



SENIOR TRAFFIC COMMISSIONER

Statutory Document No.12

APPEALS

This document is issued pursuant to section 4C of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981 (as amended). Representative organisations have been consulted in accordance with that provision.	
Commencement:	4.12.11
Contents:	Page
GUIDANCE	2
Review of decisions	2 – 3
Rights of appeal	3 – 7
Case law	7 – 9
DIRECTIONS	10
Vocational driver appeals	10
Appeals to the Upper Tribunal	10 – 11
Appeal documents	12 – 13
Appeals – general principles	13 – 14
Annex 1 – Preparing Appeal Bundles	15 – 16
Annex 2 – Upper Tribunal Checklist	17
Annex 3 – EU regulations	18
Issued:	

Senior Traffic Commissioner

4 December 2011

GUIDANCE

1. The Senior Traffic Commissioner for Great Britain issues the following Guidance under section 4C(1) of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981.
2. A licence holder, transport manager, applicant or statutory objector who is dissatisfied with a traffic commissioner's decision can appeal to the Administrative Appeals Chamber of the Upper Tier Tribunal. The appeal form is available from the relevant Office of the Traffic Commissioner (OTC) or the Upper Tribunal website: www.tribunals.gov.uk. The appeal must be received within one month of the traffic commissioner's decision being published in "Applications and Decisions" or "Notices and Proceedings". If the decision is not published within the 21 days of it being made known to the appellant the appeal must be lodged (received by the Tribunal) no later than 49 days after the date on which the appellant received the notification of decision.
3. Vocational drivers who wish to appeal against a traffic commissioner's decision in respect of their licence or licence application must appeal to their local Magistrates' or Sheriff's Court. An appeal must be lodged within 6 months of the date on which the driver is notified of the decision, and the driver is required to give prior notice in writing of the intention to appeal.

Review of decisions – Goods cases

4. Section 36 of the Goods Vehicles (Licensing of Operators) Act 1995 allows a traffic commissioner to review and, as he/she thinks fit, vary or revoke any decision to grant or refuse an application for an operator's licence, or a variation application, if the traffic commissioner is satisfied that a procedural requirement has not been complied with.
5. The traffic commissioner can only conduct a review if:
 - notice is given to the applicant or operator of the intention to review the decision;
 - a person who appears to have an interest in the decision has requested a review of the decision; or
 - the traffic commissioner considers there to be exceptional circumstances that justify the review.
6. Regulation 34 of the Goods Vehicles (Licensing of Operators) Regulations 1995 provides that the period for service of notice of review on the grounds of procedural irregularity is two months.
7. Section 37(6) of the 1995 Act provides that a person who within that prescribed period and who has been certified by the traffic commissioner as having an interest in the decision has asked for a review may appeal to the Upper Tribunal against a refusal of that application.¹

¹ 2011/006 re: Mr & Mrs Nguntu (Representors) – Speedcrete CP Ltd

Review of decisions – Passenger cases

8. Section 49A of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981 allows a traffic commissioner to review and, if he/she thinks fit, vary or revoke any decision to grant or refuse an application or variation, if the traffic commissioner is satisfied that a procedural requirement has not been complied with.
9. The traffic commissioner can only conduct a review if:
 - notice is given to the applicant or operator of the intention to review the decision;
 - a person who appears to have an interest in the decision has requested a review of the decision; or
 - the traffic commissioner considers there to be exceptional circumstances that justify the review.
10. Section 56A of the 1981 Act also allows a traffic commissioner to issue a corrected document or a notice in writing that the document is to have effect with such corrections as are stated in that notice, where it appears to the traffic commissioner that a document purporting to record, or issued in consequence of, a decision taken contains a clerical error.

Rights of appeal – Goods cases

11. Section 37 of the Goods Vehicles (Licensing of Operators) Act 1995 gives an applicant for a licence or a variation the right of appeal to the Administrative Appeals Chamber of the Upper Tribunal against the refusal of an application or variation.
12. The holder of an operator's licence may appeal to the Tribunal against any direction given under sections 5(9); 26(1), (2), (6); 27(1), 31 or 32 of the 1995 Act in respect of the licence. A person who is disqualified from holding or obtaining a licence under section 28 may also appeal. The Road Transport Operator Regulations 2011 now give a transport manager a right of appeal to the Upper Tribunal against an order made in respect of repute and/or professional competence. This right of appeal is set out in Paragraph 16(4) of Schedule 3 of the 1995 Act Paragraph 16(5) also allows the traffic commissioner to stay that order pending appeal and if refused the appellant may request the Upper Tribunal to stay that order.
13. Section 37(5) of the 1995 Act gives an objector a right of appeal against the grant of an application or variation² and section 37(6) gives a right of appeal to a person who has applied for a review under section 36, as above, and has been certified by the traffic commissioner as a person with an interest in the decision.

² See Statutory Guidance and Statutory Directions on Operating Centres

14. In the above provisions “operator’s licence” does not include an interim licence issued under section 24.

Rights of appeal – Passenger cases

15. Section 50(1) of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981 gives an applicant for the grant for a licence the right of appeal to the Administrative Appeals Chamber of the Upper Tribunal against a decision to refuse to grant the licence or to attach any condition to the licence. Section 50(3) gives a statutory objector³ a right of appeal against the grant of a licence.
16. The Road Transport Operator Regulations 2011 now give a transport manager a right of appeal to the Upper Tribunal against an order made in respect of repute and/or professional competence. This right of appeal is set out in Paragraph 7B(4) of Schedule 3 of the 1981 Act. Paragraph 7B(5) also allows the traffic commissioner to stay that order pending appeal and if refused the appellant may request the Upper Tribunal to stay that order.
17. Under section 50(4) of the 1981 Act the holder of a public service vehicle (PSV) operator’s licence may appeal against any decision to:
- refuse an application to vary or remove any condition or undertaking;
 - vary any condition, or to attach a new condition to the licence; or
 - revoke or suspend the licence.
18. Section 50(4A) gives a right of appeal to a person who has applied for a review under section 49A, as above, and has been certified by the traffic commissioner as a person with an interest in the decision. A person who is disqualified from holding or obtaining a licence under section 28 may also appeal under section 50(5).

Rights of appeal – Traffic Regulation Conditions

19. There is no right of appeal to the Upper Tribunal regarding conditions attached to a PSV operator’s licence under section 8 of the Transport Act 1985 regarding the making of or the enforcement of traffic regulation conditions.
20. Instead section 9 of the Transport Act 1985 provides that any person to whom Section 9(2) below applies may appeal to the Secretary of State against –
- (a) the determination, variation or revocation of any traffic regulation conditions under section 7 of the Transport Act 1985 Act; or
 - (b) the refusal by a traffic commissioner to comply with a request duly made under that section to determine, vary or revoke any such conditions.

The persons to whom this subsection applies are –

- (a) in relation to any determination, variation or revocation of conditions –

³ As immediately above.

- (i) any person who has registered under section 6 of the 1981 Act a local service which is, or is likely to be, affected by them; and
- (ii) any traffic authority aggrieved by the decision in question; and

(b) in relation to any refusal to comply with a request, the person making the request.

On the determination of an appeal the Secretary of State may confirm, vary or reverse the decision appealed against and may give such directions as he thinks fit to the traffic commissioner for giving effect to his decision.

An appeal lies at the instance of any persons mentioned below on any point of law arising from a decision of the Secretary of State on an appeal under this section –

- (a) to the High Court, where the area of the traffic commissioner concerned is in England or Wales; and
- (b) to the Court of Session, where it is in Scotland.

The persons who may appeal against any such decision of the Secretary of State are –

- (a) the person who appealed to him;
- (b) any person who had a right to appeal to him against the relevant decision of the traffic commissioner but did not exercise that right;
- (c) any traffic authority aggrieved by the decision; and
- (d) the traffic commissioner whose decision was appealed against.

If on an appeal under subsection (5) above the High Court or Court of Session is of the opinion that the decision appealed against was erroneous in point of law, it shall remit the matter to the Secretary of State with the opinion of the court for rehearing and determination by him.

No appeal to the Court of Appeal may be brought from a decision of the High Court except with the leave of the High Court or the Court of Appeal.

An appeal shall lie, with the leave of the Court of Session or the House of Lords, from any decision of the Court of Session; and such leave may be given on such terms as to costs, expenses, or otherwise as the Court of Session or the House of Lords determine.

The term “traffic authority” has the same meaning as in section 7 of the 1981 Act.

Rights of Appeal – Operating Centres

- 21.** Only an operator or statutory objector can appeal to the Upper Tribunal against the decision of a traffic commissioner. Representors have no right of appeal in this way but will be informed and have 14 days from the date of notification to

apply to the Upper Tribunal to be made party to the appeal. Whilst representors cannot initiate an appeal to the Upper Tribunal they can apply to the High Court for a judicial review of the traffic commissioner's decision.

22. Only the operator has a right of appeal against any decision made on review and then only if conditions have been varied or placed on the licence or if an operating centre has been removed. Complainants may challenge the traffic commissioner's decision on whether to conduct a review and a decision upon review by seeking a judicial review of that decision through the High Court or Court of Session.

Rights of Appeal – Stays and General Provisions

23. Any subsequent appeal to the tribunal that results in an application for a 'stay' must be submitted immediately to the traffic commissioner who presided over the case. The decision of the traffic commissioner will be communicated to the appellant immediately⁴.
24. The Upper Tribunal has jurisdiction to judicially review decisions of First Tier Tribunals England and Wales: (a) where there is a procedural decision of a First-tier Tribunal which has no right of appeal and (b) in Criminal Injuries Compensation Appeals decided by the First Tier Tribunal
25. Traffic commissioners, however, whilst sitting as tribunals, do not sit within the First Tier but cases may be transferred to the Administrative Appeals Chamber, as part of the Upper Tribunal, from the High Court.

Rights of Appeal - Vocational Licence Holders

26. Part IV of the Road Traffic Act 1988 (as amended) gives traffic commissioners the responsibility to consider, based on their conduct, the suitability of drivers who hold, or apply to hold, LGV and/or PCV licences. In the case of LGV holders or applicants "conduct" refers only to conduct as a driver of a motor vehicle, whilst for PCV holders or applicants it also refers to any other matter relevant to the holding of a PCV licence.
27. The Road Traffic Act 1988 gives the holder or an applicant for a heavy goods vehicle or passenger carrying vehicle driver's licence the right to be heard at a conduct hearing before a traffic commissioner who acts on behalf of the Secretary of State.
28. There is a subsequent right of appeal against the following decisions of the traffic commissioner:
 - a refusal or failure to grant such a licence, or
 - the imposition of any limitation on such a licence, or
 - the suspension or revocation of such a licence, or
 - an order of disqualification under section 114 (1) of the Act,

⁴ See Statutory Guidance and Statutory Directions on Case Management with regard to stays.

29. The appeal is to a Magistrates' or Sheriff's Court depending on where the appellant lives. On considering that appeal the court or sheriff may make such order as it or he or she thinks fit.

Case Law

30. This Guidance may be subject to decisions of the higher courts and to subsequent legislation. The Senior Traffic Commissioner has not sought to set out in this Statutory Guidance all of the case law relevant to the hearing of appeals by the Upper Tribunal. The Senior Traffic Commissioner, however, has extracted the following principles:
31. A traffic commissioner has not in the past been considered a party to proceedings on appeal from his or her own decision⁵. The previous rule 14 of the Transport Tribunal Rules expressly excluded traffic commissioners from becoming a party to an appeal at the Transport Tribunal. Following the transfer of functions to the Upper Tribunal by virtue of the Transfer of Functions (Transport Tribunal and Appeal Panel) Order 2009 appeals against traffic commissioner decisions are now governed by the Tribunal Procedure (Upper Tribunal) Rules 2008. Whilst a traffic commissioner is not automatically the respondent under the Upper Tribunal Rules, rule 1(3) allows a person to become "a party" if substituted or added as an interested party under rule 9 (substitution and addition of parties). Rule 33 also provides the right to make representations and rule 35 the entitlement to attend a hearing as set out in the Schedule.
32. The appeal cases are clear that, like any other tribunal, traffic commissioners must comply with the Article 6 right to a fair hearing in deciding issues of civil law⁶.
33. The relevant sections give the grounds for appeal and a warning does not constitute a direction within the terms of any of the legislation mentioned above. It follows that the Upper Tribunal has no jurisdiction to hear the appeal. The legislation makes no provision for warnings and these may therefore be issued without first holding a public inquiry⁷.
34. This approach might be contrasted with the Upper Tribunal's approach in respect of decisions on late payments for instance under section 45(5) of the Goods Vehicle (Licensing of Operators) Act 1995 where it is said that the right of appeal to the Upper Tribunal is implicit from the previous case law⁸.
35. The Upper Tribunal, however, is not bound by its previous decisions or those of its previous incarnation, as the Transport Tribunal⁹.

⁵ Edward Coakley, Coakley Bus Company Ltd and Central Bus Company Ltd (No. 1) [2003] Scot CS 101 (4 April 2003) on appeal from 2001/065, 066 & 067.

⁶ Al-Le Logistics Limited etc [2010] EWHC 134 (Admin) paragraph 92 and 2000/065 AM Richardson

⁷ 2008/268 Funstons Ltd

⁸ 2009/518 Rose & Sons Ltd relying on 1998/K35 Derek Bertram.

⁹ 2003/309 B Smith

36. As there is a need to ensure that papers are read in advance of a hearing the Upper Tribunal deprecates the production of bundles in addition to those documents which it has called for¹⁰. It does have discretion to admit evidence not in consideration before the traffic commissioner but in deciding whether or not to admit fresh evidence it has consistently applied the conditions laid down by the Court of Appeal¹¹. The new evidence must be admissible evidence.
37. It must be evidence which could not have been obtained, with reasonable diligence, for use at the public inquiry.
38. It must be evidence such that, if given, it would probably have had an important influence on the result of the case, though it does not have to be shown that it would have been decisive.
39. It must be evidence which is apparently credible though not necessarily incontrovertible.
40. Where there is a challenge to the accuracy of the transcript of proceedings before the traffic commissioner this must be raised in an application (preferably early) to the Upper Tribunal¹². Where there is no transcript and no reliable alternative the Upper Tribunal may order a rehearing of the original proceedings.
41. The Upper Tribunal retains a power to order costs where it finds the conduct of the appellant has been unreasonable¹³.
42. In respect of appeals to the Magistrates or the Sheriff against a vocational driver decision the status of Statutory Direction in regard to vocational licence holders and applicants has been clarified by the Administrative Court¹⁴. The court considered the extent to which the 'conduct' of a vocational driver, following the commission of offences which led to the matter being referred to a traffic commissioner, should be taken into account in determining fitness to hold such a licence. "Personal circumstances which go to mitigate the conduct itself (such as illness, or emergency, or momentary lapse of attention, or carelessness) will be relevant to a decision on fitness to hold a licence, while personal circumstances which would, in the ordinary sentencing exercise by a criminal court go to mitigation of penalty (such as loss of work, or other hardship, or the dependence of others upon the licence-holder) would not. A driver's conduct since the time of the offence(s) may be relevant both to whether the licence holder's conduct as a driver made him unfit to hold a vocational licence and whether the sanction required was suspension or revocation. However relevant subsequent conduct may be of limited weight in

¹⁰ 2003/254 A Jones

¹¹ Ladd-v-Marshall [1954] 1 WLR 1489 as applied in 2001/068 Dukes Transport, 2001/060 Goldwings (Wales) Ltd and 2002/040 Thames Materials

¹² 2000/001 Gray v Graham, 2001/059

¹³ 2001/072 A R Brooks

¹⁴ Meredith and Others v Traffic Commissioner for the Western Traffic Area (2009) EWHC 2975 (Admin)

cases involving an element of dishonesty (for example deliberate falsification of tachograph records). The Senior Traffic Commissioner considers it beneficial for the judgment of the Administrative Court to be brought to the attention of the Magistrates or Sheriff.

DRAFT

DIRECTIONS

43. The Senior Traffic Commissioner for Great Britain issues the following Directions to traffic commissioners under section 4C(1) of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981. The aforementioned Guidance relates to matters which may apply upon an appeal from a traffic commissioner's decision. These Directions are addressed to the traffic commissioners in respect of the approach to be taken by staff acting on behalf of individual traffic commissioners and dictate the operation of delegated functions.

Basis of Directions

44. The Senior Traffic Commissioner for Great Britain issues the following Directions to traffic commissioners under section 4C(1) of the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981. The aforementioned Guidance relates to matters which may influence decisions in respect of listing, adjournments, disclosure and any other matter ancillary to the final disposal of a case but which might impact on the fairness of proceedings. These Directions are addressed to the traffic commissioners in respect of the approach to be taken by staff acting on behalf of individual traffic commissioners and are intended to assist in ensuring the fairness of proceedings involving operator/applicant or transport manager (parties).

Vocational Driver Appeals to the Magistrates or Sheriff Courts

45. Whilst traffic commissioners are the respondents in these appeals in practice they do not appear at the actual appeal hearings. Traffic commissioners are to be informed by their driver conduct clerks of any appeals and members of staff from the Office of the Traffic Commissioner may then instruct a solicitor to be present and to assist the Magistrates or Sheriff in considering an appeal against the decision of a traffic commissioner. It is for the traffic commissioner to decide if they wish to be represented at the appeal hearing as they are the respondent.

Appeals to the Upper Tribunal

46. The Upper Tribunal decides appeals against decisions of traffic commissioners. The Upper Tribunal is a judicial body and is supported by HM Courts and Tribunal Service. It was originally established in its previous form under the Transport Act 1985 to hear and decide appeals against decisions of traffic commissioners. In September 2009 the majority of the Transport Tribunal's work was transferred into the new tribunal structure.
47. The procedure is set out in the Tribunal Procedure Rules. The Tribunal office will notify the traffic commissioner, and the following who are invited to respond:
- the operator (if he is not the appellant), and
 - all statutory objectors, and
 - all representors, and

- the Secretary of State (or the appropriate national authority in Scotland or Wales, if applicable).
- 48.** The Upper Tribunal may at any stage of an appeal order any person (other than the traffic commissioner) to be added as a party to the appeal.
- 49.** A right of appeal arises when, for example, a traffic commissioner:
- refuses to grant a licence;
 - refuses to vary an existing licence;
 - attaches conditions to the licence, or grants a licence which allows fewer vehicles than the number applied for;
 - in public service vehicles cases, determines that registered local bus services have not been operated properly, and imposes financial penalties;
 - revokes, suspends or curtails an existing licence;
 - disqualifies an individual or a company.
- 50.** Appeals under the Transport (Scotland) Act 2001 remain under the jurisdiction of the Transport Tribunal but can be made alongside appeals to the Upper Tribunal and using the same appeal forms.
- 51.** Traffic commissioners play no part in the appeal itself but are required to assist the Upper Tribunal in its preparations.
- 52.** An appeal should be lodged within one month of the decision being issued. An appellant who is outside that deadline can still ask the Upper Tribunal to extend the deadline but will probably be asked to explain the delay before the Upper Tribunal decides whether to extend the deadline or not. If the appeal is received out of time it will be appropriate for the Office of the Traffic Commissioner to ask the Tribunal if it is willing to proceed with the appeal before copying all of the papers.

Impoundings

- 53.** If the traffic commissioner decides that the impounding was proper the appellant may choose to appeal to the Upper Tribunal but must lodge that appeal within one month of the traffic commissioner's decision¹⁵. The Upper Tribunal Secretariat will send notification of the appeal in the usual way. If no appeal has been lodged in this time, the vehicle may be sold or disposed of. If an appeal is made, the Office of the Traffic Commissioner should inform the Vehicle and Operator Services Agency (VOSA) Area Office, so that any disposal is prevented. VOSA should always notify the traffic commissioner when property has been disposed of. Check that the notification had been received.

¹⁵ See Statutory Guidance and Statutory Directions on impounding

. Appeal documents

- 54.** The Upper Tribunal can give directions so that the case is properly prepared and the appellant is provided with a bundle of documents identical to the bundles which the Tribunal Members will have ahead of the hearing. The Tribunal Secretary is obliged to supply copies of any documents received from the traffic commissioner upon request from a party unless the Upper Tribunal considers it unreasonable and gives reasons why.
- 55.** An Explanatory Leaflet on appeals suggests that the Upper Tribunal will ask the Office of the Traffic Commissioner to supply copies of all the relevant papers, including the transcript of the public inquiry if applicable. It is important to remember, however, that the current rules require the relevant traffic commissioner to send the Upper Tribunal a copy of the following upon receipt of a notice of appeal:
- the decision appealed against, whether given in writing by the traffic commissioner or transcribed, including reasons;
 - all documents produced to the traffic commissioner in connection with the decision appealed against;
 - if a public inquiry was held, the transcript of the inquiry or, if no such transcript was produced, the traffic commissioner's note of that inquiry;
 - and if relevant a list of the names and addresses of objectors and representors.
- 56.** These Directions have been drafted to assist in the preparation of documents in advance of the hearing of an appeal against a traffic commissioner's decision.
- 57.** Appellants are required to provide details about themselves, their representative (if relevant), details of any other parties, and details of the decision being appealed, the grounds of the appeal, whether a stay is or has been sought, and to supply:
- a copy of the decision letter issued by the traffic commissioner
 - the Grounds of Appeal
 - a copy of the 'Traffic Commissioner Stay' document (if applicable)
- 58.** The appellant and all respondent parties are required to send to the Tribunal copies of whatever documents or information they rely on in support of their cases, if not already supplied on behalf of the traffic commissioner. It may assist the Tribunal to save wasted costs by the Office of the Traffic Commissioner indicating which documents the appellant already has in its possession
- 59.** Furthermore the appeal may be part of a series of cases and as a consequence the Tribunal may already be in possession of some or all of the documents relevant to a particular appeal. In the above circumstances the Office of the Traffic Commissioner should check with the Tribunal Secretary and request confirmation in writing if it is not required to send copies of all the documents. That confirmation should be attached to the index or checklist contained in

Annex 2 and referred to in correspondence when the bundle of documents is sent to the Upper Tribunal.

60. Members of the Upper Tribunal and traffic commissioners are concerned that public money should not be unnecessarily wasted. There may be incidents where it is not necessary to copy all of the relevant documents referred to above at paragraph 55 and Annex 2.
61. The Upper Tribunal has given guidance to assist the Office of the Traffic Commissioner in preparing appeal bundles. This guidance is set out at Annex 1 and contains some general rules to assist in completing the bundle for the Upper Tribunal. Annex 2 provides a checklist to be attached to the bundle.
62. Whilst the preparation of the appeal papers falls under functions delegated by individual traffic commissioners they should still be referred to a traffic commissioner for checking.

Appeals – General principles

63. In preparing the bundle for the Upper Tribunal it might be helpful for members of staff to remind themselves of the purposes for which it is required: in most cases a traffic commissioner will have made a decision after a hearing. On appeal, it will be for the appellant to show that the traffic commissioner was wrong and the hearing is called a Review Hearing. In respect of their administrative functions, however, the Carltona principle¹⁶ recognises that traffic commissioners cannot possibly make every decision personally and officials may act on their behalf. In those situations a decision may still only be taken by an official of appropriate seniority and experience and certain cases of special importance must be taken by a traffic commissioner. In law all of these decisions are the acts of the traffic commissioner.
64. The appeal takes the form of a review of the traffic commissioner's decision and/or the reasons given for it. The appeal is not a rehearing of the merits of the matter. In its review the Upper Tribunal is concerned to ensure that the traffic commissioner properly applied the tests which have to be applied in reaching a decision. The Upper Tribunal will be concerned with whether the traffic commissioner:
 - was plainly wrong, or misdirected him or her self about the law or the evidence;
 - took into account any matter which should not have been taken into account or failed to take account of matters which should have been taken into account;
 - offended the rules of natural justice¹⁷ in the conduct of proceedings by showing bias, refusing the right to be heard, or failing to make clear what was alleged against the applicant/licence holder.

¹⁶ See Statutory Guidance and Statutory Directions on Delegations and Multiple Licence Holders

¹⁷ See Statutory Guidance and Statutory Directions on Case Management.

- |
65. The Upper Tribunal may not take account of circumstances which did not exist at the time when the traffic commissioner made his or her decision. The Tribunal therefore does not usually admit or consider fresh evidence which was not before the traffic commissioner, and does not usually hear witnesses and will only do so in those exceptional cases where the interests of justice require it. Where it does so, it is the responsibility of the Tribunal to ensure that copies of the relevant material are sent to all parties prior to the hearing.

DRAFT

ANNEX 1 – PREPARING APPEAL BUNDLES

(1) **Pagination**

- (a) Bundles need not be paginated with each page being numbered individually and consecutively. Different sections of the file may be separated by cardboard or other tabbed dividers so long as these are clearly indexed. The indexing used at the original hearing should be clearly indicated. Letters and other documents should normally be included in chronological order per section
- (b) Where page numbers have been used they should be clear and in bold at the bottom of the copies supplied to the Tribunal and in a form that can be clearly distinguished from any other pagination on the document.

(2) **Avoidance of duplication**

No more than **one copy of any document** should be included unless there is a good reason.

(3) **Format and presentation**

- (a) Where possible the documents should be on A4 size paper. Where a document has to be read across rather than down the page, it should be so placed in the bundle as to ensure that the text starts nearest the spine.
- (b) Where any marking or writing in colour on a document is important, the document must be copied in colour or marked up correctly in colour.
- (c) Documents which are not easily legible should be transcribed and the transcription marked and placed next to the document transcribed.
- (d) Documents in a foreign language should be translated and the translation marked and placed next to the document translated. The translation should be agreed or, if it cannot be agreed, each party's proposed translation should be included.

(4) **Binding**

- (a) All documents, with the exception of transcripts, must be bound together. This may be in a lever arch file, ring binder or plastic folder. Plastic sleeves containing loose documents must not be used. Binders and files must be strong enough to withstand heavy use.
- (b) Large documents such as plans should be placed in an easily accessible file.

(5) Indices and labels

- (a) An index/checklist (see Annex 2) must be included at the front of the bundle listing all the documents and providing the page references for each of them. In the case of documents such as letters, invoices or bank statements, they may be given a general description.
- (b) Where the appeal bundle consists of more than one file, an index to all the files should be included in the first file and an index included for each file. Documents should be identified briefly but properly.
- (c) Where documents have not been copied by agreement with the Tribunal because it is already in possession of those documents they should be included on the index and marked: 'With Tribunal'.

(6) Identification

- (a) Every bundle must be clearly identified, on the spine and on the front cover, with the name of the case and reference number. Where the bundle consists of more than one file, each file must be numbered on the front cover and the inside of the front cover.
- (b) Outer labels should use large lettering.

(7) Staples etc.

All staples, heavy metal clips etc, must be removed.

(8) New Documents

- (a) Before a new document is introduced into bundles which have already been delivered to the Tribunal, steps should be taken to ensure that it carries an appropriate bundle/page number so that it can be added to the court documents. It should not be stapled and it should be prepared with punch holes for immediate inclusion in the bundles in use.
- (b) An index should be produced for this file, updated as necessary.

**ANNEX 2 - DOCUMENT BUNDLE CHECKLIST
FROM OFFICE OF THE TRAFFIC COMMISSIONER TO THE UPPER
TRIBUNAL**

CASE REF:.....

DOCUMENTS IN THIS BUNDLE	CHECKED
<p>SECTION A. (1) The brief for the traffic commissioner, including the call-up letter and all documents which were enclosed with it.</p> <p>(2) Any documents which are confidential treated separately and clearly marked 'confidential'.</p>	
<p>SECTION B. Correspondence between the call-up letter and the Public Inquiry (PI), if any.</p>	
<p>SECTION C. (1) Documents put in evidence during the hearing, if any, marked as to their source.</p> <p>(2) Any documents which are confidential, such as sensitive financial statements, treated separately and clearly marked 'confidential'.</p>	
<p>SECTION D. (1) The transcript of the PI, including the oral decision if any.</p> <p>(2) If the decision was made in office (i.e. with no PI), a full note of the decision.</p>	
<p>SECTION E. Correspondence subsequent to the hearing e.g. relating to conditions.</p>	
<p>SECTION F. The formal decision letter, together with any written decision.</p>	
<p>SECTION G. Material concerning stays, if any, in a separate bundle for the Tribunal's correspondence file.</p>	

Signed.....NAME.....

Date.....OTC (location).....

ANNEX 3: EU LEGISLATION

Regulation 5 of the Road Transport Operator Regulations 2011 states that a standard licence constitutes an authorisation to engage in the occupation of road transport operator for the purposes of:

Regulation (EC) 1071/2009 establishing common rules concerning conditions to be complied with to pursue the occupation of road transport operator repealed Council Directive 96/26 EC and applicable from 4th December 2011

Article 15 - Decisions of the competent authorities and appeals

1. Negative decisions taken by the competent authorities of the Member States pursuant to this Regulation, including the rejection of an application, the suspension or withdrawal of an existing authorisation and a declaration of unfitness of a transport manager, shall state the reasons on which they are based.

Such decisions shall take account of available information concerning infringements committed by the undertaking or the transport manager which are such as to detract from the good repute of the undertaking and of any other information at the disposal of the competent authority. They shall specify the rehabilitation measures applicable in the event of the suspension of an authorisation or a declaration of unfitness.

2. Member States shall take steps to ensure that the undertakings and persons concerned have the possibility of appealing the decisions referred to in paragraph 1 to at least one independent and impartial body or a court of law.