DEVOLUTION OF FUNDING FOR LOCAL MAJOR TRANSPORT SCHEMES: INDICATIVE FUNDING

In September 2012, following a period of consultation, the Department for Transport set out its firm proposals for the devolution of funding for local major transport schemes from 2015.

Since then we have, as promised, provided detailed guidance for Local Transport Bodies (LTBs) on the setting up of local assurance frameworks, including a set of minimum requirements to be met before funding is devolved.

We also invited local stakeholders to agree locally the preferred geographical basis for LTBs, so that we could then provide each with an indicative population based funding level, which would inform the development of their scheme programmes. This document includes details of those indicative funding figures.

These are purely assumptions to be used for planning purposes. They are based on the total amount of funding for local major schemes in the 2010 Spending Review, distributed between LTBs on the basis of population (2017 forecast). The actual allocations will not be determined until further spending rounds within Government. Accordingly, we are advising all LTBs to make contingency provision in their programmes for actual budget levels to be one third higher or lower than their indicative figure.

In the majority of areas there has been local agreement on LTB boundaries. However, in a small number of areas, where Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) boundaries overlap, local partners were unable to agree the LTB boundaries between themselves. These are the boundaries between:

- the Derby, Derbyshire, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire LTB and the Sheffield City Region LTB.
- the Greater Birmingham and Solihull LTB and the Stoke and Staffordshire LTB
- the Greater Birmingham and Solihull LTB and the Worcestershire LTB

The Department has decided to provide indicative funding figures based on an appropriate share of the population of the overlapping areas taking account of travel to work patterns, which is detailed at the end of this document.

We will expect the above LTBs to develop a sensible approach to prioritisation in the overlapping areas, and to include this in their assurance frameworks. The way we have calculated indicative funding allocations should not prejudice any decisions that may be taken on LTB *membership*.

In these and other areas, we will need to be responsive to evolving agendas, particularly in relation to the Government's response to the Heseltine Review, the emergence of City Deals and the move in some areas towards Combined

Authorities. These may, in time, inform the refinement and updating of LTB boundaries, which the Department would be open minded towards. However the planning for new schemes and programmes cannot be delayed if we are to maintain the momentum of new infrastructure that is to vital to the economy.

We therefore expect all LTBs to maintain momentum and get on with developing their assurance frameworks and provisional programmes of schemes, which we have asked them to complete by February and July 2013 respectively.

Department for Transport January 2013

INDICATIVE FUNDING LEVELS

Indicative funding levels for a four year period from 2015/16, based on £1.1bn (the current SR10 baseline of £1.5bn less commitments carrying forward from this SR period) allocated per capita, according to 2017 population estimates.

LTBs will be asked to contingency plan for one third above or below this figure when putting forward provisional programmes.

Local Transport Body (in most cases this is the name of the LEP on whom the LTB geography is based, not necessarily the name the LTB will be known by)	Geographical coverage	Indicative funding from 2015/16 to 2018/19 (£m)
BLACK COUNTRY	Dudley, Sandwell, Walsall, Wolverhampton	27.6
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE THAMES VALLEY	Buckinghamshire	12.4
CHESHIRE AND WARRINGTON	Cheshire East, Cheshire West and Chester, Warrington	21.8
COAST TO CAPITAL	Surrey (part), West Sussex, Brighton and Hove	36.3
CORNWALL & THE ISLES OF SCILLY	Cornwall, Isles of Scilly	13.3
COVENTRY & WARWICKSHIRE	Coventry, Warwickshire	21.5
CUMBRIA	Cumbria	11.8
DERBY, DERBYSHIRE, NOTTINGHAM & NOTTINGHAMSHIRE	for local determination	46.8
DORSET	Dorset, Poole, Bournemouth	18.3
ENTERPRISE M3	Surrey (part), and Hampshire (part)	36.4
GLOUCESTERSHIRE	Gloucestershire	14.7
GREATER BIRMINGHAM AND SOLIHULL	for local determination	35.8
GREATER CAMBRIDGE AND PETERBOROUGH	Cambridgeshire, Peterborough and Rutland	21.1
GREATER LINCOLNSHIRE	Lincolnshire	17.9
* GREATER MANCHESTER	Greater Manchester metropolitan area	65.4
HEART OF THE SOUTH WEST	Devon, Somerset, Plymouth, Torbay	40.6
HERTFORDSHIRE	Hertfordshire	27.8
HUMBER	East Riding, Hull, North Lincs and North East Lincs	22.2
LANCASHIRE	Lancashire, Blackpool and	35.1

	Blackburn with Darwen	
LEICESTER AND	Leicester and Leicestershire	24.1
LEICESTERSHIRE		
LIVERPOOL CITY REGION	Merseyside metropolitan area	35.5
	and Halton	
THE MARCHES	Shropshire, Herefordshire,	16.0
	Telford and Wrekin	
NEW ANGLIA	Norfolk, Suffolk	39.0
NORTH EASTERN	Northumberland, Durham and	46.7
	the Tyne & Wear metropolitan	
	area	
NORTH YORKSHIRE	North Yorkshire	14.4
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	Northamptonshire	17.4
	•	
OXFORDSHIRE	Oxfordshire	15.9
* SHEFFIELD CITY	for local determination	37.3
REGION/SOUTH YORKSHIRE		5.15
SOLENT	Southampton, Portsmouth,	28.8
	Hampshire (part), Isle of Wight	
SOUTH EAST	Essex, Kent, East Sussex,	98.9
	Southend on Sea, Thurrock,	
	Medway	
SOUTH EAST MIDLANDS	Luton, Bedford, Central	22.1
	Bedfordshire, Milton Keynes	
STOKE-ON-TRENT AND	for local determination	24.4
STAFFORDSHIRE	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
SWINDON & WILTSHIRE	Swindon, Wiltshire	16.9
TEES VALLEY	Darlington, Hartlepool, Stockton	15.9
	on Tees, Middlesbrough and	
THAMES VALLEY BERKSHIRE	Redcar and Cleveland	04.7
I THANIES VALLET BERNSHIKE	Wokingham, Windsor, Bracknell Forest, Slough, Reading, West	21.7
	Berkshire	
* WEST OF ENGLAND	Bristol, South Gloucestershire,	26.7
WEST OF ENGLAND	Bath and North East Somerset,	20.7
	North Somerset	
* WEST YORKSHIRE + YORK	West Yorkshire Metropolitan	60.0
	area and the City of York (non	· ·
	contiguous)	
WORCESTERSHIRE	for local determination	11.5

^{*} These areas will receive 10 year allocations as agreed in their city deals. The four-year indicative figure is included in the above table for comparison with other areas. The 10-year indicative figures are set out below. The figures for years 5 to 10 inclusive has been based on a national total of £1.5bn.

	Indicative funding from 2015/16 to
	2024/25 (£m)
GREATER MANCHESTER	199.3
WEST YORKSHIRE + YORK	182.8
SHEFFIELD CITY REGION/SOUTH YORKSHIRE	113.6
WEST OF ENGLAND	81.4

CALCULATION OF FUNDING LEVELS FOR LTBS WHOSE BOUNDARIES HAVE NOT BEEN LOCALLY AGREED

SHEFFIELD CITY REGION/SOUTH YORKSHIRE LTB and DERBY, DERBYSHIRE, NOTTINGHAM AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE

The overlapping area between these two LEPs includes the Derbyshire districts of North East Derbyshire, Bolsover and Chesterfield and the Nottinghamshire district of Bassetlaw. The Travel to Work data does not indicate that this overlapping area has a significantly stronger relationship with one LEP than the other.

We have therefore calculated the allocations for these two LTBs on the basis of a 50/50 split of the population of the overlapping area.

However we are conscious that the Sheffield City Region's Governance Review and plans for a Combined Authority will have a bearing on the LTB geography. We will therefore expect the local partners to propose definitive LTB boundaries once the Governance Review is complete, following which revised allocations will be set accordingly.

Area ENGLAND (EXCLUDING LONDON)	Population (2017 estimate) 46,996,600
	1,392,000
(a) South Yorkshire Metropolitan area	
Bolsover	78,500
Chesterfield	105,600
North East Derbyshire	101,500
Bassetlaw	117,000
Sub-Total	402,600
(b) 50% of above	201,300
Total Population counting towards indicative	1,593,300
funding level (a) + (b)	(3.39% of national total)
Total Indicative Funding for Sheffield/South Yorkshire LTB	
(3.39% of £1.1bn)	£37.3m

Area ENGLAND (EXCLUDING LONDON)	Population (2017 estimate) 46,996,600
Derby	265,000
Nottingham	320,000

Amber Valley	127,100
Derbyshire Dales	72,200
Erewash	115,000
High Peak	95,100
South Derbyshire	102,600
Ashfield	124,100
Broxtowe	114,800
Gedling	117,600
Mansfield	107,700
Newark and Sherwood	120,400
Rushcliffe	117,300
(a) Sub-Total	1,798,900
Bolsover	78,500
Chesterfield	105,600
North East Derbyshire	101,500
Bassetlaw	117,000
Sub-Total	402,600
(b) 50% of above	201,300
Total Population counting towards indicative	2,000,200
funding level (a) + (b)	(4.26% of national total)
Total Indicative Funding for D2N2 LTB	

£46.8m (4.26% of £1.1bn)

We considered the economic relationships within the areas of the Greater Birmingham and Solihull LEP that overlap with the Stoke-On-Trent and Staffordshire LEP and with the Worcestershire LEP. Of the seven districts in these overlap areas, travel to work data suggests that Birmingham has a significantly stronger pull on Lichfield, Tamworth, Bromsgrove and Redditch than on the other three (East Staffordshire, Cannock Chase and Wyre Forest). We have therefore decided to calculate the indicative funding level for Greater Birmingham and Solihull to take account of 50% of the population of these four districts. The allocations for the LTBs involved has therefore been calculated as follows.

STOKE-ON-TRENT AND STAFFORDSHIRE LTB

Area ENGLAND (EXCLUDING LONDON)	Population (2017 estimate) 46,996,600
Stoke-on-Trent	256,500
Newcastle-under-Lyme	128,100
South Staffordshire	110,200
Stafford	135,600
Staffordshire Moorlands	99,200
Cannock Chase	99,500

East Staffordshire (a) Sub-Total	119,800 948,900
Lichfield Tamworth Sub-Total (b) 50% of above	105,700 80,000 185,700 92,850
Total Population counting towards indicative funding level (a) + (b)	1,041,750 (2.22% of national total)
Total Indicative Funding for Stoke-On-Trent and Staffordshire LTB (2.22% of £1.1bn)	£24.4m
WORCESTERSHIRE LTB	
Area	Population (2017 estimate)
ENGLAND (EXCLUDING LONDON)	46,996,600
Wyre Forest Malvern Hills Worcester Wychavon (a) Sub-Total	46,996,600 100,200 77,300 100,400 121,100 399,000
Wyre Forest Malvern Hills Worcester Wychavon	100,200 77,300 100,400 121,100
Wyre Forest Malvern Hills Worcester Wychavon (a) Sub-Total Bromsgrove Redditch Sub-Total	100,200 77,300 100,400 121,100 399,000 97,000 86,500 183,500

GREATER BIRMINGHAM AND SOLIHULL LTB

Area ENGLAND (EXCLUDING LONDON)	Population (2017 estimate) 46,996,600
Birmingham	1,129,600
Solihull	215,200
(a) Sub-Total	1,344,800
Lichfield	105,700
Tamworth	80,000
Sub-Total	185,700

(b) 50% of above	92,850
Bromsgrove Redditch Sub-Total (c) 50% of above	97,000 86,500 183,500 91,750
Total Population counting towards indicative funding level (a) + (b) + (c)	1,529,400 (3.25% of national total)
Total Indicative Funding for Greater Birmingham and Solihull LTB (3.25% of £1.1bn)	£35.8m