1. Please tell us about yourself

Name: - [redacted]

Company: - WH Smith plc

Address 1: - [redacted]

City/Town: - [redacted]

State/Province: - [redacted]

ZIP/Postal Code: - [redacted]

Country: - [redacted]

Email Address: - [redacted]

Phone Number: - [redacted]

2. Which role best describes you?

Large business (over 250 staff)

3. Do you agree with the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010?

Yes

In principle, we agree but it will be very difficult to police sales.

4. Are there any other consequences to the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010 not outlined in this document?

Unlike other age-restricted products (where there are clear identity requirements, particularly for 16+, 18+ and 21+ rated products), there is no obvious way for a 12 year old to prove their age because there is no recognised identity card). This will make the sale of christmas crackers very difficult to police for in-store sales assistants. Additionally, store staff will be vulnerable to challenges by Trading Standards Officers.

5. What benefits will the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010 have? Can you quantify these?

Potential increase in Christmas cracker sales (although not at all guaranteed).

6. Are there any costs for business that have not been anticipated as a result of the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010? Can you quantify these?

Increased training for sales assistants and extra vigilance required for christmas card sales.

7. Do you agree with the revocation of the Bunk Beds (Entrapment Hazards) (Safety) Regulations 1987?

Yes

11. Do you agree with the revocation of the Children's Clothing (Hood Cords) Regulations 1976?

Yes

15. Do you agree with the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993?

Yes

16. Are there any other consequences to the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993 not outlined in this document?

N/A

17. What benefits will the revocation of Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993 have? Can you quantify these?

N/A

18. Are there any costs for business that have not been anticipated as a result of the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993? Can you quantify these?

N/A

19. Do you agree with the revocation of the Pencils and Graphic Instruments (Safety) Regulations 1998?

No

This will not reduce the certification burden for retailers.

20. Are there any other consequences to the revocation of the Pencils and Graphic Instruments (Safety) Regulations 1998 not outlined in this document?

Revocation of of this Regulations would mean that under the General Product Safety Regulations 1995 we would need to have certification to EN71 part 3 which is a more onerous test and it could be that some products which pass the current Regulations would fail EN71 part 3. So again while removing a regulation appears to be an advantage it will not make it any easier as we will still have to get certification just to a different standard.

21. What benefits will the revocation of Pencils and Graphic Instruments (Safety) Regulations 1998 have? Can you quantify these?

n/a

22. Are there any costs for business that have not been anticipated as a result of the revocation of the Pencils and Graphic Instruments (Safety) Regulations 1998? Can you quantify these?

Potentially increased costs in meeting EN71 part 3 certification.

53. Do you agree with the removal of the Magnetic Toys (Safety) (Revocation) Regulations 2009 from the statute book?

No

There will not be the labelling requirements for magnetics used in toy products (even though the magnetics themselves must comply with the requirements of EN71 part 1).

56. At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be okay if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?

Yes