

#### CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft and Personal Watercraft

11 NOVEMBER 2011

## **Contents**

1. Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft & Personal Watercraft
2. Executive Summary4
3. How to respond5
4. Additional copies5
5. Confidentiality & Data Protection6
6. Help with queries6
7. The proposals6
8. Enforcement Considerations
9. Consultation Questions
10. What Happens Next?8
Annex 1: The Consultation Code of Practice Criteria9
Comments or complaints9
Annex 2: List of Individuals/Organisations consulted10
Annex 3: Impact Assessment Checklist of the Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft & Personal Watercraft
Annex 4: Consultation on Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft & Persoanl Watercraft response form
Question 1
Question 2
Question 3
Question 4
Question 5
Do you have any other comments that might aid the consultation process as a whole?19

# 1. Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft and Personal Watercraft

This consultation seeks views on the European Commission's Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft and Personal Watercraft (the Proposal). A copy of the Proposal can be downloaded at: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/maritime/recreational-craft/index en.htm

A copy of the Commission's Impact Assessment on the Proposal can be obtained on request to the contact below.

We are required under Government guidelines to consult with stakeholders that have an interest in recreational craft and personal watercraft where the proposal might have negative impact on the industry. The sector covers a wide range of watercraft including yachts, narrow boats and luxury motor cruisers. It is a lively and dynamic sector in the UK with a large number of SMEs. The Government broadly supports revision of the Directive as we believe that the Proposal contains a number of improvements on the current directive. The reduction in exhaust emissions is welcomed as this will bring it into line with practice in the United States. Although this may result in increased cost to business, there will also be benefits to engine manufacturers trading in the global market in terms of exports that this should bring. However, there are a number of areas where the Government has identified potential difficulties with the Proposal, which are set out below. We are asking for your views on whether you have any concerns over the Proposal and what could be done to address these concerns and what could be done to improve it.

Issued: 11 November 2011

Respond by: 11 February 2012

Enquiries to: Kevin Lane, Electronics, Materials, Chemicals & Product Regulation, Department for Business, Innovation & Skills, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, 1 Victoria Street, London SW1H 0ET. Tel 020 7215 1774. Email: Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk

This consultation is relevant to boat builders and manufacturers of engines and other specified components. It will also be of interest to enforcement authorities, trade associations and notified bodies.

3

#### 2. Executive Summary

This consultation seeks views on the European Commission's Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft and Personal Watercraft. The Proposal is a general tidying up of the current Directive and updating of the environmental requirements contained therein, to align it with current requirements in the United States which would assist UK manufacturers in exporting recreational craft to the international market. The sector covers a wide range of craft including yachts, narrow boats and luxury motor cruisers. It is a lively and dynamic sector in the UK with a large number of SMEs. The UK has a strong manufacturing capability in this sector, which includes a number of specialist SMEs that need protection from unnecessary burdens of the costs of compliance.

The revised Directive will regulate the placing on the market of products coming within its scope and promotes safety and will establish stricter environmental requirements that cover noise and exhaust emissions of certain engines.

The Key Issues raised by the Proposal are (with references to Articles and Annexes in the Proposal):

Reduction in exhaust emission limits and noise emissions for engines (Article 22 (exhaust emissions), Article 23 (noise emissions) and Annex 7).

The proposed reduction in exhaust emissions will align with current practice in the United States. This is an updating of requirements and was supported by EU Stakeholders to reduce production costs to the advantages of scale and lower costs of testing procedures. A flexible transitional arrangement has been included to help SMEs to meet the requirements.

Post Construction Assessment (PCA) only available for private importers (Article 20, Article 24 and Annex 5).

In the current directive PCA is available for all economic operators. There is some dispute as to whether it can be used for all products coming under the scope. The proposal makes it clear that all products coming under scope can use PCA. However, it also says that PCA can only be used by the private importer. We think this will be detrimental to small commercial importers.

Definition of "partly completed" watercraft (Article 3).

The definition in the Proposal for "watercraft built for own use" would mean most projects of this kind will need to comply with the Directive, which is likely to be an undue burden on an individual.

Definition of "watercraft built for own use" (Article 3 and Article 20).

The Proposal does not address the existing problem with the definition of partly completed craft, which is a concern to the UK with the large part built canal boat industry intended to be completed by the consumer as a DIY project. Once completed the consumer would need to meet the requirements of the Directive.

Exclusion for canoes and kayaks which are designed to be propelled by hand power only (Article 2).

4

In our view canoes and kayaks are inherently unable to meet the essential requirements of the Directive, which is the reason they were excluded in the first place. We do not think the change will improve the safety of these products.

This is a UK wide consultation and is aimed at boat builders, engine manufacturers, importers and distributors of watercraft. We are also interested in contributions from enforcement agencies, trade associations, notified bodies, government departments and consumers.

We are interested in your views on the issues raised above and any other concerns you might have regarding the directive proposal. The responses received will help to shape the UK line in negotiations on the directive proposal.

Responses to the consultations are required by 11 February 2012.

#### 3. How to respond

Please state whether you are responding as an individual or representing the views of an organisation. If you are responding on behalf of an organisation, please make it clear who the organisation represents by selecting the appropriate interest group on the consultation response form and, where applicable, how the how the views of members were assembled.

A copy of the Consultation Response form is enclosed, or available electronically at <a href="https://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-1359rf-consultation-proposal-directive-recreational-craft-and-personal-watercraft-form">https://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-1359rf-consultation-proposal-directive-recreational-craft-and-personal-watercraft-form</a>

If you decide to respond this way, the form can be submitted by letter, fax or email to:

Kevin Lane

Electronics, Materials, Chemicals & Product Regulation

Department of Business, Innovation and Skills

1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET

Tel: 020 7215 1774 Fax: 020 7215 6862

Email: Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk

A list of those organisations and individuals consulted is in Annex 2. We would welcome suggestions of others who may wish to be involved in this consultation process.

If you have any concerns about the way the consultation is being run please refer to the contact given in Annex 1.

If you wish to respond to this consultation in hard copy you may submit your response using the detachable Consultation Response Form (there is an optional generic copy of this form at Annex 4 below). You may also consider making available a web version of the Response Form. Make clear whether the web version is fully interactive or downloadable and include the web address in the hardcopy version.

#### 4. Additional copies

You may make copies of this document without seeking permission. Further printed copies of the consultation document can be obtained from:

BIS Publications Orderline ADMAIL 528 London SW1W 8YT Tel: 0845-015 0010

Fax: 0845-015 0020 Minicom: 0845-015 0030 www.bis.gov.uk/publications

An electronic version can be found at <a href="www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-1359-consultation-proposal-directive-recreational-craft-and-personal-watercraft">www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-1359-consultation-proposal-directive-recreational-craft-and-personal-watercraft</a>

Other versions of the document in Braille, other languages or audio-cassette are available on request.

#### 5. Confidentiality & Data Protection

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be subject to publication or release to other parties or to disclosure in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004). If you want information, including personal data that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence.

In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

#### 6. Help with queries

Questions about the policy issues raised in the document can be addressed to:

Kevin Lane
Electronics, Materials, Chemical & Product Regulation
Department of Business, Innovation and Skills
1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET
Tel: 020 7215 1774

Tel. 020 7213 1774

Email: Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk

A copy of the Code of Practice on Consultation is in Annex 1.

#### 7. The proposals

The Government broadly supports revision of the Directive as the Proposal seeks to introduce a number of improvements and most operating within the industry will see little change from the current Directive. The reduction in exhaust emissions is welcomed. This will bring it into line with practice in the United States. Although this may result in some increased cost to business,

it will make it easier for engine manufacturers trading in the global market with benefits in terms of exports this should bring.

The Government is not supportive of the move to restrict the exclusion of canoes and kayaks to those which are hand powered only. This will bring into scope many craft which are inherently unable to meet the essential requirements.

The Post Construction Assessment (PCA) route used by importers of second hand craft into the European Economic Area (EEA) will be closed to all but the private importer. Preventing business imports by use of PCA would mean that commercial importation of second-hand craft into the EU will be effectively stopped. This will impact only a small number of craft and businesses. Certain major industry players have been looking to stop the importation of second hand craft by the PCA route, as there has, in the past, been a certain amount of new US sourced craft being placed on the EU market as second-hand, at prices considerably cheaper than the same products offered by EU based manufacturers. In the Government's view the PCA route should be available for use by any economic operator or private importer.

The Proposal does not address the existing problem with the definition of "partly completed" craft, which is a concern to the UK where a large part of the canal boat industry produces craft which are intended to be completed by the consumer as a DIY project. Once completed the consumer would need to meet the requirements of the Directive. However, the point at which the craft is considered complete is not defined. The definition in the Proposal for "watercraft built for own use" would mean most projects of this kind will need to be comply with the Directive, which would be an undue burden on an individual.

The UK will seek to ensure that the Proposal does not impose any unnecessary administrative burdens on business wherever possible whilst improving the safety and environmental objectives of the current Directive.

#### 8. Enforcement Considerations

Enforcement will continue to be carried out by local trading standards offices. It is not expected that the costs for local authorities will rise significantly; the allocation of resources required to facilitate effective enforcement will be a matter for the local authorities concerned. The proposal allows for a range of enforcement and compliance measures to be implemented by Member States which can include both criminal and civil sanctions. The enforcement agency responsible will assess which compliance measures are most appropriate will usually be carried out on a case by case basis in response to each complaint and allows for flexibility regarding the methods to be used to demonstrate compliance. However, in many cases, manufacturers will need to involve a notified body authorised to conduct conformity assessments on every product which is to be placed on the market in order to demonstrate compliance with the directive.

#### 9. Consultation questions

1. Do you think the proposed reduction in exhaust emission targets is reasonable and achievable? Will the proposed transitional arrangements make it easier for SMEs to reach the new limits?

- 2. The Proposal makes changes to the requirements for Post Construction Assessment (PCA) and will only be available to private importers. What effect might this have on the market for products coming within scope?
- 3. Do you agree with the definition given for "watercraft built for own use"? Have you any suggestions as to how it might be improved?
- 4. Do you agree with the definition given for "partly completed watercraft"? Have you any suggestions as to how it might be improved?
- 5. The proposal would bring larger and motorised canoes and kayaks within the scope of the Directive. Do you think this would be an improvement? Please provide reasons for your answer.

An Impact Assessment Checklist is shown at Annex 3. Should you have any comments on this please include them in your response.

#### 10. What happens next?

After the closing date the responses will be collated and summarised. These will be published on the BIS website. The Government will aim to publish the results of this consultation and provide a response by 11 May 2012.

The Better Regulation Executive Code of Practice on consultation states that decisions in the light of the consultation should be made public promptly with a summary of views expressed and reasons given for decisions finally taken. This should be on the BIS website, including a link from the central BIS consultation web pages, with paper copies of the summary of responses made available on request.

#### **Annex 1: The Consultation Code of Practice Criteria**

- 1. Formal consultation should take place at a stage when there is scope to influence policy outcome.
- 2. Consultation should normally last for at least 12 weeks with consideration given to longer timescales where feasible and sensible.
- 3. Consultation documents should be clear about the consultation process, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals.
- 4. Consultation exercise should be designed to be accessible to, and clearly targeted at, those people the exercise is intended to reach.
- 5. Keeping the burden of consultation to a minimum is essential if consultations are to be effective and if consultees' buy-in to the process is to be obtained.
- 6. Consultation responses should be analysed carefully and clear feedback should be provided to participants following the consultation.
- 7. Officials running consultations should seek guidance in how to run an effective consultation exercise and share what they have learned from the experience.

#### **Comments or complaints**

If you wish to comment on the conduct of this consultation or make a complaint about the way this consultation has been conducted, please write to:

Sameera de Silva, BIS Consultation Co-ordinator Department for Business Innovation and Skills 1 Victoria Street, London SW1H 0ET

Telephone Sameera on 020 7215 2888

or e-mail to: <u>Sameera.De.Silva@bis.gsi.gov.uk</u>

#### **Annex 2: List of Individuals/Organisations consulted**

Carol Abel British Marine Federation
Peter Applegate Wroxham Marine

Irish Sailing Association

Steve Austen RNLI

Tony Baker Department for Transport

Edward Baldwin MCC Boats

Tim Bartlett Firemaster Extinguisher Ltd
John Baylis Inland Waterways Association

Steve Birtles Broads Authority

Trevor Blakeley The Royal Institution of Naval Architects

Andrew Blyth Bridges

Motor Boat & Yachting

Bucaneer Boats & Mouldings Stephen Goldsborough Boats

Richard Booth Historic Narrow Boats
Karen Boss British Marine Federation

James Bryan SW Herts Narrow boat Assoication

Neil Buchannan H2O Kayaks Ltd

David Bull David Burdett Boating Business

Buy a Boat (for under £20,000)

Canal & Riverboat

Marco Cara

Neil Chapman RNLI

Richard Clark Kent Trading Standards

Michael Clarke Peter Clubbe

Sally Coleman Hardy Marine
Chris Collinson Calcutt Boats Itd

AB Composites Matt Cooper

Adam Corney Marine South West Nick Creed Oyster Marine

Cruising Journal of the Cruising Association

Nick Davies Peter Davies

Barry Deakin University of Southampton

Bob Draper
Charlotte Edward CCPR

David Elson British Marine Federation
Martin Endsor Falmouth Boat Construction
C Findlay Cornish Crabbers LLP

Paul Fisher Selway Fisher Design Phil Flanders

CT & P Fox Fox Boats

Paul Freeman Sabre Engines Ltd Paul Garfield Clifton Crusiers

Mike Garton CIEH

Jo Gilbertson Anthony Graham Ian Graham

Rod Grant

Jonathan Greenway

Mike Gregory Mary Haines Richard Hallowes Roger Hardy

Gill Harman

Nigel Harmer

Robert Harvey

Nick Henly Robert Henville Harry Hermon

Cheryl Howes

Mike Humpherson International Boat Industry

Birchwood Marine International

Multihull International

Princess Yachts International

RIB International Brian Jarrett Langford Jillings Barry Jobling

AJ Jones AW Jones

Harry King A Kirwilliam AJE Landamore

Les Davies

Yachting Life

Blue C Marine Technology Ltd

CBN Marine Ltd Clifton Cruisers Ltd

Cory Yachts International Ltd

Crayford Marine Ltd CW Parish Ltd David Hillyard Ltd Dehler Yachts UK Ltd

FSB Marine Ltd

Goodchild Marine Service Ltd Harbour Marine Services Ltd Henshaw Inflatables Ltd

Holyhead Marine Services Ltd

Island Marine Craft Ltd

Jeremy Rogers (Yachts) Ltd

Marine Languard Ltd Marine Plamcraft Ltd Scorpion Ribs Ltd Sea Ranger Yachts Ltd **Inland Waterways Association** 

Rose Narrowboats WB Trailboats

Nash & Co Solicitors

Selva Marine Haines Marine

Sirius Marine

Maldon District Council

London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames

Lewmar Ltd

Kate Boats

Hydraulic Projects Ltd

NPL

Acoustical Investigation & Research Organisation Ltd

Kings Boat Yard Bureau Veritas

Northshore Yachts Ltd

Sealine International Ltd

Simco Marine Ltd Souter Marine Ltd

Julia Mackenzie Maldon District Council

Graham Mackereth Pyranha

Classic Boat Magazine

RYA Magazine David Mallett Clive Mant Boat Mart

Marian Martin BYM News

Robert Mason

MC Broom Broom Boats

Stuart McLellan British Marine Federation
Nick Mellor Weltonfield Narrowboats

Bill Mitchell

Bryan Mitchell CBS Products Ltd

Mitch Mitchell Honda

Norman Mitchell Commercial Boat Operators Association

Tom Montagu

Motor Boats Monthly Yachting Monthly Pyranha Mouldings

**Corbett Narrowboats** 

Sydney Nash BIS

**GOBA News** 

Peter Nicholls Brauston Marina Trade Centre

Sarah Norbury

Simon Parker Suzuki GB Plc

Richard Payne NPL

Stephen Penton

Nick Percy-Griffiths

Brian Perrett Vehicle Certification Agency
JD Pickup Russell Newberry & Co Ltd

John Pinder JL Pinder & Sons

Fairline Boats Plc

Grahame Pomeroy Vehicle Certification Agency

Roger Preen Calcutt Boats
Andy Pumfrey Yamaha

Steven Rea

John Redmond Environment Agency

Peter Reid Reid Steel
Suzanne Richards Humber Boats

Nick Riordan Hampshire Trading Standards

Stephen Roberts

Fiona Rogers

Tim Rowe

Richard Rushton Delta Power

Sam Russell Plas Menai National Watersports Centre

Speed Sails

Nigel Saw British Marine Federation

Department for Transport

Robert Scott All at Sea

**BSI Products Services** 

MJ Sloggett Revenger Simon Smedley Swale Marine

Bob Southerland Jim Spooner

Sportsboat and RIB

Nicky Spurr Maldon District Council
Anthony Stammers British Waterways

Bob Stevens Lewmar Ltd Lucy Strevens Cheetah Marine

Inge Katherine Svendsen

Stuart Taylor Environment Agency
Peter Thomas Select Yacht Group Ltd

Pauline Thompson Broom Boats

Peter Tier

Richard Titchener Sailing Today Mark Towl

Mark Towl Maritime & Coastguard Agency

Ben Tudor IPC Media

Edward Tuite Fairline Boats Ltd

Chris Tunstall Hobie Cat in UK Ariana Vazquez Guy Walker

Andrew Wallace Vehicle Certification Agency

Canal Boat and Inland Waterways

Graham Watts

KD Whittle

And Winks

Boat Safety Scheme
The Oil Engine Co.

Andy Wickes Lewmar Ltd

Alan Williams Plas Menai National Watersports Centre

Danny & Shelia Williamson

Matthew Wilson Perkins Engines Ltd

Michael Wooding Waterways World Yachting World

Andrew Wright SG Boats

Yachts and Yachting

# Annex 3: Impact Assessment Checklist of the Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft and Personal Watercraft Checklist for analysis on EU proposals

See pp.29-30 of the IA toolkit for guidance on how to use this checklist: <a href="http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/better-regulation/docs/i/11-1112-impact-assessment-toolkit.pdf">http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/better-regulation/docs/i/11-1112-impact-assessment-toolkit.pdf</a>

Title of EU proposal: Proposal for a
Directive on Recreational Craft and
Personal Watercraft
Lead dept/agency: Department for
Business, Innovation & Skills
Other depts/agencies with an interest:
DFT, Defra
Date: 22 August 2011

Lead policy official: Kevin Lane
Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk
1774

Lead policy official: Kevin Lane
Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk
1774

Lead policy official: Kevin Lane
Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk
1774

# What are the potential impacts of the Commission proposal on the UK?

AFFECTED GROUPS: The main groups to be affected are in the private sector. Specifically the proposal will effect boat builders, engine manufacturers, importers and distributors:

- The sectors involved are recreational craft, personal watercraft and marine engines
- In total the number of people employed in the sectors in the UK is around 32,500
- It is estimated that the proposal will effect around 500 companies
- Around 95% of these companies are SMEs or micro businesses

#### **COSTS & BENEFITS:**

- If the net benefits of adopting the revised Directive are distributed according to the number of engine manufactures in each Member State then net benefits to the UK could be around €0.7-4.2m which would be ongoing generated on average every year.
- There should not be a detrimental impact on small firms as mitigating measures are being proposed which would alleviate the burden on smaller engine manufacturers which may face disproportionately higher compliance costs.
- The proposal will not have a detrimental affect on competition

#### **ENFORCEMENT:**

- Enforcement will continue to be carried out by local trading standards offices and the
  resources required to facilitate effective enforcement will be a matter for the local
  authorities concerned however it is not expected that the costs for local authorities with
  rise significantly
- The proposal is flexible enough to allow for a range of enforcement and compliance
  measures to be implemented by Member States including both criminal and civil sanctions.
  An assessment of which compliance measures are most appropriate will usually be carried
  out on a case by case basis in response to each complaint
- There is no requirement for regular inspections of manufacturers and products in the proposal
- The directive proposal is also flexible over the methods to be used to demonstrate compliance though in many cases manufacturers will need to involve a notified body authorised to conduct conformity assessments on every product which is to be placed on the market

#### LEGAL IMPLEMENTATION/COPY-OUT:

The revised Directive (if adopted) will require legislative action to implement its provisions in the UK. It is anticipated that this will be through a new Statutory Instrument to replace the existing UK Regulations (SI 2004/1464), which implemented the original directive (94/25/EC) as amended. This is because the changes envisaged in proposal are substantial. It should be possible to apply the principles of copy out to implement the proposal. However, there would need to be some additions to implement an effective and dissuasive enforcement regime in the UK.

#### Ministerial sign-off:

I have read the analysis above of the potential impacts of this proposal and I am satisfied that, given the significance of the proposal, the time and evidence available, and the uncertainty of the outcome of negotiations, it represents a proportionate view of possible impacts.

Signed by the responsible Minister:	Date:
-------------------------------------	-------

# Annex 4: Consultation on Proposal for a Directive on Recreational Craft & Personal Watercraft - response form

The Department may, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information, make available, on public request, individual responses.

The closing date for this consultation is 11/02/2012.

Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

Address:

Please return completed forms to: Kevin Lane Department for Business, Innovation & Skills

Orchard 1, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
1 Victoria Street

Telephone: 020 7215 1774 Fax: 020 7215 6862

London SW1H 0ET

email: Kevin.Lane@bis.gsi.gov.uk

Please can you tick a box from a list of options below that best describes you as a respondent.

e organisation/trade body
rise
50 staff)
250 staff)
9 staff)
staff)
ociation

### Question 1 (Article 22 (exhaust emissions), Article 23 (noise emissions) and Annex 7)

Do you think the proposed reduction in exhaust emission targets is reasonable and achievable? Will the proposed transitional arrangements help SMEs to reach the new limits or will they make no difference?

will they make no difference?	113 01
Comments:	

#### Questions 2 (Article 20, Article 24 and Annex 5)

The Proposal makes changes to the requirements for Post Construction Assessment (PCA) and will only be available to private importers. What effect might this have on the market for products coming within scope?

Comments:

#### **Question 3 (Article 3)**

Do you agree with the definition given for "watercraft built for own use"? Have you any suggestions as to how it might be improved?

Comments:

Recreational	Craft &	Derechal	Watercraft	Directive	Dronoes
Recreational	Ciail &	Personal	vvalerciaii	Threcuve	Proposa

Question 4	(Article 3 and Article 20	<b>)</b> )
------------	---------------------------	------------

Do you agree with the definition given for "partly completed watercraft"? Have you any suggestions as to how it might be improved?

Comments:

#### **Question 5 (Article 2)**

The proposal would bring large canoes and kayaks within the scope of the Directive. Do you think this is an improvement?

Comments:

Do you have	any other	comments	that might	aid the	consultation	process	as a
whole?							

whole?
Please use this space for any general comments that you may have, comments on the layout of this consultation would also be welcomed.
of this consultation would also be welcomed.
Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box below.
Please acknowledge this reply
At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be okay if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?
☐ Yes ☐ No

# © Crown copyright 2011 You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. Visit <a href="https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence">www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence</a>, write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email <a href="mailto:psil@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk">psil@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk</a>. This publication is also available on our website at <a href="https://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-">www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/business-sectors/docs/c/11-</a>

If you require this publication in an alternative format, email <a href="mailto:enquiries@bis.gsi.gov.uk">enquiries@bis.gsi.gov.uk</a>, or call 020 7215 5000.

1359-consultation-proposal-directive-recreational-craft-and-personal-watercraft

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to:

Department for Business, Innovation and Skills

1 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET Tel: 020 7215 5000

URN 11/1359