

## Annex B: Consultation Response Form

### PART 1 - Information about you

Name	
Address	
Postcode	
email	
Company Name or Organisation (if applicable)	
Please tick one box from the list below that best describes you /your company or organisation.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small to Medium Enterprise (up to 50 employees)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Large Company
<input type="checkbox"/>	Representative Organisation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Trade Union
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interest Group
<input type="checkbox"/>	Local Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Central Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Police
<input type="checkbox"/>	Member of the public
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please describe):
If you are responding on behalf of an organisation or interest group how many members do you have and how did you obtain the views of your members:	
If you would like your response or personal details to be treated <b>confidentially</b> please explain why:	

**PART 2 - Your Comments**

1. Do you agree to the proposed approach to developing the RTFO technical guidance? If not, please can you explain why?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

2. Do you agree that we have correctly transposed the RED sustainability criteria in Article 25 of the draft amendment Order?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

3. Do you have any comments you wish to make regarding how we have transposed the RED sustainability criteria?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

4. Do you have any views on alternative approaches to implementing the sustainability monitoring and verification in a least burdensome manner?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

5. Do you have information on the likely impacts to suppliers of approaches (a) and (b)?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

6. Does approach (c) represent the best approach for implementing Article 17(6)?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

7. Do you agree our propose approach for requiring information on the “appropriate and relevant information”?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

8. Are there any other approaches that might be more appropriate?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

9. Do you agree that we should require verification to at least the ISAE 3000 standard of limited assurance?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

10. Are there any other suitable, or more appropriate, standards that we should consider?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

11. Do you agree with our assessment that amending the RTFO such that all fuel suppliers are obliged to register with the RTFO administrator and report on the fuel they supply will not result in any significant impact? If not, please can you explain why?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

12. Do you agree with our proposal to pursue Option B, to expand the scope of the RTFO to include fuels intended for use in NRMM but to revise the obligation levels?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

13. Do you agree with the assumptions made in our impact assessment that accompanies the proposal to expand the scope of the RTFO? If not, are you able to provide additional evidence?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

14. What impacts (both desirable and perverse) does our proposal present?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

15. What would the impact be of removing the minimum threshold?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

16. Would there be any significant advantages to raising the minimum threshold to the RTFO?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

17. Would there be any perverse impacts of raising the current minimum threshold?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

18. Do you have any comments on the accompanying impact assessment?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
---	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:

19. Do you have any further evidence you would like us to consider?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
---	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:

20. Do you agree with our proposal to allow the renewable part of any transport fuel to be eligible for RTFCs?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
--	------------------------------	-----------------------------

Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:



21. Do you have information you would like to share regarding how renewable hydrogen should be counted towards RED targets in the future?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

22. Is the proposed approach to determining the renewable fraction of a partially renewable fuel suitable?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

23. Are there any other approaches that we should consider?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

24. Do you agree with the proposed approach that FAME should continue to be treated as a wholly renewable fuel? If you do not agree with this proposal, please can you explain why?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

25. Do you agree that our proposal is consistent with the approaches of other Member States and will reduce the potential for market distortions?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

26. Do you have any evidence/comments to make regarding the benefits of allowing non-biodegradable feedstocks to count towards meeting the RTFO targets?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

27. Do you agree with our proposed approach? If not, why?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

28. Do you agree with our proposal to end the recycling of the buy-out fund? If not, please can you explain why?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

29. Will the proposed approach to the carry through of RTFCs from current RTFO to the amended RTFO cause any unintended consequences?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

30. Does our proposed approach to carry over retain sufficient flexibility whilst ensuring compliance with the sustainability criteria?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

31. What would be the impact of not allowing any carry over from the current RTFO to the amended RTFO?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

32. Do you have any comments on our proposed changes?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

33. Do you have any comments on these proposed changes?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

34. Do you agree that 120 days after last day of an obligation period is sufficient for a supplier to provide a verifier's opinion on any remaining data for that year (and apply for RTFCs)?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

35. Do you have any other comments on the proposed changes to key administrative dates? verifier's opinion should it be necessary?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		

36. Do you agree the Impact Assessment correctly identifies the economic impacts?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:		