

# Summary of 2011 Fast Stream Recruitment Report

## Total: All Fast Stream Schemes (\*excluding In-Service Fast Stream Competition)

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>18,361</b>	<b>51.9</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>72</b>

## Graduate Fast Stream

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Central Departments	130	9,105	70.0	151	1.7%	25
Houses of Parliament	6	534	89.0	5	0.9%	0
Diplomatic Service	22	1,775	80.7	23	1.3%	0
Science / Engineering	10	184	18.4	9	4.9%	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>11,598</b>	<b>69.0</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>27</b>

## Other Fast Stream Schemes

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Economists	64	545	8.5	56	10.3%	9
Statisticians	33	260	7.9	28	10.8%	3
Social Research	7	234	33.4	9	3.8%	2
Technology in Business	14	759	54.2	14	1.8%	2
HR	50	950	19.0	63	6.6%	14
NIS	6	1649	274.8	12	0.7%	6
European	12	2,366	197.2	23	1.0%	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>6,763</b>	<b>36.4</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>45</b>

## In-Service Fast Stream Competition\*

	Candidates	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
	152	51	33.6%	0

## Fast Stream Recruitment 2011 - In-Service Fast Stream Competition

Gender							
	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>152</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	64	45.1%	74	52.1%	4	2.8%	142
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>51</b>

Ethnic origin							
	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>86.2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>152</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	122	85.9%	12	8.5%	8	5.6%	142
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>94.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>51</b>

Disability							
	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>152</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	128	90.1%	7	4.9%	7	4.9%	142
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>96.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>51</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment 2011 - Analysis by Gender

## A - breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>9,612</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>8,622</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>18,361</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>393</b>

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>6,339</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>5,178</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>11,598</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	5,288	55.7%	4,148	43.7%	55	0.6%	<b>9,491</b>
Passed Online Test	1,402	53.5%	1,197	45.7%	22	0.8%	<b>2,621</b>
Invited to e-Tray	1,572	53.4%	1,348	45.8%	23	0.8%	<b>2,943</b>
Attended e-Tray	1,446	53.4%	1,238	45.8%	22	0.8%	<b>2,706</b>
Passed e-Tray	281	47.4%	306	51.6%	6	1.0%	<b>593</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	281	47.4%	306	51.6%	6	1.0%	<b>593</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	268	47.3%	293	51.7%	6	1.1%	<b>567</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>188</b>

#### Economists

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	145	67.8%	67	31.3%	2	0.9%	<b>214</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	145	67.8%	67	31.3%	2	0.9%	<b>214</b>
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	131	68.2%	59	30.7%	2	1.0%	<b>192</b>
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	82	71.3%	32	27.8%	1	0.9%	<b>115</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	82	71.9%	31	27.2%	1	0.9%	<b>114</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	78	73.6%	28	26.4%	0	0.0%	<b>106</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>67.9%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>56</b>

## Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	125	48.1%	134	51.5%	1	0.4%	<b>260</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	83	46.6%	94	52.8%	1	0.6%	<b>178</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Statistics Assessment Centre	83	46.6%	94	52.8%	1	0.6%	<b>178</b>
Attended Statistics Assessment Centre	68	46.3%	78	53.1%	1	0.7%	<b>147</b>
Passed Statistics Assessment Centre	32	49.2%	32	49.2%	1	1.5%	<b>65</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	31	48.4%	32	50.0%	1	1.6%	<b>64</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	30	49.2%	30	49.2%	1	1.6%	<b>61</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>

## Social Research

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	96	41.0%	138	59.0%	0	0.0%	<b>234</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	33	38.4%	53	61.6%	0	0.0%	<b>86</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Social Research Assessment Centre	33	38.4%	53	61.6%	0	0.0%	<b>86</b>
Attended Social Research Assessment Centre	25	35.2%	46	64.8%	0	0.0%	<b>71</b>
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	13	61.9%	8	38.1%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	13	61.9%	8	38.1%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	0	0.0%	<b>18</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>

## Technology in Business

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	532	70.1%	220	29.0%	7	0.9%	<b>759</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	414	70.1%	173	29.3%	4	0.7%	<b>591</b>
Passed On-Line Test	194	72.1%	72	26.8%	3	1.1%	<b>269</b>
Completed e-Tray	150	74.3%	50	24.8%	2	1.0%	<b>202</b>
Passed e-Tray	67	76.1%	20	22.7%	1	1.1%	<b>88</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	67	76.1%	20	22.7%	1	1.1%	<b>88</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	63	75.9%	19	22.9%	1	1.2%	<b>83</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	18	72.0%	6	24.0%	1	4.0%	<b>25</b>
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	18	72.0%	6	24.0%	1	4.0%	<b>25</b>
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	14	73.7%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	<b>19</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>

## Section B - performance by gender at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	9,612	-	8,622	-	127	-	18,361	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	193	2.0%	197	2.3%	3	2.4%	393	2.1%

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	6,339	-	5,178	-	81	-	11,598	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	5,288	83.4%	4,148	80.1%	55	67.9%	9,491	81.8%
Passed Online Test	1,402	22.1%	1,197	23.1%	22	27.2%	2,621	22.6%
Invited to e-Tray	1,572	24.8%	1,348	26.0%	23	28.4%	2,943	25.4%
Attended e-Tray	1,446	22.8%	1,238	23.9%	22	27.2%	2,706	23.3%
Passed e-Tray	281	4.4%	306	5.9%	6	7.4%	593	5.1%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	281	4.4%	306	5.9%	6	7.4%	593	5.1%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	268	4.2%	293	5.7%	6	7.4%	567	4.9%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	85	1.3%	100	1.9%	3	3.7%	188	1.6%

#### Economists

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	361	-	180	-	4	-	545	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	145	40.2%	67	37.2%	2	50.0%	214	39.3%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	145	40.2%	67	37.2%	2	50.0%	214	39.3%
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	131	36.3%	59	32.8%	2	50.0%	192	35.2%
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	82	22.7%	32	17.8%	1	25.0%	115	21.1%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	82	22.7%	31	17.2%	1	25.0%	114	20.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	78	21.6%	28	15.6%	0	0.0%	106	19.4%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	38	10.5%	18	10.0%	0	0.0%	56	10.3%

#### Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	125	-	134	-	1	-	260	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	83	66.4%	94	70.1%	1	100.0%	178	68.5%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	83	66.4%	94	70.1%	1	100.0%	178	68.5%
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	68	54.4%	78	58.2%	1	100.0%	147	56.5%
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	32	25.6%	32	23.9%	1	100.0%	65	25.0%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	31	24.8%	32	23.9%	1	100.0%	64	24.6%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	30	24.0%	30	22.4%	1	100.0%	61	23.5%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	14	11.2%	14	10.4%	0	0.0%	28	10.8%

## Social Research

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	96	-	138	-	0	-	234	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	33	34.4%	53	38.4%	0	100.0%	86	36.8%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Social Research Assessment Centre	33	34.4%	53	38.4%	0	0.0%	86	36.8%
Attended Social Research Assessment Centre	25	26.0%	46	33.3%	0	0.0%	71	30.3%
Passed Social Research Assessment Centre	13	13.5%	8	5.8%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	13	13.5%	8	5.8%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	12	12.5%	6	4.3%	0	0.0%	18	7.7%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.8%</b>

## Technology in Business

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	532	-	220	-	7	-	759	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	414	77.8%	173	78.6%	4	57.1%	591	77.9%
Passed On-Line Test	194	36.5%	72	32.7%	3	42.9%	269	35.4%
Completed e-Tray	150	28.2%	50	22.7%	2	28.6%	202	26.6%
Passed e-Tray	67	12.6%	20	9.1%	1	14.3%	88	11.6%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	67	12.6%	20	9.1%	1	14.3%	88	11.6%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	63	11.8%	19	8.6%	1	14.3%	83	10.9%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	18	3.4%	6	2.7%	1	14.3%	25	3.3%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	18	3.4%	6	2.7%	1	14.3%	25	3.3%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	14	2.6%	4	1.8%	1	14.3%	19	2.5%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

## HR

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	305	-	637	-	8	-	950	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	180	59.0%	414	65.0%	2	25.0%	596	62.7%
Passed On-Line Test	137	44.9%	302	47.4%	2	25.0%	441	46.4%
Completed e-Tray	120	39.3%	260	40.8%	1	12.5%	381	40.1%
Passed e-Tray	71	23.3%	165	25.9%	1	12.5%	237	24.9%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	71	23.3%	165	25.9%	1	12.5%	237	24.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	60	19.7%	146	22.9%	1	12.5%	207	21.8%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	19	6.2%	44	6.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Invited to HR Assessment Centre	19	6.2%	44	6.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Attended HR Assessment Centre	19	6.2%	44	6.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>6.6%</b>

## NIS

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	842	-	799	-	8	-	1,649	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	675	80.2%	626	78.3%	5	62.5%	1,306	79.2%
Passed On-Line Test	111	13.2%	86	10.8%	3	37.5%	200	12.1%
Completed e-Tray	104	12.4%	84	10.5%	2	25.0%	190	11.5%
Passed e-Tray	48	5.7%	39	4.9%	2	25.0%	89	5.4%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	46	5.5%	39	4.9%	2	25.0%	87	5.3%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	38	4.5%	34	4.3%	2	25.0%	74	4.5%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	7	0.8%	5	0.6%	0	0.0%	12	0.7%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.7%</b>

## European

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	1,012	-	1,336	-	18	-	2,366	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	719	71.0%	894	66.9%	11	61.1%	1,624	68.6%
Passed On-Line Test	172	17.0%	127	9.5%	3	16.7%	302	12.8%
Completed e-Tray	173	17.1%	134	10.0%	3	16.7%	310	13.1%
Passed e-Tray	54	5.3%	39	2.9%	0	0.0%	93	3.9%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	54	5.3%	39	2.9%	0	0.0%	93	3.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	53	5.2%	38	2.8%	0	0.0%	91	3.8%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	16	1.6%	9	0.7%	0	0.0%	25	1.1%
Invited to EFS Assessment Centre	17	1.7%	12	0.9%	0	0.0%	29	1.2%
Attended EFS Assessment Centre	17	1.7%	12	0.9%	0	0.0%	29	1.2%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment 2011 - Analysis by Ethnicity

## A - breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>14,768</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>3,182</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>18,361</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>393</b>

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>9,331</b>	<b>80.5%</b>	<b>2,008</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>11,598</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	7,710	81.2%	1,578	16.6%	203	2.1%	<b>9,491</b>
Passed Online Test	2,195	83.7%	358	13.7%	68	2.6%	<b>2,621</b>
Invited to e-Tray	2,450	83.2%	417	14.2%	76	2.6%	<b>2,943</b>
Attended e-Tray	2,258	83.4%	378	14.0%	70	2.6%	<b>2,706</b>
Passed e-Tray	503	84.8%	71	12.0%	19	3.2%	<b>593</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	503	84.8%	71	12.0%	19	3.2%	<b>593</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	480	84.7%	69	12.2%	18	3.2%	<b>567</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>86.2%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>188</b>

#### Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>66.8%</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>30.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	153	71.5%	55	25.7%	6	2.8%	<b>214</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	153	71.5%	55	25.7%	6	2.8%	<b>214</b>
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	138	71.9%	48	25.0%	6	3.1%	<b>192</b>
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	84	73.0%	27	23.5%	4	3.5%	<b>115</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	84	73.7%	26	22.8%	4	3.5%	<b>114</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	80	75.5%	24	22.6%	2	1.9%	<b>106</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>56</b>



## Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	193	74.2%	64	24.6%	3	1.2%	<b>260</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	144	80.9%	32	18.0%	2	1.1%	<b>178</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Statistics Assessment Centre	144	80.9%	32	18.0%	2	1.1%	<b>178</b>
Attended Statistics Assessment Centre	118	80.3%	27	18.4%	2	1.4%	<b>147</b>
Passed Statistics Assessment Centre	57	87.7%	7	10.8%	1	1.5%	<b>65</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	87.5%	7	10.9%	1	1.6%	<b>64</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	53	86.9%	7	11.5%	1	1.6%	<b>61</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>

## Social Research

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	184	78.6%	46	19.7%	4	1.7%	<b>234</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	70	81.4%	15	17.4%	1	1.2%	<b>86</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Social Research Assessment Centre	70	81.4%	15	17.4%	1	1.2%	<b>86</b>
Attended Social Research Assessment Centre	57	80.3%	13	18.3%	1	1.4%	<b>71</b>
Passed Social Research Assessment Centre	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	<b>18</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>

## Technology in Business

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	495	65.2%	236	31.1%	28	3.7%	<b>759</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	399	67.5%	169	28.6%	23	3.9%	<b>591</b>
Passed On-Line Test	197	73.2%	60	22.3%	12	4.5%	<b>269</b>
Completed e-Tray	136	67.3%	57	28.2%	9	4.5%	<b>202</b>
Passed e-Tray	65	73.9%	18	20.5%	5	5.7%	<b>88</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	65	73.9%	18	20.5%	5	5.7%	<b>88</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	62	74.7%	16	19.3%	5	6.0%	<b>83</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	21	84.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	<b>25</b>
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	21	84.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	<b>25</b>
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	16	84.2%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	<b>19</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>14</b>

## B - performance by Ethnic Origin at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>14,768</b>	-	<b>3,182</b>	-	<b>411</b>	-	<b>18,361</b>	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>2.1%</b>

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	9,331	-	2,008	-	259	-	<b>11,598</b>	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	7,710	82.6%	1,578	78.6%	203	78.4%	<b>9,491</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
Passed Online Test	2,195	23.5%	358	17.8%	68	26.3%	<b>2,621</b>	<b>22.6%</b>
Invited to e-Tray	2,450	26.3%	417	20.8%	76	29.3%	<b>2,943</b>	<b>25.4%</b>
Attended e-Tray	2,258	24.2%	378	18.8%	70	27.0%	<b>2,706</b>	<b>23.3%</b>
Passed e-Tray	503	5.4%	71	3.5%	19	7.3%	<b>593</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	503	5.4%	71	3.5%	19	7.3%	<b>593</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	480	5.1%	69	3.4%	18	6.9%	<b>567</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>1.6%</b>

#### Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	364	-	166	-	15	-	<b>545</b>	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	153	42.0%	55	33.1%	6	40.0%	<b>214</b>	<b>39.3%</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							<b>0</b>	
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	153	42.0%	55	33.1%	6	40.0%	<b>214</b>	<b>39.3%</b>
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	138	37.9%	48	28.9%	6	40.0%	<b>192</b>	<b>35.2%</b>
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	84	23.1%	27	16.3%	4	26.7%	<b>115</b>	<b>21.1%</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	84	23.1%	26	15.7%	4	26.7%	<b>114</b>	<b>20.9%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	80	22.0%	24	14.5%	2	13.3%	<b>106</b>	<b>19.4%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>10.3%</b>

#### Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	193	-	64	-	3	-	<b>260</b>	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	144	74.6%	32	50.0%	2	66.7%	<b>178</b>	<b>68.5%</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	144	74.6%	32	50.0%	2	66.7%	<b>178</b>	<b>68.5%</b>
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	118	61.1%	27	42.2%	2	66.7%	<b>147</b>	<b>56.5%</b>
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	57	29.5%	7	10.9%	1	33.3%	<b>65</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	29.0%	7	10.9%	1	33.3%	<b>64</b>	<b>24.6%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	53	27.5%	7	10.9%	1	33.3%	<b>61</b>	<b>23.5%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>10.8%</b>

## Social Research

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	184	-	46	-	4	-	234	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	70	38.0%	15	32.6%	1	100.0%	86	36.8%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Social Research Assessment Centre	70	38.0%	15	32.6%	1	0.0%	86	36.8%
Attended Social Research Assessment Centre	57	31.0%	13	28.3%	1	0.0%	71	30.3%
Passed Social Research Assessment Centre	17	9.2%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	17	9.2%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	14	7.6%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	18	7.7%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.8%</b>

## Technology in Business

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	495	-	236	-	28	-	759	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	399	80.6%	169	71.6%	23	82.1%	591	77.9%
Passed On-Line Test	197	39.8%	60	25.4%	12	42.9%	269	35.4%
Completed e-Tray	136	27.5%	57	24.2%	9	32.1%	202	26.6%
Passed e-Tray	65	13.1%	18	7.6%	5	17.9%	88	11.6%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	65	13.1%	18	7.6%	5	17.9%	88	11.6%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	62	12.5%	16	6.8%	5	17.9%	83	10.9%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	21	4.2%	2	0.8%	2	7.1%	25	3.3%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	21	4.2%	2	0.8%	2	7.1%	25	3.3%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	16	3.2%	1	0.4%	2	7.1%	19	2.5%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

## HR

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	671	-	261	-	18	-	950	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	420	62.6%	167	64.0%	9	50.0%	596	62.7%
Passed On-Line Test	337	50.2%	99	37.9%	5	27.8%	441	46.4%
Completed e-Tray	287	42.8%	91	34.9%	3	16.7%	381	40.1%
Passed e-Tray	188	28.0%	46	17.6%	3	16.7%	237	24.9%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	188	28.0%	46	17.6%	3	16.7%	237	24.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	162	24.1%	43	16.5%	2	11.1%	207	21.8%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	54	8.0%	9	3.4%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Invited to HR Assessment Centre	54	8.0%	9	3.4%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Attended HR Assessment Centre	54	8.0%	9	3.4%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>6.6%</b>

## NIS

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	1,520	-	112	-	17	-	<b>1,649</b>	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	1,203	79.1%	89	79.5%	14	82.4%	<b>1,306</b>	<b>79.2%</b>
Passed On-Line Test	186	12.2%	10	8.9%	4	23.5%	<b>200</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
Completed e-Tray	174	11.4%	12	10.7%	4	23.5%	<b>190</b>	<b>11.5%</b>
Passed e-Tray	82	5.4%	5	4.5%	2	11.8%	<b>89</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	80	5.3%	5	4.5%	2	11.8%	<b>87</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	68	4.5%	4	3.6%	2	11.8%	<b>74</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	12	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	<b>12</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.7%</b>

## European

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of White applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	2,010	-	289	-	67	-	<b>2,366</b>	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	1,375	68.4%	203	70.2%	46	68.7%	<b>1,624</b>	<b>68.6%</b>
Passed On-Line Test	263	13.1%	30	10.4%	9	13.4%	<b>302</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
Completed e-Tray	269	13.4%	32	11.1%	9	13.4%	<b>310</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
Passed e-Tray	79	3.9%	12	4.2%	2	3.0%	<b>93</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	79	3.9%	12	4.2%	2	3.0%	<b>93</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	77	3.8%	12	4.2%	2	3.0%	<b>91</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	22	1.1%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	<b>25</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
Invited to EFS Assessment Centre	26	1.3%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	<b>29</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
Attended EFS Assessment Centre	26	1.3%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	<b>29</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment 2011 - Analysis by Disability

## A - breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>17,252</b>	<b>94.0%</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>18,361</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>86.0%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>393</b>

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>10,845</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>11,598</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	9,231	97.3%	166	1.7%	94	1.0%	<b>9,491</b>
Passed Online Test	2,557	97.6%	29	1.1%	35	1.3%	<b>2,621</b>
Invited to e-Tray	2,483	84.4%	427	14.5%	33	1.1%	<b>2,943</b>
Attended e-Tray	2,289	84.6%	388	14.3%	29	1.1%	<b>2,706</b>
Passed e-Tray	496	83.6%	90	15.2%	7	1.2%	<b>593</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	496	83.6%	90	15.2%	7	1.2%	<b>593</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	471	83.1%	89	15.7%	7	1.2%	<b>567</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>188</b>

#### Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>95.2%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	193	90.2%	21	9.8%	0	0.0%	<b>214</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	193	90.2%	21	9.8%	0	0.0%	<b>214</b>
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	175	91.1%	17	8.9%	0	0.0%	<b>192</b>
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	109	94.8%	6	5.2%	0	0.0%	<b>115</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	108	94.7%	6	5.3%	0	0.0%	<b>114</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	100	94.3%	6	5.7%	0	0.0%	<b>106</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>56</b>

## Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	244	93.8%	15	5.8%	1	0.4%	<b>260</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	162	91.0%	15	8.4%	1	0.6%	<b>178</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Statistics Assessment Centre	162	91.0%	15	8.4%	1	0.6%	<b>178</b>
Attended Statistics Assessment Centre	132	89.8%	14	9.5%	1	0.7%	<b>147</b>
Passed Statistics Assessment Centre	57	87.7%	7	10.8%	1	1.5%	<b>65</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	87.5%	7	10.9%	1	1.6%	<b>64</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	53	86.9%	7	11.5%	1	1.6%	<b>61</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>

## Social Research

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	219	93.6%	13	5.6%	2	0.9%	<b>234</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Passed Application Assessment	75	87.2%	11	12.8%	0	0.0%	<b>86</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Social Research Assessment Centre	75	87.2%	11	12.8%	0	0.0%	<b>86</b>
Attended Social Research Assessment Centre	63	88.7%	8	11.3%	0	0.0%	<b>71</b>
Passed Social Research Assessment Centre	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	<b>21</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	<b>18</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>

## Technology in Business

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	707	93.1%	43	5.7%	9	1.2%	<b>759</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	574	97.1%	11	1.9%	6	1.0%	<b>591</b>
Passed On-Line Test	267	99.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.7%	<b>269</b>
Completed e-Tray	178	88.1%	23	11.4%	1	0.5%	<b>202</b>
Passed e-Tray	79	89.8%	9	10.2%	0	0.0%	<b>88</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	79	89.8%	9	10.2%	0	0.0%	<b>88</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	75	90.4%	8	9.6%	0	0.0%	<b>83</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	<b>25</b>
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	<b>25</b>
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	<b>19</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>

**HR**

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	897	94.4%	42	4.4%	11	1.2%	<b>950</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	579	97.1%	14	2.3%	3	0.5%	<b>596</b>
Passed On-Line Test	427	96.8%	12	2.7%	2	0.5%	<b>441</b>
Completed e-Tray	354	92.9%	27	7.1%	0	0.0%	<b>381</b>
Passed e-Tray	217	91.6%	20	8.4%	0	0.0%	<b>237</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	217	91.6%	20	8.4%	0	0.0%	<b>237</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	190	91.8%	17	8.2%	0	0.0%	<b>207</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	58	92.1%	5	7.9%	0	0.0%	<b>63</b>
Invited to HR Assessment Centre	58	92.1%	5	7.9%	0	0.0%	<b>63</b>
Attended HR Assessment Centre	58	92.1%	5	7.9%	0	0.0%	<b>63</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>92.1%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>63</b>

**NIS**

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	1,567	95.0%	67	4.1%	15	0.9%	<b>1,649</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	1,271	97.3%	26	2.0%	9	0.7%	<b>1,306</b>
Passed On-Line Test	192	96.0%	4	2.0%	4	2.0%	<b>200</b>
Completed e-Tray	157	82.6%	31	16.3%	2	1.1%	<b>190</b>
Passed e-Tray	71	79.8%	16	18.0%	2	2.2%	<b>89</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	71	81.6%	14	16.1%	2	2.3%	<b>87</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	82.4%	11	14.9%	2	2.7%	<b>74</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	<b>12</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>

**European**

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	2,254	95.3%	84	3.6%	28	1.2%	<b>2,366</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed On-Line Test	1,583	97.5%	21	1.3%	20	1.2%	<b>1,624</b>
Passed On-Line Test	294	97.4%	5	1.7%	3	1.0%	<b>302</b>
Completed e-Tray	266	85.8%	44	14.2%	0	0.0%	<b>310</b>
Passed e-Tray	83	89.2%	10	10.8%	0	0.0%	<b>93</b>
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	83	89.2%	10	10.8%	0	0.0%	<b>93</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	81	89.0%	10	11.0%	0	0.0%	<b>91</b>
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	<b>25</b>
Invited to EFS Assessment Centre	27	93.1%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	<b>29</b>
Attended EFS Assessment Centre	27	93.1%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	<b>29</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>23</b>

## B - performance by Disability status at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	17,252	-	918	-	191	-	18,361	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	338	2.0%	52	5.7%	3	1.6%	393	2.1%

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	10,845	-	631	-	122	-	11,598	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	9,231	85.1%	166	26.3%	94	77.0%	9,491	81.8%
Passed Online Test	2,557	23.6%	29	4.6%	35	28.7%	2,621	22.6%
Invited to e-Tray	2,483	22.9%	427	67.7%	33	27.0%	2,943	25.4%
Attended e-Tray	2,289	21.1%	388	61.5%	29	23.8%	2,706	23.3%
Passed e-Tray	496	4.6%	90	14.3%	7	5.7%	593	5.1%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	496	4.6%	90	14.3%	7	5.7%	593	5.1%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	471	4.3%	89	14.1%	7	5.7%	567	4.9%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	150	1.4%	35	5.5%	3	2.5%	188	1.6%

#### Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	519	-	23	-	3	-	545	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	193	37.2%	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	214	39.3%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>							0	
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	193	37.2%	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	214	39.3%
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	175	33.7%	17	73.9%	0	0.0%	192	35.2%
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	109	21.0%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	115	21.1%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	108	20.8%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	114	20.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	100	19.3%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	106	19.4%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	52	10.0%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	56	10.3%

#### Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	244	-	15	-	1	-	260	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	162	66.4%	15	100.0%	1	100.0%	178	68.5%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	162	66.4%	15	100.0%	1	100.0%	178	68.5%
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	132	54.1%	14	93.3%	1	100.0%	147	56.5%
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	57	23.4%	7	46.7%	1	100.0%	65	25.0%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	23.0%	7	46.7%	1	100.0%	64	24.6%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	53	21.7%	7	46.7%	1	100.0%	61	23.5%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	26	10.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	28	10.8%



## Social Research

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	219	-	13	-	2	-	234	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Passed Application Assessment	75	34.2%	11	84.6%	0	100.0%	86	36.8%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Social Research Assessment Cent	75	34.2%	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	86	36.8%
Attended Social Research Assessment Cent	63	28.8%	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	71	30.3%
Passed Social Research Assessment Centre	17	7.8%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	17	7.8%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	21	9.0%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	15	6.8%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	18	7.7%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.8%</b>

## Technology in Business

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	707	-	43	-	9	-	759	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	574	81.2%	11	25.6%	6	66.7%	591	77.9%
Passed On-Line Test	267	37.8%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	269	35.4%
Completed e-Tray	178	25.2%	23	53.5%	1	11.1%	202	26.6%
Passed e-Tray	79	11.2%	9	20.9%	0	0.0%	88	11.6%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	79	11.2%	9	20.9%	0	0.0%	88	11.6%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	75	10.6%	8	18.6%	0	0.0%	83	10.9%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	22	3.1%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	25	3.3%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	22	3.1%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	25	3.3%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	17	2.4%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	19	2.5%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

## HR

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	897	-	42	-	11	-	950	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	579	64.5%	14	33.3%	3	27.3%	596	62.7%
Passed On-Line Test	427	47.6%	12	28.6%	2	18.2%	441	46.4%
Completed e-Tray	354	39.5%	27	64.3%	0	0.0%	381	40.1%
Passed e-Tray	217	24.2%	20	47.6%	0	0.0%	237	24.9%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	217	24.2%	20	47.6%	0	0.0%	237	24.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	190	21.2%	17	40.5%	0	0.0%	207	21.8%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	58	6.5%	5	11.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Invited to HR Assessment Centre	58	6.5%	5	11.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
Attended HR Assessment Centre	58	6.5%	5	11.9%	0	0.0%	63	6.6%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>6.6%</b>

## NIS

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	1,567	-	67	-	15	-	1,649	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	1,271	81.1%	26	38.8%	9	60.0%	1,306	79.2%
Passed On-Line Test	192	12.3%	4	6.0%	4	26.7%	200	12.1%
Completed e-Tray	157	10.0%	31	46.3%	2	13.3%	190	11.5%
Passed e-Tray	71	4.5%	16	23.9%	2	13.3%	89	5.4%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	71	4.5%	14	20.9%	2	13.3%	87	5.3%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	3.9%	11	16.4%	2	13.3%	74	4.5%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	8	0.5%	4	6.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.7%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.7%</b>

## European

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	2,254	-	84	-	28	-	2,366	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed On-Line test	1,583	70.2%	21	25.0%	20	71.4%	1,624	68.6%
Passed On-Line Test	294	13.0%	5	6.0%	3	10.7%	302	12.8%
Completed e-Tray	266	11.8%	44	52.4%	0	0.0%	310	13.1%
Passed e-Tray	83	3.7%	10	11.9%	0	0.0%	93	3.9%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	83	3.7%	10	11.9%	0	0.0%	93	3.9%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	81	3.6%	10	11.9%	0	0.0%	91	3.8%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	23	1.0%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	25	1.1%
Invited to EFS Assessment Centre	27	1.2%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	29	1.2%
Attended EFS Assessment Centre	27	1.2%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	29	1.2%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment 2011 - Analysis by Socio-economic Status (SES)

## A - breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

Socio-economic status refers to the status recorded for the applicant's parents.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>12,916</b>	<b>70.3%</b>	<b>2,294</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>2,034</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>18,361</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>393</b>

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>8,343</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>1,398</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>11,598</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>									
Completed Online Test	6,962	73.4%	1,103	11.6%	497	5.2%	929	9.8%	9,491
Passed Online Test	2,037	77.7%	264	10.1%	92	3.5%	228	8.7%	2,621
Invited to e-Tray	2,258	76.7%	306	10.4%	109	3.7%	270	9.2%	2,943
Attended e-Tray	2,090	77.2%	276	10.2%	101	3.7%	239	8.8%	2,706
Passed e-Tray	475	80.1%	53	8.9%	16	2.7%	49	8.3%	593
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>									
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	475	80.1%	53	8.9%	16	2.7%	49	8.3%	593
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	457	80.6%	47	8.3%	15	2.6%	48	8.5%	567
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>188</b>

#### Economists

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>56</b>

#### Statisticians

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>260</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>

## Social Research

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	176	75.2%	30	12.8%	12	5.1%	16	6.8%	<b>234</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>

## Technology in Business

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	460	60.6%	108	14.2%	67	8.8%	124	16.3%	<b>759</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>14</b>

## HR

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	597	62.8%	123	12.9%	90	9.5%	140	14.7%	<b>950</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>63</b>

## NIS

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	962	58.3%	284	17.2%	190	11.5%	213	12.9%	<b>1,649</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>12</b>

## European

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	1,803	76.2%	253	10.7%	94	4.0%	216	9.1%	<b>2,366</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>23</b>

## B - performance by Socioeconomic status at each stage

The number of candidates invited to e-Tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to e-Tray.

Socio-economic status refers to the status recorded for the applicant's parents.

### All Fast Stream Schemes

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	12,916	-	2,294	-	1,117	-	2,034	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	310	2.4%	35	1.5%	10	0.9%	38	1.9%

### INDIVIDUAL SCHEMES

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	8,343	-	1,398	-	629	-	1,228	-
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	6,962	83.4%	1,103	78.9%	497	79.0%	929	75.7%
Passed Online Test	2,037	24.4%	264	18.9%	92	14.6%	228	18.6%
Invited to e-Tray	2,258	27.1%	306	21.9%	109	17.3%	270	22.0%
Attended e-Tray	2,090	25.1%	276	19.7%	101	16.1%	239	19.5%
Passed e-Tray	475	5.7%	53	3.8%	16	2.5%	49	4.0%
<b>Assessment Centre Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	475	5.7%	53	3.8%	16	2.5%	49	4.0%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	457	5.5%	47	3.4%	15	2.4%	48	3.9%
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	149	1.8%	15	1.1%	2	0.3%	22	1.8%

#### Economists

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	404	-	61	-	20	-	60	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	49	12.1%	2	3.3%	2	10.0%	3	5.0%

#### Statisticians

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	171	-	37	-	15	-	223	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	21	12.3%	6	16.2%	1	6.7%	28	12.6%

## Social Research

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	176	-	30	-	12	-	218	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	7	4.0%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	9	4.1%

## Technology in Business

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	460	-	108	-	67	-	635	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	11	2.4%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	12	1.9%

## HR

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	597	-	123	-	90	-	810	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	51	8.5%	5	4.1%	4	4.4%	60	7.4%

## NIS

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	962	-	284	-	190	-	1,436	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	8	0.8%	2	0.7%	0	0.0%	10	0.7%

## European

	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations		Intermediate occupations		Routine & Manual occupations		Non-respondents	
	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Non-Respondents)
<b>Applications</b>	1,803	-	253	-	94	-	2,150	-
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	14	0.8%	2	0.8%	1	1.1%	17	0.8%

## Analysis by University

A blank cell indicates zero

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GSR		TIB		HR		NIS		EFS		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Aberdeen	82		1		1				3		3		7		18		115	0	0.0%
University of Abertay	6										2		3		1		12	0	0.0%
Anglia Ruskin University	16									4		1		1		22	0	0.0%	
Aston University	60		1		1		3		8		10		2		15		100	0	0.0%
University of Bath	120	2	12	4	6	1	3		3		3	1	3		36		186	8	4.3%
Bath Spa University	6						1				3						10	0	0.0%
University of Bedfordshire	16										3		1				20	0	0.0%
University of Birmingham	284	3	11		2		4		10	1	25	3	9		50		395	7	1.8%
Birmingham City University	24		1						6		4		2		1		38	0	0.0%
University College Birmingham	2										1				2		5	0	0.0%
University of Bolton	2										4		1				7	0	0.0%
Bournemouth University	24				1				1		3		2		2		33	0	0.0%
The Arts University College at Bournemouth	1								1								2	0	0.0%
University of Bradford	38		2						4		5		2		5		56	0	0.0%
University of Brighton	35								1		4		1		4		45	0	0.0%
University of Bristol	276	4	19	2	8	3	1		8		11	2	6		69		398	11	2.8%
Brunel University	59	1	6		4		1		8		9		2		3		92	1	1.1%
Buckinghamshire New University	8						1		2		2		1				14	0	0.0%
University of Cambridge	645	33	18	9	5	1	13	1	33	3	13	4	29	1	148	6	904	58	6.4%
Canterbury Christ Church University	21								1		8		2		3		35	0	0.0%
Cardiff University	167	3	10	2	18	1	8		9		16		5		38		271	6	2.2%
University of Central Lancashire	22				1				4	1	9		2		4		42	1	2.4%
University of Chester	14								2		3				2		21	0	0.0%
University of Chichester	3																3	0	0.0%
City University	46		9	1	2		3		8		6	1			3		77	2	2.6%
Coventry University	39		4	1	3		1		6		6		1		8		68	1	1.5%
Cranfield University	1										1						2	0	0.0%
University for the Creative Arts	1										1						2	0	0.0%
De Montfort University	30				1				3		7		1		1		43	0	0.0%
University of Derby	11				1						1		1		2		16	0	0.0%
University of Dundee	38		2		1		2		3	1	7		16		8		77	1	1.3%
Durham University	494	10	8	1	5	2	9		32	2	25	2	16		118	1	707	18	2.5%
University of East Anglia	127	1	3		3	1	5		2		11				19		170	2	1.2%
University of East London	36				1				7		11		5				60	0	0.0%
Edge Hill University	3						1				1						5	0	0.0%
University of Edinburgh	298	10	10		4	2	5		4		15	1	19	1	85	2	440	16	3.6%
Edinburgh College of Art	1																1	0	0.0%
University of Essex	77	1	4	1	1		4		3		7		2		10		108	2	1.9%
University of Exeter	239	4	15	1	3	1	4		4		12	3	7		52		336	9	2.7%
University College Falmouth	4																4	0	0.0%
University of Glamorgan	21				2	1	2		4		2		1		5		37	1	2.7%

## Analysis by University

A blank cell indicates zero

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GSR		TIB		HR		NIS		EFS		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Glasgow	171	3	9		2	2	1		3		9	3	21	1	41		257	9	3.5%
Glasgow Caledonian University	19						2		7				5	1			34	0	0.0%
Glasgow School of Art														1			1	0	0.0%
University of Gloucestershire	5						1				3			1			10	0	0.0%
University of Greenwich	40		2		6	1	2		5		13		2	4			74	1	1.4%
Guildhall School of Music and Drama													1				1	0	0.0%
Harper Adams University College													1				1	0	0.0%
Heriot-Watt University	19		2		1				3		1		10	10			46	0	0.0%
University of Hertfordshire	45		3		2		1		6		3		2	5			67	0	0.0%
University of Huddersfield	15				2				5		4		1				27	0	0.0%
University of Hull	71		2		2		1		6		9		8	14			113	0	0.0%
Keele University	61	1	3		3				2		2		3	4			78	1	1.3%
University of Kent	168	1	13	1	3		3		11		24	1	6	1	26		254	4	1.6%
Kingston University	61		4		1				9		8		4	11			98	0	0.0%
Lancaster University	129		5		4		6	1	11		14		15	16			200	1	0.5%
University of Leeds	350	9	8	2	4		3		15		19		13	88			500	11	2.2%
Leeds College of Music	1																1	0	0.0%
Leeds Metropolitan University	39								4		12	1	4	6			65	1	1.5%
Leeds Trinity University College	1																1	0	0.0%
University of Leicester	110		7				4		6		9	2	4	14			154	2	1.3%
University of Lincoln	17								2		4						23	0	0.0%
University of Liverpool	131	2	4		4	1	2		15		16	1	17	1	19		208	5	2.4%
Liverpool Hope University	3								1		4		1				9	0	0.0%
Liverpool John Moores University	37	1			2		1		4		7		19	2			72	1	1.4%
London Metropolitan University	65		1		1		4		6		24	2	7	8			116	2	1.7%
University of London																			
Birkbeck College	12		3		1		1		1		1		1	3			23	0	0.0%
Central College of Speech and Drama					1						1						2	0	0.0%
External System (Distance Learning)	4	1												1			5	1	20.0%
Goldsmiths College	45	1					2		5		2		1	2			57	1	1.8%
Heythrop College	4										2						6	0	0.0%
Imperial College London	97				2				15		3			9			126	0	0.0%
Institute in Paris	5													7			12	0	0.0%
Institute of Education	1													1			2	0	0.0%
King's College London	203	8	1				2		10		10		9	59	1		294	9	3.1%
London School of Economics and Political Science	143	4	14	1	2	1	5	1	7		10		1	35	2		217	9	4.1%
Queen Mary	127		6		2		2		7		10	1	4	19	1		177	2	1.1%
Royal Holloway	124	2	12	3	2		1		8		3	1	2	21			173	6	3.5%
Royal Veterinary College	4												1				5	0	0.0%



## Analysis by University

A blank cell indicates zero

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GSR		TIB		HR		NIS		EFS		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
School of Advanced Study	1																1	0	0.0%
School of Oriental and African Studies	87	5	8				1		2		5	1	1		19		123	6	4.9%
St. George's Hospital Medical School	5												1	1			7	0	0.0%
University College London	294	6	21	1	8		4	2	18		6		10		99	1	460	10	2.2%
University of the Arts, London	3								1				1				5	0	0.0%
London Southbank University	30						1		5		7		1		4		48	0	0.0%
Loughborough University	104		8		1		3		8		8	1	2		14		148	1	0.7%
University of Manchester	427	3	19	2	6	1	7	1	26	1	26	2	15	1	75		601	11	1.8%
Manchester Metropolitan University	83		2								8		11		10		121	0	0.0%
Marjon	1														1		2	0	0.0%
Middlesex University	29		2				1		7		8		3		3		53	0	0.0%
Napier University	10										4		2				16	0	0.0%
University of Newcastle Upon Tyne	173	4	7		4		1		4		10	3	12		39		250	7	2.8%
Newman University College	1																1	0	0.0%
Northampton	14								3		1		2				20	0	0.0%
Northumbria University	61	1					2		9	1	15	1	13		3		103	3	2.9%
University of Nottingham	434	8	22	2	5	1	9	1	13	1	26	3	10		80		599	16	2.7%
Nottingham Trent University	73		3		3		3		6		11	1	7		10		116	1	0.9%
Open University	35		1		3		2		5		11		12		5		74	0	0.0%
Open University in Scotland	3								1						1		5	0	0.0%
Oxford University	758	25	22	6	2	1	9	1	14	1	11	3	19		191	7	1026	44	4.3%
Oxford Brookes University	38		3		2				7		7		6	1	7		70	1	1.4%
University of Plymouth	54		2		2		3		6		6		4		5		82	0	0.0%
University of Portsmouth	60	1	1		2		2		2		6		4		7		84	1	1.2%
Queen Margaret University	4								2		1		2		1		10	0	0.0%
Queen's University Belfast	237		1		1		2		22		7	1	559	3	43		872	4	0.5%
Ravensbourne College of Design & Communication	1																1	0	0.0%
University of Reading	138	1	7		4		3		6		5	1	3		18		184	2	1.1%
Robert Gordon University	3		1								1						5	0	0.0%
Roehampton University	20								1		3				1		25	0	0.0%
Royal Agricultural College													1				1	0	0.0%
Royal College of Music	2																2	0	0.0%
Royal Northern College of Music	3													1			4	0	0.0%
Royal Scottish Academy of Music and Drama											1	1					1	1	100.0%
University of Salford	24		1		1				3		5		2		6		42	0	0.0%
University of Sheffield	308	6	21	2	8	1	8		16		19	3	18		55	1	453	13	2.9%
Sheffield Hallam University	56		2		3		1		8		15	1	5		7		97	1	1.0%
University of Southampton	173		6		6		2		9		6	1	4		18		224	1	0.4%
Southampton Solent University	22								4		2				2		30	0	0.0%
University of St Andrews	171	5	3		2		1		6		4		22	2	51		260	7	2.7%

## Analysis by University

A blank cell indicates zero

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GSR		TIB		HR		NIS		EFS		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
St. Mary's University College	5												5				10	0	0.0%
Staffordshire University	26						1		6		6		2		3		44	0	0.0%
Stirling University	35		3	1			1				4		9		11		63	1	1.6%
University of Strathclyde	63		7		1		1		2		7		13		16		110	0	0.0%
University Campus Suffolk	2										1						3	0	0.0%
University of Sunderland	8								3		5		3				19	0	0.0%
University of Surrey	54		12		1		4		3		3			4		81	0	0.0%	
University of Sussex	118	1	6	1	3		5	1	4		6	2	2	22		166	5	3.0%	
University of Swansea	77	1	3				1		3		12	1	2	14		112	2	1.8%	
University of Teesside	12				1				1		2		1	3		20	0	0.0%	
Thames Valley University	11				1		1				7		1	5		26	0	0.0%	
University of Ulster	157				1		2		26	1	17		400	14		617	1	0.2%	
University of Wales																			
Aberystwyth	84	1	3		3				5		5	1	5	13		118	2	1.7%	
Bangor	21				2		2		2		2		2	5		36	0	0.0%	
Cardiff Metropolitan	14					1					1		1	3		19	1	5.3%	
Glyndwr	2										1					3	0	0.0%	
Lampeter	8												1	1		10	0	0.0%	
Newport	5															5	0	0.0%	
Swansea Metropolitan	1															1	0	0.0%	
Trinity University College	1															1	0	0.0%	
University of Warwick	317	5	12	2	6	1	6		11		12	3	10	83	1	457	12	2.6%	
University of the West of England	55		6		3	1	4		6		15		2	7		98	1	1.0%	
University of the West of Scotland	7		2								2			1		12	0	0.0%	
University of Westminster	68				2		2		10		9		2	10		103	0	0.0%	
University of Winchester	10				2						4	1	1	1		18	1	5.6%	
University of Wolverhampton	25								4		4		2	2		37	0	0.0%	
University of Worcester	5										1		1			7	0	0.0%	
University of York	262	6	17	2	4	1	2		8		22		10	27		352	9	2.6%	
York St. John University	5										2					7	0	0.0%	
Overseas	408	4	67	8	42	2	19		82	1	58	2	86	174		936	17	1.8%	
Not stated	68		9		4		3		7		9	1	27	29		156	1	0.6%	
Grand Total	11598	188	545	56	260	28	234	9	759	14	950	63	1649	12	2366	23	18361	393	2.1%

## Degree Type

Degree Type	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GSR		TIB		HR		NIS		EFS		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
Allied Medicine	34	2			3		1		2		1		10		7		58	2	3.4%
Architecture	47	1			1				3		3		27		10		91	1	1.1%
Biological Sciences	525	10			13	3	6	1	33		40	1	65	1	39	1	721	17	2.4%
Business	533		9		9		2		76	2	243	6	198		89	1	1,159	9	0.8%
Creative Arts	190	4					1		9		15	2	32		21		268	6	2.2%
Economics	482	4	467	47	39	3	26		29		32	3	66		74		1,215	57	4.7%
Education	32						2		5		6		14		5		64	0	0.0%
Engineering	352	3			9				93	1	12		87		30		583	4	0.7%
Financial	109		2		3				16		12		48	1	9		199	1	0.5%
Humanities	3,518	75	3	2	3		26		96	5	237	26	357	4	571	7	4,811	119	2.5%
Languages	973	17	1		2		1		16		43	3	60		760	6	1,856	26	1.4%
Librarian	12								2		0		2		1		17	0	0.0%
Mathematical Science	299	2	10		95	9	3		48	3	3		38		21	1	517	15	2.9%
Medicine & Dentistry	31	3							3		0		4		1		39	3	7.7%
Multi Discipline	602	10	19	1	11	3	9	1	32		27	1	90	1	166		956	17	1.8%
Physical Sciences	652	5	4		11	3	1		72	1	16	1	90	1	45	1	891	12	1.3%
Social Science	3,092	51	29	6	60	7	156	7	113	1	247	20	434	4	509	6	4,640	102	2.2%
Technology	105	1	1		1				111	1	13		26		8		265	2	0.8%
Veterinary Sciences	10								0		0		1		0		11	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,598</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1,649</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2,366</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18,361</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>2.1%</b>

## Diversity trends in the whole Fast Stream (ie all Fast Stream schemes combined)

### Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Male		Female		Non-respondent			Male		Female		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	354	9,612	52.4%	8,622	47.0%	127	0.7%	18,361	193	49.1%	197	50.1%	3	0.8%	393
2010	477	11,586	53.2%	10,020	46.0%	155	0.7%	21,761	245	52.7%	215	46.2%	5	1.1%	465
2009	585	8,333	55.9%	6,489	43.5%	89	0.6%	14,911	357	56.8%	269	42.8%	3	0.5%	629
2008	552	7,981	55.1%	6,444	44.5%	69	0.5%	14,494	292	51.2%	275	48.2%	3	0.5%	570
2007	427	7,343	53.9%	6,229	45.7%	47	0.3%	13,619	208	54.7%	171	45.0%	1	0.3%	380
2006	469	7,637	54.8%	6,246	44.8%	62	0.4%	13,945	233	48.9%	240	50.4%	3	0.6%	476
2005	497	7,376	56.9%	5,508	42.5%	73	0.6%	12,957	280	55.6%	220	43.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004*	507	5,255	61.1%	3,343	38.9%	..	..	*8,598	273	58.5%	194	41.5%	..	..	467
2003	546	10,676	53.3%	9,356	46.7%	..	..	20,032	256	50.2%	254	49.8%	..	..	510
2002	509	7,181	50.1%	7,147	49.9%	..	..	14,328	197	47.9%	214	52.1%	..	..	411
2001	512	6,175	52.4%	5,602	47.6%	..	..	11,777	204	48.5%	217	51.5%	..	..	421
2000	560	7,487	52.0%	6,922	48.0%	..	..	14,409	223	52.3%	203	47.7%	..	..	426
1999	445	5,220	53.2%	4,594	46.8%	..	..	9,814	153	50.0%	153	50.0%	..	..	306
1998	367	4,931	54.6%	4,106	45.4%	..	..	9,037	144	61.3%	91	38.7%	..	..	235

### Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	354	14,768	80.4%	3,182	17.3%	411	2.2%	18,361	335	85.2%	51	13.0%	7	1.8%	393
2010	477	16,650	76.5%	4,640	21.3%	471	2.2%	21,761	397	85.4%	57	12.3%	11	2.4%	465
2009	585	11,932	80.0%	2,724	18.3%	255	1.7%	14,911	550	87.4%	67	10.7%	12	1.9%	629
2008	552	12,092	83.4%	2,159	14.9%	243	1.7%	14,494	505	88.6%	53	9.3%	12	2.1%	570
2007	427	11,625	85.4%	1,838	13.5%	156	1.1%	13,619	339	89.2%	36	9.5%	5	1.3%	380
2006	469	11,849	85.0%	1,912	13.7%	184	1.3%	13,945	416	87.4%	53	11.1%	7	1.5%	476
2005	497	10,857	83.8%	1,937	14.9%	163	1.3%	12,957	461	91.5%	36	7.1%	7	1.4%	504
2004*	507	7,140	83.0%	1,259	14.6%	199	2.3%	*8,598	428	91.6%	25	5.4%	14	3.0%	467
2003	546	15,702	78.4%	3,275	16.3%	1,055	5.3%	20,032	455	89.2%	40	7.8%	15	2.9%	510
2002	509	11,671	81.5%	2,432	17.0%	225	1.6%	14,328	363	88.3%	40	9.7%	8	2.0%	411
2001	512	9,683	82.2%	1,941	16.5%	153	1.3%	11,777	383	90.9%	32	7.6%	6	1.4%	421
2000	560	12,076	83.8%	2,154	15.0%	179	1.2%	14,409	392	92.0%	29	6.8%	5	1.2%	426
1999	445	8,412	85.7%	1,296	13.2%	106	1.1%	9,814	287	93.8%	18	5.9%	1	0.3%	306
1998	367	7,884	87.2%	1,098	12.2%	55	0.6%	9,037	226	96.2%	8	3.4%	1	0.4%	235

### Disability

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	354	17,252	94.0%	918	5.0%	191	1.0%	18,361	338	86.0%	52	13.2%	3	0.8%	393
2010	477	20,402	93.8%	1,136	5.2%	223	1.0%	21,761	398	85.6%	63	13.5%	4	0.9%	465
2009	585	14,091	94.5%	697	4.7%	123	0.8%	14,911	532	84.6%	92	14.6%	5	0.8%	629
2008	552	13,633	94.1%	738	5.1%	123	0.8%	14,494	490	86.0%	72	12.6%	8	1.4%	570
2007	427	13,132	96.4%	486	3.6%	1	0.0%	13,619	347	91.3%	33	8.7%	0	0.0%	380
2006	469	13,502	96.8%	356	2.6%	87	0.6%	13,945	438	92.0%	35	7.4%	3	0.6%	476
2005	497	12,546	96.8%	323	2.5%	88	0.7%	12,957	466	92.5%	34	6.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004*	507	8,324	96.8%	274	3.2%	..	..	*8,598	433	92.7%	34	7.3%	..	..	467
2003	546	19,550	97.6%	482	2.4%	..	..	20,032	492	96.5%	18	3.5%	..	..	510
2002	509	14,061	98.1%	267	1.9%	..	..	14,328	395	96.1%	16	3.9%	..	..	411
2001	512	11,510	97.7%	267	2.3%	..	..	11,777	409	97.1%	12	2.9%	..	..	421
2000	560	14,210	98.6%	199	1.4%	..	..	14,409	418	98.1%	8	1.9%	..	..	426
1999	445	9,627	98.1%	187	1.9%	..	..	9,814	299	97.7%	7	2.3%	..	..	306
1998	367	8,875	98.2%	162	1.8%	..	..	9,037	223	94.9%	12	5.1%	..	..	235

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.

## Diversity trends in the whole Fast Stream (ie all Fast Stream schemes combined)

University of First Degree											
Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge			Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	354	16,431	89.5%	1,930	10.5%	18,361	291	74.0%	102	26.0%	393
2010	477	19,783	90.9%	1,978	9.1%	21,761	361	77.6%	104	22.4%	465
2009	585	13,250	88.9%	1,661	11.1%	14,911	464	73.8%	165	26.2%	629
2008	552	12,714	87.7%	1,780	12.3%	14,494	404	70.9%	166	29.1%	570
2007	427	11,945	87.7%	1,674	12.3%	13,619	261	68.7%	119	31.3%	380
2006	469	12,216	87.6%	1,729	12.4%	13,945	328	68.9%	148	31.1%	476
2005	497	11,353	87.6%	1,604	12.4%	12,957	354	70.2%	150	29.8%	504
2004*	507	7,216	83.9%	1,382	16.1%	*8,598	300	64.2%	167	35.8%	467
2003	546	18,214	90.9%	1,818	9.1%	20,032	328	64.3%	182	35.7%	510
2002	509	13,122	91.6%	1,206	8.4%	14,328	298	72.5%	113	27.5%	411
2001	512	10,846	92.1%	931	7.9%	11,777	303	72.0%	118	28.0%	421
2000	560	13,289	92.2%	1,120	7.8%	14,409	289	67.8%	137	32.2%	426
1999	445	8,880	90.5%	934	9.5%	9,814	214	69.9%	92	30.1%	306
1998	367	8,142	90.1%	895	9.9%	9,037	154	65.5%	81	34.5%	235

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.

## Diversity trends in the Graduate Fast Stream only

### Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Male		Female		Non-respondent			Male		Female		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	168	6,339	54.7%	5,178	44.6%	81	0.7%	11,598	85	45.2%	100	53.2%	3	1.6%	188
2010	198	8,408	53.9%	7,069	45.3%	112	0.7%	15,589	93	48.7%	95	49.7%	3	1.6%	191
2009	351	6,816	54.3%	5,662	45.1%	77	0.6%	12,555	205	50.9%	195	48.4%	3	0.7%	403
2008	313	5,307	53.1%	4,650	46.5%	43	0.4%	10,000	176	46.8%	198	52.7%	2	0.5%	376
2007	211	4,804	52.1%	4,383	47.5%	32	0.3%	9,219	129	51.8%	119	47.8%	1	0.4%	249
2006	219	5,004	52.9%	4,414	46.7%	38	0.4%	9,456	136	41.7%	188	57.7%	2	0.6%	326
2005	273	4,523	55.3%	3,607	44.1%	49	0.6%	8,179	185	55.1%	148	44.0%	3	0.9%	336
2004*	255	3,934	59.9%	2,630	40.1%	..	..	*6,564	167	55.5%	134	44.5%	..	..	301
2003	304	9,204	52.1%	8,455	47.9%	..	..	17,659	162	45.0%	198	55.0%	..	..	360
2002	251	5,473	49.2%	5,659	50.8%	..	..	11,132	111	43.4%	145	56.6%	..	..	256
2001	272	4,786	51.4%	4,530	48.6%	..	..	9,316	138	45.8%	163	54.2%	..	..	301
2000	254	5,720	51.0%	5,491	49.0%	..	..	11,211	139	50.7%	135	49.3%	..	..	274
1999	189	3,798	52.3%	3,461	47.7%	..	..	7,259	81	45.3%	98	54.7%	..	..	179
1998	180	3,575	54.2%	3,020	45.8%	..	..	6,595	76	55.9%	60	44.1%	..	..	136

### Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	168	9,331	80.5%	2,008	17.3%	259	2.2%	11,598	162	86.2%	21	11.2%	5	2.7%	188
2010	198	12,521	80.3%	2,723	17.5%	345	2.2%	15,589	175	91.6%	12	6.3%	4	2.1%	191
2009	351	10,371	82.6%	1,967	15.7%	217	1.7%	12,555	364	90.3%	29	7.2%	10	2.5%	403
2008	313	8,436	84.4%	1,412	14.1%	152	1.5%	10,000	341	90.7%	25	6.6%	10	2.7%	376
2007	211	7,920	85.9%	1,184	12.8%	115	1.2%	9,219	230	92.4%	14	5.6%	5	2.0%	249
2006	219	8,105	85.7%	1,227	13.0%	124	1.3%	9,456	297	91.1%	24	7.4%	5	1.5%	326
2005	273	6,974	85.3%	1,100	13.4%	105	1.3%	8,179	318	94.6%	12	3.6%	6	1.8%	336
2004*	255	5,600	85.3%	808	12.3%	156	2.4%	*6,564	284	94.4%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	301
2003	304	13,862	78.5%	2,811	15.9%	986	5.6%	17,659	333	92.5%	16	4.4%	11	3.1%	360
2002	251	9,235	83.0%	1,752	15.7%	145	1.3%	11,132	236	92.2%	19	7.4%	1	0.4%	256
2001	272	7,808	83.8%	1,389	14.9%	119	1.3%	9,316	278	92.3%	18	6.0%	5	1.7%	301
2000	254	9,510	84.8%	1,558	13.9%	143	1.3%	11,211	252	92.0%	17	6.2%	5	1.8%	274
1999	189	6,293	86.6%	875	12.1%	91	1.3%	7,259	174	97.2%	4	2.2%	1	0.6%	179
1998	180	5,836	88.5%	721	10.9%	38	0.6%	6,595	131	96.3%	4	2.9%	1	0.8%	136

### Disability

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	168	10,845	93.5%	631	5.4%	122	1.1%	11,598	150	79.8%	35	18.6%	3	1.6%	188
2010	198	14,617	93.8%	807	5.2%	165	1.1%	15,589	157	82.2%	32	16.8%	2	1.0%	191
2009	351	11,853	94.4%	592	4.7%	110	0.9%	12,555	324	80.4%	75	18.6%	4	1.0%	403
2008	313	9,409	94.1%	510	5.1%	81	0.8%	10,000	311	82.7%	61	16.2%	4	1.1%	376
2007	211	8,879	96.3%	340	3.7%	0	0.0%	9,219	219	88.0%	30	12.0%	0	0.0%	249
2006	219	9,160	96.9%	241	2.5%	55	0.6%	9,456	292	89.6%	32	9.8%	2	0.6%	326
2005	273	7,913	96.7%	215	2.6%	51	0.6%	8,179	303	90.2%	30	8.9%	3	0.9%	336
2004*	255	6,332	96.5%	232	3.5%	..	..	*6,564	274	91.0%	27	9.0%	..	..	301
2003	304	17,233	97.6%	426	2.4%	..	..	17,659	346	96.1%	14	3.9%	..	..	360
2002	251	10,919	98.1%	213	1.9%	..	..	11,132	244	95.3%	12	4.7%	..	..	256
2001	272	9,117	97.9%	199	2.1%	..	..	9,316	289	96.0%	12	4.0%	..	..	301
2000	254	11,042	98.5%	169	1.5%	..	..	11,211	268	97.8%	6	2.2%	..	..	274
1999	189	7,119	98.1%	140	1.9%	..	..	7,259	172	96.1%	7	3.9%	..	..	179
1998	180	6,474	98.2%	121	7.8%	..	..	6,595	133	94.9%	3	5.1%	..	..	136

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.

## Diversity trends in the Graduate Fast Stream only

### University of First Degree

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge			Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2011	168	10,195	87.9%	1,403	12.1%	11,598	130	69.1%	58	30.9%	188
2010	198	13,875	89.0%	1,714	11.0%	15,589	132	69.1%	59	30.9%	191
2009	351	11,018	87.8%	1,537	12.2%	12,555	269	66.7%	134	33.3%	403
2008	313	8,627	86.3%	1,373	13.7%	10,000	239	63.6%	137	36.4%	376
2007	211	7,951	86.2%	1,268	13.8%	9,219	150	60.2%	99	39.8%	249
2006	219	8,159	86.3%	1,297	13.7%	9,456	199	61.0%	127	39.0%	326
2005	273	6,998	85.6%	1,181	14.4%	8,179	212	63.1%	124	36.9%	336
2004	255	5,327	81.2%	1,237	18.8%	*6,564	163	54.2%	138	45.8%	301
2003	304	15,979	90.5%	1,680	9.5%	17,659	208	57.8%	152	42.2%	360
2002	251	10,079	90.5%	1,053	9.5%	11,132	165	64.5%	91	35.5%	256
2001	272	8,471	90.9%	845	9.1%	9,316	204	67.8%	97	32.2%	301
2000	254	10,221	91.2%	990	8.8%	11,211	163	59.5%	111	40.5%	274
1999	189	6,427	88.5%	832	11.5%	7,259	108	60.3%	71	39.7%	179
1998	180	5,801	88.0%	794	12.0%	6,595	69	50.7%	67	49.3%	136

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.