

Annex B: Consultation response form

PART 1 — Information about you

Name	
Address	
Postcode	
Email	
Company Name or Organisation (if applicable)	
Please tick one box from the list below that best describes you /your company or organisation.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small to Medium Enterprise (up to 50 employees)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Large Company
<input type="checkbox"/>	Representative Organisation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Trade Union
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interest Group
<input type="checkbox"/>	Local Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Central Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Police
<input type="checkbox"/>	Member of the public
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please describe):
If you are responding on behalf of an organisation or interest group how many members do you have and how did you obtain the views of your members:	
If you would like your response or personal details to be treated confidentially please explain why:	

PART 2 — Your Comments

1. Do you have any comments on our analysis of the three proposed approaches?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

2. Do you have any additional evidence you would like to share with the Department?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

3. Do you agree with our proposal to do the minimum necessary to implement the FQD now whilst continuing to improve our evidence base?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

4. What are the potential impacts of pursuing approach C on the resilience and security of supply of the UK market?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

5. Do you agree with our proposal to mirror the RTFO approach in determining who is an obligated supplier?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

6. Would the application of the same minimum threshold to both the RED and FQD significantly reduce the burden on the industry?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

7. Would the introduction of a minimum threshold set at 450,000 litres introduce any significant perverse impacts?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

8. Would the introduction of a minimum threshold set at 10,000,000 litres introduce any significant perverse impacts?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

9. Do you agree with our proposal to obligate GHG reductions for all road-grade diesels and all low-sulphur gas oil?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

10. Do you agree with our proposals regarding partially renewable fuels?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

11. Does our proposed approach to biofuels produced from non-biodegradable feedstocks present any significant difficulties?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

12. Do you agree with our proposed approach for the accounting of unsustainable biofuel?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

13. Do you agree that the International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 limited assurance standard is a suitable standard for verification under the proposed scheme?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

14. Are there any other assurance standards that we should consider?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

15. Do you foresee any difficulties in verifying data (including volumes of fuel/energy supplied, sustainability data, GHG intensity)?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

16. Do you support the proposal for the FQD GHG Regulations to come into force on the same date as the amended RTFO comes into force?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
---	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		
---	--	--

17. Do you agree that the Impact Assessment correctly identifies the likely economic impacts?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
---	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		
---	--	--

18. Do you have any further evidence you would like the Department to consider in relation to the Impact Assessment?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
--	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		
---	--	--