



InfoDay 2010

- Civil Protection Work Programme 2010 -

**London
Monday, 18 January 2010**

Call for Proposals for *Exercises*

Panagiotis (Panos) KATSIKOPOULOS,

Civil Protection Unit – Prevention & Preparedness

Panagiotis.katsikopoulos@ec.europa.eu



COUNCIL DECISION 2007/779/EC, EURATOM, ESTABLISHING A COMMUNITY CIVIL PROTECTION MECHANISM (RECAST)

- ART 5.5: « Setting up a training programme with a view to ENHANCING THE COORDINATION OF CIVIL PROTECTION ASSISTANCE INTERVENTION...
- Compatibility & Complementarity between intervention teams and other intervention support
- Improve competence of experts»

COUNCIL DECISION 2007/162/EC, EURATOM, ESTABLISHING A CIVIL PROTECTION FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT

- FACILITATE REINFORCED COOPERATION AND ENHANCE COMMUNITY STATE OF PREPAREDNESS



GENERAL CONCEPT (1)



Integration and **simultaneous exercising** of various resources, tools, and aspects of the **Community Civil Protection Mechanism** typically including two levels of coordination: operational coordination (at the Community and National level) and coordination of field operations.



GENERAL CONCEPT (2)



Exercises in the field of the Community Civil Protection Mechanism

TYPES OF EXERCISES

COMMAND POST EXERCISES (CPE): with a minimum focused deployment in the field of some of the target groups

FULL SCALE EXERCISES (FSE):



GENERAL CONCEPT (3)



OBJECTIVES :

- **IMPROVE CIVIL PROTECTION PREPAREDNESS**
- **TEST THE PROCEDURES OF THE CP MECHANISM**

IN THAT VIEW, THE GOAL OF YOUR PROJECT SHOULD BE TO:

- **EXERCISE THE VARIOUS FUNCTIONS OF EMERGENCY RESPONSE INVOLVING MODULES OR OTHER TEAMS FROM SEVERAL COUNTRIES**

OR/AND

- **EXERCISE SPECIFIC DISASTER SCENARIOS THAT CAN TAKE PLACE INSIDE THE EUROPEAN UNION (AND OUTSIDE AS WELL IF THEY PRESENT AN INTEREST TO THE EU).**



GENERAL CONCEPT (4)

EXPECTED RESULTS

- **IMPROVE THE RESPONSE CAPACITY** OF THE TEAMS TO BE DEPLOYED UNDER THE FRAMEWORK OR THE EU CP MECHANISM.
- **IMPROVE AND VERIFY THE PROCEDURES.** ESTABLISH A **COMMON LANGUAGE** FOR THE COORDINATION OF CIVIL PROTECTION INTERVENTION. REDUCE THE **RESPONSE TIME** IN MAJOR EMERGENCIES
- **ENHANCE OPERATIONAL COOPERATION BETWEEN THE CIVILS PROTECTION SERVICES OF THE PARTICIPATING STATES**
- **SHARE LESSONS LEARNED**



GENERAL CONCEPT (5)

TARGET GROUP:

of the training programme and therefore *players* in the exercises are according to Article 22 of Commission Decision 2004/277/EC, Euratom:

- participating States' intervention teams;
- participating States' intervention team leaders, their deputies and liaison officers;
- experts of the participating States as set out in Article 15 of the same decision (i.e.
 - technical experts;
 - assessment experts;
 - coordination team members;
 - coordination head.
- national key contact point staff;
- officials of the Community institutions.



Participants (1)



Project participant is an entity who takes up some role in the implementation of the project either in the overall project management (project management team) or in the exercise planning (design and development) (exercise planning team). There are four types of project participants

- Coordinating Beneficiary (applicant)
- Associated Beneficiary (ies)
- Co-financer(s) (other than the EC)
- Sub-contractor(s)



Participants (2)



The Competent National Civil Protection Authority

At least a player

FORM A8



Participants (3)



Exercise participants are all the individuals that have a specific role in *exercise conduct and/or evaluation* and subsequently are members of one of the following groups:

- Players
- Exercise Control (Exercise Director, Controllers, Evaluators, Other personnel)
- Observers
- Actors
- Administrative and Support personnel



CALL FOR PROPOSALS

· PUBLISHED

HERE:

<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/civil/prote/finance.htm>

- **PROPOSALS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED BEFORE 31/05/2010**
- **MAXIMUM GRANT FOR EACH PROJECT: 1 M Euros**
- **MAXIMUM RATE FOR GRANT: 85% of the estimation**



EVALUATION AND SELECTION

- **ADMISSIBILITY AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**
- **EXCLUSION CRITERIA**
- **SELECTION CRITERIA**
- **AWARD CRITERIA**



ADMISSIBILITY AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

ADMISSIBILITY

- **RESPECT DEADLINE**
- **USE STANDARD FORMS, NO MISSING ITEMS, ETC**

ELIGIBILITY

- **CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES**
- **EXERCISE SCENARIO**

OVERWHELMS THE CAPACITY OF AFFECTED COUNTRY
REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE TO MIC AND ACTIVATION OF MECHANISM
DISPATCHING OF INTERVENTION TEAMS, CP MODULES, TAST
DURATION OF EXERCISE (2+1 DAYS MINIMUM)

- **PARTICIPATION: EARLY COMMITMENT OF AT LEAST 2 PARTICIPATING STATES + THE COORDINATING BENEFICIARY**
- **INVOLVEMENT OF NATIONAL CP AUTHORITIES**



EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- **BANKRUPTCY**
- **COURT PROCEEDINGS**
- **PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT**
- **OBLIGATIONS NOT FULFILLED**
- **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**



SELECTION CRITERIA

▪ **TECHNICAL CAPACITY
FOR PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION
FOR EXERCISE ITSELF**

▪ **FINANCIAL CAPACITY**



AWARD CRITERIA

Evaluate

Overall quality – relevance – interest

- UNDERSTANDING
 - METHODOLOGY
- COST EFFECTIVENESS
- EUROPEAN DIMENSION



Evaluate & Award (10)



Evaluation Committee

minimum 70%

Authorising Officer

- *Amount of grant*
- *%*



Evaluate & Award (6)



UNDERSTANDING (20 points)

Appropriateness, consistency, clarity of presentation

- Objectives and expected results
- Scenario Basis and Consistency
- Scenario Importance and Complexity
- Exercise Players



Evaluate & Award (7)



METHODOLOGY (35 points)

Project management methodology

Exercise planning, conduct and evaluation methodology

- Organisational Structure
- Means for Implementation
- Project Time Schedule
- Constraints and Quality Control Measures
- Exercise Planning
- Exercise Conduct
- Evaluation and Improvement Planning



Evaluate & Award (8)



COST EFFECTIVENESS (15 points)

- Rationality
- Justification & Coherency
- Good value for money



Evaluate & Award (9)



EUROPEAN DIMENSION (30 points)

Inside and outside

- Participation by Participating States
- Inter-Institutional cooperation,
Consistency, complementarity, synergies
- Cooperation with International
Organisations
- Dissemination of results



Grant Agreement



BETWEEN EC AND THE COORDINATING BENEFICIARY (CO)

- **CO WILL MANAGE THE PROJECT IN PARTNERSHIP WITH ASSOCIATED BENEFICIARY(ies) (AB).**
- **ROLES AND DUTIES ARE PRECISELY DEFINED IN THE GRANT AGREEMENT.**
- **EC CAN FUND THE PROJECTS UP TO 85 % OF THE TOTAL ESTIMATION.**



Coordinating Beneficiary



- **THE ONE CONTACT POINT OF THE COMMISSION FOR ALL THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT.**
- **SHALL REPORT REGULARLY ABOUT THE PROGRESS OF THE PLANNING AND THE IMPLEMENTING OF THE EXERCISE. RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCOUNTING FOLLOW-UP.**
- **EC IN PERMANENT CONTACT TO HELP, TO ADVISE. THE DESK OFFICER IN CHARGE PARTICIPATES IN THE STEERING GROUP MEETINGS.**



EXPECTATIONS



SCENARIO TO BE REALISTIC AND ADAPTED TO THE PLACE OF THE EXERCISE

EXPECTED RESULTS

MIC AND NATIONAL POINTS OF CONTACT ARE TO PARTICIPATE AS PLAYER AS THE STARTING POINT OF THE MECHANISM AND IN THEIR NORMAL ROLE

MECHANISM EXPERTS TO BE PART OF ALL EXERCISES AS PLAYERS (MIC TEAM), EVALUATORS, OR VISITORS (OBSERVERS)

EVALUATORS TO BE TASKED WITH ACCURACY UPON INTERNATIONAL COORDINATION ISSUES

LESSONS LEARNED: TO BE USED AND FOLLOWED IN FUTURE EXERCISES



Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?



Now and

After

Contact



panagiotis.katsikopoulos@ec.europa.eu