



Government Legal Department

Bona Vacantia Division (BVD)
PO Box 70165
London
WC1A 9HG

T +44 (0)20 7210 4700
F +44 (0)20 7210 3104

DX: 123240 KINGSWAY

www.gov.uk/bonavacantia

Our reference: BVFOI/017/17 re Kathleen Alberta Elizabeth Lundeborg

Freedom of Information Act 2000 Request

You asked for the following information from the Government Legal Department (“the Department”):

Under the Freedom of Information act, please could I request the administrator details for the case reference BV89783/1, Kathleen Alberta Elizabeth Lundeborg.

Your request will be dealt with by the Bona Vacantia Division (“the Division”) under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

The Division holds all the information that you have requested.

I have withheld information, the administrator’s details, as it is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Act. The information is exempt from disclosure because it constitutes the personal data of a living individual as defined in section 1(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998 and disclosure of the information would be in breach of the data protection principles set out in Schedule 1 of that Act. In particular, disclosure of the information would contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data be processed fairly and lawfully.

I have withheld the information as it is also exempt from disclosure under section 41 of the Act as the Division considers that the information was received in confidence, and that to reveal the information would constitute an actionable breach of the duty of confidence.

In considering whether disclosure would be an actionable breach of confidence the Division has considered whether there is a public interest in disclosing the information that would outweigh the public interest in keeping the information confidential.

There is a strong public interest in keeping confidential information that has been disclosed in confidence, and in this case there is no specific reason in favour of disclosure of the information. Disclosure would not protect public safety, nor would it expose wrongdoing such as misfeasance, maladministration, negligence or other iniquity on the part of the Division. In this case the Division considers that the public interest in keeping the information confidential outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

