

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk]
Sent: 02 March 2011 15:29
To: Electricity Market Reform
Subject: Wind Turbines

Having battled against a wind turbine industrial plant on land, using farmland, for the last decade, i would like to submit that I totally oppose these vast wasteful machines. They are funded by UK government taxes on conventional fossil fuels, so funded by the unfortunate consumer of electricity, who in times of high oil prices, is being severely harmed by these extra prices. Further, their attraction to land owners is that they provide a much more lucrative crop to the farmers on whose land they have been built than barley. They appear corrupt, with a split of the government tax on fossil fuels being split between operators of the wind plants, hence their attraction. They can generate vast profits not from electricity they generate, but rather from subsidies. This is patently wrong.

Wind is inconstant, and the cost of building these monstrosities together with their energy consumption during their period of construction appears to belittle any so-called carbon gains. They are not economic due to the necessity of back-up from conventional power plants when the wind is too weak or too strong.

There has been little work done on sub-sound noises created by the turbines, save that in Denmark, further on shore development has been banned due to concerns over this.

Equally, it seems clear they create a constant risk to bird life, both in terms of collisions and acting as vast scare-crows. To force them on the UK is tantamount to the same types of policies inflicted by Russia by the Soviet Union. Large ugly factories and chimneys in otherwise beautiful natural settings. I have seen too many in Russia to mention.

An obvious way out is nuclear power, which appears a much better way to go, or to forge better international relations with oil producing countries such as Russia. I myself have worked in Russia for 5 years and find the scare stories about Russia that appear in the Press laughable, and consistent with the propaganda released about rapes of nuns in WWI. Scaremongering and nothing more.

Finally, the data concerning 'Climate Change' from the UEA appears flawed and deliberately produced to mislead the Public into supporting the 'Global Warming' lobby, the name of which has been surreptitiously changed to'

[REDACTED]

Climate Change'

as better data has appeared. This appears mere propaganda. Having been an archaeologist in my time, I know only too well about the Wurm Interstadials, and the total lack of any impact of man on prior eras of change such as that which created the North Sea some 8,000 years ago. We were not driving cars to Germany over the North Sea plain at that time, but we still have 80 miles of sea between Norfolk and Germany!

The UK needs to rethink its energy policy and confine wind turbines to the same dustbin as flawed social housing policies based on high-rise that were so lauded in the 1960s, and now being physically torn down.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.