

Respondent Details	
Name:	Copeland Borough Council

Consultation questions	
1	Do you agree or disagree that the level of the Waste Transfer Price should be subject to a Cap and that in return for setting a Cap the Government should charge a Risk Fee? What are your reasons?
Response	We believe that it is reasonable to establish a cap that the level of the Waste Transfer Price is subject to and that this should be set at a very high level - 3 times the current disposal cost estimates is suggested in the consultation which appears reasonable and does provide operators with some cost certainty. However in view of the residual risk we also agree that Government should charge a 'risk fee' because at this stage it is impossible to be certain that the costs will not exceed the cap.
2	Do you agree or disagree that the Deferral Period should be set at 30 years after the start of electricity generation, in order to enable uncertainty over waste disposal costs to be reduced? What are your reasons?
Response	We accept that the Deferral Period should be increased from 10 years to 30 years as this allows the potential to use additional disposal costs data once a site for a GDF is identified and construction/operation is underway
3	Do you have any comments on the updated Waste Transfer Pricing Methodology? Comments are sought in particular on the proposed approach to setting an Expected Price and a Risk Fee.
Response	We have no strong views on this issue

Please select the category below which best describes who you are responding on behalf of.

- ☐ Business representative organisation/trade body
- ☐ Central Government
- ☐ Charity or social enterprise
- ☐ Individual
- ☐ Large business (over 250 staff)
- ☐ Legal representative

- ☒ Local Government
- ☐ Medium business (50 to 250 staff)
- ☐ Small business (10 to 49 staff)
- ☐ Micro business (up to 9 staff)
- ☐ Trade union or staff association
- ☐ Other (please describe):