are higher (because these now accrue for ten years rather than one). Overall the savings are in the region of £0.29m if it is instead assumed that the NAZs would have been revoked after ten years. Using the simplifying assumption that they would be revoked after one year therefore does not have a material impact on the overall benefit of the option.

It is expected there may be non-monetised benefits for businesses within 'inactive' NAZs, where there would be greater clarity. Unnecessary property searches would be avoided, with time and cost benefits during property transactions.

A potential non-monetised benefit is that repeal would prevent other local authorities incurring the costs of setting up NAZs, when other have found them of little benefit and the costs disproportionate.

There appears to be a net benefit to the economy in the region of £0.279m with this option.

Justification for level of analysis used in Impact Assessment

The costs and benefits involved are tiny in the context of England and Wales, few businesses are affected and the impacts relatively small for the individual businesses and local authorities affected. Gathering further data to increase the robustness of these estimates would be resource consuming and is considered disproportionate.

Risks and Assumptions

The purpose of this consultation stage impact assessment is to test our assumptions of net costs and benefits and, depending on feedback, there may be small changes made in the final impact assessment.

Assumptions:

The options considered are assumed to apply to both England and Wales.

Benefits of scale for Noise Register tasks reduces pro-rata costs by 25% when the number of premises increase.

Cost of creating/updating Noise Level Register is based upon discussions with a local authority who has carried out these tasks.

Cost of Officer time taken from Defra's "Consultation on Local Authority Environmental Regulation of Industrial Plant 2009/10" and is £50.63 per hour.

The cost of complaints made about the properties in the NAZ have not been considered, as these complaints would need to be investigated regardless of whether NAZ was in existence.

Cost of advert in London Gazette from London Gazette Website (Accessed 16/10/12) Cost of adverts in Local Paper estimated from discussions with the Caerphilly Campaign. (Discussed 16/10/12)

In managing a NAZ it is assumed that each activity occurs once every 5 years. All costs are exclusive of VAT.

Risks:

Repeal would prevent local authorities establishing new NAZs should they wish to. Although this appears unlikely (given their unpopularity and the costs involved in doing so) removing a potential noise management tool may result in less flexibility for local authorities in dealing with noise problems e.g. issues of cumulative effects or changes in legislation such as changes to entertainment licensing. It is not considered good practice to retain legislation 'just in case' and regular review of existing policy should identify new issues, so this risk, on its own, would not justify retaining unnecessary legislation.

Direct costs and benefits to business (following OIOO methodology)

For the preferred option (Option 2), the only direct monetised cost/benefit identified is from informal feedback that for one business in England and Wales, there is a saving in survey costs associated with each planning application of the order of £1500 to £2000. It is not known how often a planning application is submitted, so it has been assumed to be once a year i.e. a £2000 annual benefit to business in total across England and Wales. It is also expected that there will be direct benefits to businesses located (or thinking of locating) in currently inactive NAZs, in terms of having a clarified regulatory landscape. These benefits have not been monetised. Therefore whilst the monetised direct impact on business is a (negligible) net cost, on balance we expect the direct impact on business to be broadly neutral.

Summary and preferred option with description of implementation plan.

The costs and benefits involved are very small, although the costs of revoking NAZs where enforcement powers are not being used may have been understated in an attempt to take a conservative approach. Nonetheless, initial work suggests that this legislation is little used, is not providing a significant benefit in England and Wales, may be causing uncertainty for businesses in NAZs where enforcement powers are not currently used and there would be benefits for local authorities in repealing it.

Despite the small size of the impacts in a national context, it appears that local authorities would appreciate repeal and repealing the legislation via primary legislation presents a resource-efficient opportunity to do this and remove the burden on local authorities of individually revoking their NAZs.

The preferred option is therefore to repeal sections 63 to 67 of the Control of Pollution Act (1974) for England and Wales.

Scotland may consider this legislation separately as part of its own Better Regulation Agenda and is outside the scope of this consultation and Impact Assessment.