



# Ministry of Defence

Secretariat  
Defence Infrastructure Organisation  
Kingston Road  
Sutton Coldfield  
B75 7RL

E-mail: [diosecc-parli@mod.uk](mailto:diosecc-parli@mod.uk)  
[www.gov.uk/DIO](http://www.gov.uk/DIO)

29 September 2015

Ref. FOI2015/07517

Dear

Thank you for your email of 01 September 2015 requesting the following information:

*"(1) Reports from Landmarc describing any (warning off or otherwise) incidents involving members of the public from September 2014 to date on the lands covered by the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976.*

*(2) Reports (in any form – email, written, etc) from any member of the armed forces or Landmarc of incidents involving members of the public that disrupted a military exercise from the period of September 2014 to date on the lands covered by the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976.*

*(3) A copy of the project plan (ideally in Microsoft Project format) showing the proposed schedule of activities relating to the public consultation on the renewal of the Aldershot Military Lands Byelaws 1976."*

I am treating your correspondence as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

A search for the information has now been completed within the Ministry of Defence (MOD) and I can confirm that some of the information in scope of your request is held.

In relation to part 1 of your request, this information was provided to you on 14 April 2015 under reference FOI/2015/02908.

In relation to part 2 of your request, the MOD holds the information on the subject you have requested. However, I have to advise you that we will not be able to answer your request without exceeding the cost limit. To retrieve and extract the information in scope of your request would cost in excess of £600.00.

Section 12 of the Act makes provision for public authorities to refuse requests for information where the cost of dealing with them would exceed the appropriate limit, which for central government is set at £600. This represents the estimated cost of one person spending 3.5 working days in determining whether the department holds the information, locating, retrieving and extracting it.

The MOD may be able to provide some information in scope of your request if you reduce or refine your request to bring the cost of compliance under the limit. For example, to refine the request you could reduce the timescale asked for, to a shorter more specific time frame. Please contact [diosec-parli@mod.uk](mailto:diosec-parli@mod.uk) if you would like to refine your request.

In relation to part 3 of your request, work is not sufficiently advanced for a project plan in respect of a public consultation for the lands covered by the Aldershot District Byelaws and there are no agreed dates for public consultations to be held for any new byelaws covering these lands.

I hope that this sufficiently answers your query.

Yours sincerely,

DIO Secretariat

If you are not satisfied with this response or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of your request, then you should contact me in the first instance. If informal resolution is not possible and you are still dissatisfied then you may apply for an independent internal review by contacting **the Information Rights Compliance team, 1st Floor**, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB (e-mail [CIO-FOI-IR@mod.uk](mailto:CIO-FOI-IR@mod.uk)). Please note that any request for an internal review must be made within 40 working days of the date on which the attempt to reach informal resolution has come to an end.

If you remain dissatisfied following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.ico.gov.uk>.