

# Climate Change Agreements - Delivering simplification in the new scheme – March 2012

# Response Form

You may respond to this consultation by email or by post.

Please note that if you are accessing this document electronically you will only be able to enter text in the response fields.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent Details** | |
| Name |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Address |  |
| Town/City |  |
| Postcode |  |
| Telephone |  |
| E-mail |  |
| Fax |  |

Tick this box if you are requesting non-disclosure of your response.

|  |
| --- |
| **Please Return by Friday 25th May 2012 to:** |
| Climate Change Agreements Team  Department of Energy and Climate Change  Area 1A  3-8 Whitehall Place  London  SW1A 2AW  You can also submit this form by email:  [ccaconsultation@decc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:ccaconsultation@decc.gsi.gov.uk) |

# Consultation Questions

**Section 1. Revised Agreements**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 1** | |
|  | Are there any unintended consequences as a result of the proposal to terminate for a failure to meet targets? If yes, please provide evidence to substantiate your views. |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 2** | |
|  | Are there any unintended consequences as a result of the revised agreements for the CCA scheme, including the Rules? If yes, please provide evidence to substantiate your views. |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

**Section 2. Eligibility Regulations**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 3** | |
|  | Are there any unintended consequences as a result of the draft Eligibility Regulations ? If yes, please provide evidence to substantiate your views. |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

**Section 3. Closure of UK Emissions Trading Registry**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 4** | |
|  | Are there any unintended consequences of the scheme to close the UK ETR on 30 June 2012? If yes, please provide evidence to substantiate your views. |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

**Section 4. Administrative Charges-Further Proposals**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 5** | |
|  | Which of the two new administration charging proposals do you prefer? Please provide evidence to substantiate your views (where appropriate). |
| **Response** | Option 1 Option 2 Neither  Other suggestion |
| **Reasoning** |  |

**Section 5. Energy and Carbon Accounting Proposals**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 6** | |
|  | Do you have any other kinds of feedstock that you use which should be added to the list in the table above? |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 7** | |
|  | Do you foresee any problems with this approach? Please provide evidence to substantiate your views (where appropriate). |
| **Response** | Yes No Not Sure |
| **Reasoning** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation Question 8** | |
|  | Which option do you prefer? Please provide evidence to substantiate your views (where appropriate). |
| **Response** | Option 1 Option 2 Option 3 None Other suggestion |
| **Reasoning** |  |