

### **Consultation Responses – MGN Guidance to Applicant Notified Bodies**

The following table gives a summary of consultation comments received and the corresponding MCA responses received during this consultation. The below has been anonymised to remove references to individuals or company names.

<b>ID</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>MCA Response</b>
	<p>Part 2.9, will this clause apply to 'manufacturers' seeking co-listing / own brand manufacturer certificates? It is noted that you include "unreasonable", and we take the position that the generation of OEM certs based on another Notified Bodies work (certificates, without thorough review of the supporting documentation) is unreasonable.</p> <p>Part 3.2, if the guidelines are subject to change, are existing Notified Bodies required to meet these changing requirements, if so will they be informed be informed of revisions to this document?</p>	<p>2.9 in the Guidance is aimed at Conformity Assessment Bodies which wish to be Notified. It is essentially saying that a Notified Body must only carry out the conformity assessment in accordance with the Directive and not be unreasonable in its approach with a Manufacturer. i.e. other than carrying out the conformity assessment a Notified Body should not inhibit a manufacturer's operation.</p> <p>3.2 linked to the above, the MGN is not aimed at existing Notified Bodies, only those wishing to be a Notified Body going forward. If the requirements changed for Notified Bodies, existing Bodies would be informed through the MCA directly and through the United Kingdom Accreditation Service.</p>