Title: Third Package: Articles concerning provision	Impact Assessment (IA)				
of consumer information	IA No: DECC0004 Date: 14/01/2011				
Lead department or agency:					
Department for Energy and Climate Change	Stage: Final				
Other departments or agencies:	Source of intervention: EU				
	Type of measure: Other				
	Contact for enquiries: Marina.Pappa@decc.gsi.gov.uk Luke.Davison@decc.gsi.gov.uk				

Summary: Intervention and Options

What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary?

There are several articles in the EU 3rd Package which are intended to increase the information available to consumers and therefore enable consumers to make more informed decisions when they choose tariffs and suppliers. These include providing transparent consumption data to consumers based on actual readings, making information available about dispute settlement mechanisms and complaints and providing a consumer energy checklist. There is an additional requirement on suppliers to keep certain data at the disposal of the regulatory authorities. In some areas, there is currently no obligation on suppliers and Ofgem to ensure that these requirements are met. It is therefore necessary for Government to put in place measures in the form of formal obligations to comply with the Directives.

What are the policy objectives and the intended effects?

These measures are designed to improve the quality and quantity of the information available to consumers on both their individual consumption, consumer rights and industry processes. Greater transparency and consumer awareness is a driver of competitive energy supply markets. In the long term these measures may enable consumers to better act as a competitive constraint on suppliers' pricing and provide strong incentives on suppliers to reduce costs, improve service and develop innovative products.

What policy options have been considered? Please justify preferred option (further details in Evidence Base)

The Government has taken a light-touch approach to ensure that it does the minimum required to comply with the requirements of the Directives. The preferred option includes, but is not limited to, the following measures:

- Introduce an obligation on energy suppliers so that where a customer provides a meter reading, and provided that the supplier is satisfied that this data is reasonable, the supplier should either send an updated bill to that customer or reflect this reading in the customer's next bill.

- Amend Supply Licence to require energy suppliers to inform consumers that they can complain using the suppliers' complaints procedure and they can obtain a copy, and include this information in promotional materials.

- Place a new obligation on energy suppliers to hold and make available to Ofgem data on all transactions in gas and electricity supply contracts and gas derivatives.

Our preferred option captures all the benefits discussed, while imposing minimum costs on business.

When will the policy be reviewed to establish its impact and the extent to which the policy objectives have been achieved?	Please refer to over-arching IA
Are there arrangements in place that will allow a systematic collection of monitoring information for future policy review?	No

<u>Ministerial Sign-off</u> For Final Impact Assessments:

I have read the Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that, given the available evidence, it represents a reasonable view of the likely costs, benefits and impact of the leading options.

Signed by the responsible Minister:

Charles rending

Date: 12/01/2011

Summary: Analysis and Evidence

Description:

Implement Option 1 (the minimum-compliance option) for all measures.

	PV Bas		Time Period		Net Benefit (Present Value (PV)) (£m)					
Year 2010 Year 2		2010 Years 1		Low: -2	2.5 High: 0	Best Estimate: -1.	.25			
COSTS (£	DSTS (£m) Total Tra (Constant Price)		ansition Years	Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)		otal Cost sent Value)				
Low		0			N/A	0				
High		2.5		1	N/A					
Best Estimate			1.25		N/A		1.25			
The monetis in the event transaction of suppliers. The be in the ran Other key no There will be statement; of	sed costs uality tha data. Th he one-c nge of £2 on-mone e some a custome	s are r at all th e cost off set- 20-25, tised o additic rs con	not expected to ne suppliers are s will be closer oup costs for Co 000. costs by 'main a onal costs for su	be large not com to zero c nsumer ffected g ppliers fi questing	rom including updated meter re them to pass their consumption	d 5 years worth of of compliance amor r checklist is expect adings in an annua	ngst eted to			
BENEFITS	ENEFITS (£m) Total Transition (Constant Price) Years (excl. Transition) (Constant Price) (Present Value) (Present Value)									
Low			N/A		N/A	N/	Ά			
High	igh N/A		N/A	N1/A						
•			IN/A	IN/A	N/A	N/	A			
Best Estimat		e of ke	N/A		N/A N/A 'main affected groups'	N/				
Best Estimat Description Other key no We expect t consumption information measures a	and scal	tised I be a d ation a blaints ned to	N/A ey monetised be penefits by 'main irect benefit to c and improve the procedures. Th pimprove the ac	n affected consume services here may ccess an	N/A 'main affected groups'	on patterns as a re esult of access to its to consumers as could result in an in-	Sult of sthese			
Best Estimat Description Other key no We expect t consumption information measures a	on-mone here to l n informa on comp re desig rs' marke	tised I be a d ation a blaints ned to et pow	N/A ey monetised be penefits by 'main irect benefit to c and improve the procedures. The procedures the ac ver and lead to g	n affected consume services here may ccess an	N/A d groups' ers who change their consumpting received from suppliers as a received from supplices as a received from supplice	on patterns as a re esult of access to its to consumers as could result in an in-	Sult of sthese			
Best Estimat Description	and scal on-mone here to l n informa on comp re desig rs' marke sk that c ures. resented s of provi of any sy	tised I be a d ation a blaints ned to et pow nsitivi ustom I are il ding h stem	N/A by monetised be penefits by 'main irect benefit to c and improve the procedures. Th procedures. Th procedures. Th procedures. The procedures the ac ref and lead to g ties/risks hers will suffer fr lustrative based historical consur changes neede	nefits by naffected consume services ere may ccess an greater c rom infor	N/A d groups' ers who change their consumpting received from suppliers as a received from supplices as a received from supplice	on patterns as a re esult of access to its to consumers as could result in an in- y. Discount rate (%) not realise the bend 2008 impact asses ificant uncertainty a	A Sult of these crease 3.5 efits of ssment as to the			
Best Estimat Description Other key no We expect to consumption information measures a in consume Key assump There is a ri these meas The costs po on the costs complexity of	and scal on-mone here to l n informa on comp re desig rs' marke sk that c ures. resented s of provi of any sy eady con	tised I be a d ation a blaints ned to et pow nsitivi ustom l are il ding h stem nplian	N/A ey monetised be penefits by 'main irect benefit to c and improve the procedures. The procedures. The procedures. The procedures. The procedures of the p	nefits by naffected consume services ere may ccess an greater c rom infor	N/A "main affected groups" d groups" ers who change their consumpti s received from suppliers as a r be additional, intangible benefi d quality of information, which c ompetition in the supply industr mation overload and therefore rious published information in a ata in energy bills. There is sign	on patterns as a re esult of access to its to consumers as could result in an in- y. Discount rate (%) not realise the benc 2008 impact asses ificant uncertainty a le proportion of sup	A Sult of these crease 3.5 efits of ssment as to the			

¹ The Government intends to consult on the future of consumer bodies.,Should this consultation result in changes to the role of status of Consumer Focus, we would expect this work to be carried out by any successor body.

Enforcement, Implementation and Wider Impacts

What is the geographic coverage of the policy/option?			Great B	ritain			
From what date will the policy be implemented?			01/03/20	01/03/2011			
Which organisation(s) will enforce the policy?	Ofgem	Ofgem					
What is the annual change in enforcement cost (£m)?	N/A	N/A					
Does enforcement comply with Hampton principles?	Yes	Yes					
Does implementation go beyond minimum EU requirer	No	No					
What is the CO_2 equivalent change in greenhouse gas (Million tonnes CO_2 equivalent)	Traded: N	I/A	Non-traded:				
Does the proposal have an impact on competition?	Yes	Yes					
What proportion (%) of Total PV costs/benefits is direct primary legislation, if applicable?	Costs: N/A	L	Benefits: N/A				
Annual cost (£m) per organisation (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)	Micro	< 20	Small	Mec	dium	Large	
Are any of these organisations exempt?	No	No	o No				

Specific Impact Tests: Checklist

Set out in the table below where information on any SITs undertaken as part of the analysis of the policy options can be found in the evidence base. For guidance on how to complete each test, double-click on the link for the guidance provided by the relevant department.

Please note this checklist is not intended to list each and every statutory consideration that departments should take into account when deciding which policy option to follow. It is the responsibility of departments to make sure that their duties are complied with.

Does your policy option/proposal have an impact on?	Impact	Page ref within IA	
Statutory equality duties ²	Yes	8	
Statutory Equality Duties Impact Test guidance			
Economic impacts			
Competition Competition Assessment Impact Test guidance	Yes	6	
Small firms Small Firms Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	
Environmental impacts			
Greenhouse gas assessment Greenhouse Gas Assessment Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	
Wider environmental issues Wider Environmental Issues Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	
Social impacts			
Health and well-being Health and Well-being Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	
Human rights Human Rights Impact Test guidance	Yes	8	
Justice system Justice Impact Test guidance	Yes	8	
Rural proofing Rural Proofing Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	
Sustainable development Sustainable Development Impact Test guidance	No	N/A	

² Race, disability and gender Impact assessments are statutory requirements for relevant policies. Equality statutory requirements will be expanded 2011, once the Equality Bill comes into force. Statutory equality duties part of the Equality Bill apply to GB only. The Toolkit provides advice on statutory equality duties for public authorities with a remit in Northern Ireland.

Evidence Base (for summary sheets) – Notes

Use this space to set out the relevant references, evidence, analysis and detailed narrative from which you have generated your policy options or proposal. Please fill in **References** section.

References

Include the links to relevant legislation and publications, such as public impact assessment of earlier stages (e.g. Consultation, Final, Enactment).

No.	Legislation or publication
1	European Commission Impact Assessment on Third Legislative Package
2	Consultation on the Implementation of the EU Third Internal Energy Package
3	EU Third Package Consultation Stage Impact Assessment
4	DECC's Call for Evidence

+ Add another row

Evidence Base

Ensure that the information in this section provides clear evidence of the information provided in the summary pages of this form (recommended maximum of 30 pages). Complete the **Annual profile of monetised costs and benefits** (transition and recurring) below over the life of the preferred policy (use the spreadsheet attached if the period is longer than 10 years).

The spreadsheet also contains an emission changes table that you will need to fill in if your measure has an impact on greenhouse gas emissions.

Annual profile of monetised costs and benefits* - (£m) constant prices

	Y ₀	Y ₁	Y ₂	\mathbf{Y}_3	Y ₄	Y_5	Y ₆	Y ₇	Y ₈	Y ₉
Transition costs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual recurring cost	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total annual costs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transition benefits	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual recurring benefits	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total annual benefits	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* For non-monetised benefits please see summary pages and main evidence base section



Evidence Base (for summary sheets)

Issue

There are several requirements in the EU 3rd Package which are intended to increase the information available to consumers and therefore enable consumers to make more informed decisions when they choose tariffs and suppliers. The UK is currently not compliant with some of these requirements in that there are no formal arrangements in place and it is therefore necessary to amend Licence Conditions in order to ensure compliance.

This impact assessment will first examine the requirements relating to consumer information with which the UK is currently non-compliant, and will then discuss the options available to ensure compliance and the associated costs and benefits.

All of these measures are designed to improve the quality and quantity of the information available to consumers on both their individual consumption, consumer rights and industry processes. Greater transparency and consumer awareness is a driver of competitive energy supply markets. In the long term these measures may enable consumers to better act as a competitive constraint on suppliers' pricing and provide incentives on suppliers to reduce costs, improve service and develop innovative products.

Articles and Associated Options

Availability of consumption data

Article 3 (5b) of the Electricity Directive (ED) and 3(6) of the Gas Directive (GD) requires Member States to ensure that customers are entitled to receive all relevant consumption data. In addition, Article 1 (i) of Annex 1 of both the Electricity and Gas Directives require Member States to ensure that customers are properly informed of actual consumption and costs frequently enough to enable them to regulate their own consumption. This information must be given using a sufficient time frame which takes account of the capability of customer's metering equipment (and the electricity production in question). Due account must be taken of the cost-efficiency of such a measure. No additional costs shall be charged to consumers for that service.

In order to comply with the requirement to provide consumers with consumption data the following options have been considered, with Option 1 being our preferred Option.

- Option 1: Introduce an obligation on energy suppliers so that where a customer provides a meter reading, and provided that the supplier if satisfied that this data is reasonable, the supplier should either send an updated bill to that customer or reflect this reading in the customer's next bill (unless the next bill is due in a matter of days). This updated consumption data should also be reflected in the customer's annual statement.
- Option 2: Introduce an obligation on energy suppliers so that where a customer provides a meter reading the supplier should send an updated bill to that customer.

In addition, to implement the requirement of paragraph (h) in Annex 1, which gives a right to customers to contact their supplier to request them to pass on their consumption data to another supplier, we propose introducing a new Licence Condition to give customers a right to contact their supplier to request them to pass on their consumption and metering data to another supplier, free of charge.

Consumer rights regarding dispute settlement

Article 3(9) (c) of the Electricity Directive lays down a new requirement on Member States to ensure that information concerning consumer rights regarding the means of dispute settlement available to them are specified in or with bills and in promotional materials.

In order to comply with this requirement the following option has been considered. This is the only option considered as it is the minimum compliance option.

- Option 1: Amend Supply Licence to require energy suppliers to inform consumers that they can complain using the suppliers' complaints procedure and how they can obtain a copy. Suppliers would be required to include this information in promotional material and in or with bills.

Energy consumer checklist

Article 3(16) of the Electricity Directive and 3(12) of the Gas Directive requires energy suppliers in cooperation with the regulatory authority to take the necessary steps to provide the consumers with a copy of the energy consumer checklist and ensure that it is made publicly available.

In order to comply with this requirement the following option has been considered. This is the only option we have considered as it is the minimum compliance option.

 Option 1: Give Consumer Focus³ the lead role of compiling and maintaining the checklist in cooperation with the industry and Ofgem. Suppliers to annually send their customers a concise list of the checklist, prepared by Consumer Focus.

Record Keeping

Article 41 of the Electricity Directive and Article 45 of the Gas Directive set out a number of requirements on Member States to require energy suppliers to keep at the disposal of the national authority, the national competition authorities and the Commission, for the fulfilment of their tasks, for at least 5 years, the relevant data relating to all transactions in gas and electricity supply contracts and gas derivatives with wholesale customers and transmission systems operators as well as storage and LNG operators or any party who sells electricity/gas to the licensee.

In order to comply with this requirement the following option has been considered. This is the only option considered as it is the minimum compliance option.

- Option 1: Place a new obligation on energy suppliers to hold this information.

Preferred Option

In order to minimise the costs to energy suppliers and Ofgem we intend to implement Option 1 of all these measures which we believe is the minimum-cost option, while still achieving the benefit discussed below.

Benefits

All the measures discussed above are aimed at improving the quality and accessibility of information to consumers. While it is difficult to quantify the benefits directly, we can make a qualitative assessment of the benefits of each of the different measures.

The first measure (availability of consumption data) is intended to make consumers better aware of their consumption patterns. This should allow them to regulate their consumption more effectively. This in turn will enable consumers who take advantage of the updated bill to pick more appropriate tariffs and adjust their consumption to maximise their satisfaction. This may also enable consumers to make more informed decisions when choosing suppliers, while promoting competition in the supply market.

The second measure (consumer rights regarding dispute settlement) is intended to improve awareness of dispute settlement mechanisms and improve access to them. This should have a direct impact on consumers who wish to complain through a reduction in search costs. In addition this could potentially lead to a reduction in market power of suppliers as consumers become better aware of their rights. As industry already complies with this measure, we expect the benefit to be small.

The third measure (the consumer checklist) is intended to provide consumers with an easily accessible source of information regarding their rights as consumers. As mentioned above this could potentially lead to a reduction in market power of suppliers as consumers become better aware of their rights. There is, however, a risk that this may lead to information overload and confusion which would limit the benefits of this measure.

The fourth measure (record keeping) is intended to improve transparency in the retail market in order to facilitate access. As with the previous measures this is intended to improve information and, in turn,

³ See Footnote 1

competition in the market place. By making information available to the regulator this measure is primarily designed to aid with the prevention of abuse of market power.

The questions for a competition impact test provided by the OFT are, would the proposed policy:

- 1. Directly limit the number or range of suppliers?
- 2. Indirectly limit the number or range of suppliers?
- 3. Limit the ability of suppliers to compete?
- 4. Reduce suppliers' incentives to compete vigorously?

We do not consider that our proposals would restrict competition, therefore a full competition impact test has not been completed. However, this policy could have positive competition impacts following from more informed consumers being better able to act as a constraint on suppliers.

It is important to note that some of this information will be more accessible to consumers following the roll-out of smart meters regardless of implementation of these measures.

Costs

These measures will impose mainly administrative costs on the energy supply companies and Ofgem. Where possible we have attempted to make a quantitative assessment of the costs involved with each measure and where this has not been possible we have made a qualitative assessment of the costs involved.

Availability of consumption data

We have considered two options regarding consumption data.

- Option 1: Introduce an obligation on energy suppliers so that where a customer provides a meter reading, and provided that the supplier if satisfied that this data is reasonable, the supplier should reflect this reading in the customer's next bill (unless the next bill is due in a matter of days). This updated consumption data should also be reflected in the customer's annual statement.

Suppliers are currently required to visit customers at least once every two years, and as part of this visit a meter reading must be taken. However in practice most suppliers will visit customers much more frequently. Customers are also able to call in suppliers with their own meter readings.

Responses to the Call for Evidence and subsequent consultation have suggested that it is already standard practice within the industry to take account of consumer provided meter readings in the next bill, thus we would not expect this option to have any additional costs associated with it. However, there will be some additional costs for including updated meter readings in the annual statement.

- Option 2: Introduce an obligation on energy suppliers so that where a customer provides a meter reading the supplier should send an updated bill to that customer.

The direct cost associated with this measure would be on suppliers due to the re-issuing of bills. Information from suppliers has indicated that this option may cost suppliers in the order of several million pounds per year.

As Option 1 leads to similar benefits as Option 2, but at a lower cost to suppliers, this is our preferred option.

In addition, in order to implement the requirement of paragraph (h) in Annex 1, which gives a right to customers to contact their supplier to request them to pass on their consumption data to another supplier, we propose introducing a new Licence Condition to give customers a right to contact their supplier to request them to pass on their consumption and metering data to another supplier, free of charge. While we are unsure at this stage how this would work in practice and the specific costs and scale of the costs involved, overall we expect that there will be some benefits to consumers by being offered tariffs by perspective suppliers; we expect that this measure will also increase competition between the suppliers.

Consumer rights regarding dispute settlement

We have considered only one option regarding dispute settlement procedures.

- Option 1: Amend Supply Licence to require energy suppliers to inform consumers that they can complain using the suppliers' complaints procedure and how they can obtain a copy. Suppliers would be required to include this information in promotional material and in or with bills.

This option should have limited impact on suppliers as some of the information is already provided on promotional material.

There may also be an indirect effect due to a greater number of consumers utilising the dispute mechanism process as a result. However it is difficult to quantify the costs of this, as we are unable to estimate how many additional customers may use the process.

Energy consumer checklist

We have considered only one option regarding the energy consumer checklist.

- Option 1: Give Consumer Focus⁴ the lead role of compiling and maintaining the checklist in cooperation with the industry and Ofgem. Industry will be required to provide consumers with a copy of the checklist.

In addition, there will be a one-time small cost to Consumer Focus for compiling the checklist, and an ongoing cost of maintaining it. There may be some small costs to industry and Ofgem associated with co-operating with Consumer Focus on the compilation of the list. Ofgem estimates that its costs of cooperating with Consumer Focus on this will be minimal.

There may also be costs associated with providing the consumer checklist to customers. We assume that this will be done as part of billing; however there will be additional costs associated with designing, printing and mailing the checklist.

Record Keeping

We have considered only one option regarding record keeping.

- Option: Place a new obligation on energy suppliers to hold this information.

The main cost of this measure will fall on suppliers. There will be a one-time cost for setting up the databases, along with ongoing costs for maintaining them. The Commission will provide more information on how companies will be required to keep the data. It is possible, therefore, that as a result suppliers may have to create new systems which would potentially be quite costly.

Given this uncertainty, regarding the need to adapt systems, it is difficult to estimate a cost. However using evidence from an earlier published Impact Assessment (2008) regarding the provision of historic consumption data on bills we can set out what we believe to be an upper limit on those costs. In 2010 prices the one-off cost presented for bill and system re-design are £9.8 million. The proposed system changes in this case are expected to be significantly less complex, and it is possible that a number of suppliers already hold the data. Therefore the additional costs would be only borne by a proportion of suppliers. As a working assumption for this Impact Assessment we are assuming an upper bound of no more than £2.5 million.

This measure could potentially impose a significant administrative burden on suppliers, depending on the number of data requests made by Ofgem, the competition authorities and the Commission.

Information to be included in contracts with customers

We have considered only one option on the measure regarding information to be included in contracts with customers.

Option 1: Amend the Supply Licence to ensure the matters specified in paragraph 1 (a) of Annex 1 are always explicitly addressed on the face of the contract.

We expect there will be some cost to suppliers for having to include this information in contracts with customers.

⁴ See Footnote 1

Human Rights

To the extent that human rights may be engaged, we consider the approach to be compatible with the Human Rights Act 1998

Statutory Equalities Duties

The policy does not make a specific reference to how blind people or other groups that are not able to access standard billing information will be treated. We consider that the Supply Licence Conditions 26.2 and 26.3 requiring the licensee (the supplier) to provide information free of charge, which enables blind, partially sighted, deaf or hearing impaired people to ask or complain about any bill or statement of account or any other service provided to that consumer, addresses these concerns.

Justice System

The Third Package is broadening the scope of obligations on gas and electricity undertakings and hence Ofgem's enforcement regime. As part of this regime, we are extending the scope of civil and criminal offences therefore there is a likely impact on courts' resources.

Annexes

Annex 1: Post Implementation Review (PIR) Plan

Please refer to the overarching impact assessment.

Basis of the review:
Review objective:
Review approach and rationale:
Baseline:
Success criteria:
Monitoring information arrangements:
Reasons for not planning a PIR: