

## Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Mr H.Goodwill and Mrs E.Goodwill (trading as H.R.R & E.S. Goodwill) Twizell Cottage Poultry Farm, Twizell Cottage, Ponteland, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE20 0AL NZ 16177 78680
-----------------------------------	--

Document reference of application SCR	Documents entitled 'Closure Plan' and 'Site History' submitted with the surrender application, and additional supporting information received in response to an RFI request.
---------------------------------------	--

Date and version of application SCR	Documents entitled 'Closure Plan' and 'Site History' submitted with the surrender application and received on 28/10/15. Additional supporting information received in response to an RFI request on 18/12/15 and 22/12/15.
-------------------------------------	---

### 1.0 Site details

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

*Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 19/10/2007.*

### 2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers  
(Receptor)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
  - pollution incidents that may have affected land
  - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
  - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
  - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

*Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 19/10/2007.*

### 3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

**Response  
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

*The site was permitted under Section 6.9 A(1)(a) Rearing of poultry or pigs intensively in an installation with more than: (i) 40,000 places of poultry.  
The permitted site was for the rearing of broilers in a facility with a capacity for 86,000 places.*

**3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment**

(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross- referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

*Risk assessment provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 19/10/2007.*

**3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?**

(Conceptual model)

Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?

*No – Justification was provided and assessment undertaken as part of the application for Environmental Permit EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 19/10/2007.*

For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?

*Yes – Justification was provided and assessment undertaken as part of the application for Environmental Permit EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 19/10/2007.*

<b>Application SCR decision summary</b>	<b>Tick relevant decision</b>
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/MP3635UN on 19/10/2007
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/MP3635UN on 19/10/2007
Date and name of reviewer: (01/02/2016)	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>

## Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

<b>4.0 Changes to the activities</b> (Source)	
<b>Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?</b>	<b>Response</b> <b>(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
<i>No changes during the operation of the site.</i>	

<b>5.0 Measures taken to protect land</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
<i>Records produced by the Environment Agency Regulatory Team throughout the lifetime of the permit and supporting information provided by the Operator show that the pollution prevention measures that were in place have protected the environment as far as is practicable.</i>

<b>6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
<i>The operator has stated in the application documents that there have been no pollution incidents on site that could have caused harm to land or groundwater. The Environment Agency's records also indicate that no incidents have been recorded during the lifetime of the permit (EPR/MP3635UN).</i>

<b>7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)</b>
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
N/A

## Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

<b>8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk</b>
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
<i>All permitted activities have ceased (there have been no birds on site since May 2015) and all sources of pollution risk removed.</i>
<i>Site inspection carried out by Environment Agency on Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2016 to confirm that all decommissioning works have been completed.</i>

<b>9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)</b>
To be completed by GWCL officers
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.
<i>No baseline data requested during determination, therefore no data is required for the surrender.</i>

<b>10.0 Statement of site condition</b>
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
<i>All permitted activities have ceased (there have been no birds on site since May 2015) and the site has been decommissioned. The operator has confirmed that all pollution risks have been removed and the site has been returned in a satisfactory state.</i>
<i>We the Environment Agency have reviewed the application for surrender made by the Operator and accept the statement of site condition and view it as being returned in a satisfactory state.</i>

<b>Surrender SCR decision summary</b>	<b>Tick relevant decision</b>
To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS	
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or	✓
Date and name of reviewer – 04/02/2016	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>