**Review of Police and Crime Commissioner Remuneration**

**Call for Evidence Response Form**



Please use this form to submit responses to the Senior Salaries Review Body’s (SSRB) call for evidence for its Review of Police and Crime Commissioner remuneration.

This response form should be considered in conjunction with the call for evidence document, which is available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/review-body-on-senior-salaries>

The SSRB welcomes any comments you wish to make in response to all of the questions or just in relation those issues that are of particular interest or relevance to you.

Response to the questions below should be input into this document electronically and completed document then emailed to: [ssrb.pcc@beis.gov.uk](mailto:ssrb.pcc@beis.gov.uk). All responses should be received by **12 March 2018**.

**Part 1: About You**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name:** | Click here to enter text. |
|  | |
| **Email address:** | Click here to enter text. |

Respondents are not required to provide an email address. If you do wish to provide this information, it will allow the SSRB or its secretariat to contact you if they wish to obtain further information about any points raised in your response.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Are you responding:** | Choose an item. | |
|  | | |
| **If you are responding as an individual, are you:** | | |
| Choose an item. | | |
|  | | |
| **Please provide any information you wish to about your occupation or reason for your interest in PCC remuneration:** | | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **If you are responding on behalf of an organisation, please provide its title:** | Click here to enter text. |
|  | |
| **Please provide a brief description of your organisation. This should include, if applicable, information about whom the organisation represents, the size of its membership and how the views of members were obtained.** | |
| Click here to enter text. | |

**Confidentiality**

Information provided in response to this call for evidence, including personal information, could be subject to a request under access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004). The Office of Manpower Economics, which provides secretariat support to the SSRB, must deal with any such request in accordance with relevant statutory framework. If you consider that any of the information that you have provided is confidential, it would be helpful if you could explain why. This will mean that, if the Office of Manpower Economics receives a request for disclosure of the information, it can take full account of your explanation. However, we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances.

Please check this following box if any information provided in your response should be treated as confidential:

If so, please provide further details of what information is confidential and why below.

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Part 2: Call for Evidence Questions**

The SSRB is issuing this call for evidence to enable all those with an interest in this area to contribute their views. It is not necessary for respondents to answer all questions if they do not wish to do so. The SSRB would welcome contributions on the following questions:

**Question 1**: Has taking on responsibility for local victims’ services referral and commissioning and police complaints had a significant effect on the work and responsibilities of PCCs, and if so how? Should it affect their pay and, if so, to what extent?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 2**: Would, or has, taking on responsibilities for fire and rescue services have, or had, a significant effect on the work and responsibilities of PCCs? Should it affect their pay and, if so, to what extent?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 3**: Has the PCC role changed significantly in scope and responsibility since the first post-holders were elected in ways not covered by your response to the above questions? If so, how?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 4:** Which are the most relevant comparable public sector roles that might provide a benchmark for PCC responsibilities and pay?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 5:** Should PCCs’ pay be fixed before and for the duration of their four-year term of office? If not, how and at what points in the electoral cycle should it be reviewed?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 6:** Should there continue to be differentiation between pay of PCCs according to police force area? If so, why and what factors should determine the extent of differentiation?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 7:** What otherfactors should the SSRB take into account when reviewing pay levels for PCCs?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Question 8:** Are there other matters that fall under the scope of this call for evidence that you wish to raise?

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |